

DRUTHERS

TRUTH • LOVE • FREEDOM

Issue #42 | May 2024

druthers /n. ...Informal...
one's own way, preference, or choice:
eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

FREE

Covering news
& information
that mainstream
media won't.
Please read and
then pass this
paper along to
someone else
when done. Find
more to explore
on our website:
DRUTHERS.CA

Ten Reasons To Rethink Mass Immigration To Canada

By Riley Donovan

Swiss novelist Max Frisch, referring to the foreign “guest workers” allowed into Europe after the Second World War, said, “We wanted workers...but we got people instead.”

1. Housing Crisis

This one is simple enough: Prices are determined by the relationship between supply and demand. As Canada's population has grown through large-scale immigration, which reached a rate of nearly 1.3 million in 2023 alone (when including non-permanent residents like foreign workers and international students), both home prices and rents have soared.

Vancouver, Toronto, and Hamilton are now the three least affordable cities in North America. In his 2010 book *Millionaire Migrants*, UBC (University of British Columbia) Professor David Ley found a positive correlation coefficient of 0.94 between Vancouver and Toronto house prices and net international migration. For more evidence on the relationship between immigration and housing prices, check out Madeline Weld's excellent article on the topic: “Blatantly Oblivious to the Blindingly Obvious.”

The result is social chaos and displacement, with younger generations feeling locked out of the housing market and delaying having children, and elderly Canadians on a fixed income returning to work to meet rent or mortgage payments.

2. Strained Healthcare

This one is also pretty simple: Immigrants need healthcare too! Adding large numbers of future patients from overseas every year is exacerbating the pre-existing problems with our healthcare system.

Though the population has grown by 5 million people in the last ten years alone, Canada has added just 167 medical residencies. In 2023, Canada accepted 471,550 permanent residents, as well as around 800,000 foreign workers and international students. Despite the federal government's claim that the solution is to bring in doctors and nurses from overseas, in reality, we only accept about 4,000 immigrant healthcare workers per year.

As a result, more than 6 million Canadians and counting do not have access to a family doctor.

3. Farmland Loss

Despite having the second-largest landmass in the world, just 4.3% of Canada is arable, and 90% of Canadians live in a winding line of settlements within 160 KM of the U.S. border. Most immigrants settle in this same strip, which drives urban expansion: 15 million acres of farm-

land have been lost since 1976. Ontario loses 319 acres of farmland every day. Since 2001, Canada has lost the equivalent of seven small farms per day.

This loss of farmland weakens Canada's food security, forcing us to depend on importing food from other nations—in an increasingly fractious world order. It also

separatist Hardeep Singh Nijjar outside a Surrey temple, Canada is now a hotspot for foreign interference. While politicians of all stripes have denounced the meddling of foreign states in Canadian affairs, none have explicitly linked it to the presence of large foreign diaspora populations on Canadian soil.

The fact is, the presence of large diasporas invites foreign interference. This is especially true of China, which considers all ethnic Chinese to be nationals of China—regardless of their place of residency. For Xi Jinping, overseas Chinese play an irreplaceable role in China's rise. The link between diaspora populations and foreign interference appears to be an ironclad rule of geopolitics, and has been seen in Europe as well, with Turkish president Erdogan urging Turks in Germany to vote against German Chancellor Merkel after a diplomatic rupture between the countries.

6. Declining Living Standards

Large-scale immigration is touted as necessary to Canada's economic success, but this could not be further from the truth. While Canada's immigration-driven population growth does grow the Gross Domestic Product (GDP), it lowers GDP per capita. In other words, while the economic pie grows, most people's slice gets smaller!

This process has gotten so bad that the National Bank of Canada released a report warning that Canada is in a “population trap” whereby any increase in living standards is impossible—a problem which usually occurs in emerging economies like India or Sub-Saharan Africa!

7. Urban Sprawl and Densification

The federal government describes immigration in abstract terms: addressing labour shortages, offsetting ageing populations, or growing the GDP. In reality, immigrants are not just workers, they are people—people who need a roof over their heads! To accommodate immigration-driven population growth, a large amount of Canada's energy and wealth is spent endlessly building both single-family developments and high rises.

The urban sprawl strategy is most visible in places like Calgary, with new cookie-cutter developments being continually built on the surrounding prairie. Alberta saw a population boom of 184,000 in 2023 alone (with international migration accounting for far more than inter-provincial migration), so this looks likely to continue. The densification approach is most apparent in British Columbia, which recently abolished single-family zoning in most communities to accommodate immigration-driven population growth.



fuels urbanization, threatening Canada's beloved countryside landscapes, and the rural lifestyle many of us are attached to.

4. Crowding of Schools

Like so many effects of mass immigration, the overcrowding of Canadian schools is a function of the law of supply and demand—which our political elite is apparently unaware of! Simply put: Provinces and school districts are being overwhelmed by large numbers of additional students from newly-settled immigrant families. In Prince Edward Island, Charlottetown and Stratford received 375 newcomer students who were neither predicted nor planned for. Surrey, B.C., is considering putting schools in high rises!

To be sure, school crowding is not the fault of the immigrant families in question. Both immigrant and native-born Canadians alike are the victims of the classroom crowding caused by an out-of-control federal immigration policy.

5. Foreign Interference

From the alleged Chinese interference in recent Canadian elections to the assassination of Khalistan

Advertisement

See 'Large Scale Immigration' p.9



Protect Your Wealth with Precious Metals

Store With Us Or Deliver To Your
Home. Safe & Secure.

NON-BANK, NON-GOVERNMENT!

**SPROTT
MONEY**
The Most Trusted Name in Precious Metals.

Call us at
1-888-861-0775
or place an
order online
sprottmoney.ca



Controversial Geoengineering Experiment Launched Quietly

By Todd Crawford

On Tuesday, April 2, 2024, researchers with the University of Washington quietly conducted a first-of-its-kind experiment in solar radiation modification (SRM) by launching salt crystals into the atmosphere from an aircraft carrier museum ship in the San Francisco Bay.

SRM is a highly controversial field of study. Its use is intended to divert sunlight away from the earth to limit warming and the impacts of “climate change,” but experts admit that they are in the dark concerning its broader impact on the environment. The impacts could harm communities and ecosystems in unexpected ways.

According to Scientific American, researchers didn’t widely announce the experiment so as to avoid public backlash. *“The secrecy surrounding its timing caught even some experts off guard.”*

Shuchi Talati, executive director of the Alliance for Just Deliberation on Solar Geoengineering, a nonprofit that seeks to include developing countries in decisions about SRM, told *Scientific American*, *“Since this experiment was kept under wraps until the test started, we are eager to see how public engagement is being planned and who will be involved.”*

Talati added that *“while it complies with all current regulatory requirements, there is a clear need to reexamine what a strong regulatory framework must look like in a world where [solar radiation modification] experimentation is happening.”*

The project, dubbed the Coastal Atmospheric Aerosol Research and Engagement, or CAARE project, uses custom-built sprayers to shoot trillions of sea salt particles into the atmosphere in an effort to increase both the density and reflective capacity of marine clouds.

The particles are being launched from a decommissioned aircraft carrier, the USS Hornet, Sea, Air & Space Museum in Alameda, California. The *USS Hornet* (CV-12) was commissioned in late 1943 and scored many victories during World War II, taking the name of a previous

carrier that was sunk by the Imperial Japanese Navy earlier in the conflict.

According to a weather modification form that the researchers filed with federal regulators, the experiments are expected to continue until the end of May.

The experiments have garnered interest from Silicon Valley funders and some environmental groups.



Meanwhile, similar experiments that were to be conducted in Sweden last month were called off after encountering opposition from indigenous groups.

SRM is highly controversial because its widespread use could impact ecosystems in ways that are yet to be understood, and could potentially limit the productivity of fisheries and farms.

There are other possible impacts. Experiments conducted in the United States could affect cloud conditions in neighboring countries, increasing serious geopolitical risks.

Opponents of the research also argue that it does nothing to address the root causes of “climate change” *“and could lead to a catastrophic spike in global temperatures if major geoengineering activities were discontinued before greenhouse gasses decrease to manageable levels.”*

Researchers from the University of Washington as well as SilverLining, a geoengineering research advocacy group involved in CAARE, both declined interview requests from *Scientific American*, and the mayor

of Alameda failed to respond to emailed questions about the experiment.

According to The New York Times—that was granted exclusive access to cover the initial firing of the spray canons—the secrecy surrounding the experiment appears to be by design. *“The idea of interfering with nature is so contentious, organizers of Tuesday’s test kept the details tightly held, concerned that critics would try to stop them.”*

However, the project team is arguing that they are being transparent, as visitors to the *USS Hornet*, which serves as a floating museum, will be able to view the experiment.

In a press release, Kelly Wanser, executive director of SilverLining, wrote, *“The world needs to rapidly advance its understanding of the effects of aerosol particles on climate. With a deep commitment to open science and a culture of humility, the University of Washington has developed an approach that integrates science with societal engagement, and can help society in essential steps toward advancing science, developing regulations, promoting equitable and effective decision-making, and building shared understanding in these areas.”*

These experiments are part of broader studies planned by the University of Washington. The second phase of the experiments will involve launching particles from a pier approximately a mile offshore, according to a recently released study description.

Greg Goldsmith, the associate dean for research and development at Chapman University, told *Scientific American* that the study fails to mention potential ecological impacts of the experiments and provided a warning. *“History has shown us that when we insert ourselves into modifications of nature, there are always very serious unintended consequences. And therefore, it would be prudent to listen to what history has shown and look for consequences.”*

Greg Goldsmith, the associate dean for research and development at Chapman University, told *Scientific American* that the study fails to mention potential ecological impacts of the experiments and provided a warning. *“History has shown us that when we insert ourselves into modifications of nature, there are always very serious unintended consequences. And therefore, it would be prudent to listen to what history has shown and look for consequences.”*

Originally published at [visiontimes.com](https://www.visiontimes.com)

- **In Tokyo, Japan, tens of thousands of people rallied to protest the World Health Organization’s proposed pandemic treaty** and amendments to the International Health Regulations—proposals that critics allege threaten Japan’s and other countries’ national sovereignty.
- **Canadians’ trust in politicians has dropped to a new low**, a recently released survey has found. *The CanTrust Index 2024* said faith in the country’s top leader has dropped by 21% in the last eight years, with just 25% of Canadians today saying they trust Prime Minister Justin Trudeau. Overall, only 17% of Canadians trust elected officials, and only about 30% trust their Premiers.
- **The senate in the state of Tennessee has just passed Bill HB1894 that will ban vaccines in food.** This comes after a project in 2021 where *University of California – Riverside* received a \$500k grant to produce lettuce containing an mRNA vaccine.
- **Charge against Windsor, Ontario police officer for donating to freedom convoy has been dropped.** Constable Brooke Fazekas was charged with “discreditable conduct” by the police services board after donating online to the trucker protest.
- **National Health Services (NHS) appointed chair of the Independent Review of Gender Identity Services, Dr. Hilary Cass, exposes the false foundation upon which the entire edifice of “gender-affirming care” is built, in her report.** Drawing extensively on a series of systematic literature reviews and in-depth interviews with doctors, parents, and patients, she writes: *“The reality is that we have no good evidence on the long-term outcomes of interventions to manage gender-related distress... for the majority of young people. A medical pathway may not be the best way to achieve this.”* The report advises a U-turn from the “gender-affirming”

FREEDOM WINS!

- construct of drugs and surgery towards a model of careful psychological counseling. Dr. Cass also delivered a scathing indictment of the shaky evidence for guidelines used by the World Professional Association for Transgender Health, The American Academy of Pediatrics, and the American Endocrine Society.
- **After a legal challenge against Saskatchewan’s announced policy requiring parental consent for children to go by different pronouns at school, Alberta applied to intervene in support.** On April 9, Alberta’s Minister of Justice and Attorney stated that *“Saskatchewan and Alberta agree that the key figures in children’s lives are their parents, and our provinces are both committed to supporting families and children so that they can work through unique needs together... Notifying parents and requiring their consent before a child’s name or pronouns can be changed in schools, and before classroom discussions about gender identity and other sensitive subjects occur, ensures that the parent-child relationship is respected and paramount.”* The pronoun policy is just one part of Saskatchewan’s new “Parental Inclusion and Consent Policies,” which also include provisions that ensure parents are allowed to opt their kids out of sex-ed, and that third-party presentations from groups such as Planned Parenthood will be prohibited from taking place.
 - **A constitutional rights group is seeking to challenge the Niagara Region in court after the city declared a state of emergency ahead of the much-anticipated total solar eclipse April 8.** The *Canadian Constitution Foundation (CCF)* has filed a notice of application for a judicial review of the region’s state of emergency, calling the move “unlawful.” CCF emphasized that, *“the definition of ‘emergency’ in law must remain narrow*

- because states of emergency are often used to limit property rights and infringe on civil liberties such as freedom of assembly and freedom of association.”*
- **An Ontario arbitrator has determined that two hospital workers who were fired for refusing to take the COVID-19 vaccine were terminated unjustly** by Humber River Hospital in Toronto. Arbitrator Jasbir Parmar ruled that the hospital did not have sufficient grounds to fire the hospital workers after their union challenged the hospital’s actions.
 - **A new survey has found that more than half of Canadians say Saskatchewan is doing the “right thing” by refusing to collect the carbon tax on home heating. Of those polled by the Angus Reid Institute, 54% say they support the actions of Premier Scott Moe and his Saskatchewan Party, as do a majority of Canadians in every province except Quebec, who were not polled.**
 - **The College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario has formally withdrawn charges against Dr. Jean Marc Benoit,** which alleged that his posts on X (Twitter) during the COVID-19 pandemic were *“disgraceful, dishonourable or unprofessional.”*
 - **The Prescription Medicines Code of Practice Authority has ruled that Pfizer breached five rules in its Code of Practice for advertising.** The organization alleged that Pfizer *“misleadingly and illegally promoted its COVID-19 vaccine”* by reporting very high relative efficacy rates without providing information about absolute efficacy rates or required information about safety. The panel also pointed out that the existing codes of conduct prohibit the promotion of medicines before their market authorization. The panel concluded that *“Pfizer brought discredit upon and reduced confidence in the pharmaceutical industry,”* which it noted is a serious censure that it reserves for serious violations.

Dr. Phil Delivers Stunning Message to the COVID “Experts” Who Got it Wrong

By Vigilant Fox News

“All of a sudden, a few weeks turn into months, and months turn into two years. You expect there will be a plan, a readiness plan, that takes into account all the collateral damage that can come from doing something like that.” —Dr. Phil

The floodgates appear to be opening at last. In a recent episode (S1 E11) of *Dr. Phil Primetime*, the renowned clinical psychologist delivered a powerful message poised to thrust the mishandling of COVID back into the spotlight.

“You know, when this COVID hit, it was pretty frenetic for everybody in the beginning. Nobody knew what was going on. And then they said, ‘We’re gonna take a time out here. We’re gonna ask everybody to really stay home, withdraw, shut the door, and that’s gonna slow the roll. That’s going to slow this thing down.’ You remember that?”

“And then, all of a sudden, a few weeks turn into months, and months turn into two years. You expect there will be a plan, a readiness plan, that takes into account all the collateral damage that can come from doing something like that.”

“And then you start seeing all of these problems, and you hear people say, ‘Well, we did the best we could with what we knew.’ No, they did not. They knew better. And if they didn’t know better, they damn well should have known better. That’s what they’re paid to do.”

“When I stepped up in the beginning and said, ‘This



Watch Dr. Phil’s full video at: meritplus.com/c/s/VQ2aB6Sp

lockdown—this quarantine—is going to create more problems with quality of life than what COVID is going to do in taking lives,’ people looked at me like I was insane. I got criticized, called a heretic, and people said, ‘What is he talking about?’ I’ll tell you what I was talking about. I was talking about exactly what happened and is happening.”

“And what’s more, when you shut down the schools—the day you shut them down—you better have a plan for reopening them. I didn’t see a plan for them to be reopened. And we have an entire generation that is suffering from developmental gaps, educational gaps, mental and emotional challenges. And still, there’s not a good plan to close those gaps.”

“And my concern is, when the next pandemic turns the corner, who’s got the plan then? What was learned from this? Because you don’t hear anybody talking about it, do you? You don’t hear anybody talking about it. But I’m talking about it because I want to make noise about this. I want to force people to say, we need to get ready for when this happens again.”

“And I’m not some conspiracy theorist saying they’re coming to get us and lock us up, but I’m saying we need to think about whether we’re going to rely on ‘science’ and how we’re going to react when the government comes in and starts telling us what we can and can’t do. I think we need less government. I think if they would step back, we tend to have a way to work these things out.”

Originally aired at vigilantfox.news/p/dr-phil-delivers-stunning-message

International Freedom Movement to Protest World Health Organization Pandemic Treaty

By Sharyl Attkisson

Thousands of people from Europe, the United States, Japan, Brazil, Mexico, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand say they plan to descend on the United Nations on June 1 to declare independence from global institutions such as the World Health Organization and World Economic Forum, while celebrating cultural and individual sovereignty.

June 1 marks the last day of the World Health Assembly as WHO member states look to vote on the controversial “pandemic treaty” and amendments to International Health Regulations.

The mobilization is organized by a coalition of groups and people representing farmers, truckers, business owners, firefighters, first responders, and families, and those “canceled” during Covid-19.

“People around the world are at a crossroads—either we continue to allow global institutions to control us and strip our sovereignty, or we break the chains and start creating the world we want for our children. One world is fear-driven and symbolized by globalists such as Bill Gates and Klaus Schwab. The other world is local, driven

by mutual respect and love, and symbolized by moms, dads, firefighters, and neighbors you trust.” – Dr. Andrea Nazarenko, Ph.D., bestselling author and psychologist

Speakers at the convergence will include:

Dr. Aseem Malhotra, internationally renowned cardiologist

Dr. Kat Lindley, President of Global Health Project and Director of the Global Covid Summit

Brett Weinstein, evolutionary biologist and best-selling author

Phillip Kruse, widely respected attorney in the world addressing corruption and fraud

Mattias Desmet, recognized as the world’s leading expert on the theory of mass formation as it applies to the Covid-19 pandemic

Supporting organizations include The Inspired Network, Reinfo Sante Suisse International, the Brownstone Institute, Free Humanity, Door to Freedom, and the Alliance for Natural Health.

For more information about the convergence, see thegenevaproject.org

Originally published at sharylattkisson.com



Swedes Reject Cashless Agenda

New poll finds that a large majority of swedish people want to keep physical cash



By Peter Imanuelson

I have some good news to bring you from my home country of Sweden.

A new poll finds that a whopping 83% of people want to keep using cash as a payment option in the future—a new record high!

Looks like people are beginning to realize that the cashless society is not what we want after all.

The biggest reason that people want to keep cash is for emergency preparedness, with 51% giving that as their main reason. And 29% of people said that freedom of choice was the main reason for wanting to keep cash, with another 19% saying that inclusion was their reason.

So it appears one major reason for people wanting to keep cash is because of FREEDOM.

As we all know, going cashless would make it very easy for the state to keep track of everything that people do. Dissidents could easily be locked out from buying and selling.

Sweden has been one of the main countries pushing for a cashless society for many years now.

For example, over 6,000 people have already got-

ten microchip implants in their hands to use for cashless payments. petersweden.substack.com/p/paying-with-microchip-implant

Many shops in Sweden have gone cashless, including a Burger King I visited last year that had a sign proudly stating that they were cashless.

But things seem to be changing.

The new right-wing government in Sweden has been looking at ways to STRENGTHEN the use of physical cash, looking at things like forcing shops to accept cash. Neighboring Norway is also doing this.

Looks like the cashless dystopia has been postponed!

Originally posted at petersweden.substack.com

Are you a writer?

Druthers is written, funded, distributed, and promoted by the people. If you would like to have your writings considered for publishing in Druthers, please reach out to editor@druthers.net for more info.

Thank you. Stay strong!

The Dark Origins of the Davos Great Reset

By F. William Engdahl

Klaus Schwab is little more than a slick PR agent for a global technocratic agenda, a corporatist unity of corporate power with government, including the UN, an agenda whose origins go back to the beginning of the 1970s, and even earlier. The Davos Great Reset is merely an updated blueprint for a global dystopian dictatorship under UN control that has been decades in development. The key actors were David Rockefeller and his protégé, Maurice Strong. At the beginning of the 1970s, there was arguably no one person more influential in world politics than the late David Rockefeller, then largely known as chairman of Chase Manhattan Bank.

Creating the new paradigm

At the end of the 1960s and into the early 1970s, the international circles directly tied to David Rockefeller launched a dazzling array of elite organizations and think tanks. These included The Club of Rome, the 1001: A Nature Trust, tied to the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), the Stockholm United Nations Earth Day conference, the MIT-authored study, “Limits to Growth,” and David Rockefeller’s Trilateral Commission.

Club of Rome

In 1968, David Rockefeller founded a neo-Malthusian think tank, The Club of Rome, along with Aurelio Peccei and Alexander King. Aurelio Peccei was a senior manager of the Fiat car company, owned by the powerful Italian Agnelli family. Fiat’s Gianni Agnelli was an intimate friend of David Rockefeller and a member of the International Advisory Committee of Rockefeller’s Chase Manhattan Bank. Agnelli and David Rockefeller had been close friends since 1957. Agnelli became a founding member of David Rockefeller’s Trilateral Commission in 1973. Alexander King, head of the OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) Science Program was also a consultant to NATO. That was the beginning of what would become the neo-Malthusian “people pollute” movement.

In 1971, The Club of Rome published a deeply flawed report, “Limits to Growth,” which predicted an end to civilization as we knew it because of rapid population growth combined with “fixed” resources, such as oil. The report concluded that without substantial changes in resource consumption, *“the most probable result will be a rather sudden and uncontrollable decline in both population and industrial capacity.”*

The report was based on bogus computer simulations by a group of MIT computer scientists. It stated the bold prediction, *“If the present growth trends in world population, industrialization, pollution, food production, and resource depletion continue unchanged, the limits to growth on this planet will be reached sometime within the next one hundred years.”* That was 1971. In 1973, Klaus Schwab, in his third annual Davos business leader meeting, invited Peccei to Davos to present “Limits to Growth” to assembled corporate CEOs.

In 1974, The Club of Rome boldly declared, *“The Earth has cancer and the cancer is Man.”* Then: *“The world is facing an unprecedented set of interlocking global problems, such as over-population, food shortages, non-renewable resource [oil-w.e.] depletion, environmental degradation, and poor governance.”* They argued that *“‘horizontal’ restructuring of the world system is needed... drastic changes in the norm stratum—that is, in the value system and the goals of man—are necessary in order to solve energy, food, and other crises, i.e., social changes and changes in individual attitudes are needed if the transition to organic growth is to take place.”*

In their 1974 report, “Mankind at the Turning Point,” The Club of Rome further argued: *“Increasing interdependence between nations and regions must then translate as a decrease in independence. Nations cannot be interdependent without each of them giving up some of or at least acknowledging limits to, its own independence. Now is the time to draw up a master plan for organic sustainable growth and world development based on global allocation of all finite resources and a new global economic system.”*


That was the early formulation of the UN Agenda 21, Agenda 2030 and the 2020 Davos Great Reset.

David Rockefeller and Maurice Strong

By far the most influential organizer of Rockefeller’s “zero growth” agenda in the early 1970s was David Rockefeller’s longtime friend, a Canadian billionaire oilman named Maurice Strong.

Strong was one of the key early propagators of the scientifically flawed theory that man-made CO₂ emis-

sions from transportation vehicles, coal plants, and agriculture caused a dramatic and accelerating global temperature rise that threatens “the planet,” so-called Global Warming. As chairman of the 1972 Earth Day UN Stockholm Conference, Strong promoted an agenda of population reduction and lowering of living standards around the world to “save the environment.”



Book written by World Economic Forum founder, Klaus Schwab, released in July 2020.

Strong stated his radical ecologist agenda: *“Isn’t the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn’t it our responsibility to bring that about?”*

This is what took place under cover of a hyped global pandemic.

Strong was a curious choice to head a major UN initiative to mobilize action on the environment, as his career and his considerable fortune had been built on exploitation of oil, like an unusual number of the new advocates of “ecological purity,” such as David Rockefeller, Robert O. Anderson of Aspen Institute, or Shell’s John Loudon.

Strong met David Rockefeller in 1947 as a young Canadian at 18, and from that point, his career became tied to the network of the Rockefeller family. Through his new friendship with David Rockefeller, Strong, at 18, was given a key UN position under UN Treasurer, Noah Monod. The UN’s funds were, conveniently enough, handled by Rockefeller’s Chase Bank. This was typical of the model of “public-private partnership” to be deployed by Strong—private gain from public government.

In the 1960s, Strong had become president of the huge Montreal energy conglomerate and oil company known as Power Corporation, then owned by the influential Paul Desmarais. Power Corporation was reportedly also used as a political slush fund to finance campaigns of select Canadian politicians, such as Pierre Trudeau, father of Davos protégé Justin Trudeau, according to Canadian investigative researcher, Elaine Dewar.

Earth Summit I and Rio Earth Summit

By 1971, Strong was named Undersecretary of the United Nations in New York and Secretary-General of the upcoming Earth Day conference, United Nations Conference on the Human Environment (Earth Summit I) in Stockholm, Sweden. He was also named that year as a trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation, which financed his launch of the Stockholm Earth Day project. In Stockholm, the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) was created with Strong as its head.

By 1989, Strong was named by the UN Secretary-General to head the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), Rio Earth Summit II. He oversaw the drafting of the UN “Sustainable Environment” goals there, the Agenda 21 for Sustainable Development that forms the basis of Klaus Schwab’s Great Reset, as

well as the creation of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) of the UN. Strong, who was also a board member of the World Economic Forum (WEF), had arranged for WEF Founder, Schwab, to serve as a key adviser to the Rio Earth Summit.

As Secretary-General of the UN Rio Conference, Strong also commissioned a report from The Club of Rome, “The First Global Revolution,” authored by Alexander King, admitting that the CO₂ global warming claim was merely an invented ruse to force change: *“The common enemy of humanity is man. In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine, and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself.”*

President Clinton’s delegate to Rio, Tim Wirth, admitted the same, stating, *“We have got to ride the global warming issue. Even if the theory of global warming is wrong, we will be doing the right thing in terms of economic policy and environmental policy.”*

At Rio, Strong first introduced the manipulative idea of “sustainable society,” defined in relation to this arbitrary goal of eliminating CO₂ and other so-called Greenhouse Gases. Agenda 21 became Agenda 2030 in Sept 2015 in Rome, with the Pope’s [Francis’] blessing, with 17 “sustainable” goals. It declared, among other items, *“Land, because of its unique nature and the crucial role it plays in human settlement, cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Private land ownership also is a principal instrument of accumulation and concentration of wealth and therefore contributes to social injustice ... Social justice, urban renewal, and development, the provision of decent dwellings and healthy conditions for the people can only ‘be achieved if land is used in the interests of society as a whole.”*

In short, private land ownership must become socialized for “society as a whole,” an idea well-known in Soviet Union days, and a key part of the Davos Great Reset.

At Rio, in 1992, where he was chairman and General Secretary, Strong declared: *“It is clear that current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class—involving high meat intake, consumption of large amounts of frozen and convenience foods, use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and workplace air-conditioning, and suburban housing—are not sustainable.”*

By that time, Strong was at the very center of the transformation of the UN into the vehicle for imposing a new global technocratic “paradigm” by stealth. They used dire warnings of planet extinction and global warming, merging government agencies with corporate power in an unelected control of pretty much everything under the cover of “sustainability.” In 1997, Strong oversaw the creation of the action plan following the Earth Summit—The Global Diversity Assessment—a blueprint for the rollout of a Fourth Industrial Revolution, an inventory of every resource on the planet, how it would be controlled, and how this revolution would be achieved.

At this time, Strong was co-chairman of Schwab’s WEF. In 2015, on Strong’s death, Schwab wrote, *“He was my mentor since the creation of the Forum: a great friend; an indispensable advisor; and, for many years, a member of our Foundation Board.”*

Before he left the UN over an Iraq Food-for-Oil corruption scandal, Strong was a member of The Club of Rome, Trustee of the Aspen Institute, and Trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation and Rothschild Foundation. Strong was also a director of the Temple of Understanding of the Lucifer Trust (aka Lucis Trust), housed at the Cathedral of St. John the Divine in New York City, *“where pagan rituals include escorting sheep and cattle to the altar for blessing. Here, Vice President Al Gore delivered a sermon as worshipers marched to the altar with bowls of compost and worms...”*

This is the dark origin of Schwab’s Great Reset agenda where we should eat worms and have no private property in order to “save the planet.” The agenda is dark, dystopian, and meant to eliminate billions of us “ordinary humans.”

F. William Engdahl is strategic risk consultant and lecturer, he holds a degree in politics from Princeton University and is a best-selling author on oil and geopolitics. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG). Originally published at globalresearch.ca

Politicized Climate Change as Wealth Redistribution

By Brian G. Finlayson

The UN, via Western governments, is engaged in a pervasive agenda to villainize carbon dioxide (CO₂), in particular, as the leading cause for “climate change” and environmental degradation.

Their climate agenda is focused on mankind’s use of “fossil fuels,” a false, politically expedient attribution because **petroleum and natural gas are neither fossil in origin nor scarce**. That misrepresentation fosters fear of impending global energy shortages, rising average world temperatures, extreme weather events, and flooding due to rising sea levels as the polar ice caps melt.

These created fears become justifications for massive taxation and investment in so-called “green” energy alternatives that are economically and environmentally unsound as substitutes for naturally occurring energy sources. The international *Green Climate Fund* (GCF, 2010) established under the *UN Framework Convention on Climate Change* (UNFCCC, 1992) is intended to finance “green” environmental initiatives in developing nations, but little if any success or accountability is reported because results are impossible to measure and verify. It is the same for domestic carbon taxation and spending. The GCF, “cap and trade” schemes, direct commercial carbon emission levies, and carbon taxation buried in the cost of consumer goods are deceptive socialist wealth transfer programs that concentrate wealth and control with governments and vested corporate interests. Ultimately, those interests must control governments and marginalize opposition. Control implies enforcement and surveillance to ensure compliance.

Formal meetings of the UNFCCC parties (UN climate summits) known as the *Conference of the Parties* (COP), began in 1995 (COP1) and have continued annually to assess the implementation progress of the Kyoto Protocol (1997), followed by the Paris Agreement (2015). Canada withdrew from Kyoto in 2011 under the Harper Government, but PM Trudeau signed the Paris Agreement in 2016. COP29 will meet in November 2024. Participating nations agreed to raise revenue and reduce CO₂ greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The *Canadian Net-Zero Emissions Accountability Act* that became law on June 29, 2021, is Canada’s UN commitment to net-zero carbon emissions by 2050.

Citizens in Western nations are awakening through whistleblower exposure and a good sense that the science behind anthropogenic (man-caused) climate change is politically motivated fabrication and a massive government cash grab. Consider the statement by Christine Stewart. As Canada’s Federal Minister of the Environment (1997-1999) during the Chrétien Liberal government, she was Canada’s signatory to the Kyoto Protocol in December, 1997. Kyoto is an international treaty that resulted from the 1992 Rio de Janeiro *Earth Summit* (*UN Conference on Environment and Development*) that ratified the *UN Framework Convention on Climate Change* (UNFCCC). Stewart implied that it is acceptable to fabricate climate statistics for political ends.

Stewart pushed for action on the Kyoto Accord on behalf of Canada, improvements in the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, the Species at Risk Act, and the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act. However, she also fueled the fires of climate change skeptics when, in [December] 1998 she told editors and reporters of the Calgary Herald, “No matter if the science of global warming is all phony...climate change [provides] the greatest opportunity to bring about justice and equality in the world.”¹

In November 2009, hackers accessed a server at the Climate Research Unit (CRU) at the UK’s University of East Anglia and released raw meteorological data to the public. The ensuing scandal revealed that mankind’s contribution to climate change is insignificant. CRU data had been deliberately altered to convince the public otherwise.

Russian research immediately following WWII was translated into English in about 1953. It revealed that oil and natural gas are **not** “fossil” fuels from long-buried decomposed life of the past. Rather, they are naturally occurring, **regenerating** hydrocarbons forced upwards under great pressure through cracks in the



earth’s mantle and deposited in relatively porous sedimentary ground formations. When those deposits are depleted by human extraction, they eventually replenish in the same way they were created in the first place. Hydrocarbon energy sources are not scarce; they are sufficiently abundant to last the life of the planet. The issue is not hydrocarbon fuel scarcity. Rather, it is responsible stewardship that would be more environmentally friendly and cost-effective than heavily subsidized expensive alternative “green” energy infrastructure. For further information, see *The Deep Hot Biosphere: The Myth of Fossil Fuels* by Thomas Gold (1999) and *Black Gold Stranglehold: The Myth of*

Scarcity and the Politics of Oil by Jerome R. Corsi and Craig R. Smith (2005).

Hydrocarbons are abiotic—meaning **not** the result of photosynthesis that is necessary for biological (life) processes. Without photosynthesis, the carbon cycle could not occur and oxygen-requiring plant and human life could not survive. Vegetation absorbs carbon dioxide from the atmosphere in order to survive and then off-gases oxygen. Humans are the reverse, which is a reason why vegetation is so compatible with human life, especially in high population density areas. So, plant trees and save the rainforests. In a video posted on October 4, 2023, Dr. William Happer, professor emeritus of Physics at Princeton University, even referred to carbon dioxide as the “gas of life.”²

Carbon is the fourth most abundant element in the universe. It is typically found bonded with hydrogen, the most abundant element. Abundant hydrocarbons are the result, including fuels that produce CO₂ when burned. Being abiotic, hydrocarbon fuels are found independently of life processes where fossils are **not** found except incidentally and/or where light does not penetrate (e.g., ocean floor gas vents and the earth’s mantle). The earth’s atmosphere consists of only 0.041% (or 410 ppm) carbon dioxide, the two major atmospheric elements being nitrogen and oxygen (78.1% and 20.9%, respectively). Atmospheric CO₂ does indeed vary with location relative to human activity and its nature. It is estimated that the **average** annual increase in CO₂ to the atmosphere due to human activity is very small—only about 0.4% of the natural 0.041% CO₂ level (i.e., less than 2 ppm of 410 ppm).

The UN’s *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (IPCC, 1988) defines its role as follows: *The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) is the leading international body for the assessment of climate change. It was established by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) in 1988 to provide the world with a clear scientific view on the current state of knowledge in climate change and its potential environmental and socio-economic impacts. In the same year, the UN General Assembly endorsed the action by WMO and UNEP in jointly establishing the IPCC. The IPCC reviews and assesses the most recent scientific, technical and socio-economic information produced worldwide relevant to the understanding of climate change. It does not conduct any research nor does it monitor climate-related data or parameters.*³

Ottmar Edenhofer, an IPCC official, made these revealing statements several days before the 2010 Cancun COP16 summit, saying the agenda driving climate policy is wealth re-distribution, implying global economic socialism:

*“First of all, developed countries have basically expropriated the atmosphere of the world community. But, **one must say clearly that we redistribute de facto, the world’s wealth by climate policy.** Obviously, the owners of coal and oil will not be enthusiastic about this. **One has to free oneself from the illusion that international climate policy is environmental policy. This has almost nothing to do with environmental policy anymore ... Climate policy has almost nothing to do anymore with environmental protection. The next world climate summit in Cancun is actually an economy summit during which the distribution of the world’s resources will be renegotiated. Climate change policy is about how we redistribute de facto the world’s wealth.**”⁴*

The IPCC and Al Gore were joint recipients of the 2007 Nobel Peace Prize for raising awareness about the claimed man-caused “global warming” (the expression used then) crisis. Gore did that by undertaking a travel campaign to show the 2006 documentary, *An Inconvenient Truth*, featuring himself. He stated in the film that global temperature increase **follows** carbon dioxide increase, but science proves the reverse; that temperature increases occur **before** carbon dioxide levels increase, signifying that the human factor in climate change is insignificant. But carbon dioxide is not the only targeted villain. Methane (CH₄) is the main component in natural gas. Even cattle are being targeted for methane from their flatulence and because animal protein production is accused of having an unacceptable carbon footprint.

Furthermore, **naturally occurring water vapour is a more significant contributor to the earth’s greenhouse effect than is carbon dioxide and methane.**

Unlike the IPCC, a UN climate assessment and reporting organization, the *World Economic Forum* (WEF, 1971) is an independent non-governmental organization that promotes public-private partnerships (PPPs) and cooperation generally in order to implement the UN’s *Agenda 2030* seventeen *Sustainable Development Goals* (SDG17). It was adopted by all member states in 2015 for implementation in cooperation with the Paris Accord. SDGs are being implemented downstream to the municipal level in Canada (and beyond) through local Mayors and Councils, making them UN proxies.

The politicized “climate change” agenda’s focus on decarbonizing society and misrepresenting necessary hydrocarbon fuels as “fossil” in origin also implies sub-agendas: population control, “smart city” urbanization, electrification of transportation and nearly everything else, food production control, weather modification, and more. One might then ask: How is the climate agenda consistent with massive unregulated immigration?

Is it possible that decarbonization is actually about something more frightening than climate?

1. wikipedia.org/wiki/Christine_Stewart
2. youtube.com/watch?v=tXJ7UZJFDHUV
3. ipcc.ch/organization/organization.shtml
4. azquotes.com/author/30831-Ottmar_Edenhofer

SUPPORT INDEPENDENT MEDIA WITH A

POSTAL SUBSCRIPTION

OF

DRUTHERS

newspapers straight to your mailbox

FOR

\$119.40

for a 1-year subscription of 3 COPIES of Druthers, delivered monthly. Papers are free, fee is for s/h.

SIGN UP AT:

DRUTHERS.CA/SUBSCRIBE

MAIL TO: PO BOX 40531 SIX POINTS PLAZA TORONTO, ONTARIO M9B 6K8

CHEQUES PAYABLE TO: SHAWN JASON LAPLANTE

ETRANSFER ADDRESS (PLEASE MENTION "SUB" IN MEMO): ADMIN@DRUTHERS.NET

Learn to Opt-Out at Airports

Stop Consenting to Biometric Theft

By Amandha Dawn Vollmer

Full body scanners and facial recognition in airports? Just say no. Learn to say the words “opt out.” Recently my partner and I were traveling to the U.S. since they finally opened the border to the non-poisoned (jabbed)—aww, shucks, thanks so much! I spoke at Music and Sky in California, Confluence in Texas, and Flatoberfest in Las Vegas (and wow, Vegas. Yikes. I will have more to say about this later on).

Coercion is the name of the game. Fear tactics, manipulation, and false flags are their calling card. Why do they work so hard to gain your consent? These are all contract negotiations, as you have free will choice, so they must engineer consent to get you to comply. However, most people are in the game and not even realizing they are playing. The ignorant are the problem as they are being tricked without awareness, bringing down functional society as they complain about it. Evil will do evil things, but it’s up to us to see it and stop it. If you go to the TSA (Transportation Security Administration) website they tell you right there that these technologies are VOLUNTARY.

This has been the new world order long game, of course. One of their main moves was the false flag known as 9/11 to bring in the unconstitutional Patriot Act, which has since expired, however, the surveillance behaviours have not been halted. This is why everyone STILL takes off their shoes at airports despite there being ZERO solid evidence that shoes have security issues, because these maniacs never back down on their tyrannical rules once they are in place. You can expect that plexiglass at the supermarket to stay forever. This is their “death by a thousand cuts” and “boiling frog” method of destruction and control.

Oh, but wait, there’s more! Isn’t it annoying to have to take off your shoes? Ugh. Sucks, right? Well, guess what? As per their pattern of problem, reaction, and solution, they will come up with something so CONVENIENT for you but still keep you SAFE! How about some more millimeter-wave imaging, radar, fingerprinting, retinal scans, facial recognition checkpoints, infrared sensors, and chemical trace sensors for ya? How about we just microchip you and follow your every move? Why don’t we make you behave how we want to with a social credit system, then we know you wouldn’t put anything in the heel of your shoes and would certainly rat on anyone who wants to fight back! Then we won’t annoy you and waste your time anymore. Shiny, fancy new MODERN things. Dontcha want it? The sad thing, most cannot see through this ploy and will accept the microchipping, credit scores, and scanning to save themselves from the minor hassles made for them and in the name of “progress.” From what I saw at the airport through our travels, people just fall right into the trap, almost without any question.

They know that people won’t accept a fully-surveilled, open prison, cashless society, social credit system in one fell swoop. First, you wear them down. Poison them with drugs, vaccines, fluoride, and chemical assaults so they are fat and dumb. Put addictive devices in their hands and bombard them with propagandized movies, TV, and Masonically-controlled actors and musicians. Destroy the food supply, poison the water and the air, manufacture fake climate change to blame it all on the people, and then tax them to death for it.

Tell them you care about “sustainability” as per the UN 2030 Agenda and the WEF (World Economic Forum) Cult while geoengineering massive storms that wipe out full cities (don’t worry, they will build back better with 15-minute SMART city enslavement camps—you’ll like being broke!). Then give them all sorts of distractions from the two-party political charade (with the illusion

use to try and embarrass and shame you, so you think twice before doing it again. Before she yelled she said, “You know, they are perfectly safe, it’s just like a body photo.” Do you mean a body photo where they literally can see all your internal organs? Hmm, no thanks! In hindsight, I should have taken her name and asked her if she would accept all liabilities in case of harm. That would have made her think twice. So far, I haven’t found one long-term safety study for any of these scanners, and their usage carries serious ethical concerns as well as outright constitutional breaches.

Some attendants may harass you or act unprofessionally. Stand your ground, do not yell, stay smiling and peaceful, just repeat yourself until they comply. If they try to delay you, as happened when my partner and I were trying to leave the cesspool of sin that is Las Vegas, be patient, but if it takes too long where they are risking you missing your flight, then get their name and badge number and put them on notice. This is why it is important to have a fee schedule as these are BUSINESS transactions between your PERSON and their corporate, public entity. You have every right to charge for your time and for any damages. You may even want to carry yours with you. Here is my fee schedule¹ if you would like a sample idea.

You can tell them you have a health concern if they need a reason, which is private information. If they ask what that is, ask them if they are a doctor. Again, they have no right to ask you or deny you.

When traveling internationally, they now want to take your biometrics in the form of facial recognition imaging. I saw no one declining other than us. They are measuring your biometric details. Are we sure this information will not be going into AI databases to assist their tracking software and algorithms? Do you honestly trust them? When the attendant asked why I didn’t want to be imaged, I said it was an issue of privacy. She then fell into her condescending training of just repeating what I said in a mocking manner

to try and make me nervous. I just repeated myself. She then did her little programmed task and asked if I was carrying weapons, plants, seeds, pets, or funds over \$10K. I declined and then went on my way.

There are many ways to trick facial recognition software that you may want to employ when entering any of their buildings. Additionally, you can overlay your online images to also fool these systems. With deep fake technology on the rise, we must take full charge of our data and biometrics to protect ourselves from tyranny and also from outright identity theft.

Why are we helping them to enslave us?! It’s madness! So if your passport and actual face aren’t good enough to prove who you are, then they have some explaining to do as that means their security levels are terrible. You want to take *more* of my personal data with the promise that it will be kept safe?! Hahaha, wow, that’s bold. But don’t worry, right? I am sure there have never been massive personal data hacks before. So much SAFE! In his 2017 executive order, Trump ushered in facial recognition software in 15 major U.S. airports. Yeah, like I said, the game is rigged.

We are being bullied and socially engineered to accept this nonsense as convenient and to protect us when it is the exact opposite. Be brave and stand up for yourself before those rights are long gone. It is empowering and strengthening to stand tall within your rights, don’t let them demoralize you and make you into a slave. You are of God, start acting like it.

1. publicnoticeadv.blogspot.com

Originally published at amandhavollmer.substack.com



of choice when it is a totally rigged game), manufacture social disharmony, and grow up controlled opposition to divide and conquer any truth movement that appears to be getting any momentum.

Then fill them with rage from all the injustices, making everyone broke and struggling so they have no time to research and figure out the con. Slowly erase the internet so nothing of worth comes up in searches anymore and you successfully have a dumbed-down, ignorant, slave population. Perfect for megalomaniac mobster gazillionaires to have fun with so they aren’t bored and can continue to offer sacrifices to their demonic gods. It’s work for them, but it’s really not all that difficult to do, as they have mastered understanding human psychology.

How to overcome? We MUST absolutely refuse any of their increasingly creepy, crazy, totalitarian tip-toe offers and stop accepting corruption and tyranny as the “new normal.” We must stop contracting with evil.

Are you a free individual or are you a slave? Do you want a surveillance state? Do you want all of your activities and purchases tracked and traced? Then stop complying! You still do have birth rights and constitutional rights to employ. Use them! Don’t just make it easy for them to destroy mankind and all that is good. You can say no with a smile on your face. No one needs to be hostile about it. Without our support, they have nothing.

When we went through TSA in Texas and told them we wouldn’t go through the full body scanners (we have never been through one in our lives and never will), the attendant yelled out at the top of her lungs “TWO FOR OPT-OUT!” Then, the next attendant yelled the same thing, and so did the third one. They made us targets for all the eyes in the security line. This is a sick tactic they

Advertisements

Quantum Healing Waterfront Lounge

Energy Enhancement System

The EESystem generates a hyper healthy environment that enables the body to naturally heal itself.

Enjoy a unique, cozy, peaceful, soothing experience in our Waterfront country getaway.

Tell us you saw this add and get 20% off

30 minutes from downtown Ottawa

Book your appointment and take the quantum leap to wellness

quantumhealingwaterfrontlounge.ca

819-744-1532

RADICAL

DIGITAL AGENCY

Website Design

We need to stick together and support each other! Most small business have been suffering since Covid, including our own.

We would like to offer our fellow freedom lovers a discount on our web design, graphic design, printing, SEO, and digital marketing packages

Reach out through our website & lets give each other a hand.

www.radicaldigitalagency.com

STRYDER

LOGISTICS

Canada / USA Full Loads & LTL

Reefer, Dry-van, and Flat-Bed

dispatch@stryderlogistics.com 877-430-4790

Time for ACTION

Raise Awareness

Share the Truth

THEY LIED.ca

The “Baffling” Rise in Cancer Cases

By Christof Plothe, DO

Multiple cancer-causing mechanisms have been associated with COVID injections. With the unprecedented rise in cancer cases worldwide, it’s time for an immediate moratorium on the vaccination campaign.

In 2022, there were an estimated 20 million new cancer cases and 9.7 million deaths from cancer. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that about one in five people develop cancer in their lifetimes, and approximately one in nine men and one in twelve women die from the disease (WHO, 2024). The WHO has predicted a rise in cancer rates of 77% by 2050, which represents 35 million new cases. But the actual increase will exceed this by far. The Wall Street Journal reported in January 2024 that “cancer is striking more young people, and that doctors are alarmed and baffled,” (WSJ, 2024) with the reasons for this said to be “unknown.”

In 2020, SARS-CoV-2 gained worldwide attention and has since been discussed as a potential carcinogen (Chambers, 2023). But it is actually since 2021—the year of the so-called COVID-19 “vaccine” rollout—that the rates of onset and progression of cancer changed dramatically worldwide. Due to receiving emergency approval, this gene therapy did not require any carcinogenicity studies at all. Since then, however, countries all over the world have reported a steady rise in new cancer cases.

Due to their sudden onset and rapid progression, these cases are often termed “turbo cancers,” with some appearing just days after the injection (Abdurrahman, 2024; Eens et al., 2023). Over 200 case reports have been written about this new phenomenon (React19, 2024). This year, for the first time, over two million new cancer diagnoses are expected to be made in the USA alone (ACS, 2024).

Carcinogenic COVID gene therapy

More and more studies are confirming that many elements of the COVID-19 gene therapy (“vaccine”) are carcinogenic. But, because our current scientific model takes a reductionistic approach, it is unlikely that the result of the synergistic actions of all these components will ever be investigated. As three-quarters of the world’s population has received this intervention, the scientific evidence already available demands that an investigation be conducted to rule out or confirm its causal relationship to the sudden increase in rates of cancer worldwide.

Many of the potentially problematic elements are ingredients of the mRNA technology. As this is the same technology that is now being promoted as the future of cancer therapy, this poses an essential question for its use in this field.

A recent Japanese paper demonstrated statistically significant increases in age-adjusted mortality rates from cancer as a whole, as well as some specific types of cancer, namely leukemia, as well as ovarian, prostate, lip/oral/pharyngeal, pancreatic, and breast cancers. They were observed in 2022 after two-thirds of the Japanese population had received the third or later dose of the SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-LNP (lipid nanoparticle) vaccine. [i.e., Pfizer and Moderna.] In the opinion of the authors, marked increases in mortality rates of these ERα-sensitive (estrogen receptor alpha) cancers may be attributable to several mechanisms of the mRNA-LNP vaccination, rather than to COVID-19 infection itself, or to reduced cancer care due to the lockdown. (Gibo, 2024) Moderna has even admitted that its mRNA COVID vaccine causes cancer, after billions of DNA fragments were found in vials of the injection. (Exposé, 2024)

Cancer statistics suppressed

While medical practitioners and people all over the world report an unexpected increase in incidences of cancer, in most countries, it has become a challenge to obtain any official data on cancer. In Germany, statistics are available after a five-year delay only. So, we have to rely on independent experts in the field, case studies of individuals, and the occasional provision of data after freedom of information requests, which are usually time-consuming and expensive.


Professor Mustafar, a former WHO panel advisor, drew attention to a worrying health issue that manifested

concomitantly with the vaccine rollout, stating, “I have seen an alarming increase in cancer rates.” (Mustafar, 2024)

Earlier this year, data analyst Edward Dowd shed light on the UK’s annual Personal Independence Payment (PIP) clearances (payments) by body system. (Dowd, 2024) This highly-respected data expert examined different metrics for 2020, 2021, and 2022, and found that, in 2022, hematological (blood-related) claims were up a staggering 522% above trend.

It was not just blood-related disorders that were soaring, however. Among the other red flags, Dowd discovered that deaths from colorectal cancer rose 17% among 15-to-44-year-olds during that time, which was four times the population-wide increase. Uterine cancer deaths rose 37% among 25-to-44-year-olds from 2019 to 2023—15% overall.

Especially troubling is the presence and rise of colorec-



tal cancer in young people. Harvard medical professor Kimmie Ng found that the “steepest rises” were “in the very youngest people, those in their 20s and 30s,” which another cancer expert called “*alarming.*” (Washington Post, 2024) This age group did not experience any risk of colorectal cancer a few years ago.

A recent US study demonstrated a rise in excess mortality from neoplasms as the underlying cause of death, which started in 2020 (1.7%) and accelerated substantially in 2021 (5.6%) and 2022 (7.9%) (Alegria, 2024). Furthermore, Professor Konstantin Beck from the University of Lucerne reported that there has been a doubling of the cancer rate in Switzerland since 2021 (Beck, 2024).

Pathways to Cancer

Whilst doctors and the public are usually told that there is no evidence that the COVID gene therapies cause cancer, the truth is that the manufacturers were never required to investigate this. This is despite testing for carcinogenicity being a standard procedure that is required prior to the approval of a medication.

Professor of Oncology, Angus Dalglish, has repeatedly warned of immune exhaustion via boosters and the use of the oncogenic promotor sequence SV40 in the Pfizer mRNA injections (Dalglish, 2024).

Despite the suppression of information about the contents and mechanisms of the COVID-19 gene therapies, independent researchers have identified a substantial list of “vaccine” ingredients and mechanisms that could potentially result in the development of cancer.

1. Immune System Suppression: The vaccines might alter immune checkpoints crucial for preventing cancer cells from growing. This could weaken the body’s ability to detect and destroy cancer cells.

2. Carcinogenic Lipid Nanoparticles: The lipid nanoparticles themselves increase inflammation and contain substances that are likely carcinogenic and highly toxic.

3. Protein Interactions: The vaccine’s components might interact with proteins that suppress tumors, like p53 and BRCA (1 and 2), which are vital for repairing DNA and controlling cell growth.

4. Cancerous Protein on the S-protein Subunit of the Vaccine: A cytokine (TNFα), in partnership with glycosylated CD147, conspires to create fertile soil for de novo and recurrent cancer.

5. SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination and the Multi-Hit Hypothesis of Oncogenesis: COVID-19 vaccines may

generate a specific environment that can lead to neoplastic transformation that predisposes some (stable) oncologic patients and survivors to cancer progression, recurrence, and metastasis.

6. Interference with Immune Signals: The vaccines could disrupt signals (Type 1 Interferon) that help the immune system respond to infections and abnormal cell growth, potentially affecting how the body fights tumors.

7. “Vaccine” Spike Binding to Estrogen Receptors: The increased mortality rates for these cancers might be caused by cell proliferation mediated by the binding of the spike protein to estrogen receptors.

8. Inflammatory Response: The spike protein in the vaccine might trigger the release of specific growth factors (TGF BETA) that could accelerate the progression of cellular changes from normal to cancerous states.

9. Concerns about Contamination: There are concerns about contamination of the “vaccines” with DNA

sequences that might integrate into the recipient’s DNA, potentially leading to cancer. These sequences come from the manufacturing process and may pose significant risks. In the Pfizer vaccines, a tumor-promoting sequence named SV40 was found in all vials examined.

10. Antibody Composition: Repeated vaccinations might increase a type of antibody (IgG4) associated with a reduced immune response to cancer cells, potentially allowing tumors to evade the immune system more effectively.

11. Unusual Peptide Production: The vaccine might cause the cellular machinery to misread genetic codes, leading to abnormal protein production, which could have unforeseen effects, including potential cancer risks.

12. Modification of Genetic Makeup: The nucleic acid

base Uridine was replaced in both mRNA injections by Pseudouridine, which is a known carcinogen

Call to Label mRNA Technology a Class 1 Carcinogen

Carcinogens are agents that can cause cancer through a multistep process involving the alteration of cellular and genetic mechanisms, leading to the transformation of normal cells into cancer cells. A Class 1 Carcinogen is the highest classification, used when the agent is carcinogenic to humans. As we have seen above, there is ample evidence of multiple potential pathways by which the COVID-19 intervention may cause carcinogenicity in humans.

See ‘Doctors “Baffled”’ p.9

WANT

DRUTHERS

DELIVERED TO
YOUR
NEIGHBOURS'
MAILBOXES?

NOW YOU CAN WITH DRUTHERS
NEIGHBOURHOOD MAIL SERVICE.

IT'S NEVER BEEN EASIER TO MAKE A BIG
DIFFERENCE IN YOUR COMMUNITY.

NOW YOU CAN HAVE COPIES OF DRUTHERS
NEWSPAPERS DELIVERED RIGHT TO
MAILBOXES IN YOUR NEIGHBOURHOOD AND
WAKE UP YOUR COMMUNITY MORE QUICKLY.
WE MAKE ALL THE ARRANGEMENTS SO ALL
YOU NEED TO DO IS, DECIDE HOW MANY
ADDRESSES YOU WOULD LIKE DELIVERED TO
AND WHICH POSTAL CODE AREA YOU WOULD
LIKE BLANKETED, AND THAT'S IT...
WE'LL TAKE CARE OF THE REST.

DRUTHERS.CA/NEIGHBOURHOOD

Scorched Churches and Cancelled Statues

By Gerald Heinrichs

The giant Buddhas of Bamiyan were built in the 5th century. Those revered statues were blown up in 2001. Afghanistan’s Ministry of Virtue and Vice was instructed to destroy the Buddha statues “by any means available” and so they used dynamite. That destruction order spread out to hundreds of relics across the country. More than 2,700 artworks were destroyed at the Afghan National Museum.

Afghanistan’s religious leaders stated that the Buddhist objects had to be “smashed” because they were “contrary to Islam.” One official explained that right-thinking Afghan people “do not need these statues.”

Canadian leaders spoke out in 2001. The Foreign Affairs office issued a strong condemnation against the Taliban government and urged “tolerance.” At the same time, *The Globe and Mail* called the Afghan events “cultural vandalism.” *The Edmonton Journal* called them “barbarism against history and culture.” And *The Ottawa Citizen* lamented that Afghanistan was “ruled by savages and religious apes and fanatics.” Any approval of the Taliban wreckage was unthinkable.

But that was then. In recent years Canada has been walking through its own story of destruction.

In February 2024 Regina’s Blessed Sacrament Church became, since 2021, **the one-hundredth vandalized church** in Canada. A gas-carrying arsonist was captured on video and his masked face made headlines around the world. The 100 church attacks were country-wide. Fourteen churches served congregations on Indian reserves. Eleven Calgary churches were hit on a single day. And at least 13 churches were burned to the ground. These were altogether unparalleled and tragic events.

Meanwhile, about 100 metres from Blessed Sacrament

Church sits an empty corner in Regina’s Victoria Park. In 2021, the city council voted to remove the statue of Sir John A. MacDonald. The statue had been defaced and protested for weeks previous. Regina city council was only one of many to remove a MacDonald statue. Other city councils did the same in Charlottetown, Victoria, Baden, Kingston, and Picton.



Aside from those city council removals though, angry mobs destroyed other MacDonald statues. This happened in Montreal, Toronto, and Hamilton. At about the same time, multiple schools across Canada named after MacDonald changed their names. And in Saskatoon, John A. MacDonald Road was erased and replaced with Miyo-wâhkôhtowin Road. One by one, remembrances of the first prime minister vanished across the country.

Compared to the Afghan destructions though, there was a short supply of Canadian outrage over these events. Regarding the church fires, the executive direc-

tor of the B.C. Civil Liberties Association said, “burn it all down.” Former Trudeau advisor Gerald Butts went on social media to jeer, “It’s understandable.” And at least three resolutions by Ottawa MP’s seeking to condemn the church attacks were voted down.

Meanwhile, the media site Kingstonist.com reports there was “music and dancing” when the MacDonald statue was removed in Kingston. YouTube videos show the Montreal crowd cheering as a mob pulls down the MacDonald statue and its head comes off. Former opposition leader Andrew Scheer held an outdoor rally defending the MacDonald statues and, in response, the *Regina Leader Post* called him “tone-deaf” and “comical.”

Canada’s seismic shift happened in May 2021. That was when the Kamloops Indian Band made the shocking claim of 215 “missing children” buried on the grounds of the local Indian residential school. That claim unleashed an alarming force in Canada. The church and statue attacks appeared to be unstoppable. Writing in the *National Post*, Conrad Black described the force as “unwarranted self-hate” and “a whirlpool of self-defamation.” Or as Friedrich Nietzsche wrote, those who suffer will seek out “the sweet honey of revenge.”

In later months though, the Kamloops grave claim was greatly disputed. The *New York Post* proclaimed it the “Biggest Fake News Story in Canada.” And a similar repudiation is laid out in *Grave Error*, Canada’s current-best-selling book. But many people reject these publications. So today two sides are standing apart almost like night and day.

It may be years before the nation can calmly talk about what has happened since 2021. A cascade of passion still covers the present. In the meantime, the road to truth and reconciliation is a troubled one.

Gerald Heinrichs is a lawyer in Regina, Saskatchewan.

Smart City Geofencing

By Joe Bantam

There has been a lot of talk about geofencing and advertisements glorifying its benefits. I thought I’d write this post to educate about geofencing from a programmer’s perspective (and someone that has developed and implemented it in games many times), along with its dangers in this new world order.

Geofencing is a technology that creates virtual boundaries or zones around a specific geographical area using GPS (Global Positioning System), RFID (Radio Frequency Identification), Wi-Fi, or cellular data. It allows software to trigger a response when a mobile device enters or exits these defined zones.

Here’s a straightforward breakdown of how geofencing works, in layman’s terms:

Defining the Area: First, a virtual boundary is set around a geographical location using mapping software. This boundary can be as small as a few feet across or as large as a city.

Technology Integration: The geofence is powered by GPS or other technologies like RFID, Wi-Fi, or cellular data, which can detect the location of devices.

Device Detection: When a mobile device that has the appropriate software installed (like an app) enters or leaves the geofenced area, the technology detects this movement based on the device’s location data.

Triggering Actions: Upon entering or exiting the geofence, specific actions are triggered in the software. These can include sending notifications, alerts, or even adjusting settings on the device.


Applications: Geofencing is used in various applications, from marketing (sending promotional messages) to security (alerting when someone enters a restricted area), smart home applications (turning on lights when you’re nearing home), and more.

Under an oppressive government, geofencing tech-

nology could be integrated with various control and surveillance mechanisms, such as social credit scores, personal carbon credits, central bank digital currencies (CBDCs), digital IDs, and artificial intelligence (AI), to monitor, restrict, and manipulate the behaviour of citizens in pervasive ways that affect personal freedom and privacy.

Here’s how such a system might work:

Movement Control: Geofencing could be used to restrict where individuals can go. For instance, if someone’s social credit score drops below a certain threshold, their movement might be restricted to certain



areas. Geofences could alert authorities if the individual attempts to enter or leave specific zones, such as leaving a city or entering a restricted area.

Resource Allocation: Linking personal carbon credits to geofencing might restrict access to certain resources like transportation. If an individual exceeds their carbon usage quota, geofencing could prevent their vehicles from starting or entering high-emission zones.

Economic Restrictions: With the integration of CBDCs, spending can be geographically restricted. For example, if a government wants to limit economic activ-

ity in a certain area or prevent the flow of money out of a region, geofencing could disable transactions when a digital wallet is used outside of the approved areas.

Enforcement of Laws and Norms: Geofencing could be used to enforce compliance with local laws or norms set by the government. If a person enters an area where certain behaviours are restricted, AI-powered surveillance systems could monitor for non-compliance and automatically deduct points from their social credit score or impose fines directly through CBDCs.

Surveillance and Tracking: Combined with digital IDs, geofencing can be used for detailed tracking of individuals’ movements. AI algorithms can analyze movement patterns to predict and detect unusual behaviours, potentially flagging individuals for investigation without any human oversight.

Social Engineering and Segregation: Geofencing could be employed to enforce segregation based on social credit, ethnicity, political beliefs, or economic status, by creating invisible barriers that segregate communities physically and economically.

Behaviour Modification and Control: By offering or denying access to services, areas, or resources, based on behaviour, the government could condition and control the behaviour of the populace. For example, access to public parks, cultural events, or even certain businesses, could be granted or denied based on social credit scores or other behavioural metrics.

Emergency Lockdowns and Crowd Control: In situations deemed emergencies by the government, geofencing could be used for immediate lockdowns of areas, controlling where crowds can gather, or even directing the flow of movement, during protests or uprisings to manage and suppress dissent.

Originally published at BantamJoe.com

Large Scale Immigration

Continued from p.1

8. Declining Social Cohesion

Social cohesion is a measure of the strength of the bonds linking members of a group to each other—and to the group itself. As ethnic and cultural diversity increases because of large-scale immigration, the number and severity of society’s cultural fault lines increases.

One way in which this is manifesting in Canadian society is brawls between or within diaspora groups. On September 2nd, 2023, about 150 Eritreans clashed in the parking lot of Calgary’s Falconridge Plaza, armed with sticks and pipes. Similar clashes occurred in Edmonton and Toronto. The origin of the disputes was a difference of views about the government in Eritrea. In November of 2023, viral videos emerged of a clash between Hindus and Sikhs on Diwali in Mississauga.

9. Incompatible Cultural Practices

Most of Canada’s immigration now comes from India, Asia, Africa, and the Middle East. While culturally rich in their own way, cultures and religions in these regions often hold values diametrically opposed to those of Anglo Canadian and Quebecois society. The result is the import of behaviours incompatible with—or even offensive to—the Canadian way of life, such as:

A furniture store in Richmond, British Columbia, advertising for a “Chinese sales person.”

A daycare worker in the Quebec city region discovering, while changing a young girl’s diaper, that she had been the victim of female genital mutilation.

The revelation in Quebec that three schools had set up Muslim prayer rooms, segregated by gender.

The construction of a 55-foot-tall statue of Hanuman, a Hindu god and “commander of the monkey army,” in Brampton, Ontario.

Discriminatory rental ads specifying that apartments or houses will be rented to “Indians only.”

The controversial spread of massive Chinese “monster homes” in Vancouver, British Columbia.

10. Erosion of National Identity

By 2036, immigrants are projected to make up about 30% of the Canadian population. By 2050, roughly half the country’s population will be non-white. In some areas, these projections have already been reached or surpassed. In Brampton, Ontario, 65% of the population is South Asian. Richmond, British Columbia, became majority Chinese in 2016. In Quebec, the French language is in serious decline because of large scale immigration.

If immigration targets remain unchanged, there will be a dramatic change in the country’s ethnic, cultural, and linguistic composition. Many citizens, both native-born and immigrants, will be uncomfortable with a change at this rate and scale to the country they know and love. To make matters worse, the successive federal governments, which have overseen Canada’s policy of large-scale immigration, have never consulted Canadians on whether they actually want this kind of change.

What do you think?

Originally published at dominionreview.ca

Also read Riley Donovan's eye-opening report on the Century Initiative at: [dominionreview.ca/century-initiative-the-lobbyists-that-want-to-raise-canadas-population-to-100-million/](https://www.dominionreview.ca/century-initiative-the-lobbyists-that-want-to-raise-canadas-population-to-100-million/)

Editor's Note: *I can almost hear our naysayers already, before this article is even printed. They will try to call us racist. It's their typical playbook. I would like to state, we are not racist whatsoever. We truly love all good humans. Good humans come in all shapes, sizes, colours and from all parts of the world. We are also not at all against immigration. We are for responsible immigration. Some of our core crew and many of our volunteers have immigrated to Canada. A great many of our first paper boys and paper girls were people who fled from a tyrannical government in their country to find a better life here. So when Covid began, many of those folks were the first to recognize the signs and they eagerly jumped into this project to help raise awareness. Canada is ethnically diverse and that is a beautiful thing. But, I believe we must look more closely at the current, large scale immigration policies (and the people making those policies) and reconsider our current trajectory. We could debate whether or not the policy makers are intentionally harming this country. Regardless, we must find ways to change course or we are likely to face an ever-increasingly difficult life experience for almost EVERYONE in Canada. - Shawn Jason*

Doctors “Baffled”

Continued from p.7

Conclusion

Before rolling out a medical intervention, especially globally, its efficacy and safety must be assured. We have known for a long time that neither of these criteria were fulfilled in the case of the COVID-19 gene therapy (vaccine) programme. In fact, the injection makes it more likely that the recipient will catch COVID-19, does not prevent severe disease progression or death (Fürst et al., 2024), and, depending on the study, has caused between a few and 17 million deaths so far.

Cancer usually takes many months to years to develop. The fact that already, since the start of the “vaccination” programme, cancers have been associated with the injections, is more than concerning. Clinicians all over the world have been reporting new occurrences and reoccurrences of cancers related to the injections in all age groups.

So far, the pathophysiology requires that the COVID-19 “vaccine” be classified as a Class 1 Carcinogen. Continuing to give booster shots increases the risks associated with the injection even further, considering the mechanisms listed above. Extensive pharmacodynamic, pharmacokinetic, and genotoxicity evaluations, as well as population-based observational studies to assess the potential carcinogenic risk posed by the genetic vaccines and to understand all its pathogenic mechanisms, must be initiated. Until then, an immediate moratorium on the use of these “vaccines” and an honest scientific debate on the entire COVID-19 “vaccine” programme, must be initiated.

Pulling the Curtain on the Wizard of Oz

By Guy @GalacticJack

In The Wizard of Oz, the Straw Man represents that fictional ALL CAPS legal fiction—The PERSON. He wanted a brain but got a Certificate —The Birth Certificate.

The Tin Man—The TIN (Taxpayer Identification Number). He was a robotic avatar who worked tirelessly until his body literally froze up and stopped functioning. The heartless and emotionless robot creature who worked himself to death because he had no heart or soul.

The Cowardly Lion was a bully, but was actually a true coward when someone stood up to him, like most bullies. He lacked true courage and in the end, the Wizard gave him an Official Recognition Award—Authority and Status.

The Wizard of Oz used magic, smoke, flames, and holograms, but it was all tricks and illusions to push fear and compliance to make people do what he commanded. The truth is, the Wizard had NO real power and only used illusions to create false power and authority.

The Wicked Witch pushed fear through intimidation. She was after Toto and controlled the flying monkey police, the policy enforcers, and the mischievous

demons—which also represents the BAR Association—who attack and control all the little people for the Great “Crown” Wizard, the crooked Bankers of Oz, obsessed with gold. Oz is the abbreviation for ounce and the yellow brick road represents ingots of gold.

In the field of poppies, they were not REAL humans, so drugs had no effect on them, but Dorothy was drugged.

The Wizard of Oz was written at the time when Rockefeller and Big Pharma began to take over medicine and education. The Crown was actually the largest drug dealer, and after their take-over of drug distribution in China, they began to expand all around the world.

Toto was what the Wicked Witch was really after. Toto in Latin means “in total.” Toto exposed the Wizard of Oz and had no fear, despite being very small compared to the Great Wizard, so no one noticed him. Toto pulled the curtain on the Wizard and his magical scams. “Curtain” also means the End of an Act or scene! He pulled the curtain and started barking until others paid attention, hypothetically giving everyone the “Red Pill.” The curtain hid the corporate legal fiction and its false courts.

So, no matter how small your bark is, it can be heard!

Originally published on X at @GalacticJack

Websites of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us. You can visit druthers.ca to get in touch.

vaccinechoicecanada.com	action4canada.com
freedomrising.info	theylied.ca
librti.com	americasfrontlinedoctors.com
worlddoctorsalliance.com	constitutionalconventions.ca
thehighwire.com	stopworldcontrol.com
corbettreport.com	evidencenotfear.com
pressfortruth.ca	activistpost.com
stand4thee.com	standupcanada.solutions
awarriorcalls.com	lauralynn.tv
takeactioncanada.ca	libertycoalitioncanada.com
brightlightnews.com	awakecanada.org
nationalcitizensinquiry.ca	vaccineregret.net
shelaw.ca	canadiancovidcarealliance.org
swprs.org	canadahealthalliance.org
geoengineeringwatch.org	childrenshealthdefense.org
howbad.info	redacted.inc
thepowershift.ca	globalwalkout.com
globalresearch.ca	realnotrare.com
genocideblog.com	mamabearsproject.com

Last Updated February 2024

Personal note

Of course, we appreciate that “correlation is not causation.” However, in our small practice alone, cancer has become so commonplace that it is now the “daily bread” of the treatments we offer. For the first time in 30 years of clinical practice, we are seeing cases of cancer in the eyes, leukemia in newborns, multiple cancers in one breast, and entire families with cancer. Our medical boards and health agencies are, on average, three-quarters sponsored by the pharmaceutical industry. We cannot rely on them to investigate the actual causes of the explosion of cancer worldwide. We therefore ask you to please support independent researchers and the World Council for Health in our pursuit of the truth.

Christof Plothe is a Doctor of Osteopathy (DO), and a Steering Committee Member of the World Council for Health.
Originally published at worldcouncilforhealth.substack.com

Advertisement

www.shrewviews.com



A community of maskless freedom lovers who are drawn together by insightful articles and inspiring discussion



The Rising Price of Food

By Tea Lynn Moore

Last week, CBC reported that the average price of formula has jumped 30% in Canada over the last two years. Kirkland formula, they gave as an example, went from \$28.99 in 2022 to \$43.99 in 2024. “*The supply lasts about three days.*” For other brands tailored to dietary sensitivities, such as lactose, the price can be closer to \$70. So how did we get here?

If you are a human being, living and eating in Canada for these past couple of years, it will be no surprise for you to hear that food prices are increasing at a staggering rate.

According to the Centre for Future Work economist, Jim Stanford, grocery profits are going up, even though Canadians are buying fewer groceries than they did before the pandemic. And that’s even more astounding because the Canadian population has grown a lot since then. There are now 2.5 million more mouths to feed in Canada today compared to when the pandemic hit. We are buying less groceries today—simply because we cannot afford it.

A key problem is that Canada’s grocery sector is overwhelmingly controlled by three major players. The three biggest supermarket chains own almost two-thirds of the market.

1. George Weston Limited (Loblaws) is the largest of the three. It operates a range of well-known supermarket brands, including Loblaws, No Frills, Fortinos, Provigo, Valu-Mart, Your Independent Grocer, Zehrs, Real Canadian Superstore, Dominion, and Shoppers Drug Mart/Pharmaprix.

2. Empire Company (Sobeys) manages Sobeys,

Safeway, Farm Boy, FreshCo, IGA, Thrifty Foods, Longo’s, and Foodland.

3. Metro Inc. includes Metro, SuperC, Adonis, Food Basics, and a few others.

These conglomerates are more than just grocery providers—they also produce their own brands of products.

The consolidation of the food industry has resulted in a market dominated by only a few key players, creating a situation akin to a quasi-monopoly. This limited competition allows these major companies to set higher prices

tigation into one of the largest price-fixing scandals in Canadian history.

Pre-pandemic, the entire retail food sector in Canada made about 2.4 billion in net income. In 2023, that number more than doubled to over \$6 billion—a record in the industry. And while inflation reached a 40-year-high after the pandemic, food prices rose even higher—using the pandemic as an excuse to raise prices across the board.

Time and again, big companies tell us that if they could only get bigger, they would pass savings on to consumers. When has this ever happened?

Instead, they give money back to their investors and reward executives—like Mr. Per Bank, CEO of Loblaws, who made a whopping \$22.1 million in 2023. That’s 586 times more than the amount a full-time Loblaws cashier worker makes in a year.

What all of these corporations have in common is they always want to get bigger. Why? Because when consumers have fewer choices, corporations can force us to pay higher prices. This is especially true with food, something every one of us needs to survive.

We do not need the “big three” to survive. While our country is currently going through a great awakening to the corporatocracy that is ruling Canada (and the world), there is something we can do in the meantime. On an

individual level, we can empower ourselves by supporting local farmers and cultivating our own gardens. By choosing local markets and participating in community-supported agriculture, we contribute to a food system independent of corporate giants and promote sustainability.



without fear of losing customers to cheaper alternatives. And that is exactly what they have done.

We all saw what monopolies can do to the market in last year’s bread scandal, when Canada Bread (McCain) admitted to colluding with rival Weston Foods (Loblaws) to set Canadian bread prices, ending a seven-year inves-

Bill C-63, the Online Harms Act

By Peter Taras

Bill C-63 purports to prevent harms to children. This would appear to be a good thing. Who doesn’t want to protect children? Consider that the people looking to pass Bill-C-63 are the same people who passed Bill C-4, which legalized the gender transitioning of minors and criminalized parents for trying to persuade their own children not to gender transition, so immediately I am skeptical of their definition of what it means to “protect.”

Much of this bill focuses on online sexual exploitation of minors, which every reasonable person would condemn. If this bill truly wanted to protect children, then they should clearly define what constitutes an offense of “online sexual exploitation of minors.” Instead, the bill uses shaky language such as “hate crime offence” and offences “motivated by hatred.”

Can we protect children more by strengthening government or strengthening families?

Another concern is that Part 2 of the Act redefines hate speech to include offensive language.

Who defines what is offensive?

Anyone who has a thought contrary to your own can be considered “offensive.”

If you believe that abortion is not healthcare, eutha-

nasia is not compassion, genital mutilation of children is not “gender affirming care,” and Covid-19 vaccines are not 100% safe and effective, then according to Bill C-63 you are now spreading misinformation. And since children need to be protected from these hateful ideas, the offender requires jail time or fines. Also, anyone who does not “follow the Science” is subject to criminal penalty.

Would Bill C-63 and the creation of a new government bureaucracy prevent any child from committing suicide due to cyber-bullying? The erroneous notion exists, by some, that social media platforms have a duty to protect your children. That duty ultimately lies with parents. Parents who are involved with their children every day and who control screen time are far less likely to encounter so-called cyber-bullying. Creating another layer of government bureaucracy with the veneer of virtuosity may help to make some people feel good, but it will not do anything to protect children and their families.

Our government is intent on destroying the family by promoting transgenderism, discouraging marriage, encouraging abortion, and making children reliant on government rather than on their parents. By continuing to outsource parenting to government, the family unit is weakened further, therefore magnifying the problem. A strong country is built by empowering families and indi-

viduals, not by empowering government.

Any government that believes it protects children by mutilating their genitals is not a government I can trust to protect my children. It is not the job of government to raise your children, it is the job of government to protect your rights so that you can raise your children according to your values.


Politicians now want to protect children from religion, morals, and family values. Bill C-63 will, in fact, criminalize the very things that this country was built on. During the pandemic, truth was censored and now our government wants to go one step further and punish any truth-tellers.

Totalitarianism has always been defended by the phrases: “to protect the common good,” or “to protect the children,” or “for your health and safety.”

There are at least 50 million corpses to prove that the ideologies of Marxism and Fascism that limit free speech and control government’s ability to control speech have never worked.

These ideologies are very cunning and have subverted the intellect of the smartest people.

Bill C-63 undermines human dignity through compelled speech and thought, and if it passes, it will continue to unravel the threads of our country.



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
May 2024 circulation: 250,000 copies Canada-wide
+35,000 extra copies last month via Neighbourhood Mail
Original content DRUTHERS 2024®
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Administrator: Christie-Lynn
Editors: Michelle & Lynn
Layout & Design: Michael Choo-Chong
Special Thanks: David Bolton
Extra big thanks to: Kristy, Bob, Jessica, Geraldine, Adam, Shelagh & so many more...
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net
“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you.
Keep on passing it on.”

– Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS.

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

Please give what you can: druthers.ca/donate Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

How Corrupt is the Peer Review Process?

By Shellie Troy

When we hear the claim that science has been peer reviewed, we automatically accept it as respectable and factual, carefully vetted by scholars with integrity, whose task is a long process of fastidious scrutiny before the information is approved—the kind of process we can trust. But is it trustworthy? Turns out, not really. There are so many problems with peer reviews that the process has been revealed as a “zombie lie”: a falsehood that is embedded in people’s minds and the culture as immortal. It refuses to die, just like a zombie, regardless of what people believe and say about it. A few professionals, however, have sounded the alarm. “The major advantage of a peer review process is that peer-reviewed articles provide a trusted form of scientific communication. Since scientific knowledge is cumulative and builds on itself, this trust is particularly important. Unfortunately, the recent explosion in online only/electronic journals has led to mass publication of a large number of scientific articles with little or no peer review. This poses significant risk to advances in scientific knowledge and its future potential.”¹

A great deal more is at risk. “Science,” arrived at by substandard methods, then published by unscrupulous periodicals within an endless churn of profit-driven media, has created the perfect storm for it to be used for brainwashing, propaganda, disinformation campaigns, and tyrannical ends. Sheer volume and the “publish or perish” imperative are driving the problem. “There are more than 30,000 academic journals globally, with the number projected to increase another 5,000 by 2025. Every year, more than 5 million research documents are published in academic books, journal articles, conference papers, white papers and technical papers, theses and dissertations.”² Computer-generated papers are flooding academia making it difficult—if not impossible—for scholars to keep up with new information in their field. And there simply aren’t enough peer reviewers.

In an attempt to bridge these gaps, companies like Rubriq have sprung up. Rubriq “operates under an author-pays model, in which the author pays a fee [>\$200] to have their manuscript undergo a double-blind peer review by three expert academic reviewers...”³ (Double blind means the names of reviewers and authors are unknown to each other.) Rubriq’s peer review takes about two weeks and reviewed material can then be shopped around to various publications without the need for subsequent peer reviews. It’s the fast food, profit-driven, mass consumption version of academic publication for busy people trying to cope with information overload. Author-paid reviews are not the only way the profit motive has leaked in. “This overload has enabled the rise of predatory journals that publish anything for a fee, undermining quality control in academic publishing.”⁴

Newsweek published an article exposing multi-millions in royalties being paid through back channels, to journals and scientists. Their reporter’s requests for information have been thwarted by endless lawfare. “It’s unconscionable for NIH [National Institutes of Health] leaders to blanket the airwaves making public health proclamations meant to be above politics or reproach,

while this secret stream of payments continues unabated.”⁵

Science conferences are another area of corruption. “Such conferences often accept any paper sent in, regardless of its credibility or the prevalence of errors, because the more papers they accept, the more money they can make from author registration fees.”⁶

The publishing industry has known about these problems for years. In 2011, a Guardian article noted that “any paper, however bad, can now get published in a journal that claims to be peer-reviewed.”⁷ “Critics also argue that peer review is not effective at detecting errors. Highlighting



this point, an experiment by Godlee et al. published in the British Medical Journal (BMJ) inserted eight deliberate errors into a paper that was nearly ready for publication and then sent the paper to 420 potential reviewers. Of the 420 reviewers that received the paper, 221 (53%) responded, the average number of errors spotted by reviewers was two, no reviewer spotted more than five errors, and 35 reviewers (16%) did not spot any.”⁸

Noting the trends, a trio of grads from MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) decided to conduct an experiment and created a computer program that generated fake articles and then presented them as scientific. Nature, one of the world’s most prestigious science journals, published the fake articles. If Nature gets it wrong, just how much junk science is circulating? “Everyone wants to publish in Nature, because it’s seen as a passport to promotion and funding. The Nature Publishing Group has cashed in by starting dozens of other journals with Nature in the title.”⁹

Publishing fraudulent articles has become so common that journals now simply retract the frauds—quietly—which is what Nature did, presumably to protect its reputation and income. But by that time, the information is already out there.

Retraction Watch (RW) was formed to throw sunlight on stealth retractions. RW tracks, catalogues, and publishes an extensive list; about the subject of Covid alone there have been 414 retractions thus far.¹⁰ Their website is an impressive, extensive resource, and yet they only catch one drop in an ocean of information and the public never hears about any of it. Which brings us to the so-called climate crisis. In 2009, in what’s known as Climategate, “more than 1,000 e-mails between scien-

tists at the Climate Research Unit of the U.K.’s University of East Anglia were stolen and made public by a hacker. Climate skeptics are claiming that they show scientific misconduct that amounts to the complete fabrication of man-made global warming.”¹¹

Misconduct allegations were vociferously denied, of course, but there’s no denying that for decades, climate “experts” have been predicting disasters that don’t happen: sea levels will rise, coastal cities will submerge, Arctic will be ice-free, polar bears will go extinct, humans only have fifteen years left to live, this or that country is warming twice as fast as the rest of the world, etc. They’ve been crazy wrong and yet the disinformation is swept along by the media churn—not to mention feverish, worshipping fans.

What a soap opera “the science” must be. As it is with every other human endeavor, peer reviewing has many pitfalls: personal bias, animosity, incredulity, competition, jealousy, rancor, plagiarism, aggression, fear, and greed; but also duty, pride, integrity, respect for peers, building knowledge, desire for relevance and promotion, belonging, hierarchy, and status, not to mention a liveable income.

It’s often said about peer reviewing that, despite all the warts, it’s still the best system we’ve got. Which isn’t good enough. Also, often said, is that “there’s scientific consensus” and that “the science is settled.” Again, not good enough. Settled science means bought-off science, vested-interest science, dead science.

It means there’s a corrupted club of scientists who shun and silence any new research which contradicts or refutes their preferred, protected canon. And it means that the application of bioengineering in our atmosphere, which could very well be causing extreme weather events, is being completely ignored. In short, the field of science appears to have succumbed to the rot and degradation we’re seeing in all major institutions.

Zombie lies characterize much of what we’ve taken for granted as truthful and trustworthy. If peer reviewing is failing us, it’s failing us in an epic manner and can no longer be considered a safeguard. Covid “experts” taught us that trusting them, without researching the veracity of their claims, is no longer smart, safe, or wise. Worse, the manufactured climate crisis is fast becoming a lynchpin for global tyranny—already we’re hearing the WHO (World Health Organization) and WEF (World Economic Forum) and some politicians talking about climate crisis lockdowns. Fraudulent science is being weaponized against the Earth and her people.

Sources

1. & 6. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4975196/
2. & 4. & 9. publishingstate.com/how-many-journal-articles-have-been-published/2023
3. rubriqblog.wordpress.com
5. newsweek.com/we-desperately-need-more-accountability-nih-opinion-1707135
7. theguardian.com/science/2011/sep/05/publish-perish-peer-review-science
8. besteditproof.com/en/academy/peer-reviewed-journals-definition-and-importance
10. retractionwatch.com/retracted-coronavirus-covid-19-papers
11. factcheck.org/2009/12/climategate/

Climate Change Lemmings

By Dave Plumb

In 1958, a Hollywood producer brought some lemmings to a site on the edge of the Bow River near Calgary, Alberta. He took some close-up video of a small group of them running through snow on a big, spinning turntable. The film he produced claims that the lemmings are in mass migration in the Arctic.

People knowledgeable about lemmings noted that these lemmings were a non-migratory species.

The producer then took the lemmings to the edge of a high riverbank, which he called a cliff, overlooking the Bow River, which he called the Arctic Ocean. There, he released them with people behind the scenes stomping and banging about to so terrify the little lemmings that they fled over the riverbank and fell into the Bow River to escape the two-legged monsters they perceived as mortal danger.

Thus was created the pervasive societal belief in migrating lemmings periodically committing mass suicide, throwing themselves off cliffs to drown in the Arctic Ocean. It’s common knowledge. It just happens not to

be true.

In Hollywood, creating façades good enough to convince people to believe what you want them to believe supersedes truth and facts. A few sticks prop up the façades from behind, but they’re essentially information vacuums. Hollywood prefers that we not look behind the façades.

In 1958, the film *White Wilderness* won an Academy Award for Walt Disney. If a producer today were to make a film using those methods, he would not win an Academy Award. He would win a substantial fine for animal cruelty and prison time to reflect upon his evil ways. We’ve progressed socially in the last several decades. We’re more enlightened now. We no longer treat lemmings or any other animals like that.

Now, we treat children like that.

Oh, we don’t force them to run around on snow-covered turntables and bail out over the banks of the Bow River. That would be too blatant. We’re much more subtle, sophisticated, and sneakier than that now.

We have replaced the lemmings with students.

We have replaced the turntable with classrooms.

We have replaced the human monsters terrifying the lemmings with climate change terrorists at the fronts of classrooms where once stood teachers.

We have replaced the physical “cliff” of the Bow River with a mental, emotional, and psychological cliff of climate change emergency, crisis, catastrophe, disaster, and other alarming descriptions.

We have replaced the “stomping and banging about” with a curriculum featuring tall tales of impending climate change doom that send children fleeing in terror over the mental, emotional, and psychological cliff of climate change Armageddon to drown in an ocean of ADHD (Anxiety, Depression, Hopelessness, and Despair).

I get such outlandish notions from the same source that almost everybody in our society gets information about climate change: the mainstream media.

Those are the facts. Make of them what you will.

Dave Plumb is the author of *Inconveniently Screwed! and Climate Essentials*. You can find him at inconvenientlyscrewed.com

Absurdity Observer

Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- Secret geo-engineering project revealed: In a supposed effort to stop global warming, **researchers from the University of Washington have launched trillions of salt particles into the atmosphere with the intent of blocking sunlight from earth.** According to the New York Times, the organizers didn't widely announce this experiment because they were *"concerned that critics would try to stop them."* The concept of blocking the sun isn't anything new. Back in 2022, a company called *Make Sunsets* launched a weather balloon that released toxic sulfur dioxide into the air to block the sun.
- **Canada's Public Health Agency auctioned off brand new ventilators, valued at just over \$22,000 each, for only \$6 for scrap metal,** according to Blacklock's Reporter. Out of the 40,000 ventilators Health Canada purchased at the height of the plandemic, only 500 were ever used.
- **The Canadian Federal Government destroyed 74M doses—worth \$2.1 billion—almost a third of all COVID-19 vaccine doses** that have been received as of yet. A report released on April 8th by Public Services and Procurement Canada says a total of \$10.6 billion for 353.5 million doses were purchased through advanced purchase agreements—enough to vaccinate every Canadian over eight times—but nearly half of those dose have either been forfeited, donated, destroyed, or have yet to make it into inventory.
- **Îles-de-la-Madeleine in the Gulf of St. Lawrence has become the first municipality in Canada to officially require a QR code to enter and leave.** After an outpouring of criticism from the town's residents, officials say that the requirement of a QR code to enter or leave the archipelago will apply to all tourists and that residents must show their driver's license to enter or leave. This change coincidentally comes within months of Trudeau completing his \$40 million pledge to the archipelago's fisheries.
- **Kraft Heinz spokesperson says to ignore high lead levels found in Lunchables since the product is nutritious.** Consumer Reports called on the US Department of Agriculture to remove Lunchables from the US National School Lunch Program after finding *"high levels of lead"* in the kits—an element known to cause developmental delays in children. In defense of their product, a Kraft Heinz spokesperson stated that, *"the classification of foods should be based on scientific evidence that includes an assessment of the nutritional value of the whole product, not restricted to one element."*
- **More than a year's worth of rain fell in a day on the Arabian Peninsula, one of the world's driest regions, after a suspected UAE cloud seeding experiment.** According to initial reports by Bloomberg, Ahmed Habib, a specialist meteorologist at the *National Center of Meteorology* in the UAE, admitted that his center conducted a "cloud seeding"

experiment (a method of stimulating precipitation by injecting clouds with tiny particles that moisture can attach to—those droplets then merge and multiply) daily preceding the storm. Bloomberg has since retracted that part of their report, and now blames climate change for the France-sized storm.

- In the latest example of growing authoritarianism, **a new Scottish law criminalizes the "stirring up of" hatred against some groups—even when they cause**

affirming" medications and surgeries continue to be offered to children around the world.

- **The FDA has detected a safety signal for seizures in young children following mRNA COVID-19 vaccination,** according to a study published in JAMA (*Journal of the American Medical Association*) (Hu et al.). Researchers found that children aged 2-5 who received an mRNA vaccine were far more likely to have a febrile seizure within a day of receiving a shot.

This study's results are similar to the results of a medRxiv preprint study published last month (Forshee et al.), also funded by the FDA.

- **Young people who received multiple COVID-19 jabs were significantly more likely to die than those who skipped the shots,** according to data from the UK's Office for National Statistics (ONS) (dataset: Deaths by vaccination status, England). An analysis by The Exposé revealed that the youngest age group in the data set, those ages 18 to 39 yo, who received four doses of a COVID vaccine were 256% more likely to die when compared to their unvaccinated counterparts.

- A new WEF report says **98% of the world's central banks are looking into eliminating cash and implementing CBDCs (Central Bank Digital Currencies).** The World Economic Forum released a report on 16 April claiming 98 per cent of the world's central banks, including the US Federal Reserve and the Bank of Canada, are either researching, piloting, or have already started to deploy the globalists' long-awaited dream of a cashless society.

- **Some RBC banks are going cashless.** RBC has started to switch several banks over into "cash at atm only" banks - where the bank tellers do not handle any customer cash and instead are there to help with "other banking needs."

- **Researchers link mass mRNA vaccination to estrogen-sensitive cancers.** A Japanese study published in *Cureus* (Gibo et al.) evaluated how age-adjusted mortality rates for different types of cancer in Japan changed during the COVID-19 plandemic (2020-2022) and the results were startling. The researchers found that, in 2022, there was a statistically significant increase in age-adjusted mortality rates of all cancers, particularly in estrogen-sensitive cancers (breast and ovarian cancer), as well as leukemia, prostate, oropharyngeal, and pancreatic cancers. Additionally, the team observed no significant excess in all-cause mortality during the first year of the plandemic (2020), but did find excess all-cause mortality in subsequent years.
- **"Coutts Trio" found guilty of mischief for their role in the 2022 Freedom Convoy border protest in Coutts, Alberta.** Alex Van Herk, Marco Van Huigenbos, and George Janzen were charged with "mischief over \$5,000" by the RCMP, who suggested the three were "key participants" of the Coutts blockade. They face up to 10 years in jail.



Illustration by wokelycorrect.com

no actual harm and are not intended to incite a specific act. Scotland's law, enacted last week, makes it an imprisonable offense (for up to 7 years) to incite hatred on the basis of race, religion, transgender identity, sexual orientation, age or disability—but does not cover hatred on the basis of a person's sex. The law covers private conversations, even within the home. Within weeks of being enacted, police say that they have been overwhelmed by the number of reports, and numerous stories of innocent people being arrested have emerged. This includes the story of 74-year-old Morag McDougall Brown who was left traumatized after being arrested when a neighbor accused her of yelling an ableist slur out her window while the neighbor was stealing from her garden.

- **Most children who are not happy with their natural gender grow out of it, landmark 15-year study concludes.** The researchers, from the University of Groningen in the Netherlands, analyzed the data of 2,770 people from age 11 to their mid-twenties and found a 64% decrease in "gender non-contentedness" over the course of 15 years. Despite this, "gender

VOLUNTEER

Will you **help** give out these free papers in your community?



Or maybe you can help in other ways?
We'd love to hear from you.
Visit us at: druthers.ca/volunteer

DONATE

Your **support** is vital to the continued success of this paper.



E-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Or visit: druthers.ca/donate

SUBSCRIBE

Have your Druthers **delivered** right to your door each month.



Never miss an issue with a postal subscription.
Just cover the cost of s/h.
Sign up at: druthers.ca/subscribe

DRUTHERS is entirely funded, written, distributed & promoted by the people, for the people.

Note: Please do not leave Druthers inside the newspaper boxes of other newspapers. As well, any inserts found in this paper are not endorsed by Druthers.