

DRUTHERS

TRUTH • LOVE • FREEDOM

Issue #36 | November 2023

druthers /n. ...Informal...
one's own way, preference, or choice:
eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

FREE

Covering news
& information
that mainstream
media won't.
Please read and
then pass this
paper along to
someone else
when done. Find
more to explore
on our website:
www.druthers.net

BOMBSHELL: There's DNA in the Vaccines! Confirmed by Health Canada

By Christie Pritchard

Earlier this year, genomics scientist Kevin McKernan made a disturbing accidental discovery. While sequencing vials of mRNA vaccines in his Boston lab, McKernan was shocked to find that they were **contaminated with tiny fragments of plasmid DNA**.

McKernan, who has 25 years of experience in his field, including being a team leader for the MIT Human Genome Project, ran the experiment again, only to confirm that the vials did indeed contain plasmid DNA. In particular, McKernan was alarmed when he detected a sequence known as **SV40, a sequence utilized to transport DNA into the nucleus — a technique used in gene therapies. SV40 has been shown to cause cancer** in animal studies, but research is lacking in human studies as it would be unethical to inject humans with SV40.

McKernan's plasmid DNA finding was shocking for three reasons: **1) Regulatory agencies worldwide have specifically said DNA contamination is not possible** with the mRNA vaccines.

2) The degree of contamination far exceeds the FDA's set regulations surrounding plasmid DNA in vaccines — by up to 70 times! (Note: The FDA does allow DNA in low levels in non-mRNA vaccines, since it would be chewed up by tissue enzymes before they've had a chance to get inside cells. However, the DNA in mRNA vaccines are wrapped up in lipid nanoparticles that help transport the genetic material inside the cells where the DNA can migrate to the nucleus and insert itself into the genome.) The FDA's "Guidance for Industry" booklet ([fda.gov/media/78428/download](https://www.fda.gov/media/78428/download)) acknowledges that there are significant risks to having DNA left in vaccines: *"There are several potential mechanisms by which residual DNA could be oncogenic, including the integration and expression of encoded oncogenes or insertional mutagenesis following DNA integration. Residual DNA also might be capable of transmitting viral infections if retroviral proviruses, integrated copies of DNA viruses, or extrachromosomal genomes are present."*

3) The manufacturer had not publicly disclosed contamination during the regulatory process. Nevertheless, McKernan raised the alarm, posting his findings in an academic article online (osf.io/b9t7m). It wasn't long before other scientists replicated his findings.

One of these scientists was cancer genomics expert Dr. Phillip Buckhaults, a proponent of the mRNA platform who has received the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine himself — three times! This past September, Dr. Buckhaults testified before a South Carolina Senate Medical Affairs Ad-Hoc Committee, saying that Pfizer's mRNA vaccine is contaminated with billions of tiny DNA fragments.

Dr. Buckhaults testified, *"There is a very real haz-*

ard" that these fragments of foreign DNA can insert themselves into a person's genome. He said it's a plausible mechanism for what might be *"causing some of the rare but serious side effects like death from cardiac arrest."* Contaminant DNA fragments can integrate

the mRNA. Hence, the final product contained both plasmid DNA and mRNA. Notably, PROCESS 2 batches proved to have substantially lower mRNA integrity. (bit.ly/3QeSz7h and bmj.com/content/372/bmj.n627)

The switch from PROCESS 1 to PROCESS 2 ultimately resulted in the vaccine contamination.

Health Canada Confirms Finding

On October 19, 2023, Health Canada confirmed the presence of DNA contamination in Pfizer COVID-19 vaccines and confirmed that Pfizer did not disclose the SV40 contamination to the public health authority.

In an interview with *The Epoch Times*, Health Canada stated they were *"aware of the presence of residual plasmid DNA as a process-related impurity during review and prior to the authorization of the mRNA COVID-19 vaccines."* However, while Pfizer did provide the full DNA sequences of the plasmid in PROCESS 2 of its vaccine at the time of the initial submission, the vaccine maker *"did not specifically identify the SV40 sequence."*

Given that SV40 is a cancer risk due to potential integration with the human genome, *"Health Canada expects sponsors to identify any biologically functional DNA sequences within a plasmid (such as an SV40 enhancer) at the time of submission,"* Health Canada said in an email to *The Epoch Times*.

As viral immunologist Dr. Byram Bridle of the University of Guelph in Canada put it: *"This is an admission of epic proportions... One must wonder why Pfizer would not disclose the presence of a biologically functional DNA sequence to a health regulator. Pfizer was required to disclose to health regulatory agencies all of the bioactive sequences in the bacterial plasmid DNA that they used to manufacture their shots."*

In light of recent concerns regarding plasmid DNA in mRNA vaccines, on October 9, the World Council for Health (worldcouncilforhealth.org) organized an emergency panel of international experts to examine these reports. Dr. Peter McCullough, an advisor, said, *"I believe today in 2023, after three years of the failed COVID-19 vaccine campaign which has been a biologic disaster for the world, that the multi-hit hypothesis for oncogenesis has been fulfilled... COVID-19 vaccines work to impair tumor suppressor systems ... the genetic vaccines also impair DNA repair ... they impair the body's ability to repair itself."*

The implications of this disclosure raise significant questions regarding accountability. Will Health Canada, along with various government leaders, be held responsible for their disregard for public health? The negligence, in this instance, could have profound implications on the well-being of the millions of Canadians who trusted the officials and took the shots. After years of governments protecting vaccine manufacturers from liability, will this pivotal revelation finally open the door for a massive class action suit against Pfizer?



with a person's genome and become a *"permanent fixture of the cell,"* leading to autoimmune problems and cancers in some people. *"Depending on where this foreign piece of DNA lands in the genome, it can interrupt a tumour suppressor gene or activate an oncogene,"* these genome changes can *"last for generations."* He called the finding *"a real serious regulatory oversight that happened at the federal level."*

Pfizer's Bait-and-Switch

Given that Pfizer had not disclosed contamination during the regulatory process, and everyone thought contamination was impossible given the manufacturing technique Pfizer had initially described, how did plasmid DNA contaminate the vials?

As Dr. Buckhaults explained, Pfizer used two different manufacturing processes to make their vaccine: one process during the clinical trial, and the other — riddled with plasmid DNA contamination — went into the arms of the general public.

As outlined in a confidential protocol amendment Pfizer gave regulatory agencies in late 2020 (bit.ly/47cTIDl), in addition to a series of documents obtained in recent months through Freedom of Information Requests (bit.ly/3Qf8rGL and bit.ly/46VNN5W), the initial production of Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine used a method called Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) to amplify the DNA template used for the production of the mRNA. They used this method, called "PROCESS 1," to make a highly pure mRNA product.

However, to upscale the process in a cost-effective way for large-scale distribution of the vaccine to the population for its Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) supply, Pfizer switched to a different method. "PROCESS 2" used bacteria to make large quantities of "DNA plasmid" (circular DNA instructions) to make

DRUTHERS is written, funded, promoted & distributed freely by concerned Canadians like you.
If you appreciate this paper, please help us print more @ www.druthers.net/donate

youtube.com/druthers | bitchute.com/druthers | telegram.me/druthers | rumble.com/druthers | tiktok.com/@druthersnews
facebook.com/druthers.net | instagram.com/druthersnews | twitter.com/druthersnews

Ontario Man Files \$35.6 Million Lawsuit Against Pfizer Over Son’s Vaccine Death

By Jonathan Bradley

New Tecumseth, ON, resident Dan Hartman has initiated a wrongful death lawsuit against Pfizer because his son Sean died from the COVID-19 vaccine.

“On the morning of September 27, 2021, 33 days after receiving the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccination, Sean Hartman was found deceased in his bedroom by his mother,” said Sheikh Law lawyer Umar Sheikh in a court filing.

“The Plaintiff pleads that Sean Hartman died as a result of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccination.”

Dan was denied money from the Vaccine Injury Support Program in March despite Sean dying after getting the COVID-19 vaccine.

“My son died 33 days after his first Pfizer vaccine and he was a perfectly healthy boy with no underlying conditions,” he said.

He took a COVID-19 vaccine to be allowed to play hockey. Dan said he took him to the emergency room four days after his first dose because he had brown circles around his eyes, a rash on his face and pain in his right shoulder.

American pathologist Dr. Ryan Cole determined in July Sean died from the COVID-19 vaccine.

Cole examined his tissues, finding spike protein in his adrenal glands.

“The adrenal glands control your blood pressure, so if Sean’s blood pressure dropped, he would have died,” said Dan.

Dan is seeking \$35.6 million in damages; specialized damages to be determined prior to the trial; pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; the costs of the proceeding, including all applicable taxes; and further relief the court considers just.

Sheikh said Pfizer *“owed a duty of care to Sean*



Photo of Sean Hartman via Rod Abrams Funeral Home

Hartman to accurately inform him of all risks associated with the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccination.” He added he owed him a duty to warn him of the risks associated with the safety and efficacy of these vaccines.

When it comes to the standard of care, Dan pleaded Pfizer breached it for the manufacturing, testing, sale, reporting and administration of its vaccines.

With the standard of care, Sheikh alleged it was breached when it disregarded and misrepresented the results of the safety trials by providing an incorrect characterization of the efficacy data; discounting results of adverse events on vaccinated people in the study; not highlighting all results and adverse

events revealed in the studies conducted; and not stopping their administration on Canadians due to known safety concerns.

Dan pleaded Pfizer negligently misrepresented the safety of the vaccine and did not disclose the risks associated with it, which include but are not limited to myocarditis and pericarditis.

The particulars include failing to disclose people under 40 years old had an increased risk of myocarditis after taking the vaccine, rates of myocarditis were higher in adolescent males, inadequate testing was performed to ensure their safety and efficacy, failing to complete post-market surveillance and inform the Canadian government and the public of the results, failing to disclose the issues with the vaccine, and failing to identify, implement, and verify procedures to address post-market surveillance risks.

He accused Pfizer of improper product distribution when it was being sold. This is because the foreseeable risks exceeded the benefits associated with the product, it was more dangerous than ordinary consumers would expect, it did not have adequate, effective warnings and instructions over these dangers, inadequate testing and it was unfit for the purpose for which it was intended.

Sheikh concluded by saying Pfizer *“concealed the fact the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccination had severe possible risks and outcomes when administered, including but not limited to myocarditis, pericarditis and death, to the public, healthcare providers, and regulatory authorities, including Health Canada.”*

“The Plaintiff pleads that the wrongful death of his son Sean Hartman was caused by the negligence of the Defendant,” he said.

Originally published at: [westernstandard.news](https://www.westernstandard.news)

It’s Time for an Apology

Government Apology and Commitment to Restitution

On behalf of the Government of Canada, I humbly extend my sincerest apologies to all Canadians for the deeply regrettable actions taken by governments which have resulted in undue suffering and harm to all Canadians. It is our moral and legal duty to recognize the consequences of government policies that have caused harm and to take meaningful steps toward reconciliation.

In particular, we now recognize and acknowledge that despite our rhetoric of “following the science” and our commitment to “protecting Canadians”, the government implemented policies which were rash, scientifically unsupported, harmful, and in violation of fundamental rights and freedoms. We humbly acknowledge that we have caused pain, trauma, and hardship to countless Canadians. These actions are not unlike the internment of Japanese Canadians during World War II, and the cultural cleansing of indigenous children in residential schools. Our COVID policies

and mandates are another dark chapter in our history that we must confront and seek forgiveness.

We also recognize the hostile and acrimonious way in which we divided Canadians, pitting family against family, friend against friend, and neighbour against neighbour based on personal choices.

These harmful policies continue to have profound and lasting effects on the affected individuals, their families, and their communities. We must acknowledge these injustices and seek to make amends. To this end, the Government of Canada is committed to a comprehensive plan for restitution and reconciliation. In the coming months, we will implement a monetary compensation program aimed at providing redress to those individuals who have been the most severely impacted by these unjust and harmful policies and mandates.

We are committed to working in close collaboration with those affected to ensure that the compensation plan is fair, comprehensive, and sensitive to the needs of those who have suffered. We understand that compensation alone cannot fully heal the wounds, but it is a significant step in acknowledging the pain and suffering caused by our irresponsible actions.

Unlike past compensations, these compensations will not come from the pockets of the taxpayer but rather will come from those politicians, public health officials, vaccine manufacturers, and the mainstream media who were directly responsible for implementing these unjust and unscientific measures. Those who should have known better should pay the cost.

Moreover, our government is dedicated to implementing measures to prevent such injustices from occurring in the future. We will foster a culture of respect for human rights, evidence-based policies, and the protection of fundamental freedoms for all Canadians as laid out in the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

We also intend that those who violat-

ed fundamental rights, engaged in the promotion and administration of fraudulent and harmful vaccine products, denied alternative treatments, or violated fundamental rights be held to account, including the laying of criminal charges and incarceration where appropriate.

In our journey toward truth and reconciliation, the Government of Canada pledges to engage with affected communities, individuals, and all Canadians, seeking to understand their experiences, provide support, and promote a brighter and more inclusive future for all.

While we can never undo the past, we can learn from it and strive to create a more just, inclusive, and equitable society. We hope that, through this official apology and the forthcoming compensation program and accountability, we can begin to address the pain and suffering caused by our unjust policies.

Together, we must work towards a Canada where all individuals are treated with dignity, respect, and equality.

Sincerely,
Prime Minister of Canada

Author’s Note:

The evidence is compelling that the various levels of government, public health, and media have caused or contributed to the harming of Canadians in their response to COVID-19.

With previous government actions that caused grievous harm, governments eventually offer a formal apology and restitution for the harm caused.

I suggest that it is well beyond time for an apology and restitution.

Above is a suggestion of what that apology ought to state.

Ted Kuntz
President of VaccineChoiceCanada.com

Editor’s Note:

To be clear, the above apology was NOT actually issued by the government of Canada. It is simply a representation of ideally, how a government/prime minister who truly cares for their citizens, would behave.



Photo credit: Lev Radin / Shutterstock.com

Contraceptive and Harmful Antibiotics Found in Top Ten Fast Food Samples

By Zen Honeycutt

Moms Across America requested that the TOP TEN most popular fast food brand meal samples be tested for 104 of the most commonly used veterinary drugs and hormones. Due to large, industry, confined animal feeding operation conditions, which include extremely close quarters, unsanitary spaces, and high incidence of disease, most of America’s nonorganic meat comes from livestock that is heavily treated with antibiotics, growth hormones, and an anti-parasitic which is also a known aviary contraceptive.

Full lab report for Fast Food Testing of Veterinary drugs and hormones. Downloadable PDF of report here: tinyurl.com/5n827dn5

Summary of Test Results

Three veterinary drugs and hormones were found in ten fast food samples tested. One sample from Chick-fil-A contained a contraceptive and antiparasitic called Nicarbazin, which has been prohibited.

Six out of ten, or 60%, of the fast food samples contained antibiotic Monensin, which is not approved by the FDA for human use and has been shown to cause severe harm when consumed by humans.

Four out of ten, 40% of the samples contained antibiotic Narasin, which has been shown, in animal studies, to cause anorexia, diarrhea, dyspnea, depression, ataxia, recumbency, and death and pathologically by degeneration, necrosis, and repair of heart and skeletal muscles.

Monensin and Narasin are antibiotic Ionophores; toxic to horses and dogs at extremely low levels, leaving their hind legs dysfunctional.

Ionophores cause weight gain in beef and dairy cattle and are therefore widely used but also “cause acute cardiac rhabdomyocyte degeneration and necrosis.”

For many years, ionophores have also been used to control coccidiosis in poultry. However, misuse of ionophores can cause toxicity with significant clinical symptoms. Studies show that ionophore toxicity mainly affects myocardial and skeletal muscle cells.

Side Effects of Chemicals Detected in Popular Fast Food

Monensin - toxicity side effects in animals: anorexia (24 to 36 h post-ingestion), diarrhea, dullness, weakness, ataxia, dyspnea, prostration, and death

Narasin - toxicity side effects in animals: anorexia, diarrhea, dyspnea, depression, ataxia, recumbency, and death and pathologically by degeneration, necrosis, and

repair of heart and skeletal muscles.

Nicarbazin - infertility in poultry, geese, and pigeons. It can also cause increased sensitivity to heat stress, growth depression, death, cell degeneration processes in the liver and kidneys; it occasionally causes toxic effects in laying hens, reduced hatchability, and interruption of egg-laying.

While some ionophores, such as quercetin and



hydroxychloroquine, both zinc ionophores, can be beneficial, antibiotic ionophores are not. Moms Across America is gravely concerned about our population, especially children, unknowingly eating unprescribed antibiotic ionophores livestock, even at low levels, consistently because of potential damage to the microbiome as well as the risk of antibiotic-resistant bacteria growth.

We question if the side effects of these ionophores in dogs and horses, leaving their hind legs dysfunctional, might be related to millions of Americans presenting with restless leg syndrome and neuropathy, conditions unknown to most humans just a generation or two ago. Further testing must be conducted on the side effects of these drugs in our food and the effects on humans. Until proven safe, we urge our regulatory agencies, such as the USDA and FDA, to disallow the use of these drugs in our livestock.

Ionophore toxicity can lead to anorexia, diarrhea, dyspnea, depression, ataxia, recumbency, and death and pathologically by degeneration, necrosis, and repair of heart and skeletal muscles.

A concerning contraceptive (for geese and pigeons) and antiparasitic called Nicarbazin, prohibited after many

years of use, was found in the Chick fil-A sandwich samples. Nicarbazin is used to treat coccidiosis, a parasitic disease of the intestinal tract of animals, commonly meat bird chickens, caused by coccidian protozoa. The disease spreads from one animal to another by contact with infected feces or ingesting infected tissue. Nicarbazin cannot be used in egg-laying chicken feed because it prevents the production of eggs.

Moms Across America is deeply concerned about allowing Nicarbazin in livestock production. The Executive Director, Zen Honeycutt states: “The impact of millions of Americans, especially children and young adults, consuming a known animal contraceptive daily is concerning. With infertility problems on the rise, the reproductive health of this generation is front and center for us, in light of these results.”

In addition, an EPA Nicarbazin label restriction, “Do not apply within 20 feet of any body of water, including lakes, ponds or rivers.” is required for this product. Moms Across America questions - if Nicarbazin is unsafe to apply to animal feed within 20 feet of waterways, and the human body is made up of 60% water, how is it safe for humans to consume via fast food?

It is not uncommon for millions of Americans to consume fast food for breakfast, lunch or dinner, or all three meals, every day. According to school lunch director reports, school lunches are often provided by fast food suppliers and typically are the only meals underprivileged children receive and a major component of the food consumed by most children.

One thing is certain: long-term exposure to antibiotics and hormones in foods can cause serious health problems like antibiotic resistance, the rise of superbugs, death, heart problems, memory decline, and infertility.

Moms Across America is a national coalition of Unstoppable Moms whose mission is to educate and empower mothers and others with actions and solutions to create healthy communities. We advocate for non toxic regenerative organic and pasture-raised livestock practices, and the return of the small local farmers.

Originally posted at momsacrossamerica.com

Letter from a Druthers Lover

By Rick H

Hello Druthers. My usual 600 papers have now been put out for this month. I am always looking for new areas to put the papers in so that the audience widens. I have many stories.

Here is one for this month:

While delivering to a very small 4 door mall and just finished putting the papers in the door, I turned around and saw this man about 35 sitting in his SUV. I asked him if he would like a paper? He never heard of it. What is this he said? I told him. Whose perspective is this from? I said it is the exact opposite of what the TV set tells you and the major newspapers, and the Doctors on TV and the politicians, etc. He was sitting in the passenger’s seat. I watched him accept and look at the paper then I left.

His wife, I was to figure out about half an hour later, was in the Dairy Queen getting dessert for their kids.

Now, here’s the part of the story that I love. Thirty minutes later, I’m up the street on my usual route and I hear, ‘Excuse Me. Excuse Me.’ I turned and there was the same white SUV with his wife driving. I walked over and leaned in. Do you have any more of those papers? Sure I said. I gave her 5 and told her to pass them onto her friends. I asked her where she lived. Not far from where I am. She had never heard of the paper but agreed with everything that she had lightly read before she met me.

We were on the same page on every topic and she was what one would call wide awake. We chatted for a good five minutes and she could not thank me enough. She drove up the street hoping to find me with the limited info that her husband had given me in regards to where I could be. I told her you can read it online. Later I thought I should have got her phone number but at least now she has a paper copy and knows of the newspaper and can read it online.

Everyone who I give a paper to who has never heard of it makes me feel like my time is well used. Once you get going it doesn’t take long to get rid of 600 copies which is about my max and my comfort level. There’s lots of work behind making these papers and getting them out to everyone and we all play a vital role from month to month.

FREEDOM WINS!

- **Charges against Druthers News creator Shawn Jason Laplante for “the crime of committing journalism” during the lockdowns have been dropped.** In February 2021, Shawn was charged in contravention of Ontario’s stay-at-home orders while filming a protest at Queen’s Park in Toronto. At his final court hearing, the judge stated that freedom of the press is essential and dismissed the charge. The video of Shawn’s “offence” and interaction with police can be viewed at youtu.be/IUJX37-rFt0
- **Unvaccinated tennis star Novak Djokovic** who was denied entry into last year’s US, Australian, and French Open due to being unvaccinated, **wins the US Open and a 24th Grand Slam Title - officially making him the man with the most wins in tennis history.**
- A Saskatchewan labour arbitrator **orders Regina Coop Refinery to rehire men who were fired for refusing to get vaccinated** or tested for COVID. The arbitrator noted that the two long-time employees in good standing “*posed no health hazard to other employees.*”
- Charges against Evan Blackman, a **Freedom Convoy protester who was arrested for singing “O Canada” while kneeling in front of a police line, have been dismissed.** Blackman was initially taken into custody and charged with mischief and obstructing police.
- **Crown prosecutors drop bail violation charge against Freedom Convoy organizer Tamara Lich.** Lich was released on bail in early 2022

after spending more than two weeks in jail following her arrest in the final days of the Freedom Convoy. The charge of violating bail conditions originated from her alleged communication with another protest organizer, Tom Marazzo, in Toronto where she was receiving recognition at a gala. She was then arrested on a Canada-wide warrant and spent more than another month behind bars before being released again..

- **The province of Saskatchewan passed a bill that prohibits schools from keeping students’ gender transition a secret from their parents.** Bill 137, dubbed “Parents’ Bill of Rights,” also requires teachers to have parents’ permission to use students’ preferred names and pronouns.
- **Farewell to ‘Snow White and the 7 Politically Correct Companions.’** In response to significant backlash from fans, Disney has reevaluated its direction for the live-action adaptation of ‘Snow White.’ Originally, there were plans to replace the iconic characters with a diverse ensemble of magical beings, representing a range of sizes, races, and genders. However, in light of the public’s strong reaction, Disney has opted to remain faithful to the classic portrayal of Snow White’s seven dwarves.”
- **Unvaccinated New York City Teachers win back their jobs –with back pay!** A New York City judge said that city officials were arbitrary and capricious when they denied exemption claims to the vaccine mandate.

Ending the Public-Private Partnership (PPP) Fraud

By Shelagh McFarlane

In September 2023, I authored **The POGG Primer: Ending the PPP Fraud**. POGG stands for “Peace, Order & Good Governance.” The POGG doctrine states that governments must always create laws in harmony with “Peace, Order, and Good Governance.” They should not use their authority to poke you or create **15 MINUTE CITIES for the UN**.

A Brief Introduction to PPPs

I learned about these global entities in 2014 when I ran for Ward 3 councillor in Kincardine. Ontario Power Generation was trying to bury nuclear waste beside our Great Lakes. I discovered a PPP agreement Mayor Larry Kraemer made in a “Secret Mayor Meeting.”

“Public-private partnerships” are UN (United Nations) legal “creatures” used to install a **de facto global government** in lieu of rightful sovereign powers. They masquerade as “legitimate” and dupe your officials to assume control over private property and defraud (remove) the “public.”

In 2022, I ran for Mayor of Guelph to stop our **GLOBAL Mayor**, Cam Guthrie, from signing international agreements with pharmaceutical companies to perform vaccine clinical trials on the **CITY’s “incorporated inhabitants.”**

Although I didn’t win, I learned how they flip the jurisdiction from a local council to a UN field office, which is accomplished through election interference and issuing a corporate oath with the appearance of it being lawful and public. Mayors and councillors are put under a UN Declaration to act in three legal capacities—public, private, and international.

The CITY Clerk, Solicitor, and Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) are co-conspirators in the UN hijacking of our local officials by asserting that they (the City Clerk and cohorts) have the authority to issue the oaths and provide them with legal advice.

You need to know about this deception because their signatures can put a needle in your arm and bankrupt your business. The process is flawed with **FRAUD**. They CAN’T work for you because they are international UN Agents commissioned to implement the Global Agenda for Sustainability—for now.

How I created The POGG Primer

In early September 2023, I came across a deputation by Angel Godsoe and Kerstin Kelly at a CITY of Kawartha Lakes Council Meeting. They were addressing a rezoning by-law consolidation that no one in the area knew about. The rezoning would drastically restrict land use and terminate all food processing in the area. I recognized the Municipality’s “tactics,” so I drove up to see how I could help. I started drafting The POGG Primer as a short, educational booklet summarizing 30 years of the UN takeover as simply as possible.

Implementing POGG Can End the UN Local Occupation

The Primer explains how UN public/private partnerships take over your town and how your Mayor is fraudulently “upgraded” to international status (i.e., a “**Strong Mayor**”) without your knowledge or consent.

I include excerpts of the UN instructional guides delivered to your town and a summary of the 2022 Trucker Convoy in Ottawa. The Convoy was instrumental in **proving** that the UN and WEF had, as Klaus Schwab boasted, penetrated all levels of Canadian government, and there was de facto possession of our sovereign powers. The truckers exposed the UN coup d’état as the world

watched in shock. God bless Canadian truckers.

How the Primer Can Help Your Local Officials Restore POGG

In a global-to-local takeover, our only solution is at the local level, and exciting things are happening behind the scenes!

Seated “public” officials will need to “re-public” the governing institution and **unincorporate** “all things

tion and designing a new open voting system. We WILL Restore Justice and Equity for ALL in Canada.

The POGG Primer (and future editions) will help officials realize it is their **DUTY** to “correct this legal mistake.” They **must take back** local regulatory, judicial, and financial control so people can get immediate relief from this tyranny.

So Let’s Go, Canadians! Start to Take Back Your Towns! We are at the beginning of some interesting times!

National groups are organizing and getting ready to help with the transition back to POGG. We have the solution now — Restore the Oath. This tyrannical foreign occupation is OVER when we say it is.

Every town will soon have the lawful power to:

1. Restore governing autonomy and independence: End public-private-international partnerships; the Mayor will be lawfully sworn in as **Head of Local Government**, no longer as UN Head of Council.

2. Regroup officials in their wards: establish town halls with OPEN, full public access to elected officials who will provide urgent funding for social needs, support for local small businesses, cost of living assistance, and immediate attention to daycare and education matters.

3. Restart their local agenda and constitution: establish an independent treasury and sworn peace officers to ensure POGG, lawful protection, and essential local services.

Thank you, Canada! Let’s Do This!

Go to www.shelaw.ca for more information and to check for regular POGG Primer updates and additional templates.



local” from the UN CITY STATE. Fraud nullifies all. If they refuse, there will be an election to replace them, and local taxes and charges will be diverted to trust accounts separate from the central banking system. No one is obligated to participate in fraud or obey de facto possession of sovereign powers.

Groups are organizing “shadow councils” that will be qualified replacements in case of a sudden resigna-

100,000+ Signatures Required! Petition for Canada to Exit the UN and the WHO

e-4623 (Foreign affairs)
E-petition initiated by Doug Porter from Burnaby, British Columbia

Petition details Petition to the House of Commons in Parliament assembled

- Whereas:
- Canada’s membership in the United Nations (UN) and its subsidiary organizations, (e.g. World Health Organization (WHO)), imposes negative consequences on the people of Canada, far outweighing any benefits;
 - Canada’s agreement to participate in the UN/WHO comprehensive “Agenda 2030” undermines national sovereignty and personal autonomy;
 - Agenda 2030 and its operational “Sustainable Development Goals” (SDG), Comprehensive Sexuality Education (CSE), UN Judicial Review, International Health Regulations (IHR), One Health and similar programs are being rapidly implemented, absent the awareness and consent of the People or their elected representatives;
 - SDGs have negative impacts on potentially every aspect of life, including religious and cultural values, familial relations, education, nutrition, child development, property rights, economic and agricultural productivity, transportation, travel, health, informed consent, privacy

- and physical autonomy;
- Under the CSE, publicly funded educational institutions are damaging children while concealing information from parents. Normalization of sexual values and activities with regard to children are endorsed and enforced, beginning at birth;
- Agenda 2030 and secretly negotiated amendments to the IHR could likely impose unacceptable, intrusive universal surveillance, violating the rights and freedoms guaranteed in the Canadian Bill of Rights and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms; and
- These sweeping impacts on public and private life serve the interests of UN/WHO and unelected private entities (e.g. World Economic Forum, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, International Planned Parenthood Federation, etc.), while diminishing the health rights and freedom of Canadians.

We, the undersigned, **Citizens and Residents of Canada**, call upon the **House of Commons in Parliament assembled** to Urgently implement Canada’s expeditious withdrawal from the UN and all of its subsidiary organizations, including WHO.

URL TO PETITION:
<https://petitions.ourcommons.ca/en/Petition/Details?Petition=e-4623>

Advertisement

Save Canada from the Globalist Agenda!

Member of Parliament Leslyn Lewis is spearheading a petition demanding our federal government exits the U.N. & W.H.O., citing concerns over national sovereignty and personal freedoms. When a house of commons petition receives more than 100,000 signatures, then Parliament will consider it for debate.

Join 43k+ Canadians and sign the petition at the House of Commons:

Petition e-4623
OurCommons.ca


petitions.ourcommons.ca/en/Petition/Details?Petition=e-4623

Exit the U.N. and the W.H.O.


United Nations Flag


World Health Organization Flag

World’s Largest Reef Secretly Recovers and has Record-High Coral Cover

By Joanne Nova

Almost no one is aware that the Great Barrier Reef has had two bumper years of record high coral cover. The excellent results of 2022 were repeated in 2023, showing that the grand recovery of the corals, after years of bleaching, was no fluke result.

The Great Barrier Reef is the largest reef system in the world, stretching 2,300 kilometers, and including about 10% of the world’s corals. But despite mankind producing one trillion tons of carbon emissions the coral is healthier than it has ever been in the last 37 years of detailed surveys.

Back in 1986 when the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS) started doing intensive underwater surveys, atmospheric CO2 levels were only 347ppm, far below the supposedly deadly levels of 420ppm recorded today. Yet despite humans producing more than half of all the emissions we have ever produced, the Great Barrier Reef is in great condition.

The situation is a remarkable turnaround from 2012, when the long term trends looked dire and coral cover was so poor it was less than half the latest numbers.

Where is the crisis?


It’s been an amazing recovery, showing just how resilient the reef system is, and how irrelevant carbon dioxide emissions are. Despite rising CO2, rising sea levels, and supposedly warmer oceans, the corals are thriving.

Ocean acidification was marketed as the big threat to coral growth. Yet when multiple cyclones smashed the Great Barrier Reef a decade ago, it recovered to higher highs despite the rising CO2.

Where are the environmentalists and the institutions of science?

The secret “record high” levels also reveal so much more. The environmentalists that say they care about the reef don’t seem the slightest bit excited. They haven’t admitted they were wrong, changed tack, or shifted emphasis. There have been no parties to celebrate the power of nature.

The institutions that are paid to monitor marine parks don’t seem to want to report good news. The latest results were not even described as a record high by AIMS but merely as a “pause in recent coral recovery”, almost as if AIMS doesn’t want people to realize how well the reef is looking after itself? Perhaps the government funded institutes need a reef crisis more than the reef needs gov-



Graph by Peter Ridd, The Australian (www.theaustralian.com.au)

ernment funded institutes?

As Peter Ridd points out, this shows a grand failure of our institutions of science:

“The latest statistics on the amount of coral on the Great Barrier Reef, just released by the Australian Institute of Marine Science, should end 60 years of flawed predictions of its imminent demise.

Last year’s record-breaking result was also embarrassing to the GBR science institutions. They had proclaimed that the reef had just been devastated by four unprecedented hot water bleaching events in 2016, 2017, 2020 and 2022 – but then it had more coral than ever.

The truth is we have been scammed for decades, and the perpetrators have been caught out. Once-trusted science institutions have become untrustworthy. It is time they are subjected to serious scrutiny. Have they become ideological? Are they inclined to groupthink? Are they motivated by the funding imperative, which relies on the reef being perpetually doomed? How do they handle dissenters – are they ostracised or welcomed? What are their quality assurance systems that clearly failed? How did they get this so wrong for 60 years?”

— Peter Ridd, The Australian

AIMS used to announce the combined average coral cover of the whole reef until 2017, but now they split the reef into three subsections and report each number separately. Indeed, if it wasn’t for Peter Ridd no one would know that the results combined for the entire reef were phenomenally good. This is despite AIMS receiving \$160 million dollars (AUD) to report exactly this kind of information to the taxpayers of Australia.

If the Great Barrier Reef were at record lows, would AIMS and the media be so reluctant to tell the world?

Virtually no media outlets, apart from The Australian,

have mentioned the extraordinary new results. It’s no wonder almost no one knows the true state of the Great Barrier Reef.

The Australian Environment Foundation found that a month after last year’s results were announced barely 3% of Australians knew the reef was at record high coral cover. Half of the country thought the coral cover was so bad, it was “below average” or at a “record low”. Ten years after the reef hit rock bottom, 1 in 2 Australians have no idea of the true state of the reef.

The numbers of people in the rest of the world would be even lower.

Joanne Nova has written over 5,000 articles at JoanneNova.com.au during the last fifteen years and published nearly one million comments. The site won Best Lifetime Achievement and Best Topical Blog in the global bloggie awards.

Originally published at clintel.org

WANT DRUTHERS DELIVERED TO YOUR NEIGHBOURS MAILBOXES?

DRUTHERS

Now you can with Druthers Neighbourhood Mail service.

druthers.net/neighbourhood

It’s never been easier to make a big difference in your neighbourhood with Druthers.

Now you can have copies of Druthers newspapers delivered right to mailboxes in your neighbourhood and wake up your community more quickly.

We make all the arrangements so all you need to do is, decide how many addresses you would like delivered to and which postal code area you would like blanketed, and that’s it... we’ll take care of the rest.

Visit druthers.net/neighbourhood

“Climate Change” and Tipping Points

By Dave Plumb

A point of no return is not a tipping point; it’s a Rubicon point. Tipping points are common in nature. Rubicon points are rare. To use the terms interchangeably is to be scientifically imprecise and factually incorrect about tipping points. A tipping point occurs where an established trend abruptly changes direction. A familiar example:

It’s 7:00 AM on a late summer day. The temperature has been a steady 10°C for the last several hours. The sun rises. The temperature breaks away from its constant 10°C trend and starts rising. That’s a tipping point.

The temperature continues to rise, establishing a new trend — upward. By noon, it’s 25°C. At this rate of increase, streams and rivers will start boiling tomorrow afternoon. Lakes and oceans will soon follow. Looks like that sunrise tipping point was a point of no return resulting in a runaway effect. We’re doomed!

The sun passes its zenith. Temperature stops rising and remains constant for the next several hours. Another tipping point passed; a new steady state trend established. Whew! Maybe we’ll be OK.

The sun sets. Temperature begins to drop as fast as it rose in the morning. Another tipping point passed; a new trend established — downward. By midnight, it’s 10°C. At this rate of cooling the entire planet will be frozen solid within a few days. It’s a new ice age! We’re doomed!

Temperature stops dropping, levelling out at 10°C for the next several hours; yet another tipping point passed. Rinse and repeat.

We’re all familiar with these cycles and frequent tipping points. We know it’s business as usual on a late sum-

mer day. Nobody panics about it. Even though the temperature varies over a range of 15 Centigrade degrees, nobody screams about climate change or blames the rapidly rising temperature through the early part of the day on greenhouse gas emissions from humans burning fossil fuels.

Nor do we get alarmed about a “new ice age” when temperatures drop, lakes and rivers freeze, and snow starts falling late in the year. Neither is there a “global warming” panic in the spring when temperatures rise and melt winter’s ice and snow.

Why not?

Perspective and personal experience. I wrote previously about human perspectives of time compared with geological time perspectives relative to the age and life expectancy of planet Earth, time periods over which real climate change happens.

Just as there are daily and annual cycles with numerous temperature tipping points, there are also much longer celestial cycles with numerous temperature tipping points — up and down as in daily and seasonal cycles — that humans do not comprehend through personal experience because we do not live long enough to experience full cycles in a single lifetime. If humans lived for millions of years, we would know that temperatures rising at the end of our current Holocene Interglacial Period are business as usual, like temperature rising on a late summer morning.

Dave Plumb is the author of Inconveniently Screwed! and Climate Essentials. You can find him at inconvenientlyscrewed.com

Websites of Interest

- Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us. You can visit druthers.net to get in touch.
- | | |
|--|--|
| vaccinechoicecanada.com | action4canada.com |
| freedomrising.info | americasfrontlinedoctors.com |
| librti.com | constitutionalconventions.ca |
| worlddoctorsalliance.com | stopworldcontrol.com |
| thehighwire.com | evidencenotfear.com |
| corbettreport.com | activistpost.com |
| pressfortruth.ca | standupcanada.solutions |
| stand4thee.com | lauralynn.tv |
| awarriorcalls.com | libertycoalitioncanada.com |
| takeactioncanada.ca | awakecanada.org |
| brightlightnews.com | vaccineregret.net |
| nationalcitizensinquiry.ca | www.canadiancovidcarealliance.org |
| jccf.ca | www.canadahealthalliance.org |
| swprs.org | www.childrenshealthdefense.org |
| geoengineeringwatch.org | caers.info |
| thepowershift.ca | globalwalkout.com |
| www.globalresearch.ca | realnotrare.com |
| genocideblog.com | mamabearsproject.com |

Top Microbiologist Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi Calls mRNA Injections ‘Crime Against Humanity

By Frank Wright

In a lengthy presentation featuring nine international experts, Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi framed the issue of compliance with DNA contaminated mRNA injections in stark terms.

“Whoever propagates RNA vaccines as effective and safe — whoever claims nothing can happen to your genome — is either incredibly ignorant or endlessly evil,” he said.

Such people, claimed the retired University of Mainz professor of microbiology, are turning their backs on the *“horror scenario unfolding in front of our very eyes.”*

Speaking with Dr. Mark Trozzi on a platform organized by the World Council for Health, Bhakdi called on the people — and the doctors — of the world to decide where they stand.

“Fellow citizens and physicians of the world, turn away from the perpetration of this monstrous crime against humanity,” he said.

“Do this to save yourselves, your descendants, to rescue the name of your family, or go down in history as one of the greatest criminals of all time.”

Bhakdi’s segment on the three-and-a-half hour video was devoted to an explanation of the workings of the mRNA injections, with specific focus on the recently discovered plasmid DNA that they contain.

He claimed that not only is this plasmid DNA present, but that it can indeed cause genetic mutation in the host. What is more, he says, these mutations can be inherited. This means that the children of those receiving the injections can be subjected to genetic modification without being injected themselves, and without consent.

Bhakdi was asked to talk in layman’s terms of the dangers of the so-called vaccines, *“so that any 14-year-old could understand.”*

He used a bakery analogy to show how mRNA and DNA work.

The genome is the entire set of DNA instructions in the cell, packaged in the chromosomes — the *“books of life”* — which are kept safe in the cell nucleus.

When a *“recipe”* for a product is required, *“let’s say a cake,”* the *“book”* is opened at the right page and a copy is made from the recipe.

This recipe is sent to the manufacturing site — the

“bakery” — in the cell.

The cake is placed for inspection on the windowsill of the cell. Having fulfilled its purpose, the copied recipe — which is mRNA — is disposed of. Naturally occurring mRNA is short-lived.

RNA *“vaccines”* direct the production of selected antigens — like the spike protein. More than one bil-

lion copies of this spike protein recipe are administered with each injection.

lion copies of this spike protein recipe are administered with each injection.

Mass production of mRNA requires mass availability of the DNA template. How do the injections do this?

The injections contain billions and trillions of minute bacterial chromosomes — called plasmids. This is the DNA base for the replication of the spike protein in the mRNA injections.

These are packaged in lipid nanoparticles — tiny fatty globules — which protect the injected RNA from destruction so it can *“travel in the bloodstream to reach all organs of the body.”*

When delivered to the body’s organs, these nanoparticles act as *“Trojan horses.”*

“They are taken up by the cell, and the recipe produces their product, which is then displayed on the windowsill. What everyone must know is that outside the cell, security guards are on the watch,” Bhakdi said.

Fast and Furious

Bhakdi pointed out the danger of foreign plasmid DNA being introduced into the body.

“All is well when the recipe is produced from one’s own book of life — one’s own genome,” he continued. *“But woe if the recipe originates from an alien book”* — as it does in the mRNA vaccines.

If this happens, the body’s *“security guards”* — our immune system — will attack that cell.

Bhakdi pointed out that the capacity for immune responses to recognize these intrusions, which he described as the distinction between genetic material that is *“self and non-self,”* is *“given at birth and ends at death.”* He cited the example of organ rejection, where *“non-self”* organs are routinely rejected, even within the same species (of humans).

He went on to say that if this intrusion is repeated, an *“explosive reaction follows — fast and furious.”*

This is the principle at work, he claimed, which explains the intensifying and worsening adverse effects following repeated mRNA injections or *“booster”* shots.

He described the sheer volume of packaged RNA material being introduced in each injection as *“gigantic,”* which results in *“myriad immune-attack events”*. The eruption of these reactions can *“only halt when production of the alien protein comes to an end.”*

So how long does this take? Bhakdi said the claim of the *“vaccine perpetrators”* and of the Nobel Prize Committee that the process will cease in *“just a few days”* is simply false.

Bhakdi mentioned *“an alarming finding”* which shows that *“spike protein and multi-organ inflammation were detected in vaccinees weeks and even months after injection.”*

What is more, he said *“this was associated often*

with severe and even fatal illness.” Given the longer term action of RNA proteins based on foreign DNA, and the fact they lead to sickness and death, Bhakdi asked why on earth they have been designed to produce these effects: *“What earthly reason could there have been, and could there still be, for such disastrous, long-lasting production of an RNA encoded protein?”*

Deliberate ‘Mistake’?

Bhakdi pointed out that if plasmid DNA was not removed prior to the vaccines being packaged for use, it would be delivered into the cells of the vaccinated. The result would then be that the plasmid DNA would alter the *“book of life”* with its own *“recipe.”* In brief, this means genetic modification of the vaccinated without their knowledge or consent.

“The discovery of contaminating plasmid DNA in the vaccines by Kevin McKernan and colleagues immediately provides one terrifying explanation,” he said.

What was that explanation?

“The plasmid DNA had not been removed from the vaccines.”

Bhakdi’s detailed explanation showed how this plasmid contamination leads to involuntary and uninformed genetic modification. He also noted that the lipid nanoparticles of the vaccine travel into the bodies of unborn babies in the womb, and also travel into babies through breast milk, transmitting a recipe for the production of alien material which inscribes itself into the *“book of life.”*

“Cell uptake of plasmid DNA alters the entire set of DNA instructions, and by definition equates with alteration of the genome,” Bhakdi said.

This will lead to long term production of *“non-self”* material, provoking *“long-term inflammation and organ damage throughout the body.”*

Bhakdi noted that injuries such as vascular damage, blood clots, bleeding and tissue death even in the heart, brain and damage to the autoimmune system are all likely to result. Pointing out that the heart cannot replace dead cells, he asked, *“Who has not heard of the mysterious sudden deaths that are occurring around the world?”*

“They are only the tip of an iceberg,” he concluded.

Originally published at: globalresearch.ca

Advertisement

Say NO to all forms of government overreach!

WE WILL NOT

SMART CITY

COMPLY

action4canada.com/we-will-not-comply

Druthers Merch

Our best selling design, now available on hoodies! Also offered on red or pink hoodies. Use coupon code SAVE10 to get \$10 off. Limited time only. See: druthers.net/shop

Is Putin in Cahoots With the Globalists?

A Conversation with Mike Whitney from the Unz Review

By Edward Slavsquat

Question 1 — In many parts of the world, Vladimir Putin is admired for his outspoken defense of national sovereignty. But on the domestic front, many of Putin’s policies seem to align with those of the Western globalists. As you note in a recent post at Substack Putin just “signed a decree on the creation of a ‘digital’ domestic passport,” which many people think will pave the way to technocratic tyranny. Am I exaggerating the risks of digital ID here, or does this development pose a serious threat to personal freedom?

Riley Waggaman — Imagine if the United States started issuing digital driver’s licenses that could be used as an official form of ID. What would the reaction be? I suspect a lot of Americans would feel “worried”, for lack of a better term. And not without good reason.

The digital passport system being implemented in Russia is deserving of the same skepticism.

First some context: Russia has a “domestic passport” that basically functions as a national ID. You use your domestic passport to open up a bank account, when you have to interact with the local bureaucracy, etc. etc. It’s an important document that you need to do ordinary, everyday things.

The digital passport has been billed as an electronic copy of the domestic passport, accessible via smartphone (via the State Services portal, Gosuslugi). The government is still deciding in what situations/scenarios the digital passport will be accepted as a valid form of ID.

Proponents of this digital document say it’s more convenient than a paper ID, and perhaps they’re right. The problem of course is that modern conveniences can lead to all sorts of unpleasantness, and with time these unpleasant things can even become “normal”.

The fact that this ID will be linked to the State Services portal (Gosuslugi) is certainly cause for concern and it’s easy to imagine how digital passports could be used (and abused) by the Russian government — or any government, for that matter. All in the name of convenience.

Of course, the authorities promise that digital IDs will never be made mandatory. Well, I’m old enough to remember when the Russian government promised that Covid vaccination would be 100% voluntary...

Question 2 — Russia appears to be spearheading the transition to Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDC) with its creation of the “digital ruble”. In your opinion, what are the potential pitfalls of such a plan?

Riley Waggaman — Excluding the possibility of imposing a full-spectrum digital gulag, the digital ruble has no obvious benefits. I would say the same of all CBDCs, of course.

Some claim that the digital ruble is a very necessary, prudent, and brilliant way to bypass Western sanctions. This is untrue. The Bank of Russia has a fully functional Financial Messaging System (SPFS) that operates independently from SWIFT. Here are a few RT.com headlines for your consideration:

March 2017: “Russia’s banking system has SWIFT alternative ready”

February 2018: “Russian banks ready to switch off SWIFT – official”

October 2019: “Russia, China & India to set up alternative to SWIFT payment system to connect 3 billion people”

All of these articles are about SPFS and were published long before the Bank of Russia announced its intention to develop the digital ruble in October 2020.

I’m puzzled as to why so many westerners who claim to understand the dangers of CBDCs think the digital ruble is somehow “different”. The Bank of Russia’s CBDC has been almost unanimously condemned by the country’s most prominent commentators in the alternative/conservative media space. Even mainstream outlets like Tsargrad have published scathing take-downs of the digital ruble.

Meanwhile, in English-language “alternative media,”

we are blessed with the profound postulations of deep thinkers like Simplicius who write nipple-hardening purple prose about how amazing and anti-globalist the Bank of Russia is, and why the digital ruble is super hip and cool.

I just don’t understand why English-language commentary (all non-Russian commentary, actually) is so far removed from what patriotic Russians living in Russia are



Photo credit: TPYXA_ILLUSTRATION / Shutterstock.com saying about their own country, in Russian.

By the way: The Bank of Russia has already reneged on its promise that it will never, ever “color” digital rubles so that they can only be used to purchase certain items. The central bank’s deputy chairman recently said that placing restrictions on how digital rubles can be spent is a real possibility — and one that will be explored in the future. The digital ruble hasn’t even entered circulation yet, and the Bank of Russia is already open to “explor-

“Anyone who follows Russian-language media knows that Moscow is in near-total lockstep with the West when it comes to soul-crushing technocracy and other forms “safe and convenient” societal progress.”

ing” how this fun new tool of total control — endorsed by Davos, the IMF, the G20, etc. etc.—can be used to curb-stomp basic human dignity.

Question 3 — Is Russia moving closer to mandatory vaccinations?

Note: Here’s a quote from one of your recent posts: “Russia’s Ministry of Health wants to amend the National Preventive Immunization Calendar so that COVID vaccination could be mandatory for “vulnerable categories of citizens” whenever the country’s benevolent health authorities believe the “epidemiological” situation warrants another round of coercive injections.....

Of course, any new mandatory vaccination decrees would also apply to state employees, including teachers, doctors, military personnel, etc.” Edward Slavsquat, Substack

Riley Waggaman — If Russia’s enterprising health ministry — which works tirelessly to safeguard public health — decides that “Covid” is “spreading” at an unacceptable rate, various categories of citizens will have to choose between getting vaxxed or losing their jobs. This is of course still voluntary vaccination because Russians get to choose whether they want to be employed or inject themselves with an unproven genetic goo developed in cooperation with AstraZeneca.

There are many highly intelligent intellectuals — like Aussie Cossack — who continue to pretend that Russia never had mandatory Covid vaccination, which is very brave considering that as of January 2023, there were still hundreds of Russians who were barred from working because they refused to be injected.

The Gamaleya Center continues to “update” its Covid vaccine, and the Russian government continues to shill this dangerous and barely tested trash to children. Whether Covid vaccination will become as ubiqui-

tous and “normal” as the annual flu shot (which is even shoved into the little arms of Russian children every year; I know because I had to sign a document forbidding the kindergarten nurse from injecting my 6-year-old son) is an open question. But you have to be impressively credulous to believe that the Russian government wants to keep Covid vaccination a purely voluntary affair. Russia’s health bureaucracy has a very poor track record when it comes to calling out Big Pharma/WHO scams. Did you know that you have to get an HIV test (an old school 80’s Fauci scam) to get a work visa in Russia? Well, now you know.

Question 4 — Here’s an excerpt from one of your recent posts that will surprise many readers who think that President Putin actually opposes the Davos crowd and their globalist agenda:

“To defeat globalism, Moscow is reluctantly but responsibly adopting the globalist agenda....

There is no way to stop the technological “progress” promoted by Davos, the G20, the IMF, the World Bank, the UN, and the WHO, which is why Moscow must closely collaborate with all of these globalist organizations in order to maintain globalist parity with the

Collective West — otherwise Russia won’t be able to protect herself from the globalists.”

And, here’s more from another post:

“...almost every joint declaration Moscow signs (whether it be a G20 Declaration, a BRICS Declaration, or just some word salad authored with the help of Beijing) includes a passage praising the vital roles of the World Health Organization, the World Trade Organization, and the International Monetary Fund? This seems like relevant information.

The Russian government has repeatedly said it has no intention of withdrawing from the WHO, the WTO, or even the IMF. It would be nice if Cerise could update his article to reflect this undeniable reality.” Edward Slavsquat, Substack

You appear to be saying that — even though Russia is fighting the western oligarchy in Ukraine — it is still marching in lockstep with the globalists on matters of social policy. Can you expand on this a bit? And how does Putin fit in with all of this? Is he an unwitting accomplice or an eager participant?

Riley Waggaman — Is Moscow fighting the western oligarchy in Ukraine? Gazprom has been pumping gas across Ukraine since Day 1 of the SMO. And that’s not the only natural resource that Russian “entrepre-

See ‘Is Russia’ p.9

Advertisement

RADICAL
DIGITAL AGENCY

Free Website*

We need to stick together and support each other! Most small business have been suffering since Covid, including our own.

We would like to offer our fellow freedom fighters a discount on our web design and digital marketing packages. Basic Package at no charge, and Advanced and Premium Packages at 50% off! Plus hosting fees.

Reach out to me through our website and lets give each other a hand.

www.radicaldigitalagency.com

The Green Nuke Rides Again: Fifth Horseman of the Apocalypse

By Hildegard Bechler

“Our most precious resource is the human spirit.”
-Sister Doctor Rosalie Bertell, 1929 -2012

How has it come to pass that young people out to save the world can say nuclear is a “safe” alternative to fossil fuels and carbon dioxide emissions? Plutonium produced by fission in nuclear reactors is the essential component of nuclear bombs. The myth of the “peaceful atom” was exposed as a ploy by the wealthy global dominators and their puppets, the nuclear weapons industry, when nuclear reactors around the world melted down, poisoning air, water, land, people and fellow creatures with a wide array of radioactive isotopes. Nuclear wastes, radioactive for thousands of years, impossible to contain; the extreme cost at every stage, wasting essential resources; all revealed that nuclear is in no way about peaceful energy.

The American nuclear bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki in 1945, with 300,000 immediate deaths, millions of injuries, and inter-generational genetic damage, alerted the world to the deadly atom. The meltdown of the Russian reactors at Chernobyl in 1986 www.britannica.com/event/Chernobyl-disaster; of the U.S. Three-Mile Island reactors in 1979; and the three Fukushima reactors in 2011 www.britannica.com/event/Fukushima-accident; make nuclear hazards a global concern. The 2023 release of 15,600 tons of radioactive water from Fukushima reactors contaminates Japan, neighbouring countries, the West Coast of North America, and the world’s oceans.

The US bombed Japan when peace negotiations were planned, as a test case to ‘wipe Russia off the map’ with nuclear bombs: documents declassified in December 2015 michelchossudovsky.substack.com/p/hiroshima-nagasaki-dress-rehearsal-doomsday

Russia and China developed nuclear weapons in defense and the nuclear arms race was on, leading to today’s array of weapons and delivery systems beyond imagining. With the long US/NATO proxy war against Russia in Ukraine, we teeter on the cliff edge of nuclear annihilation.

How has it come to pass that carbon dioxide is seen as a greater threat?

Dr. Nils-Axel Moerner, Professor Emeritus of paleogeophysics and geodynamics at Stockholm University, sea level expert for the 2000 *UN International Panel on Climate Change*, explains: rumble.com/v2ocaxs-un-ipcc-scientist-debunks-un-ipcc-lies.html.

The first theory of C02 global warming was posited by Swedish scientist Svante Arrhenius in 1896, and was disproven. In the 1970s Prime Minister Olaf Palme and Swedish meteorologist Bert Bolin, his boyhood friend and advisor, agreed to move from dependence on oil into nuclear energy in order to become an industrial power. Sweden built twelve reactors, but decommissioned them in the 90s when the hazards became obvious. Bolin became the first chairman of the IPCC, and global warming by fossil fuels, established disinformation. (But only the civilian economy was blamed; the IPCC makes no mention of military emissions after the US lobby at Kyoto claimed national security.)

The second coming in 2020: nuclear is again hailed as the saviour of mankind from the global heating hazards of C02. Today the Green Party in Germany and the West Vancouver chapter of the Party, among others,

actually use the word ‘safe’ about the nuclear industry.

Wyoming is switching from their rich fossil fuel resource to nuclear (and imported uranium) with an experimental reactor sold to the State by Bill Gates.

Today’s young generation missed the decades-long struggle of Canadians from coast to coast to prevent deadly radioactive pollution, and keep the costly nuclear industry from hijacking their economy. High cancer death rates among uranium miners; radioactive contamination of the environment; ethical concern for export of uranium from Saskatchewan to reactors around the world; and evidence of cancer



and birth defects in the general population; spurred citizen education and action. Point Lepreau in New Brunswick (1983) and the eight Pickering reactors in Ontario (1965-86) were built despite widespread opposition. In BC, fifteen years of citizen action (1970-1985) prevented the proposed nuclear reactors at Chemainus on Vancouver Island and uranium mining around the province.

In 1971 the BC NDP, at that time a party of and for the people, hosted a debate on the nuclear question between U.S. anti/nuclear scientist Dr. John Gofman and the ‘father’ of the Canadian reactor, the Candu; the audience voted unanimously against nuclear. Open discussion was an NDP principle back then; but at the federal convention delegates accepted Tommy Douglas, given his standing, speaking three times on one subject, against the rules. He defused the proposed policy against nuclear energy on behalf of the Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers Union by suggesting that our Candu export to India will help feed people. (Tragically, in BC and federally, the New Democratic Party has morphed into the Neo-Nazi Doom Party.)

BC citizens finally ‘won’ a moratorium on mining and reactors in 1986—with the help of Dr. Rosalie Bertell, who in 1973 gave her time to a province-wide speaking tour on the health impacts of low-level ionizing radiation.

Dr. Bertell was head of the adult section of the *Tri-State Leukemia Data*, a 9-year 36-million person-year study for the American Cancer Society which found that the primary cause of leukemia in three eastern states was high-dose medical x-ray. The children’s section, headed by Dr. Irwin Bross, found widespread birth defects even when either parent was X-rayed before conception. Congress reduced allowable radiation standards by 10%; Rosalie said it should have been 100%. She suffered two attempts on her life, one in Buffalo and one after she moved to Toronto. But she carried on her work around the world, consulting for countries damaged by widespread nuclear bomb testing and radioactive contamination.

“Rosy, the nuclear nun” was Vancouver radio host Jack Webster’s affectionate name for the gently humorous Grey Nun of the Sacred Heart. The Federal Deputy Minister for Environment acknowledged that her new information shifted the tide of public opinion to citizen activists, successfully preventing reactors and mines in BC.

Later that year, Rosalie was invited to the *US Congress Environmental Assessment of the Solar Space Program*. She realized that, at \$3000 per kilowatt-hour,

this was not about civilian solar energy. She became expert on military experiments in the atmosphere destabilizing our planet www.pbme-online.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/Bertell.Slowly-Wrecking.pdf and the electromagnetic weapons (based on Nicola Tesla’s work) developed by global militaries. Her book, *Planet Earth, The Latest Weapon of War*, details the global politics leading to the use of EM weapons, the massive ecological and human damage, and illuminates her radical path to peace. www.stop-weather-war.net

Dr. Michel Chossudovsky, Professor Emeritus of Economics at Ottawa University, acknowledges her cutting edge work, pointing to flood and drought devastating ‘rogue states’ since 1995, and the recent earthquakes in Turkey and Syria, as weather warfare. www.globalresearch.ca/environmental-modification-techniques-enmod-and-the-turkey-syria-earthquake-an-expert-investigation-is-required/5808207

Today, electromagnetic warfare releases the four horsemen of the Apocalypse: conquest, war, famine, death: www.britannica.com/topic/seven-seals. EM war also opens the last three seals: fire, flood, earthquake—escalating C02 climate terror and global depopulation. Radioactive Apocalypse, unknown to Biblical prophets, is today’s Fifth Horseman.

Defusing the global warming lie

Whistleblower scientists around the world from every discipline quit the UN IPCC Panel, exposing the politics and censorship. Marc Morano of *Climate Depot* quotes 19—“a very small sample”: www.climate-depot.com/2013/08/21/un-scientists-who-have-turned-on-unipcc-man-made-climate-fears-a-climate-depot-flashback-report/.

Dr. Philip Lloyd, South African Nuclear Physicist and Chemical Engineer, a UN IPCC co-coordinating lead author who has authored over 150 refereed publications:

“The quantity of CO2 we produce is insignificant in terms of the natural circulation between air, water and soil...I am doing a detailed assessment of the UN IPCC reports and the Summaries for Policy Makers, identifying the way in which the Summaries have distorted the science...I have found examples of a Summary saying precisely the opposite of what the scientists said.”

Dr. Madhav Khandekar, retired *Environment Canada* scientist, lashed out at those who “...seem to naively believe that the climate change science espoused in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) documents represents ‘scientific consensus.’ ...Nothing could be further from the truth!... “Unfortunately, the IPCC climate change documents do not provide an objective assessment of the earth’s temperature trends and associated climate change.”

Andrei Kapitsa, renowned Russian geographer and Antarctic researcher, cites composition of the atmosphere and air temperature going back 400,000 years of ice core layers.

“The Kyoto theorists have put the cart before the horse. It is global warming that triggers higher levels of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, not the other way round...A large number of critical documents submitted at the 1995 U.N. conference in Madrid vanished without a trace. As a result, the discussion was one-sided and heavily biased, and the U.N. declared global warming to be a scientific fact.”

Cold Climate Change: the coming “little ice age”

The fewest sunspots in 400 years are expected by 2030. Yet the *UN Agenda 2030* sets that year as the goal for finally saving our planet from burning up by implementing draconian, genocidal measures to limit carbon dioxide. Is this psychotic evil glee in the projected success of their final depopulation agenda? www.globalresearch.ca/gearing-global-heating-sun-earth-cool-weather/5829476.

“The awakening of humanity cannot be stopped.”

Responding to unprecedented, high-tech criminal psychopathology—known throughout human history as evil—the universal and timeless human drive to resist oppression, to understand all things, shifts our energy for life into overdrive. While our Sun’s shift is censored, people everywhere work with unprecedented globalized energy to share awareness. To share healing.

Find more information at: stop-weather-war.net

Advertisement

www.shrewviews.com



A community of maskless freedom lovers who are drawn together by insightful articles and inspiring discussion

Is Russia Going Along with Globalist Agenda?

Continued from p.7

neurs” are desperately transiting through Ukrainian territory.

I have yet to read about a western-backed Ukrainian oligarch having his home vaporized by a Russian missile. Actually, it’s doubtful that a single western oligarch, anywhere, has been inconvenienced by the SMO. On the contrary, it has been a wonderful money-making opportunity — for Russian oligarchs as well.

But to address the second part of your question: Anyone who follows Russian-language media knows that Moscow is in near-total lockstep with the West when it comes to soul-crushing technocracy and other forms “safe and convenient” societal progress. Actually, an objective observer would recognize that Russia is far ahead of the West in implementing “digitalization”

shilled by Davos and other celebrated anti-globalist organizations.

Putin has done nothing meaningful to slow this process down. Actually, by allowing glorious patriots like Herman Gref to spearhead AI, biometrics, QR-coded cattle-tagging, facial recognition systems, “sustainable development”, and other trendy tech-development in Russia, Putin is an unapologetic accomplice in all the unsavory madness pestering Russia and every other country.

Seriously, just look at how the Russian government treats schoolchildren (like diseased, suspicious cattle), and you will begin to understand where this country is headed. Children are the future, after all!

Question 5 — Can you summarize your views on the Covid-19 vaccine?

Riley Waggaman — It’s bad.

Question 6 — In our last interview, you delivered a stirring summary of our current epoch saying:

“I am often reminded of that unsettling line from Alexis de Tocqueville: “I go back from age to age up to the remotest antiquity; but I find no parallel to what is occurring before my eyes: as the past has ceased to throw its light upon the future, the mind of man wanders in obscurity.”

With each passing day it seems we are being forcibly severed from our own past. We are being “retrained” to accept a new civilizational model. It’s happening at the local, regional, national and global level. It is tearing apart families.

I do believe we are facing an evil that has no equal in human history.” Edward Slavsquat Substack

Judging from the response, I think there are a great number of people who feel the same as you do... My final question to you is this: Do you still feel as pessimistic as you did then?

Riley Waggaman — Mike, I would like to thank you (again) for that interview — it remains the most-read post on my blog. As you probably recall, the realities of Russia’s “public health” policies lacked “accuracy” (I’m trying to be charitable here) in 2021, and I think our internet exchange paved the way for a more fact-based, nuanced discussion about Russia’s “Covid response”.

Actually, I’m quite optimistic in the sense that I have accepted that there isn’t a 5-dimensional omnipotent white hat Twitter account that will save me from the Western Satanists, and I will have to save myself — which is actually relatively painless, easy, and even fun. I would even describe my current outlook as hopeful.

But I fully understand the pessimism of someone who is sick of the US government, or any western government; someone who looks longingly at the Russian government as an alternative. The problem with this curious way of thinking is that according to official data, around 30% of Russians live on less than \$10 a day, Russia is facing a catastrophic demographic crisis (and it’s hard to think of a more basic metric for gauging the health of a nation), and the Russian government is a fanatical proponent of policies that are chipping away at the last vestiges of basic human dignity. It’s true that the Russian government isn’t on board with the trans agenda; that’s a nice footnote to admire as Russia’s birthrate circles the drain.

But again, I am an optimist. I have been able to connect with like-minded individuals here in Russia, and all over the world, and my life has greatly improved as a result. I am able to live the life I want to live without having to make obscene excuses for the inexcusable.

We should all be guided by truth, friendship, and love, and why the so-called “alternative media” is so obsessed with carrying water for governments who offer the world nothing but more of the same (sadness) is truly amazing. Enough already. We have everything we need.

Originally published at: edwardslavsquat.substack.com

Unvaccinated Patient Denied Transplant Faces Tragic Outcome: Doctor Speaks Out

Dr. Byram Bridle Condemns Physicians Who Prohibited Unvaccinated Grandmother to Death

By The Vigilant Fox

One of the most heinous actions during all of COVID is still underway in Trudeau’s Canada: **unvaccinated citizens, even ones with natural immunity, are being denied life-saving transplants.** That’s what happened to mother of four and grandmother of two, Sheila Annette Lewis.

In 2019, Sheila was diagnosed with a terminal condition and needed a transplant to survive. Sheila applied for a transplant, was approved, and was literally next in line to receive the vital organ she needed to survive. But there was a problem once COVID arose: Sheila lacked the “correct” form of protection — she had natural immunity, not “vaccinated” immunity.

Doctors required Lewis to get jabbed, but she declined the COVID shots, citing it violated her conscience and would infringe upon the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Consequently, she was demoted to the bottom of the list. So, Sheila legally challenged her demotion, asserting that the Charter protected her right to decide what goes into her body. However, her efforts were unsuccessful.

Sheila’s arguments were rejected by both the Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench and the Alberta Court of Appeal, which ruled clinical treatment decisions weren’t governed by the Charter. Seeking redress, Lewis appealed to the Supreme Court of Canada, which decided not to hear her case. As a result, Sheila suffered a horrible and suffocating death.

Expert witness Dr. Byram Bridle knows the doctors who destined Sheila to death. And he had a lot to say on the matter.

“If you don’t know about Miss Sheila Annette Lewis, you need to. Everybody needs to,” urged the viral immunologist during a live panel hosted by Bright Light News. *“She died. She was allowed to die because she was denied a transplant here in Canada. This is the Canada that we live in ... everybody in the world wanted to come here because it was a great place to live. Now, we live in a place where we deny people transplants and destined them to death, horrid, horrible deaths because they won’t take an*

experimental shot that doesn’t work.”

Dr. Bridle emotionally described how Sheila died. *“She was a mother of four — grandmother of two. She died at 58 years of age. She died a horrible death — suffocating, slowly suffocating ... That’s the reality. Horrible death. She was denied a life-saving transplant.”*

“A gag order was put on those who are involved in this trial because the doctors did not want anybody to know who they were,” he continued. *“And no wonder, when you know the story.”* It’s important to note that the organ Sheila needed, or the hospital involved, also cannot be publicly disclosed because, with that information, people can deduce who the doctors involved were.

Dr. Bridle mentioned that he served as an expert witness in Sheila’s initial court case. *“They would not rule on the evidence,”* he said. This issue persisted in the Court of Appeal, where Dr. Bridle felt common sense was ignored. The Supreme Court of Canada wouldn’t even hear the case. *“This is what our court system is like,”* he lamented. *“This is the country [we live in], and we’re supposed to be proud to be Canadians?”*

“This is the important thing,” Dr. Bridle emphasized. *“She [Sheila] was denied a life-saving transplant because she literally had demonstrable proof of robust immunity against SARS-CoV-2. But unfortunately, she did not get that immunity in the ‘correct’ way that came [as] the result of a natural infection. And she lacked a piece of paper that certified two needles having gone into her shoulder.”*

Dr. Bridle then looked directly into the camera and issued a direct statement to the doctors involved.

“There is a court order. As somebody who served as an expert witness, I’m sworn to not be able to release the names of the physicians. Okay. And I will honor that. I served the court. I take my service to the court seriously. I answered every question they asked me, and I told the truth to everybody. But I know who the physicians are. I know who you are, and you know who you are, and shame on you!” Dr. Bridle exclaimed. *“You are responsible for the death of this woman, and you know it.”*

Sheila Annette Lewis is remembered by her four kids, two grandkids, and a community that loved her.

Originally published at VigilantNews.com



See video by BrightLightNews.com at: rumble.com/v3mtqhd

SUPPORT INDEPENDENT
MEDIA WITH A

POSTAL
SUBSCRIPTION

STRAIGHT TO
YOUR MAILBOX

\$119.40

FOR 1-YEAR SUBSCRIPTION OF 3 COPIES
DELIVERED MONTHLY

DRUTHERS.net/
subscribe

OR MAIL TO:
P.O. BOX 40531
SIX POINTS PLAZA
TORONTO, ONTARIO
M9B 6K8

CHEQUES PAYABLE TO:
SHAWN JASON LAPLANTE

OR ETRANSFER WITH ADDRESS TO:
ADMIN@DRUTHERS.NET

Internet Censorship, Everywhere All at Once

By Debbie Lerman

It used to be a truth universally acknowledged by citizens of democratic nations that freedom of speech was the basis not just of democracy, but of all human rights.

When a person or group can censor the speech of others, there is — by definition — an imbalance of power. Those exercising the power can decide what information and which opinions are allowed, and which should be suppressed. In order to maintain their power, they will naturally suppress information and views that challenge their position.

Free speech is the only peaceful way to hold those in power accountable, challenge potentially harmful policies, and expose corruption. Those of us privileged to live in democracies instinctively understand this nearly sacred value of free speech in maintaining our free and open societies.

Or do we?

Alarmingly, it seems like many people in what we call democratic nations are losing that understanding. And they seem willing to cede their freedom of speech to governments, organizations, and Big Tech companies who, supposedly, need to control the flow of information to keep everyone “safe.”

The locus for the disturbing shift away from free speech is the 21st-century’s global public square: the Internet. And the proclaimed reasons for allowing those in power to diminish our free speech on the Internet are: “disinformation” and “hate speech.”

In this article, I will review the three-step process by which anti-disinformation laws are introduced. Then, I will review some of the laws being rolled out in multiple countries almost simultaneously, and what such laws entail in terms of vastly increasing the potential for censorship of the global flow of information.

How to Pass Censorship Laws

Step 1: Declare an existential threat to democracy and human rights

Step 2: Assert that the solution will protect democracy and human rights

Step 3: Enact anti-democratic, anti-human rights censorship fast and in unison

Lies, propaganda, “deep fakes,” and all manner of misleading information have always been present on the Internet. The vast global information hub that is the World Wide Web inevitably provides opportunities for criminals and other nefarious actors, including child sex traffickers and evil dictators.

At the same time, the Internet has become the central locus of open discourse for the world’s population, democratizing access to information and the ability to publish one’s views to a global audience.

The good and bad on the Internet reflect the good and bad in the real world. And when we regulate the flow of information on the Internet, the same careful balance between blocking truly dangerous actors, while retaining maximum freedom and democracy, must apply.

Distressingly, the recent slew of laws governing Internet information are significantly skewed in the direction of limiting free speech and increasing censorship. The reason, the regulators claim, is that fake news, disinformation, and hate speech are existential threats to democracy and human rights.

Here are examples of dire warnings, issued by leading international organizations, about catastrophic threats to our very existence purportedly posed by disinformation:

“Propaganda, misinformation and fake news have the potential to polarise public opinion, to promote violent extremism and hate speech and, ultimately, to undermine democracies and reduce trust in the democratic processes.”
-Council of Europe

“The world must address the grave global harm caused by the proliferation of hate and lies in the digital space.”
-United Nations

“Online hate speech and disinformation have long incited violence, and sometimes mass atrocities.” -World Economic Forum (WEF)/The New Humanitarian

Considering the existential peril of disinformation and hate speech, these same groups assert that any solution will obviously promote the opposite:

“Given such a global threat, we clearly need a global solution. And, of course, such a solution will increase democracy, protect the rights of vulnerable populations, and respect human rights.” —WEF



Moreover, beyond a mere assertion that increasing democracy and respecting human rights are built into combating disinformation, international law must be invoked.

In its Common Agenda Policy Brief from June 2023, Information Integrity on Digital Platforms, the UN details the international legal framework for efforts to counter hate speech and disinformation.

First, it reminds us that freedom of expression and information are fundamental human rights:

Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and article 19 (2) of the Covenant protect the right to freedom of expression, including the freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, and through any media.

Linked to freedom of expression, freedom of information is itself a right. The General Assembly has stated: *“Freedom of information is a fundamental human right and is the touchstone of all the freedoms to which the*

United Nations is consecrated.” (p. 9)

Then, the UN brief explains that disinformation and hate speech are such colossal, all-encompassing evils that their very existence is antithetical to the enjoyment of any human rights:

Hate speech has been a precursor to atrocity crimes, including genocide. The 1948 Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide prohibits *“direct and public incitement to commit genocide.”*

In its resolution 76/227, adopted in 2021, the General Assembly emphasized that all forms of disinformation can negatively impact the enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms, as well as the attainment of the Sustainable Development Goals. Similarly, in its resolution 49/21, adopted in 2022, the Human Rights Council affirmed that disinformation can negatively affect the enjoyment and realization of all human rights.

This convoluted maze of legalese leads to an absurd, self-contradictory sequence of illogic:

- Everything the UN is supposed to protect is founded on the freedom of information, which along with free speech is a fundamental human right.
- The UN believes hate speech and disinformation destroy all human rights.
- THEREFORE, anything we do to combat hate speech and disinformation protects all human rights, even if it abrogates the fundamental human rights of free speech and information, on which all other rights depend.

Because: genocide!
In practice, what this means is that, although the UN at one point in its history considered the freedom of speech and information fundamental to all other rights, it now believes the dangers of hate speech and disinformation eclipse the importance of protecting those rights.

The same warping of democratic values, as delineated by our international governing body, is now occurring in democracies the world over.

Censorship Laws and Actions All Happening Now

If hate speech and disinformation are the precursors of inevitable genocidal horrors, the only way to protect the world is through a coordinated international effort. Who

Continued on p.11

The Emotional Chaos of Awakening

By Anonymous

To all my friends out there who know what’s really going on... to all my conspiracy theorist friends... Yes, sometimes it’s a curse and not always a blessing to be awake. Awakening is the most liberating, alienating, excruciating, empowering, lonely, confusing, freeing, frightening, expansive journey.

If you find yourself struggling as you try to process all this insanity, you are not alone. No one talks about the darkness which accompanies awakening or the GRIEF. Not only grieving the life and illusions you once had, but the realization that almost everything you thought you once knew is a LIE. The beliefs you’ve held, people you’ve trusted, principles you were taught — ALL LIES.

Shattering of illusions is RARELY an enjoyable experience. There is a considerable amount of discomfort that comes with growth and the grieving process doesn’t stop there. With these newfound realizations, you then find yourself grieving all over again. Grieving the loss of many relationships with people who just don’t ‘get it’. Feeling alone; being ridiculed and shamed, not only by the masses but for many of you, your very own family

and friends too.

Feeling like you no longer have much in common with the people you are surrounded by. Struggling with carrying on empty, shallow conversations that lack substance with those who are still fast asleep. Even feeling disconnected from your entire support system because they can’t see what you see.

Some even grieve the loss of their ignorance — because ‘ignorance is bliss’ and reality is harsh.

Awakening can be a lonely road and you will often find yourself journeying alone. There is no way to sugarcoat it - awakening to the realities of this world is brutal. It will have you running through the entire gamut of human emotions. You have to master the art of diving down the darkest of rabbit holes only to come out and still function in daily life, and that’s a skill people don’t talk about enough.

Some of you are struggling with feeling disconnected from family and friends, it’s as though they exist in another world.

Please know you are not alone. You have an entire tribe standing with you. We may be separated by miles, but we are DEEPLY connected; in purpose and in spirit.”



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
November 2023 circulation: 300,000 copies Canada-wide
+50,000 more copies last month via Neighbourhood Mail
Original content DRUTHERS 2023®
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Editors: Christie Lynn & Michelle
Production Manager & Layout: David Bolton
Big thanks to: Kristy, Bob, Jessica, Tim, Geraldine, Thunder, Adam & so many more...
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

*“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you.
Keep on passing it on.”*

- Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS.

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

Please give what you can: druthers.net/donate
Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza,
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

Continued from p.10

should lead this campaign?
According to the WEF, *“Governments can provide some of the most significant solutions to the crisis by enacting far-reaching regulations.”*
Which is exactly what they’re doing.

United States

In the US, freedom of speech is enshrined in the Constitution, so it’s hard to pass laws that might violate it.
Instead, the government can work with academic and nongovernmental organizations to strong-arm social media companies into censoring disfavored content. The result is the Censorship-Industrial Complex, a vast network of government-adjacent academic and nonprofit “anti-disinformation” outfits, all ostensibly mobilized to control online speech in order to protect us from whatever they consider to be the next civilization-annihilating calamity.
The Twitter Files and recent court cases reveal how the US government uses these groups to pressure online platforms to censor content it doesn’t like:

- Twitter Files on Covid
- Discovery in Missouri v Biden Covid censorship lawsuit
- Potential discovery in Berenson v Biden lawsuit

Google

In some cases, companies may even take it upon themselves to control the narrative according to their own politics and professed values, with no need for government intervention. For example: Google, the most powerful information company in the world, has been reported to fix its algorithms to promote, demote, and disappear content according to undisclosed internal “fairness” guidelines.
This was revealed by a whistleblower named Zach Vorhies in his almost completely ignored book, *Google Leaks*, and by Project Veritas, in a sting operation against Jen Gennai, Google’s Head of Responsible Innovation.
In their benevolent desire to protect us from hate speech and disinformation, Google/YouTube immediately removed the original Project Veritas video from the Internet.

European Union

The Digital Services Act came into force November 16, 2022. The European Commission rejoiced that “The responsibilities of users, platforms, and public authorities are rebalanced according to European values.” Who decides what the responsibilities and what the “European values” are?
Very large platforms and very large online search

engines [are obligated] to prevent the misuse of their systems by taking risk-based action and by independent audits of their risk management systems

EU countries will have the primary [oversight] role, supported by a new European Board for Digital Services
Brownstone contributor David Thunder explains how the act provides an essentially unlimited potential for censorship:
“This piece of legislation holds freedom of speech hostage to the ideological proclivities of unelected European officials and their armies of “trusted flaggers.”
The European Commission is also giving itself the power to declare a Europe-wide emergency that would allow it to demand extra interventions by digital platforms to counter a public threat.”

United Kingdom

The Online Safety Bill was passed September 19, 2023. The UK government says *“It will make social media companies more responsible for their users’ safety on their platforms.”*
According to Internet watchdog Reclaim the Net, this bill constitutes one of the widest sweeping attacks on privacy and free speech in a Western democracy:
The bill imbues the government with tremendous power; the capability to demand that online services employ government-approved software to scan through user content, including photos, files, and messages, to identify illegal content.
The Electronic Frontier Foundation, a nonprofit dedicated to defending civil liberties in the digital world, warns: *“the law would create a blueprint for repression around the world.”*

Australia

The Communications Legislation Amendment (Combating Misinformation and Disinformation) Bill 2023 was released in draft form June 25, 2023 and is expected to pass by the end of 2023. the Australian government says:
“The new powers will enable the ACMA [Australian Communications and Media Authority] to monitor efforts and require digital platforms to do more, placing Australia at the forefront in tackling harmful online misinformation and disinformation, while balancing freedom of speech.”
Reclaim the Net explains:
“This legislation hands over a wide range of new powers to ACMA, which includes the enforcement of an industry-wide “standard” that will obligate digital platforms to remove what they determine as misinformation or disinformation.”
Brownstone contributor Rebekah Barnett elaborates:
“Controversially, the government will be exempt from the proposed laws, as will professional news outlets, mean-

ing that ACMA will not compel platforms to police misinformation and disinformation disseminated by official government or news sources.”
The legislation will enable the proliferation of official narratives, whether true, false or misleading, while quashing the opportunity for dissenting narratives to compete.

Canada

The Online Streaming Act (Bill C-10) became law April 27, 2023. Here’s how the Canadian government describes it, as it relates to the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC):
“The legislation clarifies that online streaming services fall under the Broadcasting Act and ensures that the CRTC has the proper tools to put in place a modern and flexible regulatory framework for broadcasting. These tools include the ability to make rules, gather information, and assign penalties for non-compliance.”
According to Open Media, a community-driven digital rights organization,
“Bill C-11 gives the CRTC unprecedented regulatory authority to monitor all online audiovisual content. This power extends to penalizing content creators and platforms and through them, content creators that fail to comply.”

World Health Organization

In its proposed new Pandemic Treaty and in the amendments to its International Health Regulations, all of which it hopes to pass in 2024, the WHO seeks to enlist member governments to:
“Counter and address the negative impacts of health-related misinformation, disinformation, hate speech and stigmatization, especially on social media platforms, on people’s physical and mental health, in order to strengthen pandemic prevention, preparedness and response, and foster trust in public health systems and authorities.”
Brownstone contributor David Bell writes that essentially this will give the WHO, an unelected international body,
“power to designate opinions or information as ‘misinformation or disinformation, and require country governments to intervene and stop such expression and dissemination. This ... is, of course, incompatible with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, but these seem no longer to be guiding principles for the WHO.”

Conclusion

We are at a pivotal moment in the history of Western democracies. Governments, organizations and companies have more power than ever to decide what information and views are expressed on the Internet, the global public square of information and ideas.
It is natural that those in power should want to limit expression of ideas and dissemination of information that might challenge their position. They may believe they are using censorship to protect us from grave harms of disinformation and hate speech, or they may be using those reasons cynically to consolidate their control over the flow of information.
Either way, censorship inevitably entails the suppression of free speech and information, without which democracy cannot exist.
Why are the citizens of democratic nations acquiescing to the usurpation of their fundamental human rights? One reason may be the relatively abstract nature of rights and freedoms in the digital realm.

In the past, when censors burned books or jailed dissidents, citizens could easily recognize these harms and imagine how awful it would be if such negative actions were turned against them. They could also weigh the very personal and imminent negative impact of widespread censorship against much less prevalent dangers, such as child sex trafficking or genocide. Not that those dangers would be ignored or downplayed, but it would be clear that measures to combat such dangers should not include widespread book burning or jailing of regime opponents.
In the virtual world, if it’s not your post that is removed, or your video that is banned, it can be difficult to fathom the wide-ranging harm of massive online information control and censorship. It is also much easier online than in the real world to exaggerate the dangers of relatively rare threats, like pandemics or foreign interference in democratic processes. The same powerful people, governments, and companies that can censor online information can also flood the online space with propaganda, terrifying citizens in the virtual space into giving up their real-world rights.

The conundrum for free and open societies has always been the same: How to protect human rights and democracy from hate speech and disinformation without destroying human rights and democracy in the process.
The answer embodied in the recent coordinated enactment of global censorship laws is not encouraging for the future of free and open societies.

Debbie Lerman, 2023 Brownstone Fellow, has a degree in English from Harvard. She is a retired science writer and a practicing artist in Philadelphia, PA.
Originally published at: BrownstoneInstitute.org

“Vaccine” Killed 3.5X More Americans Than Covid Virus (+ \$1M Challenge)

The data is clear and consistent. I challenge any qualified scientist to challenge this data in an open public debate

By Steve Kirsch

Executive summary

The irresponsible attacks by an *LA Times* journalist Michael Hiltzik (search Column: “Why anti-vaxxers are pretending a flawed study on vaccine deaths has been vindicated”) on MSU Professor Mark Skidmore’s paper motivated me to run my own survey of my readers to see what the actual harm numbers really are.
Over 10,000 readers responded. The results were not anonymous. To respond and be counted, you had to include your contact information.
This is a huge benefit compared to a “scientific survey.” In a “scientific survey,” you normally aren’t allowed to collect the identity of the responder, so it must be anonymous. So in a “scientific survey,” the peer-reviewers cannot verify whether the research was telling the truth or not. In my survey, they can. Which one is more trustable? After all, a key attribute of “scientific evidence” is that it can be independently verified. Mine can. Relatively few published studies (on most topics) cannot be because they never disclose the record level data.
The survey clearly showed that the COVID vaccines have killed 3.5 times as many people as COVID. This is a disaster.
I’ve had expert statisticians and epidemiologists review the survey, the methodology, and the results. None could find any errors.
I’m willing to put a million dollars on the table that this is right and that the vaccines have killed more people than COVID. Any takers? If not, why not?
When I called Professor Norman Fenton and informed him of the 3.5X figure he calmly replied “I’m not surprised.”

The results of this survey are entirely consistent with the surveys by others as well as individual anecdotes that would have been very unlikely for me to have located if the vaccine didn’t kill at least 3.5X more people than the virus.
Therefore accusations of “the survey was biased” are simply “hand-waving” arguments with absolutely no evidentiary basis of support. Could there be bias? Of course. Is the bias significant is the question! Since these people are anti-vaxxers, they are simply less likely to vaccinate and so the number of vaccine injuries will be LOWER than an unbiased group who vaccinates. So yes, there may be bias, but if anything the bias suggests that the actual ratio is higher than 3.5. I’m happy to have that discussion. Bring it on.
The best way to challenge these results is to show data that is 100% independently verifiable (which government statistics are not). So they will have to show us their survey and their verifiable anecdotes supporting their hypothesis. No one has any interest in doing that for some reason. These people are all perfectly content with having the number be “unknown.” I have a big problem with that.
Finally, if any epidemiologist(s) with a h-index of 20 or more wants to publicly challenge the 3.5X result in an open public discussion, **it’s easy to contact me**. The h-index is simply a way to ensure we have a meaningful level of discourse. The people on my side of the debate table will have a combined h-index of over 100.

Contact Steve at:
kirschsubstack.com/p/how-to-contact-me
Read the entire article at:
kirschsubstack.com/p/vaccine-killed-35x-more-americans
Originally published at: kirschsubstack.com

Absurdity Observer

Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- **Pfizer admits the public received a different vaccine formulation than one they tested.** New information has emerged that the COVID vaccine Pfizer distributed was not produced using the same process as the vaccine that was tested prior to gaining approval for distribution. This clinical trial bait-and-switch, Pfizer claims, was necessary since the process they used during the trials could not be used on a mass scale in a cost-effective way. Unlike their original “clinical batches” process, the mass scaled process leaves behind plasmid DNA remnants in the vaccine, which has been linked to side effects.
- **“Environmentalists” are now advocating that we chop down trees to save the planet.** Forget planting trees to suck up the carbon in the air, the Bill Gates funded tech company Kodama Systems is planning on chopping down and burying trees that are at risk of “burning or decaying” to reduce the chances of trees naturally dying and spewing their stored carbon back into the air.
- **The World Economic Forum wants white people kicked to the back of hospital wait lists.** The WEF has created an “equity” health initiative (*The Global Health Equity Network*) that prioritizes — and de-prioritizes — patients on the basis of their ethnicity. The initiative has already been rolled out in New Zealand, where it is pushing Māori patients up wait lists to “fight inequality.”
- **The CIA paid off analysts in order to bury their findings that COVID-19 most likely leaked from a lab in Wuhan, China,** new whistleblower testimony to US Congress alleges. According to the whistleblower, in the CIA COVID Discovery Team, which consisted of 7 experienced officers with significant scientific expertise, 6 of the 7 believed that the intelligence and science were sufficient to say that COVID-19 may have originated in a lab. The 7th, who happened to be the senior officer and who did not agree with the team, orchestrated for team members to be given a significant monetary incentive to change their position.
- Preprint study titled, “*Unnaturalness in the evolution process of the SARS-CoV-2 variants and the possibility of deliberate natural selection,*” (*Atsushi & Takayuki*) finds **evidence of deliberate and systematic creation of the circulating covid variant Omicron.** The scientists noted that perfect reversions of mutations like this, on such a scale, in addition to the lack of synonymous (non-functional) mutations, is completely implausible by any natural process. The top virologists who authored the paper noted that the academic journal they submitted to is refusing to publish their article, not because they have a problem with the study’s data or science, but because the article contains “*potential inflammatory language.*”
- **8-Year-Old Israeli ‘Poster Child’ for COVID vac-**

cines dies of sudden cardiac arrest. Israel, sometimes referred to as “the world’s largest Pfizer case-study” due to its significant purchase and brutal mandate of exclusively Pfizer vaccines, had previously featured Yonatan Moshe Erlichman in a government-sponsored commercial promoting the COVID-19 vaccine. The young boy’s tragic death mirrors the story of Santino Godoy Blanco, the Argentine vaccine ‘poster boy’ who died last year at age 4 from pneumonia.



Image by Ben Garrison, grrrgraphics.com

- While simultaneously lacking support and not offering affordable rehab centres to those with addictions, **Canada’s Medically Assisted Dying Program (MAiD) announced it will expand to include those with solely drug and alcohol addictions** this spring.
- Study titled, “*BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccination in Children alters cytokine responses to heterologous pathogens and toll-like receptor agonists,*” (*Noé et al.*) revealed that **Pfizer’s mRNA COVID-19 vaccine is detrimental to children’s immune response to other viral infections.** The study found that the shots decreased interleukin-6 in particular, an essential player in fighting viral infections. This study supports the finding of a similar previous study (*Fohse et al.*) and also real-world findings of increased viral infection rates that the WHO and the CDC have reported on.
- **The CDC just publicly admitted that the COVID vaccine was not life saving and harmful for children.** In their recent ACIP report (on cdc.gov), they claimed “*per million doses in 12-17-year-olds over 6 months, 0-1 deaths have been prevented.*” Even worse, that same report noted that every million doses resulted in 100,000 - 200,000 severe side effects (see breakdown by reporter @AlexBerenson).
- As a part of the same government legislation that is preventing Druthers.net from being shared on sites such as Facebook, the Canadian govern-

ment is expanding the **Online Streaming Act to require all major streaming platforms to register with the Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC).** This will likely include platforms like YouTube, Netflix, TikTok, Spotify, and Rumble. As the CRTC announced, the Act includes a proposed rule that would force content to be available in “English, French, and Indigenous languages” and aims to make content “more inclusive of persons with disabilities, ethno-cultural groups, racialized communities, and 2SLGBTQ+ communities.”

- A new Penn State study titled, “*Life Course Patterns of Prescription Drug Use in the United States 3,*” (*Ho*) reveals that **people born in the US today will spend over half their lives taking prescription drugs.** The findings of the study aligned with what a 2022 US Congressional Budget Office report noted that nationwide spending on prescription drugs increased from the equivalent of \$30 billion in 1980 USD to \$335 billion in 2018. Accounting for inflation, real per capita spending on prescription drugs increased more than sevenfold, from \$140 (in 2018 USD) to \$1,073 USD. (cbo.gov/publication/57772)
- A shocking new report by Canadian Institute for Health Information reveals that **at least 602 transgender minors have had breasts surgically removed in Canada** since 2018 as part of so-called “gender affirming care.” Some children were as young as 14-years-old. The actual number of minors who have undergone life-changing gender affirming surgeries is likely larger as private clinics that cater to transgender clients were not included in the study.

- **While Girl Guides of Canada is actively encouraging their members to attend pride parades on their blog, the Santa Clause Parade is off limits.** The organization announced that the Santa Clause parade and other Christmas-related activities are off-limits to its members because the organization does not allow religion and is trying to be more “inclusive.” Earlier this year, GGC also rebranded the name of their “Brownies” group to “Embers” to be more inclusive. Despite their focus on “inclusivity,” GGC previously banned unvaccinated leaders.

- **Oops! Correction & Update:** Last month we incorrectly reported that schools across Canada were banning all school books printed before 2008 due to older books not being “inclusive” enough. In fact, it’s Ontario’s second-largest District School Board—Peel—that took this approach. After backlash from the advocacy group “*Libraries, Not Landfills,*” the board appeared to back-pedal, saying that books that are “accurate, relevant to the student population, inclusive, not harmful, and support the current curriculum” can stay.

VOLUNTEER

Will you **help** give out these free papers in your community?



Or maybe you can help in other ways?
We’d love to hear from you.
Visit us at: druthers.net/volunteer

DONATE

Your **support** is vital to the continued success of this paper.



E-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Or visit: druthers.net/donate

SUBSCRIBE

Have your Druthers **delivered** right to your door each month.



Never miss an issue with a postal subscription.
Just cover the cost of s/h.
Sign up at: druthers.net/subscribe

DRUTHERS is entirely funded, written, distributed & promoted by the people, for the people.

Note: Please do not leave Druthers inside the newspaper boxes of other newspapers. As well, any inserts found in this paper are not endorsed by Druthers.