

DRUTHERS

FREE

Issue #18 | May 2022



Covering news & information that mainstream media won't. Please read and then pass this paper along to someone else when done. Find more to explore on our website: www.druthers.net

/n. ...Informal...

one's own way, preference, or choice:

eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

Our Children Are Being Destroyed

By Dr. Vernon Coleman | VernonColeman.org

Children today are being systematically and deliberately destroyed — both mentally and physically.

We are horrified at the way children were pushed up chimneys in the 19th century. Making children work long, arduous hours was considered normal at the time but the children abused in this way were scarred physically and mentally for life.

Today, we like to think that that sort of cruelty is confined to those parts of the world where children are employed as slave labour in order to dig out the rare minerals needed to make batteries for electric cars.

And, of course, to the factories where slave labourers make over-priced running shoes or manufacture mobile phones — all at such a low prices that billionaires can progress up the ladder and become even richer.

We like to think that most countries in the so-called developed world have moved on. We close our eyes to the billionaires growing ever richer on the backs of slave labour children.

Those pulling down statues of 19th century slave traders still buy the electric cars, the mobile phones and the absurd shoes and ignore the uncomfortable truths about how they were made.

In the 19th century, child labour was seen as normal and acceptable. In both physical and psychological terms what we are doing now is even worse.

For no sensible, medical reason our world has been turned upside down and millions of children will never recover. (In Africa, of course, millions of children will die as a result of the lockdowns and deliberately staged global panic.)

There is evidence that as a result of the covid hysteria many children have become withdrawn and frightened of approaching strangers — especially if they are not wearing masks.

A children's charity has seen a massive rise in the incidence of mental and emotional problems in children under 11 years of age. Children are worried about dying, about their friends and family dying, about their future, about missing school, about loneliness, about future epidemics. The AIDS hysteria of the 20th century has become the covid hysteria of the 21st century.

As a result many are either not eating, or eating too much, and they are not sleeping. Panic attacks are becoming commonplace. A study of 10,000 parents showed that 30% of children were worried about catching the virus and 30% were worried about missing their education. Even more worrying, 16% were afraid to leave their homes. More than half of the parents were worried about their children.

And yet deaths among healthy children are so rare that it has been suggested that lightning strikes are a bigger threat to children and that it would make more sense to tell children to wear helmets to protect them

against meteors than to recommend they wear masks or practice social distancing.

school pupils and to enforce social distancing.

In China, robots have been installed to ensure that children wash their hands properly.

Some schools have installed thermal imaging cameras to see if children have a temperature. (This is entirely pointless).

One educational establishment in the US made a viral tracking app mandatory and students were constantly tracked. Students who turned off the app or tried to leave the campus without permission were expelled.

Under normal circumstances, young children touch and hug one another and derive great comfort from this.

Forcing children to remain isolated has created huge psychological problems. Children from poorer families or where there is an unhappy home life have suffered most. Also, the lack of exercise will result in health problems and obesity.

The problems have been exacerbated by threats that children who do not obey the rules 'may kill granny'. (The irony is that their government wants to kill granny with blanket DNR notices in hospitals and care homes and by denying medical treatment to older citizens.) Children have seen adults frightened and as a result child terror has been exacerbated.

Many children have become socio-phobic and are developing OCD.

Figures for suicide are nigh on impossible to obtain but suicide is widely recognised to be a leading cause of death in the 5-19 age group, and one survey showed a

50% increase in suicides in 2020 compared to 2019. I suspect the figure will grow.

In an attempt to escape from reality, children are spending vast amounts of time on the internet. Gaming addiction is becoming an increasing problem with cyberbullying adding to anxiety and depression. Sports and out of school activities have been abandoned or disrupted leading to increased boredom, loneliness and depression.

Equally worrying is the fact that altered behavior in children will frequently be diagnosed as ADHD and drugs such as Ritalin will be prescribed as a long-term remedy.

All this for an infection which children hardly ever catch and hardly ever transmit.

It's all madness.

The whole fraud was deliberately designed by billionaires and their evil supporters.

And although politicians, their advisors and the medical establishment are guilty of mass genocide for the part they have played, parents and school teachers must also be held responsible.

If parents and teachers had done a little research, they would have known (and would know) that the covid-19 scare is fraudulent.

Their children's lives have been sacrificed for nothing.



Nevertheless, schools introduced masks and social distancing, and many teachers and parents want the restrictions to continue indefinitely — until the very last virus on earth has disappeared.

In Ohio, electronic beams were introduced to track

who do not obey the rules 'may kill granny'. (The irony is that their government wants to kill granny with blanket DNR notices in hospitals and care homes and by denying medical treatment to older citizens.) Children have seen adults frightened and as a result child terror has been exacerbated.

"And yet deaths among healthy children are so rare that it has been suggested that lightning strikes are a bigger threat to children."



DRUTHERS is written, funded, promoted & distributed freely by concerned Canadians like you.

Every \$1 donated = 10 more papers printed and given away | Please give generously at: druthers.net/donate

[telegram.me/druthers](https://t.me/druthers) | facebook.com/druthers.net | instagram.com/druthersnews

youtube.com/druthers | bitchute.com/druthers

While You Were Distracted By Will Smith, The International Elitists Met At The World Government Summit



Photo credit: Silvia Elizabeth Pangaro/Shutterstock.com

By Derrick Broze | TheLastAmericanVagabond.com

Guests included Klaus Schwab of the World Economic Forum and Kristalina Georgieva of the International Monetary Fund.

While much of the “mainstream” world has spent the last few days obsessing over and debating the celebrity spectacle surrounding American actor Will Smith slapping American comedian Chris Rock, the international elitists were meeting in Dubai for the 2022 World Government Summit.

From March 28th to the 30th, corporate media journalists, heads of state, and CEOs of some of the most profitable companies in the world met for discussions on shaping the direction of the next decade and beyond. Anyone with a functioning brain should ignore the tabloids and instead pay attention to this little known gathering of globalist Technocrats.

Let’s take a look at the speakers and the panels, starting with Mr. Great Reset himself, Klaus Schwab, founder of the World Economic Forum.

Schwab gave a talk entitled, Our World Today... Why Government Must Act Now?. *“Thank you, to his excellency for enabling this initiative to define a longer-term narrative to make the world more resilient more inclusive and more sustainable,”* Schwab stated during his address. The use of the term narrative is important because in January 2021, Klaus and the World Economic Forum announced the next phase of The Great Reset, The Great Narrative.

As with The Great Narrative event, the World Government Summit was also held in Dubai. As I wrote during the Great Narrative meeting:

“While the political leaders of the UAE and Klaus Schwab may promote themselves as the heroes of our times, we should judge them according to their actions and the company they keep, not the flowery language they use to distract us. The simple fact is the UAE has a horrible record on human rights. The nation is known for deporting those who renounce Islam, limited press freedoms, and enforcing elements of Sharia law.”

During Schwab’s short talk he also mentioned his pet project “the 4th Industrial Revolution“, which is essentially the digital panopticon of the future, where digital surveillance is omnipresent and humanity uses digital technology to alter our lives. Often associated with terms like the Internet of Things, the Internet of Bodies, the Internet of Humans, and the Internet of Senses, this world will be powered by 5G and 6G technology. Of course, for Schwab and other globalists, the 4IR also lends itself towards more central planning and top-down control. The goal is a track and trace society where all transactions are logged, every person has a digital ID that can be tracked, and social malcontents are locked out of society via social credit scores.

Immediately following Schwab was a panel which made no attempt to hide the goals of the globalists. The panel, Are We Ready for A New World Order?, featured Fred Kempe, president and CEO of the Atlantic Council since 2007, as well as an anchor for CNN and a former advisor to former US president George W. Bush. Before joining the Council, Kempe was a prize-winning editor and reporter at the *Wall Street Journal* for more than 25 years.

In fact, the Atlantic Council had a fairly large presence at the World Government Summit, including appearances by Defne Arslan, senior director of the Atlantic Council IN TURKEY program, and Olga Khakova, Deputy Director of Global Energy Center of Atlantic Council.

For those who are unfamiliar with the Atlantic Council, I first reported in May 2018 that Facebook had partnered with the thinktank connected to NATO. I wrote:

“The Atlantic Council of the United States was established in 1961 to bolster support for interna-

tional relations. Although not officially connected to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, the Atlantic Council has spent decades promoting causes and issues which are beneficial to NATO member states. In addition, The Atlantic Council is a member of the Atlantic Treaty Organization, an umbrella organization which “acts as a network facilitator in the Euro-Atlantic and beyond.” The ATO works similarly to the Atlantic Council, bringing together political leaders, academics, military officials, journalists and diplomats to promote values that are favorable to the NATO member states. Officially, ATO is independent of NATO, but the line between the two is razor thin.

Essentially, the Atlantic Council is a think tank which can offer companies or nation states access to military officials, politicians, journalists, diplomats, etc. to help them develop a plan to implement their strategy or vision. These strategies often involve getting NATO governments or industry insiders to make decisions they might not have made without a visit from the Atlantic Council team. This allows individuals or nations to push forth their ideas under the cover of hiring what appears to be a public relations agency but is actually selling access to high-profile individuals with power to affect public policy. Indeed, everyone from George H.W. Bush to Bill Clinton to the family of international agent of disorder Zbigniew Brzezinski have spoken at or attended council events.”

Less than 6 months after Facebook and The Atlantic Council announced their partnership, more than 500 FB pages were accused of being “Russian disinformation” and deleted. The pages largely consisted of anti-war, police accountability, and independent journalism outlets. These pages and journalists directly challenged the narratives spun by the Atlantic Council stooges.

Dissecting the World Government Summit: Ukraine, SDGs, ESG, Blockchain, and AI

While many of the names in attendance might be unfamiliar to a western audience, the speakers are men and women who absolutely play a vital role in international geopolitics.

Some of the featured speakers include:

- António Guterres, Secretary General of United Nations, giving a talk tiled Is Our World Today... Our World of Tomorrow?
- Dr. Tedros Ghebreyesus, Director General of World Health Organization
- David Pekoske, Administrator of USA Transportation Security Administration
- Dr. Kaitlyn Sadtler, an American immunologist and bioengineer and Stadtman Tenure-Track Investigator at the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, part of the US NIH. She gave a presentation about Re-engineering Immunity with Next Generation Technologies
- H.H. Sheikh Sabah AlSabah, Prime Minister of Government of the State of Kuwait
- Jeon Hae cheol, Minister of the Interior and Safety of Government of the Republic of Korea
- Supattanapong Punmeechaow, Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Energy of Secretariat of the Prime Minister of Thailand
- Mrs. Belinda Balluku is the Minister of Infrastructure and Energy of the Republic of Albania
- Mohammad Sanusi Barkindo Secretary General of OPEC, speaking about “Oil and Gas in a Net-Zero World“

The Russia-Ukraine conflict was also part of the discussions. Notably, Maxim Timchenko, CEO of DTEK, made an appearance. His bio states, *“under his leadership, DTEK has evolved from a regional conventional energy company into Ukraine’s largest private investor as well as leading energy company.”*

The appearance of Mr. Timchenko should not be overlooked, especially because he appears in a discussion called Post-Crisis Ukraine: New Energy for a New Europe, featuring Olga Khakova of the Atlantic Council, and Paula Dobriansk, Senior Fellow, Harvard Kennedy School of Government of Atlantic Council. Again, the presence of the Atlantic Council should not be taken lightly. They are the representatives of the Western Bloc of the New World Order.

The Russia-Ukraine conflict also factors into another panel title, Getting Off Russian Gas: Practical Steps for Europe, featuring more of the Atlantic Council goons, including Richard Morningstar, Founding Chairman of Global Energy Center, Atlantic Council, and Phillip Cornell, Senior Fellow of Global Energy Center, Atlantic Council.

The World Government Summit also spent considerable time discussing the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) which form the core of the

Agenda 2030, itself part of The Great Reset agenda. Some speakers discussing the SDGs include:

- Dr. Mahmoud Safwat Mohieldi, the United Nations Special Envoy for the 2030 Finance Agenda, who is speaking on a panel about Arab Nations and the UN Sustainable Development Goals.
- María Sandoval, First Lady of Colombia of Government of Republic of Colombia, discussed “The Role of Women in Achieving the SDGs“. The first day of the summit was actually dedicated to the role women will play in rolling out the so-called New World Order and global governance schemes. Sandoval celebrated the fact that Colombian President Ivan Duque launched “the first national development plan that was directly aligned with the SDGs, and this of course was something that provided a wider spectrum for women to act react and participate in these achievements of the SDGs.”
- Catherine Russell, Executive Director of United Nations Children Fund, participated in a panel titled SDGs for Every Child

The Summit also addressed the Environmental, Social, and Governance criteria (ESG) promoted by the UN in a panel entitled, Where does ESG Go From Here?. ESG investing is also sometimes referred to as sustainable investing, responsible investing, or socially responsible investing (SRI). The practice has become an increasingly popular way to promote the SDGs. The panel featured Neil R. Brown, Managing Director, KKR Global Institute and KKR Infrastructure. KKR Global Institute is the same organization that former US Army General and former CIA Director David Petraeus joined in 2013.

Additionally, a panel entitled, Is the World Ready for A Future Beyond Oil?, featured H.E. Suhail bin Mohamed AlMazrouei, Minister of Energy and Infrastructure of Ministry of Energy and Infrastructure; H.R.H Prince Abdulaziz Al Saud, Minister of Energy of Ministry of Energy – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; and H.E. Masrour Barzani, Prime Minister of Kurdistan Regional Government.

Blockchain and Artificial Intelligence are a major piece of the Technocratic vision for 2030, so naturally there were several discussions on the use of blockchain, AI, and even 6G (the eventual successor to 5G technology).

There was a discussion on blockchain technology in a panel entitled, The Future of Blockchain... A Perspective from Industry Pioneer, featuring Changpeng Zhao, Chief Executive Officer of Binance, among others. Other panels focused on De-Fi (decentralized finance) featured Jamie Crawley, Editor in Chief of Coin Desk, and Charles Hoskinson, Co-Founder of Ethereum. I have recently reported on Hoskinson’s statements regarding using blockchain to implement ESG and SDG programs and the danger they pose to privacy and liberty.

There was also a panel focused on the introduction of Central Bank Digital Currencies entitled, CBDCs and Stablecoins: Can They Co-Exist?. The CBDCs schemes being rolled out in nations around the world are a crucial component of The Great Reset.

One panel focuses on a concept called Human Meta-Cities, which sound like a rebranding or updating of the so-called Smart Cities. The panel description states,

“in a world of change and rapid technological development, we shed light on a new vision for planning future cities centered around human needs and aspirations. This new framework will help governments refine their role in planning the new world taking advantage of the digital transformation opportunities that are taking place.”

Another panel which makes clear the Technocratic dream was entitled, The Invisible Government: Eliminating Bureaucracy Through Technology. The description of the panel states:

“Technology is creating new possibilities as it simplifies processes, enables instant feedback, and ultimately improves customer experience. In the public sector, digitalization and artificial intelligence are creating a new model of governance – “invisible” governments that are more agile, responsive, human-centric, and data-driven. In this session, global policymakers and experts will share their bold vision and experience in utilizing technology to eliminate bureaucracy and innovate government services for the future.”

What goes unsaid in the panel description is that making the government “invisible” will actually lead to a world of no accountability for government and politicians. In reality, the Technocrats imagine a world where the tyrannical technological systems are invisible and the average person has zero recourse for preventing exclusion or punishment based on their social credit score.

This is the world these technocrats — many of whom are unelected — envision. The only way this vision will not come to pass is if the people of the world throw their televisions away, ignore the celebrity drama, and start exiting from these slavery systems.

28 Reasons Canadians Ought to be Concerned

By Theodore Kuntz | VaccineChoiceCanada.com

Dear Fellow Canadians,
In March 2020, our governments in Canada declared a state of emergency in response to Covid-19. This resulted in the most egregious violation of rights and freedoms in our history. Voices of concern and pleas to our governments and their agents from scientists, doctors, politicians, academics, lawyers, and citizens to stop the violations have been met with silence and dismissal.

Though we are seeing some reversals in the government's response to Covid-19 with mask and vaccine mandates being rescinded in many sectors, all is not well. Below are some of the many reasons why Canadians ought to be concerned with the status of Canada today. The reality is that we cannot return to a functioning democracy based upon individual rights and freedoms until these concerns are acknowledged, addressed, and our rights and freedoms are restored.

Charter of Rights and Freedoms Concerns

1. All governments in Canada have violated the rights and freedoms of Canadians guaranteed under the Canadian Charter. To date, no government has “demonstrably justified” their violations as is required under Section 1 of the Charter.
2. The political, medical, and media establishments coerced Canadians into accepting Covid-19 treatments without informed consent through the use of discriminatory vaccine mandates.
3. Federal, provincial, and many civic governments continue to impose vaccine mandates on their employees in violation of the Charter and medical ethics.
4. The Federal government continues to ban millions of Canadians from air and other forms of travel, a restriction not found anywhere else in the developed world.
5. The Federal government is preparing to sign off on a Memorandum of Understanding that will give the World Health Organization the authority to impose measures in the event of a pandemic. This will deprive Canadians of their sovereignty and inalienable rights.
6. Those challenging the government's response to Covid-19 continue to be shamed, blamed, criminalized, and incarcerated.
7. The Federal government granted Covid-19 vaccine manufacturers legal immunity for any injuries or deaths caused by their products. Canadians injured and killed by these products are unable to receive just compensation.
8. We continue to live in an apartheid state where one group of Canadians is treated differently than another. This denies equality under the law and is in direct violation of the Charter.

Science Concerns

9. All governments have failed to demonstrate that the measures imposed to reduce the spread of Covid-19 (lockdowns, masking, vaccines, and social distancing) were safe, effective, and necessary.
10. There is a substantial and growing body of evidence that the measures imposed have caused significantly more harm than good.
11. The Covid-19 vaccines continue to be promoted to “stop the spread and prevent infection” when they do neither.
12. The vaccine industry is lobbying governments to authorize the use of the Covid-19 vaccine for infants as young as six months even though their risk from Covid-19 is negligible.

Vaccine Concerns

13. The medical evidence is substantive and growing that the Covid-19 vaccines are neither safe nor effective. In spite of the medical evidence, the Government of Canada and all 13 provincial and territorial governments continue to promote their use.
14. The Covid-19 vaccines aren't actually vaccines as they do not prevent infection or transmission.
15. Vaccine manufacturers have been unwilling to release the raw data from clinical trials to allow for an independent review. The British Medical Journal calls this “morally indefensible”.
16. Governments continue to use coercion and propaganda to impose Covid-19 vaccination thereby violating the legal and medical ethic of informed consent and denying Canadians bodily sovereignty and the right to make their own medical decisions.
17. Current government policies that permit child vaccination without parental knowledge or consent undermine a parent's authority and their right and responsibility to make medical decisions



A man carries an upside down Canadian flag in Ottawa. An upside down flag signifies a country in distress.
Photo credit: Franklin McKay / Shutterstock.com

- for their children.
- ### Censorship Concerns
18. Doctors, nurses, and other health care professionals continue to be silenced, censored, and decertified for exercising their professional judgment.
 19. Proven protocols for the prevention and early treatment of Covid-19 continue to be withheld from Canadians, treatments that are being used successfully in other countries.
 20. Big Tech companies such as Facebook, Twitter and Google continue to censor, shadow ban, and use algorithms to suppress information that challenges the government's perspective on Covid-19 and the vaccines.
 21. Governments are criminalizing a citizen's right to public protest.
 22. Bills are being introduced in the Federal parliament to restrict freedom of speech. (Bill C-11)
 23. The government has stated their intention to introduce a digital ID system that will have the capacity to track, monitor, and control the behaviour of all Canadians.

Democracy Concerns

24. Thousands of small independent businesses were

- deemed non-essential and forced to close.
25. Tens of thousands of Canadians had their employment terminated and were then denied unemployment benefits, benefits to which they contributed.
26. The Federal government has demonstrated its willingness to prevent Canadians from accessing their own financial resources if they disagree with government measures.
27. The government debt now surpasses 1.1 trillion. This debt is causing rapid inflation and the devaluing of the Canadian dollar.
28. Millions of Canadians continue to be discriminated against because of their medical status and health treatment choices.

Checks and Balances Concerns

As a result of these actions, our trust in our governments banking institutions, public health, regulatory agencies, law enforcement, and corporate media have been significantly eroded. We must address these breaches of trust and violations of rights to ensure that these same destructive measures, and worse, will not be imposed the next time there is a declared pandemic or another deemed emergency. It's time Canadians stand up and affirm that the Charter of Rights and Freedoms is the supreme law of the land.

28 Ways to Restore Our Hearts and Souls (and Canada)

By Theodore Kuntz | VaccineChoiceCanada.com

1. Go local. Support your local businesses, community and relationships.
2. Grow and stockpile food for your family and neighbours.
3. Keep paper currency alive. Purchase with cash.
4. Diversify your assets. Hold cash, precious metals, crypto, and goods that can be bartered.
5. Refuse to comply with arbitrary and unscientific measures that have not been demonstrated to be safe, effective, and necessary.
6. Refuse to comply with violations of Charter rights that have not been demonstrably justified.
7. Discontinue use of cell phones that have tracking capacity.
8. Only support those businesses that honour your Charter rights and freedoms.
9. Turn off corporate and government controlled media.
10. Support independence media.
11. Share valuable information with family and friends.
12. Get to know your elected representatives. Hold them accountable for their decisions.
13. Support organizations that stand up for your rights and freedoms.
14. Vote based on principles, not parties.
15. Run for elected office and support like-minded candidates.
16. Question everything.
17. Insist on informed consent for any medical treatment.
18. Refuse any products or treatments where manufacturers are not legally liable for injury or death caused by their products.
19. Read the ingredients list, including in vaccines.
20. Refuse to participate in medical discrimination.
21. Refuse to participate in digital ID.
22. Be vocal and visible with your values.
23. Make decisions based on evidence and logic, not fear.
24. Search for truth.
25. Appreciate beauty, pursue goodness, and seek joy.
26. Pray.
27. Spread love.
28. Be the change you want to see in the world.



Where Does Money Come From?

They don't teach this in grade school, but they should

By Andy Jackson

Have you ever wondered where money comes from? I mean it must come from somewhere. There is much more of it in existence now than when I was young (60s-70s). Back then, to be a millionaire was really something. The country's entire gross economic output was measured in 10's of millions then hundreds of millions. The term billions was not heard of until subsequent decades and now "trillions" is getting to be inadequate to count government budgets and debt. So where does it come from?

When I was a 12 year old boy, I asked my father, "Dad, where does money come from?" "The government prints it" was his well-intentioned answer. I then asked the logical question, "Who do they give it to?" Even at 12 years old, or maybe because I had not yet had my natural curiosity worn out of me by the "education" system, I could see that if the government could print money, why do they need more from us? It wasn't until decades later, through some chance encounters that I came to understand the basics of how money is created and perhaps more importantly, who creates it. You see, people didn't always use paper money where a green piece of paper was worth more than a purple piece of paper which was worth more than a blue piece of paper. (OK, it's some type of plastic now but it's the same principle)

Many things were used as "money" over the centuries. The most common and longest track record goes to gold and silver. The reason these precious metals are used is because they can carry a lot of value in a small, easy to carry package, namely coins. Gold, silver and other precious metals are just extensions of the barter system where one item of *real worth* is traded for another. Suppose that I was an egg farmer and wanted to buy a horse. In today's market, the horse would be worth about 4,000 organic eggs. The owner of the horse would not likely want 4,000 eggs. That's a lot of eggs and eggs are perishable, but if I could trade my eggs in exchange for gold coins, from a grocer say, I could then likely trade the gold for the horse. Thus gold is just another means of exchange. One that is more widely accepted and is easy to carry and spend.

That all changed in the mid-1700s with a man named Mayer Amschel Bauer. Amschel owned and operated a coin trading business in what is now Frankfurt Germany that also acted as a safety deposit vault for people who had more gold than they felt comfortable storing at home. Mr. Bauer owned a very well built and secure vault and backed up its security with a private army. His business location was marked with a hanging sign in the shape of a red shield. Because of this, it became commonly known as Rot Schild's amongst the German speaking population, (Red Shield in English) and Amschel eventually changed his name to Rothschild. People would come into "Rothschild's" with the gold that they wanted to deposit for safekeeping and Amschel would issue them a fancy receipt which was difficult for forgers of the time to duplicate. Amschel then noticed a couple of things about people's behavior. One, instead of making the trip from their home to withdraw some gold in order to buy their neighbor's horse, they instead would sign over the receipt for gold that Rothschild had issued them thus avoiding the extra trip and risk of getting robbed along the way. This was *the birth of paper money!*

Rothschild also noticed that very few people would come by at any given time and withdraw all of their gold deposits. This gave him an idea. He started lending out gold, *in the form of receipts*, at interest of course. The trouble was, he lent out more receipts than he had gold on deposit to back up. He could do this because he knew that the likelihood of large numbers of people wanting to withdraw all of their physical gold on any given day or week was very, very low. On the occasion that a particular client wanted to cash in their receipts for actual gold, Rothschild always had enough *in reserve* cover such a request and confidence in his bank was just increased. This was the birth of what today is known as "*fractional reserve banking*" and is the basis of virtually all banks today, including, most importantly, central banks.

Fractional reserve banking is, as the name implies, a system whereby a bank can lend out more money than it actually has on deposit. It only needs to have a *fraction* in *reserve* of the total out on loan. This fractional amount is set by the government and is typically around 10% but

the actual current numbers are very difficult to find in an internet search. This is very disconcerting to whomever realizes this but what's even more outrageous is the fact that virtually all central banks are *privately owned institutions*, masquerading as branches of government. Canada is actually an extremely rare exception to that rule which I will get to later. First, let's look at a more typical arrangement.

The Federal Reserve Bank of the United States of America, 'sounds impressive and it *is* but not for the reasons you may think. First off, the Federal Reserve is *no more fed-*

eral than Federal Express and it has *no reserves!* "The Fed", as it is called, is actually a *privately owned bank*. Since it is not traded on the stock exchange, its owners are not official-ly or publicly known but for those who research and study it, the names Rothschild, Warburg, Schiff, Rockefeller, Morgan and a few others are strongly implicated.

For the sake of brevity, here's how it works in a nutshell.

(For a great documentation of the creation of the Federal Reserve Bank in 1913, read G. Edward Griffin's book *The Creature from Jekyll Island*.) Suppose the U.S. government wants to borrow a billion dollars from the Fed. It goes to the Fed with the request and the Fed answers OK, we will purchase 1 billion worth of *interest bearing* Treasury Bills (T-Bills) from you. The U.S. Treasury then prints up a bunch of fancy looking certificates called T-Bills that bear to the holder the principal *plus* a rate of interest. The Fed then prints up a bunch of fancy looking notes known as legal tender, from thin air I might add, and hands them to the U.S. government in exchange for the T-Bills. I'm not kidding! The bigger problem with these exchanges of paper is, wait for it... the government must buy back the T-Bills from the Fed at some point, *plus interest!* You see the problem? The money to cover the interest has *not yet been printed!* and the only way to get the money printed is to borrow it into existence! As they say, 'The truth *will* set you free but first, it's gonna' p!\$\$ you off'

The same basic Ponzi scheme happens whenever you go to your local "chartered bank" and ask for a loan. Suppose you walk into your bank and want a mortgage to buy a \$500,000 house. You will put up say \$100,000 and use the rest of your home for collateral. With your signature, saying that you agree to pay back the \$400,000 (plus interest), the bank simply adds \$400,000 worth of credits to your account (created out of thin air!). You then begin paying the monthly payments, (with the interest weighted to the front end of course) and hope that you can continue until your debt is paid. Again, the interest that you agreed to pay has not yet been printed so either some other schmuck has got

to borrow it into existence for you to acquire or someone has to go bankrupt. If you are the one who goes bankrupt, guess what, the bank seizes your house for which it gave you pieces of paper. That is why it is called "real estate" because it is not phony like the fiat currency they let us play with. So that in a nutshell is how money comes into existence out of thin air and benefits those who have the immoral and illegitimate authority to create it.

Because money is created out of interest-bearing debt, and because the money to pay all of the interest has not been yet printed, every single dollar you and I "own", is owed to a bank somewhere. Therefore, the banks actually own all of the money in circulation today plus, interest. It is slavery of the worst and most profound kind. As Johann Wolfgang von Goethe said, "None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free."

As mentioned earlier, Canada is the one exception in the G20 and almost all other countries in the world, in that it does not have a privately owned Central Bank. The Bank of Canada was nationalized by Prime Minister William Lyon Mackenzie King in 1938. It was given a mandate to provide interest free money to the Government of Canada for use in infrastructure and other projects. This mandate was well used up until 1974 when Prime Minister Pierre Elliot Trudeau, under advisement from the Bank of International Settlements, or B.I.S. and other unelected, unaccountable, privately controlled, international banks, changed the policy and began the policy in Canada of borrowing money from the private banks, *at interest*. During the years between 1937 and 1974, Canada was a virtual economic miracle! A huge landmass with a tiny population was able to build such infrastructure as the St. Lawrence Seaway shipping system, the Trans-Canada Highway, a free public healthcare system and many other projects that brought Canada into the modern age alongside much more wealthy nations. All of this and more was accomplished with barely any national debt! From the very moment Trudeau (senior) started borrowing from private banks, at interest, Canada's debt began to rise at an ever increasing rate. The sad thing is, The Bank of Canada still, to this day, is owned by the Canadian government (We the people) and still has a mandate and the power to print money at little to no interest for use by the government, both federal and provincial. Pressure and influence by the global banking cartels including the BIS, the IMF and most prominent these days, the WEF headed by Klaus Schwabb (the man who openly says of his Great Reset, "*You will own nothing and be happy!*") has made sure that Canada remains in bone crushing debt. Debt equals control. As Napoleon Bonaparte said, "*the hand of the lender is always above that of the borrower*".


In early August 2013, The Committee On Monetary and Economic Reform (aka C.O.M.E.R. or simply COMER) filed a lawsuit against the Government of Canada and the Bank of Canada for failing to use its legal mandate to print and make available virtually interest free money to the various levels of government, for the use in such things as infrastructure and healthcare. COMER was represented by the renowned constitutional lawyer, Rocco Galati. This constitutional challenge of monumental importance was given next to no mainstream media attention. I guess that unnecessarily putting taxpayers on the hook for 100's of billions of dollars is not particularly newsworthy. After making COMER jump through a bunch of hoops (and spending tens of thousands of donated dollars) in order to gain standing, which they did, the Supreme Court of Canada, in the end, dismissed the case. Under Canadian law, they were not obliged to give a reason for not hearing the case and, of course, none was given.

For in-depth information about COMER and its lawsuit against the Bank of Canada and the Government of Canada, please visit their website at: <http://www.comer.org/index.htm>

For further research, here are a few sources to get you started.

Books: *The Creature from Jekyll Island* (a history of the formation of the Federal Reserve) by G. Edward Griffin, *Web of Deceit* by Ellen Brown

Websites: <http://www.webofdebt.com/>, <https://www.globalresearch.ca/who-owns-the-federal-reserve/10489> <http://www.xat.org/xat/moneyhistory.html>



Six Double Standards Public Health Officials Used to Justify COVID Vaccines

By Madhava Setty, M.D. | [ChildrensHealthDefense.org](#)

From the beginning, the official COVID-19 narrative has been inconsistent, hypocritical and/or contradictory because medical authorities used double standards to create the illusion their narrative was logical and sensible.

We are not only in an epidemiological crisis, we also are in an epistemological crisis. How do we know what we know? What differentiates opinion from a justified belief?

For nearly two years, the public has been inundated by a sophisticated messaging campaign that urges us to “trust the science.” But how can a non-scientist know what the science is really saying? Legacy media sources offer us an easy solution: “Trust us.”

Legions of so-called “independent” fact-checking sites that serve to eliminate any wayward thinking keep those with a modicum of skepticism in line. “Research” has been redefined to mean browsing Wikipedia citations. Rather than being considered for their merit, dissenting opinions are more easily dismissed as misinformation by labeling their source as untrustworthy. How do we know these sources are untrustworthy? They must be if they offer a dissenting opinion! This form of circular reasoning is the central axiom of all dogmatic systems of thought. Breaking the spell of dogmatic thinking is not easy, but it is possible.

In this article I describe six examples of double standards medical authorities have used to create the illusion their COVID-19 narrative is logical and sensible. This illusion has been used with devastating effect to raise vaccine compliance. Rather than citing scientific publications or expert opinions that conflict with our medical authorities’ narrative — information that will be categorically dismissed because it appears on *The Defender* — I will instead demonstrate how, from the beginning, the official narrative has been inconsistent, hypocritical and/or contradictory.

1. COVID deaths are ‘presumed,’ but vaccine deaths must be ‘proven’

As of April 8, VAERS included 26,699 reports of deaths following COVID vaccines. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) officially acknowledges only nine of these.


In order to establish causality, the CDC requires autopsies to rule out any possible etiology of death before the agency will place culpability on the vaccine. But the CDC uses a very different standard when it comes to identifying people who died from COVID. The 986,000 COVID deaths reported by the CDC, “**Deaths with confirmed or presumed COVID-19.**” (emphasis added)

If a person dies with a positive PCR test or is presumed to have COVID, the CDC will count that as COVID-19 death. Note that in the CDC’s definition, a COVID fatality does not mean the person died *from* the disease, only *with* the disease. Why is an autopsy required to establish a COVID vaccine death but not to establish a COVID death? Conversely, why is recent exposure to SARS-CoV-2 prior to a death sufficient to establish causality — but recent exposure to a vaccine considered coincidental?

2. CDC uses VAERS data to investigate myocarditis yet claims VAERS data on vaccine deaths is unreliable

On June 23, 2021, the CDC’s Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices met to assess the risk of peri/myocarditis following COVID vaccination, especially in young males.

This was the key slide in this presentation:



The observed risk of myocarditis is 219 in about 4.3 million second doses of COVID vaccine in males 18 to 24 years old.

The CDC is fine with using VAERS data to assess risk of myocarditis following vaccination — yet the agency rejects all but nine of the 26,699 reports of deaths following the vaccines. Why does the CDC trust the peri/myocarditis data in VAERS but not the data on deaths?

One reason may be because the onset of myocarditis symptoms is closely tied to the time of vaccination. In other words, because this condition closely follows inoculation the two events are highly correlated and suggestive of causation. For example, here is another slide from the same presentation: (Fig. 2)

The majority of cases of vaccine-induced peri/myocarditis suffered symptoms within the first few days after injection. As explained above, this is highly suggestive of a causative effect of the vaccine.

A recent study in *The Lancet* included a similar graph, taken directly from VAERS, on deaths following vaccination. (Fig. 3)

Once again, the event (death) closely follows vaccination in the majority of cases.

As we regard the two graphs we should acknowledge that the temporal relationship between the injection and the adverse event is suggestive of causation but does not stand as proof of such. However, it is also important to note that if the vaccination caused the deaths, that is exactly what the plot would look like.

It should be clear that the CDC has no justification for dismissing VAERS deaths if the agency is willing to accept reports of myo/pericarditis from the very same report-




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

ing system.

3. CDC pushes ‘relative risk’ for determining vaccine efficacy, but uses ‘absolute risk’ to downplay risk of adverse events

In Pfizer’s Phase 3 trial, nine times more placebo recipients developed severe COVID than those vaccinated during the short period of observation. This constitutes a relative risk reduction of 90%. This seemed an encouraging finding and was used as a major talking point to compel the public to accept this experimental therapy despite the absence of any long-term data. However, the risk of a trial participant contracting severe COVID was 1 in 21,314 (0.0047%) if they were vaccinated. If they received the placebo, the risk was still only 9 in 21,259 (0.0423%). The vaccine reduced the absolute risk of contracting severe disease by 0.038%. Mainstream media and the CDC never mentioned the minuscule reduction in absolute risk of contracting severe COVID by getting inoculated.

Moreover, with 0.6% of vaccine recipients in the trial suffering a serious vaccine injury (one that results in death, medical or surgical intervention, hospitalization or an impending threat to life), approximately 16 serious adverse events will result for every serious case of COVID prevented by vaccination.

However, when it comes to risk of myo/pericarditis, the CDC states, “Myocarditis and pericarditis have rarely been reported, especially in adolescents and young adult males within several days after COVID-19 vaccination.” The CDC further states, “While absolute risk remains small, the risk for myocarditis is higher for males ages 12 to 39 years...” In other words, the risk of adverse events is being considered in absolute terms, not relative.

The CDC presentation slide above (Fig. 1) indicates the relative risk of contracting myo/pericarditis in males 18 to 24 is 27 to more than 200 times higher than expected in (unvaccinated) young men that age.

When assuaging the public’s fear around vaccine-induced myocarditis, the CDC finds it useful to cite absolute risk — yet when promoting the efficacy of the vaccine, the CDC emphasizes relative risks. This double standard has been quietly and masterfully employed to reduce vaccine hesitancy and encourage compliance.

4. FDA requires randomized control studies for early treatment medications — but not for boosters

The CDC reports that as of April 8, 98.3 million Americans had received a COVID booster. On March 29, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) authorized a second booster for the immuno-compromised and adults over age 50. These authorizations were made not because of solid evidence the boosters are effective but rather to remedy the fact that the primary vaccine series has been widely shown to have waning efficacy within a few months.

As reported by *The Defender*, Dr. Peter Marks, director of the FDA’s vaccine division, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, admitted the fourth booster dose approved last week was a “stopgap measure” — in other words, a temporary measure to be implemented until a proper solution may be found in the future. Despite the lack of solid evidence, the FDA continues to recommend and authorize boosters. Yet when it comes to early treatment options, the agency holds medicines — including those the agency has already licensed and approved for other uses — to a different standard.

In this CNN interview from August 2021, Dr. Anthony Fauci, head of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, warns people not to take ivermectin for COVID because “there is no clinical evidence that this works.” With regard to hydroxychloroquine, Fauci said, “We know that every single good study — and by good study, I mean randomized control study in which the data are firm and believable — has shown that hydroxychloroquine is not effective in the treatment of Covid-19”, as reported by the BBC on July 29, 2020. Where, then, are the randomized control studies in which the data are firm and believable that show boosters are effective at preventing COVID? There

Who Will Eventually Own Everything, Including You?

By Dr. Joseph Mercola | mercola.com

The 45-minute video “Monopoly — Follow the Money,” (www.youtube.be/D2t4u_tEefM) provides a comprehensive overview of who really owns the world. As it turns out, the vast majority of the world’s assets are owned by just two investment firms — BlackRock and the Vanguard Group.

Combined, they have ownership in nearly 90% of all S&P 500 firms, and through their investment holdings they secretly wield monopoly control over all industries. In short, the idea that there is competition in the marketplace is a clever illusion.

BlackRock Is Buying Up Homes

By now you may be familiar with the World Economic Forum slogan, “By 2030, you will own nothing.” (www.youtube.be/VmOJEPVLUIQ) To that end, BlackRock and other investment firms are currently buying up every single-family home they can find, making cash offers of 20% to 50% above-asking price.

Depending on where you live, you may have noticed that homes are selling within hours of being listed, making house hunting nearly impossible. Home buyers in my home state of Florida are certainly experiencing this phenomenon.

Investment firms are also buying up entire neighborhoods. As just one example, a 124-home neighborhood in Conroe, Texas, was bought for \$32 million — 20% above listing — by Fundrise LLC, a real estate crowdfunding company, which then turned around and made all the homes into single-family rentals (SFRs).

According to investment experts, SFRs are “exceptionally attractive investment assets,” and this is one aspect driving the trend. Demographic changes such as millennials starting families and affordability constraints are also said to be driving factors. But that really does not fully explain what’s happening.

The War Against Private Property

Buying a home has been part of the American dream since the founding of this country. It’s been a significant part of financial success and security. Now, lower to middle class Americans are being intentionally positioned to become permanent renters, which means they cannot build equity. Their ability to purchase a home, even if they can afford it, is being stripped from them by companies that can outbid them with cash offers.

In a recent episode of *60 Minutes*, (www.youtube.be/ZEwxYvQVU5g) Lesley Stahl actually did a good job exposing why home prices are going through the roof. It’s not just that these investment companies can snap up homes with the click of a button, but they’re also artificially driving up prices of both homes and rents.

For example, rents in Jacksonville, Florida, rose an average of 31% in 2021, and Austin, Texas, saw rents jump by 40%. The reason appears to be twofold: We’re not building enough housing, and what is being built is being bought by corporate landlords at above-market prices.


Corporate real estate investors don’t even look at the homes they’re bidding on, and typically waive inspections. The home can be in any shape and sell within hours. As Stahl notes, “this puts first-time home buyers at a serious disadvantage,” as they have many hoops to jump through before they can secure a loan and close the deal.

Government estimates we’re currently 4 million homes short, and that shortage continues to grow. One real estate investment firm interviewed by Stahl states that they list, on average, 200 to 300 homes for rent each week, and receive 10,000 leasing inquiries weekly.

Not-So-Hidden Wealth Redistribution

As noted in a tweet by Cultural Husbandry:
“This is wealth redistribution, and it ain’t rich people’s wealth that is getting redistributed. It’s the normal American middle class, salt of the earth wealth heading into the hands of the world’s most powerful entities and individuals. The traditional financial vehicle [is] gone forever.
Home equity is the main financial element that middle class families use to build wealth, and BlackRock, a federal reserve funded financial institution is buying up all the houses to make sure that young families can’t build wealth ... This is a fundamental reorgani-

zation of society.”
Indeed, and it’s right in line with plans for societal reorganization described under banners such as The Great Reset, Build Back Better, Agenda 21 and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (www.youtube.be/VxqXf6gDPmI).



These agendas all work together toward the same goal, which is a global monopoly on ownership and wealth, with a clear separation of the haves and have nots; the owners and the owned; the rulers and the ruled; the elite and the serfs.

‘Sustainable Development’ Agenda Is a Plan to Enslave You

The war against private property goes back decades. In 1976, during the first United Nations’ Conference on Human Settlements, called Habitat 1, the U.N. stated, in Item 10:

“Land ... cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Private land ownership is also a principal instrument of accumulation and concentration of wealth and therefore contributes to social injustice; if unchecked, it may become a major obstacle in the planning and implementation of development schemes. Public control of land use is therefore indispensable.”

The idea, apparently, is that private investment firms like Vanguard and BlackRock can prevent social injustice by buying up all private property and renting it out. This way, no one (except their investors) can build wealth. (www.youtube.be/rTE-3Fl7wgg)

“Private Property and freedom are inseparable.”
~ George Washington

This is what “equity” is all about, and it has nothing to do with equality. “Social equity” is incredibly unfair, as it strips those with talent and drive of the ability to make something out of themselves.

Private Property and Freedom Are Inseparable

The UN’s Human Settlements agenda, Agenda 21 and the 2030 Sustainable Development agenda are in direct conflict with the U.S. Bill of Rights and the founding principles of this country. George Washington declared, “Private Property and freedom are inseparable.” Similarly, John Adams stated that “Property must be secure, or liberty cannot exist.”

In 1992 at the Earth Summit, under-secretary-general of the Convention on Climate Change and executive director of the UN Environment Program, Maurice Strong, stated that:

“Current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class, involving high meat intake, consumption of large amounts of frozen and convenience foods, use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and workplace air-conditioning, and suburban housing, are not sustainable.”

If meat consumption, frozen foods, fossil fuel use, home appliances, air conditioning and single-family homes are “unsustainable,” it stands to reason that the goal of any sustainable development scheme is to eliminate all of those things. This is easier done in some countries than in others. As explained by the *Cook Country News Herald* back in 2012:

“Because Congress does not agree to all these United Nations schemes to steal our property and destroy our economy, they are passed by fiat, executive

orders, proclamations, directives and generous grants given to local communities ...”

In short, the technocratic elite are trying to circumvent the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights through various means, such as the effort to make the United Nations and the World Health Organization into global centers of power, with member states being forced to comply with whatever agendas they set, thereby undermining national sovereignty.

As explained in the *Agenda 21* video referenced above, Agenda 21 doesn’t stop at restricting private home and land ownership. It also includes:

- Seizure of private property
- Forbidding human access to land
- Relocation of people from rural areas to cities
- Additional taxation
- Water use restrictions
- Restricting the amount of waste you’re allowed to produce
- Forced community involvement
- Manipulation of transportation patterns and increasing gas prices to restrict travel

all in the name of “saving the earth” In short, the global elite want you to believe that the only way to save the planet is for you

to be their slave. It’s a tragic ultimate outcome for sure. If they are successful, virtually all of your constitutional rights and freedoms will be eliminated.

Who Owns the Farmland and Dictates Food Policy?

Private home ownership isn’t the only thing threatened by the encroaching monopoly of elitists. Bill Gates is now one of the largest private owners of U.S. farmland, and he also wields unrivaled power over global food policy, as detailed in the AGRA Watch report, *The Man Behind the Curtain: The Gates Foundation’s Influence on the UN Food Systems Summit*.

While Gates is just one man, his clout is significantly leveraged and magnified by the fact that he funds such a large number of companies and organizations that they do his bidding on the sly. When you see long lists of groups, you automatically think there are many players in the game when, in fact, Gates is the singular thread running through most or all of them.

In its 2014 report, *Three Examples of Problems with Gates Foundation Grants*, AGRA Watch highlights why Gates’ massive investments in global food production have failed to solve any of the very real problems we face. First and foremost, many of the solutions that he backs are “Band-Aid solutions” that in fact worsen the root problems.

Examples include the funding of the development of genetically engineered (GE) foods designed to be higher in certain nutrients. The problem is that these crops then end up replacing local diversity with just a few GE varieties that don’t even take local conditions into account. So, by pushing for “fortified” crop varieties, malnutrition actually deepens, as biodiversity is reduced.

Secondly, “a stubborn focus on yield” is at odds with research showing that low yield or insufficient production is not causing world hunger. “There is ample evidence today that the problem instead is poverty and lack of access, which is deepened by destruction of local food systems and commercialization of food,” AGRA Watch notes, adding:

“Grants by the Gates’ Foundation and AGRA continue to focus on yield, priming Africa for a system suited to the needs of the profit-seeking, yield-oriented commercial farmer rather than the peasant or small farmer producing diverse crops for a local community.”

Additional observations can be found in the AGRA Watch article “Philanthrocapitalism: The Gates Foundation’s African Programs Are Not Charity,” published December 2017, in which philanthrocapitalism is described as “an attempt to use market processes to do good,” but which is inherently problematic “as markets are ill-suited to producing socially constructive ends.”

Put another way, Gates’ brand of philanthropy creates several new problems for each one it solves. Gates is also invested in the synthetic beef industry, and not surprisingly, he’s been calling on Western nations to transition to a 100% fake beef diet — all in the name of saving the environment. It’s the same argument pushed by Agenda

Reflections And Lessons From Freedom Convoy 2022

By Tom Bartlett

Before the afterglow of the historical event known as the Freedom Convoy dissipates, we need to glean all we can from what took place. By glancing in the rear-view mirror, we must remember that objects are larger than they appear. This is true whether it be the millions it inspired or the bad actors it exposed. A thorough review will ensure we know what should be replicated or built upon as well as what needs to be defeated.

Few people are neutral on the convoy and this fringe minority largely consists of legacy media viewers who don't even know what a "Freedom Convoy" is. The efforts to eradicate, vilify and invalidate this movement tells us a great deal about who we are up against. Similarly, those who stood with the truckers or actively participated know the lasting impact this movement had on us.

The most important detail that must not be lost is the recognition that courage is contagious. We have been forcefully and deliberately cloistered away from one another and we saw how this resulted in increased hostility and apathy — notably among friends and family. These attempts to make us feel hopeless and disconnected failed to break the will of the hearty souls that backed these protests. Everyday citizens who merely wanted to do their jobs and provide for their families stood firm; prepared to risk their careers, reputations, income, and even their lives to ensure the freedom of Canadians. This included those that the government worked hardest to



drive a wedge between — the jabbed and unjabbed. Keeping these foundational observations in mind, I would like to address the lessons to be learned from the larger context of what the convoy exposed. **Protesting is now essentially illegal in Canada.** Some may insist that Trudeau did express support for BLM and Chrystia Freeland actively participated in a

pro-Ukraine rally shortly after the Freedom Convoy, but consider this: When government determines what protests are or are not allowed — just like determining what constitutes free speech or approved opinion — then we know these rights no longer exist. Anyone authorized to protest are not really protestors, but state actors helping to push the Overton window in the direction of the ruling party.

Justin Trudeau is a liar. I know. This is like saying that water is wet, but the depth of his deception cannot be ignored. Everything he said about the protestors was false. When he labeled us racists, misogynists and anti-science before we even arrived, he lied. When he labeled us a fringe minority with unacceptable views, he was merely giving us a window into his white-hot hatred for those who disagree with him. By calling the protest a violent neo-Nazi occupation, he was simply letting us know he was about to abuse his authority to further crush our core rights and freedoms.

The protests were non-violent. It is not merely that the protestors did not get out of hand, but peaceful and respectful were the characteristics that defined the hundreds of thousands or millions total that took part. Objectively, any mass gathering — especially one lasting 3½ weeks — can be expected to spiral into violence. It would be impossible to imagine a diverse group behaving themselves better than took place here. Attempts to deny this reality has resulted in global condemnation of Trudeau for his dictatorial approach to his own people.

We know that the protestors spoke for the majority of Canadians. There are several reasons I feel justified in making this assertion. In addition to the number who reject the jabs and mandates out of hand, you have those who believe in choice regardless of their decision. Next, we have those pressured into the injections, who were injured by them, who already had natural immunity, or had an exemption on health or religious grounds. Add to this those who learned the jabs don't work and refuse to get a booster. Finally, we have anyone that has gone on with their lives, masked or unmasked, now that the provincial mandates in many provinces are gone. The public may have been led to believe we were somehow infringing on the rights of others, but never did we insist that anyone be denied the right to get jabbed, mask up, isolate, or do anything based on their own comfort.

The protests proved the mandates are unnecessary. The protests were the greatest super spreader event in our nation — ever. The jabbed and unjabbed gathered without social distancing or masks for nearly a month and there was no mass outbreak. There was never even any discussion about the central talking point of overwhelming hospitals. We came, we hugged, we danced, and the only viruses spread were joy, support, information, and good will.

Everything negative that can be attributed to the protestors are solely the fault of those in government and corporate media. The singular objective was to end the protests and the only individuals in a position to prevent or stop it justly were Trudeau and those in power. If these statists had merely conformed to our Charter rights and the Nuremberg Code, none of this would have happened. Instead of reporters and area residents complaining about the noise and disruption, their job was to press Trudeau to hear out and respond to constituents being ignored.

Our media, government, justice system and law enforcement agencies have been so deeply infiltrated that a fascist state is entirely possible. In Christian terms, we have seen a separation of the wheat from the chaff. Many officers were bold in refusing to participate or calling in sick rather than become jackbooted thugs in the furtherance of a veritable dictatorship. The violation of basic rights of movement, to go out in public maskless, hold church services, open a business, visit friends and relatives, and to even honk a horn or wave a flag — formerly known as living life — has been made illegal with no legal justification, but via enforcement by all these institutions. We need no longer ask how Stalin, Hitler, Mao, or any number of oligarchs managed to inflict egregious evils on a substantial segment of civil society.

Trudeau is happy with violence as a means to an end. When frauds like Jussie Smollett invent hate crimes to smear their opponents, we should not just look at this as a failure, but a teachable moment. It proves that the problem they are trying to highlight is a lie and that the activist left will deceive to further their agenda. This gives us a glimpse into their dark hearts and the fact that they cannot be trusted. Trudeau broadcasted his support for BLM despite the violence they have engaged in. Also, the only violence in Ottawa was rained down on protestors by the police — including an under-reported incident of protestors being run over in Manitoba. Trudeau was not upset that the Freedom Convoy participants were violent, but that they weren't. He coveted violence to justify

You Will Eventually Own Nothing

Continued from p.6

21 and the rest of the sustainable development schemes.

Media and Medicine Are Completely Controlled

Mainstream media and the pharmaceutical industry are two other important areas that have been taken over by a monopoly-centered "deep state." Both industries are overwhelmingly owned by BlackRock and Vanguard, so to think the mainstream media will report on the truth is foolhardy to say the least, especially as it pertains to health and medicine.

Allopathic medicine, by the way, has been controlled by those in the grip of greed ever since John D. Rockefeller founded the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research in 1901 and campaigned to eliminate naturopathic medicine, which was the norm, in order to replace it with petroleum-based patented drugs.

Anything that couldn't be patented was abolished and known cures were dismissed as quackery. Rockefeller accomplished this the same way Gates and other technocrats do it today — through control of the media.

WHO Treaty Is COVID Wolf in Sheep's Clothing

The WHO's pandemic treaty is perhaps the greatest threat we've faced so far, and will go a long way toward implementing The Great Reset. As I noted in a March 2022 article, the pandemic treaty is a direct threat to a nation's sovereignty to make decisions for itself and its citizens, and will erode democracy everywhere, if enacted.

May 24, 2021, the European Council announced it supported the establishment of an international Pandemic Treaty, under which the WHO would have the power to replace the constitutions of individual nations with its own constitution under the banner of "pandemic prevention, preparedness and response."

March 3, 2022, the Council authorized the opening of negotiations for an international agreement. The infographic below, sourced from the European Council's website, summarizes the process.

There's simply no question that this treaty is part of the globalists' plan to monopolize health systems worldwide, and a way for them to force mandatory vaccinations, vaccine passports and digital identities on the uncooperative masses.

Any pandemic-related decision the WHO makes would supersede national and state laws. Eventually, all health-related decisions could come under the WHO's jurisdiction, as the stated goals of the treaty include not only future pandemic response but also a stronger framework for health with the WHO as the coordinating authority on global health matters more generally.

Director-general of the WHO, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, has also gone on record stating that his "central priority" as director-general of the WHO is to

push the world toward universal health coverage. As noted by Dr. Peter Breggin, referring to Ghebreyesus' address to the WHO Executive Committee on January 24, 2022, in which he spelled out his global health plan, "The spirit of Communism can be felt throughout the document."

WEF: 'World Is Best Managed by Self-Selected Coalition'

The WEF's 2010 "Global Redesign" report argues that the world is best managed by a self-selected coalition of "stakeholders" — multinational corporations, governments, international bodies such as the UN and the WHO, and select civil society organizations — that then make decisions on behalf of the global population.

If you look, you'll find that all the globalist agendas, regardless of what they're called, have this aim. They're all working in lockstep to strip power from the people by making elected officials irrelevant. All the power is to be in the hands of a self-selected, self-nominated elite. If you believe they have any intention of doing what's best for the people, it's time to wake up, because you're clearly dreaming.

For well over 100 years, they've done what's best for them, even though their decisions poisoned our food supply, soils, air and water. Even though it destroyed our environment and resulted in unsafe medicines and toxic foods; even though it led to starvation, disease and death.

They've lied, cheated and used every underhanded, immoral and unethical trick in the book. They've coerced, bribed and manipulated at will. They've slowly but surely infiltrated every area of society with the intention of altering it to serve their own ends.

Technology, which is the foundation upon which technocracy rests, has allowed this self-selected group of megalomaniacs to thrive and build their power structure in the shadows. Only now are they starting to really show their true colors, their desire for absolute power and control.

As noted by New American contributor C. Mitchell Shaw, "If you are not paying for the product, then you are the product." YOU and your personal data are the products of Google, Twitter, Facebook and Instagram. These platforms are all selling your personal data for profit. That's the business they're in.

Your data are also fed to artificial intelligence, and algorithms are created to profile and manipulate you. Everything you say and do is being used against you. The end goal of these megalomaniacs is always the same: to make money off you, even if it harms or kills you, and to manipulate you into accepting their proposition to rule over you. This all ends when enough people wake up to what they're doing, and refuse to go along with their program.

Actions Speak Louder Than Words: Holding Ontario MPPs Accountable

By Darlene

Under Bill 100, officers may make arrests without a warrant and without providing the accused with any kind of hearing. In other words, the right to due process has been completely abandoned; there is no presumption of innocence or cross-examination. One's right to protest, assemble and express oneself can be curtailed on a mere whim and, law enforcement is now immune to any kind of civil litigation.

Ontario's new legislation, Bill 100 and proposed legislation, Bill 67, seriously threaten the civil liberties of its citizens. Hence, each of Ontario's political parties should be strongly invested in responding to these new and potential provincial statutes if they are concerned about protecting our democratic rights and preventing toxic curriculum from infiltrating our schools. Or should they? Bill 100, also misleadingly known as *Keeping Ontario Open for Business Act, 2022*, was quietly voted into law last Friday, April 15. The Bill appears to be noble in its intent, establishing prohibitions and enforcement mechanisms to prevent persons from impeding access to, or exit from an ordinary use of protected transportation infrastructure.

Unfortunately, the Bill is not as benevolent as it appears; it endows the Registrar of Motor Vehicles the ability to make orders to seize vehicles, driver's licenses, even one's home, solely on a reasonable suspicion that a citizen is engaging in obstructive activities. *The Highway Traffic Act* already gives police powers to remove vehicles that are blocking the flow of traffic or obstructing business, so why is this Bill necessary? Not only does Bill 100 solidify powers given under a state of emergency, it has made those powers permanent.

Even more terrifyingly, under Bill 100, officers may make arrests without a warrant and without providing the accused with any kind of hearing. In other words, the right to due process has been completely abandoned; there is no presumption of innocence or cross-examination. One's right to protest, assemble and express oneself can be curtailed on a mere whim and, law enforcement is now immune to any kind of civil litigation.

For example, although those involved in the recent Ottawa trucker convoy worked with police to allow traffic to move through the area, under Bill 100,

authorities could incorrectly interpret this peaceful protest as a blockade, subjecting all participants to a potential seizure of their property and belongings, all without any kind of due process or any legitimate legal recourse. These are the powers of dictators, not democratic leaders. Tyranny has become the new norm, the new way of dealing with views and assemblies that the

and is draconian in its penalties. It appears that we are racist until proven not to be. Further to that, the Bill leaves so much dangerously open to interpretation: what is subconscious racism? What is racial equity? Who gets to decide what racism is or if someone is subconsciously racist?

Here is a draft of a sample lesson that a student might encounter if Bill 67 if implemented: *“Racism serves the interests of both white people in power (the elites) materially and working-class white people psychically, and therefore neither group has much incentive to fight it.”* In other words, children will be taught that whites are the oppressors and everyone else is the oppressed. Rather than seeking to harmonize humanity, Bill 67 further divides the races, pitting them against each other. All other provincial political parties, the Progressive Conservatives, the NDP, the Green Party as well as the Ontario Party voted in favour of Bill 67. The New Blue was the only party to vote against this bill.

SE STUDY E
E RISING D
YLE CULTU
INE

Although their affirmative vote was beyond misguided, at least they voted. Disturbingly, according to an article from 2018, several MPPs voted only between 55-66 percent of the time. Do you find that acceptable? Would you continue to have a job if you showed up between 54-66% of the time? Do you think this lack of commitment to represent Canadians is worthy of the \$116,550 - \$208,974 a year depending on your rank in the legislature? Doug Ford receives the MPP and Premier salary together for a total of \$325,524 a year. In 2020 he boasted that he gave back 10% of his MPP portion for not having the year's budget done on time; however, he failed to disclose that the move was the result of a compulsory penalty under his government's rules. Ford was, like other MPPs, not doing his job.

Sadly, many parties don't even bother to show up for parliamentary votes, a trend that is growing, leaving voters with many important questions: Should an MPP's or a provincial party's attendance be taken into account? Is an MPP's or a political party's regular participation in parliamentary proceedings a determination, at least in part, of their worth, their trustworthiness, their integrity, their commitment to democracy, especially in light of such grave legislation like Bill 100 and Bill 67? It's too late for Bill 100, but do you want Bill 67 to become part of your life, and your children's lives? Will you allow divisive laws to be created? We must look for new parties that will represent freedom and accountability in the June 2022 elections.

Ultimately, the decision is yours. After all, we still live in a democracy; don't we?



government does not like. Only four MPPs voted to oppose Bill 100: New Blue's Belinda Karahalios, Green Party's Mike Schreiner and Independent MPPs Roman Baber and Lindsey Park.

Bill 67 is also making its way through provincial parliament. Also known as the *Racial Equity in Education Act*, it assigns new anti-racism powers to the school boards. The Act provides for fines for persons who disrupt or who attempt to disrupt the proceedings of a school or class through the use of racist language or activities. Bill 67 is based on the belief that our schools are filled with “systemic racism and discrimination” requiring mandatory programs and training and the ability of bureaucrats to exercise police-like powers to “fine” people, including students, for their “subconscious” and “socially constructed ideas” about race. The Act also demands that teachers demonstrate competencies in anti-racism awareness and efforts to promote racial equity. If not, they may be required to take anti-racism training. Just as Bill 100 provides broad powers to the police to arrest those who they feel are impeding transportation, Bill 67 defines racism in dangerously vague and broad terms

A First Nations Perspective On The 2022 Freedom Convoy

By Dr. Amie Wolf

On February 12, 2022, I spoke at the Okanagan Freedom Convoy Protest at the Osoyoos Border. It was an honour to speak at this historical protest within the ancestral, traditional, and unceded territory of Sliyx (say-elks) People of the Okanagan Nation. Here is my speech from the perspective of a First Nations person.

Last February, I was teaching the course “Indigenous Education in Canada” at the University of British Columbia. I was fired without cause because I taught my students the truth. The alleged COVID pandemic is not the first time the Canadian government has violated human rights. In 1980, there was an Indigenous freedom convoy called *The Constitution Express*. People from across Canada converged in Ottawa to protest the lack of recognition of Aboriginal rights in the proposed patriation of the Canadian constitution by Pierre Trudeau, Justin’s dad. It runs in the family, okay? What I’m trying to point out is the historical context that we’re in and what that means. What we are protesting today ... human rights violations by the Canadian government, has been happening since the state’s very inception.

Canadians have never been truly free because the first peoples original to this place have always been and are still prisoners of the *Indian Act of Canada*. An act

that inspired South Africa's apartheid, the anachronistic *Indian Act of Canada* cannot be demolished until the state is demolished, because Canada and the *Indian Act of Canada* are the same thing. This afternoon, I see the glorious purpose of the Freedom Convoy intersecting, through time, with the Constitution Express of 1980. Indigenous peoples have been fighting the Canadian government for generations and today more Canadians know the truth about what we're dealing with! Now that Canada is treating you like it treats us, let me ask you: For how long are you going to let the state treat you like a naughty child that needs to be taught a lesson and punished? How much more propaganda can you stand? How many more snipers are going to point their guns at you or at your loved ones because your so-called democratically elected prime minister told them to? Please learn from what the Canadian government has done to Indigenous people!

Do not fall for the state's false promises, placations, or new leaders. A house painted a different colour is still the same house. The Canadian government **is** human rights violations! It must be stopped and that will only happen when we build a new political system, one that is decentralized, that can easily be held accountable, and that remains for and by the people because it is designed that way. Hold the line! I know that you know it... our lives depend on this victory.

Websites of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us.

You can visit druthers.net to get in touch.

vaccinechoicecanada.com	swprs.org
freedomrising.info	action4canada.com
worlddoctorsalliance.com	americasfrontlinedoctors.com
thehighwire.com	constitutionalconventions.ca
corbettreport.com	stopworldcontrol.com
pressfortruth.ca	evidencenotfear.com
weareallessential.ca	activistpost.com
stand4thee.com	freedomforumcanada.com
awarriorcalls.com	thefreedompages.ca
takeactioncanada.ca	standupcanada.solutions
brightlightnews.com	lauralynn.tv
gbdeclaration.org	libertycoalitioncanada.com
jccf.ca	awakecanada.org

Take Action To Reject Digital ID In Canada

By [LifeSiteNews.com](https://www.lifesitenews.com)

After two years of Covid restrictions, Canadians neither need nor want any more government intrusion into their lives. But with the federal government’s new partnership with the World Economic Forum on a digital ID project, we may soon face that very real (and dangerous) possibility.

Canada’s power-hungry Prime Minister Justin Trudeau and his Liberal government have officially joined the globalist World Economic Forum (WEF) as a partner in a new initiative to create a new digital ID program that could be required for travel.

This is a dangerous development for our Charter Freedoms, and we must push back now!

Please JOIN this campaign asking Members of Parliament (MPs) to reject any attempts by Justin Trudeau’s Liberal government to implement any sort of digital ID program, like the KTDI, that would make it easier for the government to track Canadians.

Go here to participate: www.votervoice.net/mobile/LifesiteNewsCA/campaigns/94233/respond

Then, please SHARE it with friends and family members.

The project, called the Known Traveller Digital Identity (KTDI), is defined by the WEF as a “decentralized digital identity” that will assist governments around the world to confirm travelers’ identity and citizenship status, among other things.

But we all know that it’s really indicative of a slip-

pery, globalist slope that could very well lead to far more intrusion into our private lives, giving the government tools to surveil individuals, curtail our free movement, and, in turn, weaken Canadians’ ability to peacefully assemble.

Along with the Netherlands and several major airlines and airports, Canada’s federal government is listed as a partner in the WEF’s ongoing effort to institute a new digital ID system in countries across the globe to regulate travel.

Described as a means to “promote secure, seamless passenger travel in anticipation of changing traveller behaviours and expectations,”

the KTDI would offer globalist politicians in every corner of the world the resources they need to track citizens’ movements.

Worse, it could even potentially facilitate the introduction of social credit systems in supposedly free nations like Canada.

Communist China already uses a digital ID program in their social credit system — a platform that tracks and



integrates the medical history, social media posts, bank accounts, credit cards, shopping history, internet search history, residence, and place of employment of every citizen, giving its totalitarian government unprecedented control over people.

Ontario has already greased the wheels for the introduction of its own “optional” digital ID system, which constitutional lawyers have already argued is unlikely to remain so. Nevertheless, it is set to go into effect later this year, and may ultimately prove a precedent-setter for other provinces (and the country as a whole) to do the same.

With this in mind, as well as Canada’s partnership with the WEF on the KTDI project, it now seems that this may ultimately prove the Orwellian path that Justin Trudeau is all too willing to take our country down in the near future.

That’s why we must act now, and stand up to this globalist encroachment upon the individual and privacy rights of Canadians.

Please join this urgent campaign asking MPs to act in their capacity as lawmakers to reject any effort on the part of the federal government to implement a digital ID system like the KTDI here in Canada.

Go here to participate: www.votervoice.net/mobile/LifesiteNewsCA/campaigns/94233/respond

Thank you!

FOR MORE INFORMATION: ‘World Economic Forum global digital ID for travelers lists Canada, Netherlands as partners’ - www.lifesitenews.com/news/canada-netherlands-partnered-with-world-economic-forum-to-pilot-traveler-digital-id/

Protesters Rights Violated

Continued from p.7

his abusive response, but instead proved his mal-intent.

We never were all in this together. This is painfully obvious, but the fact that Trudeau cleaved our nation in two based on “true Canadians” being those who conformed and painting those who dissented as unCanadian. Essentially, he told us what beliefs citizens must hold and actions they must take to be granted rights. We were Winston Smith from George Orwell’s book, 1984, being compelled by Big Brother to believe that 2+2=5. Many police officers were happy to use their batons, horses, pepper spray and rubber bullets to convince us.

The protest was necessary. Not only did it ignite freedom lovers across the world to be bold, but it led to massive public pressure, the dropping of many mandates, the exposure of the World Economic Forum and deep state, as well as their determination to control every aspect of our lives. When asked about lifting mandates, Trudeau only parroted that all needed to be injected. We now know that we have no choice but to see this to the end — whatever the cost — or lose our basic human rights indefinitely.

We owe a great deal to our courageous truckers who showed a level of conviction and sacrifice that has grieved me as it has been absent in most of our churches. You not only brought results, but exposed the real virus that threatens us. We are still engaged in a battle for our future and, most importantly, for our children and grandchildren. We know we are not alone and that the passion is there to persist. We must persist in our mission the way we started: peacefully and winsomely. The dominoes are falling and we can’t be stopped unless we surrender. To this I say to my new friends, “FREEDOM!”

interventions are evaluated. The benefit doesn’t have to be caused by the vaccine in the strictest sense, there just has to be a correlation between vaccination and a relative protective effect. The more often this happens, the more confident we can be that the outcome wasn’t simply a coincidence.

Likewise, when it comes to assessing the harm of medical intervention, the most sensible outcome to consider is mortality. After all, what would be the point of introducing a vaccine that prevented some deaths while causing more? Nevertheless, this is, in fact, what we have done with the Pfizer product. The interim results from the Phase 3 trial demonstrated that *all-cause mortality in the vaccinated cohort was higher than in the placebo.*

This glaring problem gets brushed aside because there were two deaths from COVID in the placebo arm versus just one in the vaccinated cohort, allowing the vaccine manufacturer to claim a 50% efficacy in preventing this outcome. However, if we attribute a protective benefit to the vaccine in preventing this one fatality, we must also conclude that the vaccine was responsible for the extra death when considering mortality from all causes. Doing otherwise would be applying yet another double standard.

How the pandemic could have played out differently

To summarize how devastating the use of these double standards in crafting the “safe and effective” narrative was, let’s look at how different the situation would be if we had adopted the opposite standard:

There would have been an extremely low number of deaths from COVID. Very few, if any, autopsies have definitively confirmed that a fatality was caused by SARS-CoV-2. If confirmation by autopsy is the standard, there have been essentially zero deaths from COVID during the pandemic. On the other hand, if we presume the deaths registered in VAERS are in fact vaccine-induced fatalities — similar to how the CDC presumed many deaths from COVID — we can affirm there have been more than 26,000 vaccine deaths.

Using absolute risk reduction as a measure of efficacy, vaccines would have been widely rejected as ineffective, providing only a 0.038% risk reduction for contracting severe COVID.

Ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine would have been readily available for people who got COVID. And for those who got the vaccine but got COVID anyway, these medicines would have been a great alternative to boosters, which wouldn’t have been approved due to the lack of a single randomized control study proving they work.

No children between the ages of 5 and 11 would have received this risky, experimental vaccine as it wouldn’t have been authorized for this age group — because Pfizer’s pediatric trials did not demonstrate any meaningful outcomes in children ages 5 to 11.

The Pfizer vaccine would no longer be in use because interim data demonstrated that all-cause mortality is higher in the vaccinated.

Studies On Vaccines Unreliable

Continued from p.5

aren’t any. None have been done.

As of today, the FDA still refuses to authorize the use of ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine to treat COVID despite hundreds of studies that demonstrate significant benefits (ivermectin, hydroxychloroquine) in prevention as well as early and late treatment. The double standard here is blatant. There are no randomized control studies that show boosters are effective in preventing COVID. Nevertheless, these experimental therapies have the FDA’s blessing while inexpensive, highly effective safe and proven medicines are ignored despite the enormous evidence that supports their use.

5. FDA uses immunobridging to justify Pfizer shots for young kids, but rejects antibodies as indicative of immune protection from COVID

Immuno-bridging is a method of inferring a vaccine’s effectiveness in preventing disease by assessing its ability to elicit an immune response through the measurement of biochemical markers, typically antibody levels. The FDA asserts the presence of SARS-COV-2 antibodies is not necessarily indicative of immune protection from COVID. Moreover, the FDA’s *Vaccine and Related Biologics Product Advisory Committee* reached a consensus last week that antibody levels cannot be used as a correlate for vaccine effectiveness. Their decision is consistent with the CDC’s executive summary of a science brief released on October 29, 2021: “*Data are presently insufficient to determine an antibody titer threshold that indicates when an individual is protected from infection.*”

Nevertheless, the FDA used immuno-bridging as a means to justify authorization of the Pfizer vaccine to children ages 5 to 11. Because there were no deaths or serious cases of COVID in the pediatric trial, the FDA chose to reject its own position (and that of its advisory committee) regarding antibody titers as a correlate for vaccine efficacy.

6. Causation must be proven for vaccine injuries, but correlation suffices for proving vaccine efficacy

When it comes to vaccine injuries the public is often reminded that correlation does not equal causation. In other words, just because an injury was preceded by inoculation doesn’t mean the vaccine caused the injury. But what constitutes causation in medicine? A mechanism of action needs to be identified and pathological studies must confirm this mechanism while eliminating other potential causative factors. Causation can be proven only on a case-by-case basis. Proving causation requires an enormous burden of proof in medicine. For example, does smoking cause lung cancer? The answer is yes, it can. That doesn’t mean that it will. However, when it comes to the benefit of medical intervention, such as a vaccine, causation does not have to be established. Correlation suffices.

In the COVID vaccine trials, fewer vaccinated people contracted COVID than unvaccinated ones. Yet there were those who received the vaccine who contracted the disease anyway. To be fair, this is how all new medical

942 Athlete Cardiac Arrests, Serious Issues 621 Dead After COVID Shot

By [GoodSciencing.com](#)

It is definitely not normal for so many mainly young athletes to suffer from cardiac arrests or to die while playing their sport, but this year it is happening. Many of these heart issues and deaths come shortly after they get a COVID vaccine. While it is possible this can happen to people who did not get a COVID vaccine, the sheer numbers clearly point to the only obvious cause.

The so-called health professionals running the COVID vaccine programs around the world keep repeating that *“the COVID vaccine is a normal vaccine and it is safe and effective.”*

In response to their pronouncement, here is a non-exhaustive and continuously growing list of, mainly young, athletes who had major medical issues in 2021/2022 after receiving one or more COVID vaccines. Initially, many of these were not reported. We know that many people were told not to tell anyone about their adverse reactions and the media was not reporting them. They started happening and ramping up after the first COVID vaccinations. The mainstream media still are not reporting most, but sports news cannot ignore the fact that soccer players and other stars collapse in the middle of a game due to a sudden cardiac arrest. Many of those die — more than 50%.

We also note that many posts in Facebook, Instagram, twitter, forums and news stories are being removed. So now we are receiving some messages saying there is no proof of the event or of vaccination status. That is partly because this information is being hidden.

More people are writing to tell us that in many cases, we didn’t mention a person’s vaccination status. There is a good reason for that. None of the clubs want to reveal this information. None of their sponsors want to reveal it. The players have been told not to reveal it. Most of their relatives will not mention it. None of the media are asking this question. So what should we do? Stop this now? No, we will collect as much information as we can, while it is still available, because eventually, more information will come out, and we will be here to put it together. Will it mean anything? We don’t know. What we do know is that there is a concerted world-wide effort to make this information go away, so that fact alone tells us it must be collected, investigated and saved so other researchers can look at it to see if there are any useful patterns.

We really appreciate the athletes named in this list who have confirmed what happened to them so the truth can be known. They care about their fellow athletes, even if the clubs, their sponsors, media and politicians care more about money.

Prior Year Data

The International Olympic Committee in Lausanne, Switzerland, studied documents from international data banks from 1966 to 2004. Those documents indicate 1,101 sudden deaths in athletes under 35 years of age, an average of 29 athletes per year, the sports with the highest incidence being soccer and basketball.

A study by Maron on sudden death in US athletes, from 1980 to 2006 in thirty-eight sports identified 1,866 deaths of athletes with cardiac disease, with a prevalence of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

2005 to 2006 averaged sixty-six (66) deaths per year, with 82% of those occurring during competition or training.

families, but families can’t hide real data because that could harm other people.

Hate Mail And Death Threats

As well as receiving new cases and updates from alert readers, we are also receiving hate mail and death threats/wishes. Haters need to get a life. The sports people suffering these adverse reactions and deaths didn’t ask for this. Neither did the tens of thousands of other people. They all thought they were doing the right thing. Many were coerced into getting the vaccinations. When one of these adverse events happens to you or one of your kids or relatives, you’ll be glad we’re collecting all this data.

We’re not going to stop what we’re doing.

Where Are The Fact-Checkers?

Readers are writing to us asking for comparisons from previous years. Some say without that, these results mean nothing. That’s not true because if we had seen this in previous years, it would be well known and others would have documented it. We

are starting to work on this — it is a lot of work.

Where are the fact-checkers? Where is the mainstream media? Some have piles of money from Bill Gates. Why are they not proving us wrong by all piling on and showing the documented Athlete deaths from 2019, 2018, 2017 and the previous decade?

They are nowhere to be found because this number of athlete deaths is abnormal and they know it. They have money from people who don’t want journalists poking around. So that’s why they don’t try to thoroughly Fact-Check these reports or show previous year numbers.

Follow this link to learn about Gates Foundation \$319 million dollars in handouts to some media that could do it. (<https://www.mintpressnews.com/documents-show-bill-gates-has-given-319-million-to-media-outlets/278943/>) For example, NPR in the USA received \$24 million. (<https://www.mintpressnews.com/documents-show-bill-gates-has-given-319-million-to-media-outlets/278943/>)


The Gates Foundation also funded many investigative journalism centers, with around \$38 million. Could that be why journalistic curiosity suddenly stopped being a thing?

A few weak attempts at *“debunking”* this data have started to come out, but they cherry-pick a small number of the entries.

Growing Report

This story will grow over time, as new information is added. If you have proof of information that we don’t already have, or if you have a correction, please send it through our contact form, with as much information as possible. Useful information would be the person’s name, age, what happened to them, if they had the COVID vaccine(s), date of event or death, link to any news story.

To find the full list of athletes or to contact us, visit [GoodSciencing.com](#)



In 2021 and 2022 so far, cardiac disease has not been mentioned. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy was mentioned twice, but those two reports were listed in the “not vax related” list. “Enlarged heart” was only mentioned three times, but there was no indication this was a long-term or recent issue (possibly due to vaccine injury).

The above shows that in prior years, there were 66 deaths per year, but there have been 86 reported in January 2022, so far.

Clubs Hide Vaccination Information Now

Here is a demonstration of how sports clubs do not want the injuries of deaths of their players to be associated with the COVID vaccines. It tells the story of why they will not report which of their players has been vaccinated and when. Sunderland FC manager Lee Johnson suggested that the COVID vaccines may have caused the heart issues for his goalkeeper, Lee Burge. The club then sacked the manager.

Definite Proof of Vaccination

The so-called fact-checkers are trying to say that because we don’t always have definitive proof of vaccination, then 100% of the data we’re collecting is worthless. Well, good news, fact-checkers, you are not only wrong, you are idiots.

While historically there have been a relatively small number of athlete deaths each year that weren’t from old age or cancer, there has never been anything like what is happening since the COVID vaccination trials started. And they know it, but they don’t care. We will continue to gather as much information as possible about every person who dies. These are real people, not just numbers.

As we said elsewhere, we’re collecting data. Other people can use this data and add to it, if they can, to research whatever they want. We won’t be distracted from that, even if families, clubs, governments and the media hide information from us. We don’t want to hurt



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
May 2022 circulation: 225,000 copies Canada-wide
Original content DRUTHERS 2022®
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Assistant editors: Christie Lynn, Todd Hayen
Production Manager & Layout: David Bolton
Big thanks to: Kristy, Tian Tian, Mitchell, Bob, Jessica, Tim, Karin, Michelle & so many more...
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
Help Distribute Papers In Your Area: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on.”
- Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS.
Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.
Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.
Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.
Please give what you can: druthers.net/donate
Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza, Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

News From Around The World

By Paul Bennett

Zelensky addresses Greek Parliament in the company of members from the Neo-Nazi Azov Battalion

Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelensky’s recent address to the Greek Parliament was overshadowed and darkened by the presence of members from the controversial Azov regiment.

President Zelensky has been regularly addressing globalist leaders and global institutions virtually in seeking support for the complex situation in Ukraine. The leader of Ukraine also bizarrely spoke via video link at the recent Grammy awards.

In a video address to the Greek Parliament, Zelensky allowed a video message from two ethnic-Greek Ukrainians who were members of the ultra-nationalist Azov Battalion.

Syriza leader Alexis Tsipras reacted on Facebook by stating; “The speech of members of the neo-Nazi Azov Battalion in the Greek Parliament is a provocation. The absolute responsibility lies with the Prime Minister Kyriakos Mitsotakis. He talked about a historic day, but it is a historic shame. The solidarity with the Ukrainian people is a given. But the Nazis cannot have a say in our Parliament.”

Former Foreign Affairs Minister Nikos Kotzias was angered by seeing members of the Azov Battalion and said: “The Greek government irresponsibly undermined the struggle of the Ukrainian people, by giving the floor to a Nazi. The responsibilities are heavy. The government should publish a detailed report of the preparation and contacts for the event.”

Recently in March, Zelensky banned eleven main opposition political parties in Ukraine including the second most popular party in Ukraine, the Opposition Platform for Life party (OPZZh). In the 2019 Ukrainian elections, the OPZZh won 13% of the national vote. In 2021, Zelensky signed decrees banning opposition media and TV outlets with pro-Russian stances.

Links to a questionable militia group and the banning of opposition political parties and media outlets is not the picture of a free and democratic country that Ukraine and global leaders like to continuously portray to the world.

Two members of the European Parliament give Trudeau a frosty reception in Brussels

Two Members of the European Parliament (MEP) from Germany and Croatia brilliantly roasted arrogant Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau over his disgraceful and unforgivable handling of the freedom loving truckers protest in Ottawa.

In late March during a two-day trip to Belgium, Trudeau was at the European Union (EU) headquarters in Brussels speaking about the situation in Ukraine and the recent freedom convoy in Ottawa. Addressing the EU parliament, Trudeau labeled the truckers and protesters in Ottawa as “cynical populists”.

Croatian independent MEP Mislav Kolakusic faced down Trudeau in the EU parliament with some well needed home truths by saying: “Dear colleagues, dear citizens, Prime Minister Trudeau. Freedom. The right to choose. The right to life. The right to health. The right to work. For many of us [these] are fundamental human rights for which millions of citizens of Europe and the world have laid down their lives. To defend our rights, and the rights of our children, which we have acquired over the centuries, many of us, including myself, are willing to risk our own freedom and our own lives.”

“Unfortunately, today, there are those among us who trample on these fundamental values. Canada, once a symbol of the modern world, has become a symbol of civil rights violations under your quasi-liberal boot in recent months. We watched how you trample women with horses. How you block the bank accounts of single parents so they can’t even pay for their children’s education and medicine. So that they can’t pay utilities or mortgages for their homes.”

Kolakusic finished by stating; “To you, these may be liberal methods. But for many citizens of the world, it is a dictatorship of the worst kind. Rest assured that citizens of the world united can stop any regime that wants to destroy the freedom of citizens, either by bombs or harmful pharmaceutical products.”

German MEP Christine Anderson from the AfD grouping in the Parliament also grilled Trudeau on his blatant hypocrisy: “Based on article 195, I would like to point out that it would have been appropriate for Mr Trudeau, Prime Minister of Canada, to address this house, according to article 144 — an article, which was specifically designed to debate the violations of human rights, democracy, and the rule of law, which is clearly the case with Mr Trudeau.”

“Then again, a Prime Minister, who openly admires the Chinese ‘basic dictatorship’, who tramples on fundamental rights by persecuting and criminalising his own citizens as terrorists, just because they dared to stand up to his perverted concept of democracy, should not be allowed to speak in this House at all.”

Anderson concluded by saying, “Mr Trudeau, you are a disgrace for any democracy. Please spare us your presence. Thank you.”

Both MEPs received a rapturous applause from some parliamentarians in the chamber after their take down of Trudeau. The days of smug Trudeau holding court on a world stage and getting a warm reception everywhere he goes are now thankfully long gone

High Court in New Zealand rules against vaccine mandates for police and defense staff

Police staff and defense forces in New Zealand have bravely stood up to a draconian government order requiring them to have two covid jabs by March 1, or face losing their job.

Three courageous unvaccinated staff supported by 37 colleagues challenged the order and sought a judicial review based on the right to decline a medical procedure and the right to religious freedom. Written affidavits were submitted to the High Court.

The High Court rightfully overturned the discriminatory mandate and deemed it unlawful. Justice Francis Cooke said: “The order limits the right to be free to refuse medical treatment recognised by the *New Zealand Bill of Rights Act* (including because of its limitation on people’s right to remain employed), and its limits the right to manifest religious beliefs for those who decline to be vaccinated because the vaccine has been tested on cells derived from a human fetus which is contrary to their religious beliefs.”

The New Zealand Herald reported: “The lawyer for the police and Defense staff at the centre of the claim is now calling for the suspended workers to return to their jobs immediately, saying many have given decades of service to their community and are still committed to their jobs.”

Like a lot of global leaders, New Zealand Prime Minister and World Economic Forum cheerleader Jacinda Ardern does not respect the will of the people and the law. The government in New Zealand have now filed an appeal against the High Court decision.

Ardern may have had a stranglehold on New Zealanders for a long

time, but it is refreshing to see some Kiwis take back control from the dictatorship.

Loch Ness monster considered an “anti-Scottish” imperialistic symbol according to new school curriculum

Woke Scottish educators are rolling out a bizarre social studies curriculum to 11–14-year-old secondary school students centred around the legendary and mythical Loch Ness monster.

The 17-page social studies lesson plan for secondary school teachers is to highlight the role British class structure and English dominance had on Scottish culture and in reinforcing negative Scottish stereotypes.

Lesson plans will include watching films about the Loch Ness monster including the 1996 family drama *Loch Ness* starring Ted Dawson and Joely Richardson. The ‘educational’ document states: “The very idea of a prehistoric monster in a loch affirms the stereotypical idea Scotland is a rural wilderness, perhaps one bypassed by progress. This is a very British idea of Scotland, propagated previously by upper-class tourists in the 19th century.”

The social studies class also aims to encourage pupils to “construct a view of wider contemporary topics relating to Scotland, such as the independence referendum.”

According to Chris McGovern, chairman of the Campaign for Real Education: “It seeks to brainwash pupils into believing that Scotland is the victim of a wicked conspiracy to subvert and infantilize its identity. The nationalist cause must be in desperate trouble if it has to resort to such propaganda tactics with young people. My advice to teachers is to use the Nessie Plan itself as an example of how bias is used by educators.”

Local tourism business owner Willie Cameron and also affectionately known as ‘Mr Loch Ness’ optimistically told the *Inverness Courier* newspaper: “There is no such thing as bad publicity as far as Loch Ness is concerned. If it raises people’s heads and creates debate, so much the better.” He added, “I’m not overly impressed with some of the assertions within the lesson plan but so what.”

The Scottish National Party (SNP) under the leadership of Nicola Sturgeon are clearly in election/referendum mode by using ‘Nessie’ as propaganda to conjure up nationalist sentiments in young people.

Desperate times call for desperate measures!

SHOP DRUTHERS

Get great high quality merch and help support DRUTHERS



Available At www.druthers.net/shop

Absurdity Observer


Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- **Study finds plastics in masks present in patients’ lungs.** The study (*Jenner et al.*) from Great Britain discovered microplastic particles as long as 5mm in the lung tissue of 11 out of 13 patients undergoing surgery. Polypropylene (PP) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET) were the most prevalent substances present in the lungs. Microplastics were also detected in human blood for the first time in March, showing **the particles can travel around the human body** and may become embedded in organs. Researchers are concerned because microplastics cause damage to human cells in the laboratory.
- The first-ever **study to determine whether mRNA vaccines save lives** publishes their data and concludes: they do not. To date, previous Randomized Controlled Trials (RCT) submitted to the FDA have only shown that vaccines may reduce symptomatic COVID. The RCT out of Denmark (*Stabell Benn et al.*) **found that mRNA vaccines did not reduce all-cause mortality**, as there was an increase in cardiovascular and other deaths in the vaccinated group.
- **Google Docs begins rolling out a new feature called “inclusive language”** where the language you use within the Google document may be given “inclusiveness warnings” if non-inclusive language is used, and options may be given on how to “correct” your language. One example of what Google is doing now is **if a user types “landlord” or “mankind” into their Google Docs they will get the “inclusive warning.”** Apparently “property owner/ proprietor” and “humankind” are better according to this feature.
- Despite heavy lockdowns and vaccine mandates, the UK office of National Statistics released data showing that between April 27th 2020 and February 11th, 2022, **approximately 70.7% of the UK general population has been naturally infected with SARS-CoV2.**
- **A BC nurse is being investigated** by the British Columbia College of Nurses and Midwives because she believes **“biological sex is real.”** The nurse never had a patient complaint against her, but does publicly speak about sex and gender and has publicly expressed support for JK Rowling (whom she feels has been falsely labelled as transphobic).
- Hunter Biden helped finance a US military “bioweapons” research facility. An investigation into Hunter Biden’s laptop emails reveals **Hunter Biden helped secure millions in funding for US contractors in Ukraine** specializing in deadly pathogen research.
- **U.K. warned the media not to challenge the official Covid narrative**, former Sky and ITV News Exec Mark Sharman revealed. According to Sharman, U.K.’s Office of Communications issued a bulletin to broadcasters in early 2020. “It was a warning to basically say, **do not question the official government line [on Covid-19].**” essentially turning journalists into “cheerleaders for the government.”
- **Leaked internal Disney videos** show those in charge talking about **including more LGBT content into children’s programming.** The shocking footage fea-

tured Disney Parks’ diversity and inclusion manager, Vivian Ware, saying the company has **“removed all of the gendered greetings” at its theme parks.** No more “Ladies and gentlemen, boys and girls.” No longer will a little girl in a gown be greeted with “Hello, princess!”

- On April 1st, 2022, **the CDC released a study** (titled *MMWR report: Mental Health, Suicidality, and Connectedness Among High School Students During the Covid-19 Pandemic*) and the finding showed that **37.1% of students experienced poor mental health** during the pandemic, 44.2% experienced persistent

- ingly vague — to search a Canadian’s device.
- **New study (*Chemaitelly et al*)** concludes **“natural infection was associated with stronger and more durable protection against infection**, regardless of the variant, than mRNA primary-series vaccination.” The study also found that those with prior immunity were significantly more protected from “severe, critical, or fatal COVID-19” compared to those inoculated by Moderna and/or Pfizer COVID vaccine.
 - **Ontario Bill 100 “Keeping Ontario Open for Business Act”** passed legislation that severely limits and prohibits protesting and free speech. The legislation appears to be created to prevent further convoys and Freedom protests, although it is intentionally broad enough to be applied to just about anybody who’s considered inconvenient. **Blockade participants or protesters demonstrating can now be arrested w/out a warrant**, vehicles/license plates seized, & licenses suspended without a hearing or trial. Only MPP Rick Nicholls voted against what many are now calling “the anti-protest bill.”
 - **Fast food restaurant Freshii now has ‘virtual cashiers’.** Instead of giving your order to a human being, you may now line up to use a video calling device with a cashier who works from Nicaragua and other locations for roughly \$3.75 USD an hour.
 - **“Walgreens COVID-19 Index”** Tracker finds that those **not vaccinated have the lowest COVID-19 positivity rate** (12% of unvaccinated that were tested were positive) and those who received 3 doses of a COVID vaccine less than 5 months ago have the highest positivity rate (25.6%). These findings are consistent with newly released UK data from the Office of National Statistics.
 - Google’s AdSense this week sent an email to publishers (over 40 million websites) reminding them of the new policy about monetization of content related to the Russian invasion of Ukraine. **Google will not allow publishers to show ads on content that “exploits, dismisses, or condones the war,”** the email read. “This pause includes... claims that Ukraine is committing genocide or deliberately attacking its own citizens.”
 - **Some US schools are using smart cameras to target students without face masks.** A Georgia school district is now using a “swarm” of automated surveillance tech to **identify children who showed up at school without wearing a mask.** The “smart cameras,” produced by Motorola’s Avigilon, are capable of both facial recognition and gun detection.
 - **Big Tech platforms still collect audio data when mic is muted**, study by the University of Wisconsin-Madison finds.
 - Canada’s recently released 2022 budget pushes the exploration of a central bank digital currency and **includes restrictions on crowdfunding platforms and an investigation into cryptocurrency.** The latter two provisions are some of the sanctions the government imposed under the Emergencies Act to stop the Freedom Convoy Protest.



- feelings of sadness or hopelessness, 19.9% had seriously considered attempting suicide, and **9.0% of students had attempted suicide.**
- **Shanghai China imposes one of the strictest lockdowns** the world has ever seen due to a surge in Omicron variant, despite nearly 97% of those testing positive being asymptomatic. Those testing positive are being put into facilities, **including infected babies and toddlers separated from their parents.** Since the lockdown was imposed in the city of 25 million people, residents have been complaining about food shortages and lack of access to medical care.
 - **Robots patrol the streets of locked-down Shanghai China**, reminding citizens to ‘control the soul’s desire for freedom’ and follow COVID-19 restrictions. **Drones are also flying near high rises** in the area, giving off the same message.
 - **Google News rolls out a new “fact-checking” feature to its search results** for US users. Articles may be labelled as “false” directly in the search results if a fact-checking site has determined the article to be false.
 - **Bill S-7 sets extremely low standards to seize and search phones at the border.** Bill S-7, which recently passed its first reading in the Senate, would give border agents the authority to digitally examine travellers’ phones and laptops and **make copies of their emails, text messages and photos when leaving or returning to Canada.** The standard set is that an officer merely needs “reasonable general concern” — which is alarm-



Your support is vital to the continued success of this paper.
E-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Or visit: druthers.net/donate



Would you like to deliver these papers in your community? Or would you like to help out in other ways? We'd love to hear from you! Please visit us for more info:
druthers.net/volunteer



POSTAL SUBSCRIPTIONS NOW AVAILABLE

You asked for it, and we now deliver. You may choose to cover the cost of s/h and have Druthers delivered right to your door each month.

Visit: druthers.net/subscribe