

DRUTHERS

TRUTH • LOVE • FREEDOM

Issue #43 | June 2024

druthers /n. ...Informal...
one's own way, preference, or choice:
eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

FREE

Covering news & information that mainstream media won't. Please read and then pass this paper along to someone else when done. Find more to explore on our website: DRUTHERS.CA

Clinical Data Destroys AstraZeneca 1 in 35 Had Serious Adverse Reactions!

By Tea Lynn Moore

During the darkness of lockdowns, AstraZeneca, the company whose CEO was awarded British knighthood for the creation of its vaccine, whose scientists received an emotional standing ovation at Wimbledon, whose vaccine co-creator had a Barbie doll modelled after her, whose vaccine was even promoted by the king of vaccines himself, Bill Gates, appeared to be the saviour we had all been waiting for. Then, it all went downhill. First slowly and silently, then all at once.

Amid a long history of safety concerns, Oxford's AstraZeneca vaccine "Vaxzevria" has been officially withdrawn from the global market. Let's take a quick look back to understand what happened.

AstraZeneca's COVID-19 vaccine was first approved in Canada on February 26, 2021. Within weeks, however, concerns grew about the vaccine's safety when dozens of countries suspended its use after unusual blood clots were detected in a number of immunized people.

The European Medicines Agency (EMA) eventually admitted that there was a "clear" link between AstraZeneca's COVID vaccine and a form of potentially deadly blood clots that had never been known to occur naturally. The condition, coined thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome, results in blood clots combined with a low level of platelets. Eventually, at least 26 countries suspended the AstraZeneca vaccine. Some countries began only offering the shots to people over age 40, since the condition primarily affected young adults. Guillain Barré Syndrome was also found to be associated with the vaccine.

Then came reports that the vaccine didn't even work to prevent transmission. A paper by the prestigious Oxford University Clinical Research Group, published in *The Lancet* (Chau et al.), found that, when infected with

the dominant Delta variant, AstraZeneca-vaccinated individuals carried 251 times the load of COVID-19 viruses in their nostrils compared with the unvaccinated.

AstraZeneca was found to have used "outdated and potentially misleading data" that overstated the effectiveness of its vaccine, according to *The Data and Safety Monitoring Board* in their report to the National Institutes


a lengthy class action legal battle in the UK in April. That case involved claims of injuries and deaths in the UK allegedly caused by their vaccine. The company has also faced a mountain of lawsuits worldwide.

This lawsuit is compounded by AstraZeneca's most recent Phase III two-year post-vaccine safety clinical trial study results, which are quite alarming.

In November 2023, the EMA published AstraZeneca's mandated Phase III randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multi-center study. The study followed over 30,000 adult participants who received a placebo, or one to two doses of its Vaxzevria COVID-19 vaccine over the course of two years. The study defined a serious adverse event as a side effect that results in "death; immediately life-threatening; ... hospitalization; persistent or significant disability; congenital abnormality or birth defect; an important medical event." Out of the 21,587 participants who received a vaccine, 621 developed a serious adverse event—equating to a shocking 1 in 35 people! Additionally, 1 in 5 people sought or required medical attention after the vaccine. And 1 in 9 people had some form of adverse reaction.

Had all clinical studies been completed before the vaccine roll-out, it never would have made it to market. Instead, under the guise of "Emergency Authorization," a deadly product was injected into the arms of millions. Ultimately, safety is what should be a top priority in medicine. The Hippocratic Corpus says, "Practice two things in your dealings with disease: either help or do not harm the patient." It seems the AstraZeneca vaccine did neither.

In a statement, AstraZeneca said: "Our sympathy goes out to anyone who has lost loved ones or reported health problems. Patient safety is our highest priority." It's unlikely that "sentiment" will ever be enough for its victims.



Artwork by: Ben Garrison | grrrgraphics.com

of Health (NIH).

Despite all this evidence, AstraZeneca sold almost \$4 billion US worth of the injectables worldwide in 2021.

This brings us to May 7th, 2024, when "Vaxzevria" was officially withdrawn from the global market.

The removal of its authorization came just weeks after the company admitted in a UK court document that its shot can cause thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome. The company had originally denied a causal link.

AstraZeneca did not cite the admission as contributing to its decision. Instead, it stated that there was now an "oversupply of updated vaccines." AstraZeneca's admission, revealed in a UK high court, came to light through

A Beginner's Guide to the Phrase "Conspiracy Theory"

By Jerm Warfare



I want to splinter the CIA into a thousand pieces and scatter it to the winds.

—John F. Kennedy

Throughout the pseudopandemic, the phrase "conspiracy theorist" soared through the zeitgeist. Suddenly, anybody found questioning any official story was

deemed a "conspiracy theorist" worthy of censorship and, in some instances, jail time.

Many of my podcasts questioning the existence of COVID-19, for example, were censored and even reported to authorities for "misinformation."

Why?

The CIA is the world's most efficient distributor of disinformation. —John Stockwell, former CIA Station Chief in Angola

The establishment—media plus government plus academia—told us not to do our own research because, well, you know, thinking critically means *not* being dependent on "the experts" and we can't have that.

Trust the experts, bro.

Don't ask questions, bro.

Mainstream media telling us not to think critically.

Quick history

The phrase "conspiracy theory" first appeared in academic discourse in the 19th century. It referred to the study and analysis of conspiracies, often focusing on political intrigue and secret plots. Initially, the term had a neutral or even positive connotation, highlighting the importance of investigating covert activities.

Or what was once fondly known as "journalism."

Then, in the 20th century, the phrase began to take

See 'CIA Directive' p.3

DRUTHERS is written, funded, promoted & distributed freely by concerned Canadians like you.
If you appreciate this paper, please help us print more @ druthers.ca/donate

SPECIAL: Have Druthers delivered to your door next month for free! See how at: druthers.ca/free

COVID Nonsense Helped Raise Awareness of the Vaccine Industry's Real Agenda

By Jennifer Margulis

In 2019, the World Health Organization (WHO) listed “vaccine hesitancy” as one of the top ten threats to global health. *“The reluctance or refusal to vaccinate despite the availability of vaccines,”* this multi-billion-dollar agency explained, *“threatens to reverse progress made in tackling vaccine-preventable diseases.”* According to the WHO: *“Vaccination is one of the most cost-effective ways of avoiding disease—it currently prevents 2-3 million deaths a year, and a further 1.5 million could be avoided if global coverage of vaccinations improved.”*

In 2019, a lot of people believed this to be true, shaking their heads in dismay at *“those crazy antivaxxers.”* Five years later, there's a shift happening. More people than ever before—especially those in healthcare professions—are starting to do their own research, use their own human brains to think about things that they always took at face value, and change their minds about vaccines. According to a peer-reviewed article published last week in Nature's *Scientific Reports*, there has been a *“global rise in vaccine hesitancy.”*

Beth, a nurse, said, *“Yes, the best thing to happen in all this Covid nonsense is that many of us have learned more about the vaccine industry. ... I won't get another.”*

An M.D. colleague of mine, who has been squarely pro-vaccine his entire career, has started to change his mind about vaccines. The reason? He's spending most of every day in his clinical practice treating mainstream patients who are suffering from severe adverse reactions to the vaccines they'd been so eager to get. After seeing the reactions for himself, he did something he did not used to do as a busy clinician in private practice: he started looking beyond the CDC's recommendations and reading scientific studies for himself. Several studies he read, and alternative news articles about them, left him with so much cognitive dissonance that he almost decided to quit medicine for good.

Vaccine studies that should give you pause.

Three of these peer-reviewed scientific studies about COVID-19 vaccine safety caught his attention:

1) A 2022 study by Italian scientists that showed that **94 percent of vaccinated patients who went to the doctor with subsequent health problems presented with abnormal blood.** The three Italian scientists who examined freshly drawn blood of more than a thousand patients noted that though they had no clear explanation for their findings, they were so unusual that they felt the need to alert the medical community.

2) A 2022 study published in the journal *Food and Chemical Toxicology* by an international team of researchers that included an MIT senior scientist

and one of the world's foremost and most respected cardiologists, presented evidence that **the mRNA vaccines impaired type 1 interferon signaling**, which has diverse—and sometimes devastating—consequences to human health, including a “causal link” to neurodegenerative disease, myocarditis, immune thrombocytopenia, and cancer.

3) An extended analysis conducted by a team of nine experts from Harvard, Johns Hopkins, and several other elite universities that found that COVID-19 booster shots for young people actually caused many more serious adverse events for every one (1) theoretical COVID-19



Photo by: Nicole Glass Photography / Shutterstock.com

infection-related hospitalization they prevented. Among the team of scientists that published this paper was Dr. Salmaan Keshavjee, M.D., Ph.D., the director of the Harvard Medical School Center for Global Health Delivery. The paper concluded that, given efficacy and safety concerns, mandating COVID-19 vaccines for college students was unethical.

A doctor I know had previously testified **in favour** of allowing state officials to bar unvaccinated or partially vaccinated children from attending public school, private school, and daycare. The bill he supported, thankfully, was not passed into law. Why would he have supported taking medical freedom and vaccine choice away from parents?

I choose to believe that nearly all doctors have human health and their patients' well-being at the forefront of their minds. Yes, doctors like the respect they get from being medical doctors. Sure, they love the money and

the houses they can buy with it, the vacations they can take, and the elite colleges they can afford to send their children to. But most doctors also, care deeply about the people who come to them for help.

This doctor once believed that by protesting against allowing unvaccinated and partially vaccinated children to attend school, he was helping make America a healthier, happier place. In his mind—programmed by four years of medical training, a residency, continuing education courses, most, if not all, of his colleagues, the billboards he passed on the highway on his commute to the office every day, the advertising he saw on TV, and the news channels he tuned into several times a day—vaccines were synonymous with health. And the more vaccinated a child, the healthier. You don't know what you don't know.

He didn't know that, due to federal law, vaccine manufacturers in America are **not liable for vaccine-related adverse events caused by the products they manufacture.** And he admitted, in a confessional tone of voice, that before COVID he probably wouldn't have cared anyway. He didn't believe in vaccine adverse events, had never filed a VAERS report, and had always dismissed patients' concerns that the problem their child experienced right after being vaccinated as an *“unfortunate coincidence.”* In his mind, bad vaccine reactions simply didn't exist. He'd never bothered to peruse a single peer-reviewed article about vaccine safety, read a vaccine critical book, or attend a holistic health conference.

Why would he?

Reading a book about safety, efficacy, and necessity issues related to vaccines would have been like reading a book about the safety of broccoli or the efficacy of drinking water to hydrate the body.

But now, this doctor has changed his mind. He's caring for hundreds of patients trying to heal from adverse reactions to the COVID vaccines. He's been in practice for over twenty years, and is currently seeing conditions he has never seen before—turbo cancers, heart problems, neurological problems, blood clots, and immune dysfunction. Most of these patients have had both COVID infections and at least two—sometimes as many as five or six—COVID vaccines. It's hard to pinpoint the exact cause of these problems and the mechanisms by which they are happening. Could it be due to the COVID infections? The vaccines? The boosters? The conventional treatments, including Paxlovid? Or some combination of all of these?

Though this doctor does not know the answers, he has now filed over a dozen VAERS reports to alert the

See 'Vaccine Agenda' p. 11

The Bandwagons of Social Justice

By Gerald Heinrichs

A joke can say a lot. One comic recently wrote, *“Pro Palestinian traffic blockers are preventing climate activists from getting to their own blockades.”* Another writes, *“I can't believe it's BLM season already. I still have my Trans Awareness decorations up.”* Funny or not, these comics reflect the same picture—that in 2024, Canadians are witnessing a crowded arena of social justice activism.

No one fully understands how this fiesta of activism began. Some claim it is caused by radical changes in our educational institutions. For example, a 2022 publication by the Fraser Institute says *“Woke ideology now dominates Ontario public schools.”* And, a recent article in the Daily Telegraph says that many universities *“have turned themselves into woke indoctrination camps.”* Indeed, the webpage of Toronto's Jack Layton Leadership School says that students are expected *“to affect social change.”* So, many would argue that today's students are primed and loaded to make noise and more in support of the latest cause.

Meanwhile, others say the activism craze is the result of people abandoning traditional faiths. Professor Emilio Gentile says the decline of traditional religions creates *“fertile ground for the creation and affirmation of new and powerful secular religions.”* Broadcaster Dave Rubin says the human soul contains a *“god-shaped hole.”* If the hole is not filled by religious faith, he says, then individuals are compelled to fill it with something else. And social

justice activism fits the bill. Young people who lack faith, he says, are ripe for the picking.

Similarly, Gustave Le Bon also says that activism can become religious. He writes, *“A person is not religious solely when he worships a divinity, but when he puts all the resources of his mind, the complete submission of his will.”* Le Bon says that activism crosses the boundary into religion when there is *“blind submission to its commandments, inability to discuss its dogmas, the desire to spread*



them, and a tendency to consider as enemies all by whom they are not accepted.” Theologian E. Stanley Jones also commented on this subject. Some decades ago he wrote, *“Even the Communists, though repudiating religion, are deeply religious.”* These writers explain why today's activists are, not infrequently, called cult-like.

But there are those who defend social justice activism. It's doing the right thing and making a change, they say. But, the long run often tells a different story. Many a time, good intentions are stuffed in the back seat while radical

extremists grab the steering wheel. Those wanting to help the environment are drowned out by *“Humans are a cancer.”* And, those wanting peace in Gaza are drowned out by *“Death to Israel.”* Something flips and then good people are suddenly following the bad.

Author Lionel Shriver examines activist trends in her latest novel *Mania*. In a recent interview, she discussed this issue and she noted, *“the rapidity with which people suddenly embrace one prescribed view, and also the ease with which these mind viruses now spread internationally.”*

Canadians have seen activist themes rise and fall like songs on the hit parade: ozone layer, baby seals, anti-bullying, pronouns, #metoo. One by one, though, they eventually become discarded idols outside the temple of social justice.

It is impossible to predict the next movement that will captivate the masses. Max Weber says the process will be like deities competing for supremacy. He writes *“The ancient gods, disenchanted and therefore transformed into impersonal powers, rise from their graves and resume the eternal struggle between them in the hope of conquering the supremacy of life.”* Weber describes a ghostly competition—like a survival of the fittest.

These are interesting times. And, with mixed anticipation, Canadians await the arrival of the next social justice bandwagon.

Gerald Heinrichs is a lawyer in Regina, Saskatchewan.

Climate: The Movie (The Cold Truth)

By Martin Durkin

This film exposes the climate alarm as an invented scare without any basis in science. It shows that mainstream studies and official data do not support the claim that we are witnessing an increase in extreme weather events—hurricanes, droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and all the rest. It emphatically counters the claim that current temperatures and levels of atmospheric CO₂ are unusually and worryingly high. On the contrary, it is very clearly the case, as can be seen in all mainstream studies, that, compared to the last half billion years of earth's history, both current temperatures and CO₂ levels are extremely and unusually low. We are currently in an ice age. It also shows that there is no evidence that changing levels of CO₂ (it has changed many times) has ever "driven" climate change in the past.

Why then, are we told, again and again, that "catastrophic man-made climate-change" is an irrefutable fact? Why are we told that there is no evidence that contradicts it? Why are we told that anyone who questions "climate chaos" is a "flat-earther" and a "science-denier"?



Screenshot from the film:
youtu.be/zmfRG8-RHEI

The film explores the nature of the consensus behind climate change. It describes the origins of the climate funding bandwagon, and the rise of the trillion-dollar climate industry. It describes the hundreds of thousands of jobs that depend on the climate crisis. It explains the enormous pressure on scientists and others not to question the climate alarm: the withdrawal of funds, rejection by science journals, social ostracism.

But the climate alarm is much more than a funding and jobs bandwagon. The film explores the politics of climate. From the beginning, the climate scare was political. The culprit was free-market industrial capitalism. The solution was higher taxes and more regulation. From the start, the climate alarm appealed to, and has been adopted and promoted by, those groups who favour bigger government.

This is the unspoken political divide behind the climate alarm. The climate scare appeals especially to all those in the sprawling publicly-funded establishment. This includes the largely publicly-funded Western intelligentsia, for whom climate has become a moral cause. In these circles, to criticize or question the climate

alarm has become a breach of social etiquette. The film was shot on location in the US, Israel, Kenya, and the UK.

alarm has become a breach of social etiquette.

The film was shot on location in the US, Israel, Kenya, and the UK.

Link to the film: youtu.be/zmfRG8-RHEI

SUPPORT INDEPENDENT MEDIA WITH A

POSTAL SUBSCRIPTION

OF

DRUTHERS

newspapers straight to your mailbox

FOR

\$119.40

for a 1-year subscription of 3 COPIES of Druthers, delivered monthly.
Papers are free, fee is for s/h.

SIGN UP AT:

DRUTHERS.CA/SUBSCRIBE

MAIL TO: PO BOX 40531
SIX POINTS PLAZA
TORONTO, ONTARIO
M9B 6K8

CHEQUES PAYABLE TO:
SHAWN JASON LAPLANTE

ETRANSFER ADDRESS
(PLEASE MENTION
"SUB" IN MEMO):
ADMIN@DRUTHERS.NET

CIA Directive

Continued from p. 1

on a more negative tone, thanks to the CIA.

The CIA has consistently lied to the American public and even Congress about its activities. —William Blum, author

Warren Commission

Why does the phrase "conspiracy theory" unsettle so many people? The answer is effective propaganda. Since the 1960s, proaganda has been used to discourage discussion or investigation into numerous events (from JFK's assassination, to the Apollo Missions, to COVID™).

The Warren Commission was a sham. It was a political cover-up from the start. —Gerald Posner, author

Following growing public doubt over the Warren Commission's conclusions on JFK's assassination, the CIA issued a comprehensive directive to its stations.

To be clear, the general public did not believe the official story that Lee Harvey Oswald killed JFK.

The Warren Commission, set up by President Lyndon B Johnson on 29 November 1963, was tasked with investigating the assassination of JFK, which occurred on 22

November 1963.

Titled *Countering Criticism of the Warren Commission Report*, this memo massively contributed to the use of "conspiracy theory" as a term to discredit those who question the government's secret operations and policies. Ironically, the Warren Commission's namesake, Chief Justice Earl Warren, likely knew about the coverup.

In other words, the CIA did not want people questioning the assassination of JFK, and wanted, instead, to control public discourse, which led to the implementation of Operation Mockingbird. Even Lee Harvey Oswald's lawyer suspected foul play.

The directive, known as *Document 1035-960*, was released by the CIA in 1976, after a FOIA (Freedom Of Information Access) request by the *New York Times*, in which it details a series of actions and techniques for "countering and discrediting the claims of the conspiracy theorists, so as to inhibit the circulation of such claims in other countries."

One example was to remind "friendly elite contacts (especially politicians and editors)" about the accuracy and soundness of the *Warren Commission Report*, and that "further speculative discussion only plays in to the hands of the [Communist] opposition."

FREEDOM WINS!

the issues and public concerns.

- **The US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has suspended EcoHealth Alliance from all program funding** and proposed barring the organization from future funding and government contracts. EcoHealth is the organization that allegedly ran the gain-of-function research out of the Wuhan Institute of Virology (where SARS-CoV-2 is suspected to have leaked from). In their letter to the head of EcoHealth, the HHS noted, "There is adequate evidence in the record for this debarment cause and that immediate action is necessary to protect the public interest." The move to cut EcoHealth's funding comes two weeks after a US House of Representatives committee investigating the COVID-19 pandemic called for a **criminal investigation into Peter Daszak, Ph.D.**, president of EcoHealth.
- **Bill HR 8519, US legislation aimed at ending the practice of spending taxpayer money to fund various censorship and "disinformation" research programs, is on its way.** In a press release announcing the bill, Congressman Thomas Massie said that the publishing of the Twitter Files—a batch of internal documents showing how the government and this social platform colluded in the previous period—played a pivotal role in opening the public's eyes to the realities of the situation.
- In a dramatic turn of the narrative, **The New York Times has released an extensive article on injuries related to COVID-19 vaccines following a year-long investigation.** On May 3, 2024, *The New York Times* posted an article on their homepage entitled "Thousands Believe Covid Vaccines Harmed Them. Is Anyone Listening?" The article cites many experts,

including Dr. Janet Woodcock, a long-time leader of the FDA. She admitted that some recipients had experienced "serious" and "life-changing" reactions beyond those described by federal agencies.

- **Scotland's first minister, Humza Yousaf, chooses to resign rather than face a no-confidence vote after supporting controversial new hate crime laws.** Back in April, Yousaf implemented a hate crime law criminalizing the "stirring up of" hatred against some groups, particularly transgender. The law targets "threatening language," even when it causes no actual harm, is discussed in a private setting, and is not intended to incite a specific act.
- **The "Queer Storytime Adventures for KIDS & Families" program in British Columbia has been removed from public school libraries.** As a result of concerned parents speaking out and amongst rumors of potential future protests, it is now being held in a private location.
- A Quebec court has authorized two separate **class-action lawsuits against YouTube and Facebook for allegedly censoring posts and videos critical of COVID-19 measures and vaccines.** Judge Granosik said in his judgment that "Freedom of expression does not only mean freedom of speech, but also freedom of publication and freedom of creation." The judge then quotes from the Supreme Court of Canada, saying, "It is difficult to imagine a guaranteed freedom which is more important than freedom of expression in a democratic society."
- **Two states have passed laws—and two states have bills pending—intended to prevent the World Health Organization from overriding states' authority on matters of public health policy.** Utah and Florida passed laws and Louisiana and Oklahoma have legislation set to take effect soon, pending final votes.

• **Moderna's first quarter 2024 financial report reveals a 91% decline in sales compared to the previous year,** which the company says was primarily due to a lack of Covid-19 vaccine sales. In the first quarter of 2024, Moderna made \$167 million in sales of its only product on the market, its COVID-19 vaccine, Spikevax—down 91% from the \$1.9 billion it reported in the same quarter last year. Pfizer also reported a revenue decline in the first quarter of 2024—down 44% from \$5.5 billion to \$3.1 billion.

• An arbitrator has **revoked part of Canada Post's mandatory COVID-19 vaccine policy for employees, ruling it was "unreasonable" to suspend remote staff without pay for not confirming their vaccination status.** The ruling comes in response to a case filed by the Union of Postal Communications Employees.

• Dr Sherri Tenpenny, a **doctor whose views on COVID-19 vaccinations drew complaints, has her medical license reinstated.** Tenpenny, MD, first made international headlines when she testified against the use of COVID-19 vaccines before Ohio state lawmakers in 2021.

• **World Council for Health delivered a Notice of Liability to individuals at the WHO** this week, including Director-General Tedros. The notice addressed both corporate and personal liability in wrongdoing. It states: "Based on your authority as the designated WHO Director-General, the majority of people around the world trusted your words when you stated that Covid-19 GMOs and gene therapies were 'safe and effective.' This claim cannot be substantiated ... the right to free and informed consent was violated ... Your failure to advise on, recommend, promote and ensure free and informed consent for these Covid-19 GMO gene therapies can be prosecuted for both breach of your duty of care as well as for battery." The WHO and its employees can no longer say they are ignorant of

Tulips4Freedom – An Individual Commitment to our Rights and Freedoms That Unites Us

By Sophie Siebert

In the dark days at the end of 2021, a not small group of us—isolated and morose during the week, often no longer beloved of friends and family—met every Saturday in front of the Parliament Buildings in Victoria. We protested, commiserated, shared horror stories, stories of hope, and information from formerly universally respected experts, now only available through independent media. During our weekly camaraderie that relieved loneliness and anger, we sensed that our numbers in society were greater than was acknowledged by government and the media, but had no proof.

I hatched a plan for mutual recognition among those of us objecting to our loss of rights and freedoms—a simple symbol of self-identification worn daily as a statement of conscience and refusal to be shamed by which we could recognize others of similar mind. Nothing original; probably used for thousands of years. Remember the White Rose from World War II? When I proposed the idea to a kindred Saturday soul, Leslie-Anne Goodall, she enthusiastically agreed. We immediately decided upon the tulip—red, strong, simple, a spring flower symbolizing regeneration.

Within 24 hours, we had chosen the tulip art (a three-pronged tulip that could refer to our three Canadian oceans **or even our Maple Leaf flag**), designed the button, and ordered (hope over expectation) 1,200 buttons in anticipation of an upcoming World Wide Rally where we would distribute them free or by gift. We wrote a Living Mission Statement, briefly stating the commitment of tulip wearers, based on the tenets of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, The US Bill of Rights, the Nuremberg Code, and the UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights, always handed out with the buttons. We, “The Tulip Ladies,” were flabbergasted by the warm response. We ordered 4,500 more, then

2,500, then 3,750, for a series of rallies over the next three months, all well-attended but severely underestimated and underreported by our media.

We then realized that the tulip button was not simply a vehicle for mutual recognition, but that mutual recognition creates confidence and growth. Visibility is power, something the mass media and their masters, of course, know. And we have grown. We now have a website, artistically designed by the late Michael Hockney,



Tulips4Freedom.org, from which tulips can be ordered. We have distributed about 30,000 buttons at rallies, events, and through the mail. And though we don't have the financial resources for much travel, friends in the trucker convoy community have distributed buttons throughout the country. While our basic symbol remains the plain tulip, we have added relevant messages. In the absence of mention of critical issues by mainstream media, we tulip wearers become silent town criers, wearing headlines on our shoulders, our hats, our backpacks.

There are challenges. For a time, there was a lull: people put their buttons in the drawer, a nostalgic reminder of the solidarity of a rally. The admirable people who have joined activist organizations concentrate on the work of their groups and sometimes don't remember the value of tulip visibility. But the tulips are a declaration of commitment, not a club; they are over-arching, they don't compete. Our movement's “celebrities,” who can help spread the word, agree that the tulip can be powerful but, with few exceptions, don't wear it.

With the ever-worsening encroachments on our lib-

erties, though, more and more people (a majority?) see the dangers and are awakening to truths unscrupulously withheld from them. A few of us protest our media's censorship and selective reporting every week on a high-traffic boulevard outside two major media outlets. The thumbs up, honks, and shouts of “Keep up the good work!” from drivers, have grown exponentially of late, with only a few middle-finger salutes. However, those indications of strong support are only visible to us. Most people are busy with their children, their jobs, their day-to-day commitments. That's why even passive activism of wearing a tulip can be powerful and pivotal.

At the end of World War II, the royal family of the Netherlands gifted 100,000 tulip bulbs to Canada to acknowledge the refuge granted to its queen and her children and for liberating the Dutch citizens from the Nazi occupation. Using the tulip symbol as a vehicle to retain our liberties is a fitting irony.

There may be very dark days to come. When world-renowned scientists, doctors, journalists, and media personalities are maligned and “cancelled” by complicit media, science is unmoored from ethics, memory is said to have no value, and the documents that proclaim our freedoms are said to be “quaint,” it is up to us, the grassroots citizenry, to carry the message of freedom. We can carry that message by wearing a tulip button wherever we go. As our numbers grow, we cannot be ignored.

From the Living Mission Statement:

“When we wear a tulip—we express our commitment to freedom of speech, freedom of choice, freedom of movement, right to privacy, freedom of the press, right of assembly, freedom from arbitrary restrictions and punishments, freedom from coerced medical interventions, right to information and open debate without censorship.”

VISIBILITY IS POWER.

Why You Might Be a “Right-Wing Extremist”

By Tish Conlin

Many people see that Canada has declined, to say the very least. The standard of living has declined, affordability has declined, and basic essential services are rapidly eroding under the woke policies of the Ottawa elites. Canada has lost respect internationally under Trudeau and the Liberal-NDP Coalition, and harsh laws are being passed to limit free speech, like Bill C-11 and Bill-C63, The Online Harms Act, under the guise of public safety. We see increases in crime, suicide, homelessness, and despair in every community. But why?

Most people think it is a coincidence or possibly just incompetence, but how can any government be that incompetent? Truly something much more sinister is at play when all Western nations are experiencing the exact same erosion of rights, freedoms, and quality of life under the same draconian government policies.

A few years ago, I was minding my own business with a successful consulting firm and a happy life. The rug was harshly pulled out from under my feet when I witnessed bald-faced lies during Covid along with the decimation of small businesses under lockdowns (mandates not faced by big globalist firms). As a person of integrity, I could not stay silent and, therefore, I was harshly punished. For the crime of simply speaking the truth, I was censored, deplatformed, and then slandered as a dreaded “right-wing extremist.” This was enough to have a number of my former friends (some of whom, I have known forever) quickly remove themselves from my life with no apology or explanation as they simply believed media propaganda. After being deplatformed, my business suffered greatly as well.

But despite all the loss, I wouldn't change anything and I wouldn't go back in time and stay silent. For what is at play in the world is a coup by powerful globalists. They are not interested in thriving economies and communities, but rather controlling people and resources through a Digital ID, Pandemic Treaty, CBDC (Central Bank Digital Currency), Social Credit score, land capture, and large tax increases. These measures ensure society becomes increasingly demoralized and impoverished—all under the guise of “saving us” from the climate “crisis.”

They want us to give up our rights (they have no intention of giving up theirs) and accept a much lower standard of living so they can implement their highly profitable “green agenda,” which most of us now know is part of the United Nations Agenda 2030. Add to the mix a reckless addition of immigrants (many seeking refugee status) putting a massive burden on housing, healthcare, and a mental health crisis partly fueled by increasingly radicalized education and policies.



But none of those responsible for voting for all these harmful bills and policies say anything about the obvious decline in Canada. Instead, they point fingers at what they call “right-wing extremists” who bring up the obvious harm of the problems they caused.

But what does “right-wing extremist” mean, really?

Given the broad label, most people I speak with would qualify as a right-wing extremist. Immigrants from India and the Middle East agree with me. Long-term Canadians, parents who see the declining levels of education agree with me. Retired veterans who can't afford food agree with me. Even many young college and university students are starting to see the obvious and agree with me.

Is it extreme to want housing for Canadians first instead of putting refugees in luxury hotels? Is it extreme to want to promote peace in the world and return to being a recognized international peacekeeping nation, instead of sending funds to foreign countries to escalate conflicts? Is it extreme to want Canada to grow economically with a local thriving manufacturing sector, other than electric vehicles and cricket protein plants? Is it extreme to want to tap into our rich and ethically mined resource sector to create jobs and energy independence? Is it extreme to want to protect our farmland and farmers from taxes and control that will bankrupt them and destroy our food security? Is it extreme to question statements from corrupt government officials and media who are controlled by globalist companies that don't care about Canada?

When it comes to right wing, many people now see the deliberate slander behind the label. Maybe right-wing is closer to being on “the right side of history.” It takes courage to speak out and tell the truth and nothing good comes from having the majority stay silent to what is growing increasingly obvious to many.

In many countries, the truth about the harmful Covid and climate policies is coming to light because brave “right-wing extremists” are speaking out. If you agree with anything I have said here, you, too,

might be considered a right-wing extremist!!

Isn't it time for decent citizens all over Canada to reject slanderous labels and instead, hold our publicly elected officials accountable? That means showing up at Council meetings, sending letters to MPs and MPPs, speaking about uncomfortable subjects with neighbours, and refusing to be silenced by the ridiculous label “right-wing extremist,” we can unite in stopping the deliberately harmful policies from being implemented at a local level. The joy of being on the RIGHT side of history and standing up for Canada is worth it!!

Tish Conlin is an author, speaker, and business owner. She is a Holistic Health and Resilience Coach. Her podcast TishTalk can be found on Rumble, Bitchute, and Spotify.

Organ Donation: Life and Death

By Lynn Frances

In September 2006, accomplished young athlete, Shane Becker, plummeted 20 feet onto cement, severely injuring his head and brain.¹ Paramedics tried but could not revive him. In hospital, doctors told Shane's mother (a nurse by profession) her son's brain would soon be dead and there was no hope. She was approached about signing the organ donation certificate and she agreed. However, everything was on hold, waiting for Shane's dad to arrive to say good-bye...

Like other countries, Canada requires the organ donor decision to be voluntary.

Living organ donation dates back to 1954, when a kidney from one twin was successfully transplanted into his identical brother. In 2023, many living organ donors weren't biologically related to the recipient when they donated a kidney or part of their liver for transplantation.

For transplantation after a declaration of "brain death" or "heart death," an organ donation is only possible when the donor is already in a hospital near the ICU team. To remain suitable for transplantation, organs need a circulating supply of oxygen-rich blood, so the donor is put on a mechanical ventilator to support breathing.

When the surgical transplant team arrives, the donor is taken to the operating room to recover the organs and tissues. Federal law states that the transplant team does not participate in the donor's care until the determination of brain death. When an organ is

recovered from the donor, it is flushed with a cooled solution to preserve it. It is packed in ice for transportation. Tissues (bone, cornea, and skin) are taken after the organs (within 24 hours).

For a heart transplant, circulation and respiration are essential to the time of excision for the organ to remain viable (four to six hours). About an hour of operating is done before the surgeon stops and removes the heart. Lungs are viable four to six hours; the liver, for up to 12 hours; kidneys, 36 hours.

Ontario Health (Trillium Gift of Life Network) is the government agency responsible for planning, promoting, coordinating and supporting organ and tissue donation and transplantation. With only 1-2% of deaths occurring near an ICU, you are six times more likely to require an organ transplant in your lifetime than to have the opportunity to be an organ donor.

Declared time of death can vary from five minutes after the heart stops (most of Canada) to 75 seconds in (Colorado), to 20 minutes (Italy). Timing is crucial if the patient is an organ donor because vital organs rapidly deteriorate when blood flow ends. The World Health Organization (WHO) is currently developing an international definition of death.

A 2005 landmark meeting of the Canadian organ transplant community set a new standard (done in the US about a decade before) for organ donation based on the "irreversible" cessation of heartbeat, called "donation after circulatory (cardiac) death" (DCD).

Under the new definition, when the patient is on the ventilator in the ICU and there is some evidence of a functioning brain, they cannot be declared "brain dead," so "cardiac death" is used. By removing the life-supporting ventilator, they observe the patient for absence of pulse (may not be strong enough to register).

While DCD in Canada accounts for successful transplantations that otherwise would never have occurred, it has triggered intense debate in medical circles about whether the DCD donors are dead during organ "recovery."

Shouldn't there be extensive education about these serious matters of life and death?

Dr. Paul A. Byrne² said that since the world's first heart transplant in 1968, the term "cardiac death" has replaced "brain death."

Dr. Byrne is a Board-Certified Neonatologist and Pediatrician, Clinical Professor of Pediatrics at University of Toledo, College of Medicine, and addresses this paramount issue in his book *Is "Brain Death" True Death?*

"Donated organs, without exception, must come from a living person. Within minutes of 'true death,' the cessation of circulation and respiration, the organs will deteriorate.

rate. This is why, when organs are removed from a donor, the beating heart is always taken last. You cannot get any organs from cadavers. By then, organs are so corrupted that they are no longer suitable for transplantation. If you're really dead, then no organs can be extracted."

He points out differences between living and dead patients, i.e., cooling the body slows metabolism in a living person, and slows decomposition in a corpse. A ventilator, that pushes air into the lungs, only works on a living person because they can complete the oxygen and carbon dioxide exchange process. Also, if you cut the skin of someone who is living, but declared "brain dead," the wound will heal, something that won't happen in a cadaver.



And you would ONLY give anesthesia to someone who could still feel pain of organs being removed, not to a dead body.

Your "refusal" to be an organ donor must be documented beyond your verbal decline of "no" when renewing your driver's license. You must "opt-out" by documenting your "refusal" in writing using "explicit language." There is presumed consent to do all compatibility tests for organ transplantation and the treatment to keep the organs in viable condition until a matched recipient is found. Written into the consent for being an organ donor is that the organ can be used for "transplantation, education, and research."

According to the language of US law, Revised Anatomical Gift Act (2006), "the prospective donor may have expressed the intent to have a respirator [ventilator] withdrawn in a declaration, yet it may be necessary to continue a respirator [ventilator] to assure the medical suitability of a donated part."

Manitoba's Final Report (2021) includes determinations, substitutions, and surprises for all provinces, some of which, like Nova Scotia, have "presumed consent" for donation of organs and tissues.

"The Commission recommends that reasonable efforts must be made to determine whether an individual consents [to] or refuses ... organ and tissue donation prior to determining that deemed consent has been triggered and that donation and transplantation activities can commence. Reasonable efforts would include checking the registry for a consent or refusal, and where no consent or refusal has been registered, and where it is deemed appropriate, asking the individual or their alternate decision maker whether they wish to make a direction regarding organ and tissue donation. If after taking these steps, neither a consent nor refusal is obtained, the Commission recommends that consent should be presumed and that organ donation activities may commence."

For Ontario Health, "When the designated facility gives notice to the Network, the Network shall determine whether the facility is required to contact the patient or the patient's substitute concerning the right of the patient or the substitute, as the case may be, to object to the removal and use of tissue from the body of the patient for transplant."

Canada performs more organ transplants from MAiD (Medical Assistance in Dying) donors than any country in the world.³

Choice and approval for MAiD must come first before discussing organ donation. Currently, except for Quebec and Ontario, patients initiate this conversation.

Despite concerns that the cocktail of medications used for assisted death might harm organs, studies worldwide show they are undamaged and work well after

transplantation. (onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ajt.17198)

Canada is at the forefront of another emerging trend. Until recently, only those who agreed to have MAiD in hospital could donate their organs. To date, five documented cases of MAiD organ donors were given the medications at home and then, while unconscious, transported by ambulance quickly to a nearby hospital to complete the procedure and harvest the organs.

Note: A MAiD recipient can change their mind up to the final moment, so the transplant team must be ready and flexible.

...While waiting for his father to arrive and the doctors to officially declare Shane brain dead, his mother said, "We were all holding his hand, and then there was definitely some change in his grasp, especially when we spoke to him."

Donna alerted the doctor. "His pupils that had been fixed and dilated when we initially went in were now constricted. They had changed in size. I felt sure that underneath this shell of unresponsiveness, Shane was still there, still with us, trying to tell us it was going to be okay."

Shane remembers the frustration, "I was there cognitively; I just couldn't show it," trying to communicate, "Guys, I'm okay, I'm here, I'm fighting, I'm going to make it."

If not for waiting for Shane's dad, with the donation protocols met, their son's organs might have been removed.

After hours of surgery, weeks in a medically-induced coma, months of rehab, and years with a damaged brain, Shane, now 32, is married and a father. "I understand that these organs are in high demand. There are long waiting lists for various types of organ donations and they save lives and, looking back on it, if I had passed away that night, I would have wanted my body to go to some good use and help people. But on the other side of the story, there's so much of life and medical stuff, more specifically the brain, that they just don't know about and can't explain."

References:

- [youtube.com/watch?v=DU77hRK6D0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DU77hRK6D0) Dead Enough, The Fifth Estate
- [youtube.com/watch?v=YmjeW9Ij5ac&t=13s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YmjeW9Ij5ac&t=13s) Dr. Paul Byrne
- [ctvnews.ca/health/canada-performing-more-organ-transplants-from-maid-donors-than-any-country-in-the-world-1.6234133](https://www.ctvnews.ca/health/canada-performing-more-organ-transplants-from-maid-donors-than-any-country-in-the-world-1.6234133)

WANT

DRUTHERS

DELIVERED TO YOUR NEIGHBOURS' MAILBOXES?

NOW YOU CAN WITH DRUTHERS NEIGHBOURHOOD MAIL SERVICE.

IT'S NEVER BEEN EASIER TO MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE IN YOUR COMMUNITY.

NOW YOU CAN HAVE COPIES OF DRUTHERS NEWSPAPERS DELIVERED RIGHT TO MAILBOXES IN YOUR NEIGHBOURHOOD AND WAKE UP YOUR COMMUNITY MORE QUICKLY. WE MAKE ALL THE ARRANGEMENTS SO ALL YOU NEED TO DO IS, DECIDE HOW MANY ADDRESSES YOU WOULD LIKE DELIVERED TO AND WHICH POSTAL CODE AREA YOU WOULD LIKE BLANKETED, AND THAT'S IT... WE'LL TAKE CARE OF THE REST.

DRUTHERS.CA/NEIGHBOURHOOD

Bill Gates Admits the Shots Contain Nanotech

By Greg Reese

When the COVID vaccines were first being distributed to the public, the vaccine inserts were intentionally left blank. Because they were never safe and effective. And for those who did their own research, they were discovered to be deadly. The Moderna patent for this mRNA technology stated that they contain self assembling lipid nanoparticle technology. And now that an estimated six hundred million doses have been administered to the US public, Bill Gates admits this.

"Making the mRNA is really easy and really cheap. And that's the magic of this thing. But there's no doubt in the next five years, we can, you know, we just need to mess around. There's a lot of lipid nanoparticles, and some are very self-assembling. There's no inherent reason [that] it's not thermal stable, it's not cheap and it's not scalable. And so, as over the five years we fix that part of it, mature it, which is very typical, we'll be able to build factories worldwide that can make \$2 vaccines with even less lead time than we've had to have here during this pandemic."

—Bill Gates

Bill says these mRNA shots can easily be programmed to administer any type of spike protein pathogen. And the Moderna patent lists several. Over a hundred pathogens that can be control-released over time so that deaths will appear to be random and mysterious. Those injected are understandably having a hard time accepting this reality, and while they are busy trying to figure out where all the heart attacks, turbo cancer, and neurological disease is coming from, they are being told that it's due to Climate Change, and that regular pandemics are to now be expected.

During the roll-out of the experimental shots, main-

stream media pundit, Chris Cuomo, pushed for vaccine passports and attacked vaccine hesitancy.

"How are they going to know who's vaccinated? You just said they showed the card. That's not the answer. The answer is a vaccine passport."

"Part of the reason that you're seeing what you're seeing in Florida is because of vaccine hesitancy."

—Chris Cuomo



Cuomo is now claiming to be injured from the vaccines.

"So today, the New York Times released an article that's getting a lot of play that says that there are thousands of people who say they're still suffering side effects, they believe, from the vaccine. We know that vaccines can have unintended consequences, aka side effects, but nobody's really talking about it because they're too afraid of blame and they just want it to go away. But the problem is people like Sean and me and millions of others who still have weird stuff with their blood work and their lives and their

feelings and, you know, physically, are not going away."

—Chris Cuomo

And he is saying we need a 9/11 style commission to figure it all out.

"You need to have a 9/11 style commission to figure out what worked and what didn't, and what questions need to be answered because it's not over. So not only are we not set up for the next pandemic, and there will be one, but we're not treating people, millions of people who still have problems from the last one."

—Chris Cuomo

Cuomo assures us that there will be another pandemic. And this does seem to be the one sure thing in today's uncertain times. The released notes on the current discussions of the WHO pandemic treaty, are all about profit margins. Disease X is coming. The US Dollar is beyond saving. But while it still has a heartbeat there are billions to be made by another fake pandemic. And the CEO of Blackrock, Larry Fink, is saying that because of Artificial Intelligence, continuing the depopulation agenda is a good thing.

"I could argue in the developed countries, the big winners are countries that have shrinking populations. The social problems that one will have in substituting humans for machines is going to be

far easier in those countries that have declining populations." —Larry Fink

So long as we the people do nothing, the outcome is predictable. We will be slaves to a bureaucratic non-human system run by machines. And if we want to remain human and save our souls, then we had better stop being complacent audience members and start getting involved. And as long as we remain stubbornly divided, we don't stand a chance.

Originally published at gregreese.substack.com

Health Canada is at it Again With an Alarming New Attack on Your Health Rights

By Natural Health Product Protection Association

The proposed 2024 Budget Bill includes amendments that grant Health Canada unprecedented regulatory authority over off-label uses of drugs and natural health products—significantly affecting medical professionals' and natural health practitioners' ability to provide effective healthcare, and our ability as members of the public to access these vital treatment options.

To be clear, these are **proposed** changes to the law. **This Budget Bill has not become law yet—which gives us time!** It's crucial to act now to oppose these sections before they become law, as repealing them after is much more challenging.

Under the proposed changes, Health Canada would gain the power to:

- Restrict off-label use of drugs, including natural health products, by medical doctors, health care professionals, natural health practitioners, and even veterinarians.
- Grant exemptions for food and drugs from crucial safety regulations designed to protect against fraud, adulteration, and unsanitary conditions.
- Allow exemptions for food and drugs from safety laws based on selective "parts" of foreign documents.

Just like in 2023, Health Canada is attempting to slip these substantial revisions to the Food and Drugs Act into a Budget Bill, bypassing scrutiny from the Standing Committee on Health. Instead, Budget Bills are reviewed by the Standing Committee on Finance, who lack expertise in matters of Food and Drug law and human health.

It is unacceptable for non-budgetary changes to be buried within budget bills.

We urge you to read Shawn Buckley's latest Discussion Paper, *Alarm Bells in Health Policy: Hidden Changes in Canada's 2024 Budget.*¹

Health Canada is persistent—and so are we! This is the time to take action!

Join our electronic letter campaign urging MPs to



remove these harmful sections from Bill C-69 before they become law!

Why is this important? Budget bills are lengthy, and **MPs may not catch every detail.** Many MPs might not even be aware of these proposed changes, just like those that were slipped into the 2023 Budget Bill (C-47). It's up to us to educate them now so they can oppose these additions and send the bill back to the drawing board before it becomes law.

Follow the link to automatically send a pre-written

electronic letter to your MP.² And keep going! We have multiple letters available, so you can send a letter on a weekly basis to keep the pressure on.

Mail a physical letter—or two, or three!

Write to educate your MP on Health Canada's inappropriate additions (Division 31) to the 2024 Budget Bill, Bill C-69!

Why does paper mail matter?

It's impossible for MPs and their staff to ignore stacks of paper piling up on their desks, making this method more effective than emails.

Here's how you can participate:

- 1) Print one of our pre-written letters from our website or write your own
- 2) Locate your MP's constituency office on our commons.ca
- 3) Mail the letter to your MP's constituency office
- 4) Encouraged (optional): Attach a copy of Shawn Buckley's latest Discussion Paper, which amplifies the weight (literally and figuratively)

We've prepared the resources to support you in your preferred method for sending a physical letter to your Member of Parliament.

1. nhppa.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/NHPPA-The-Hidden-Changes-Discussion-Paper.pdf
2. oneclickpolitics.global.ssl.fastly.net/messages/edit?promo_id=22678

Help us help you! Natural Health Product Protection Association (nhppa.org)

Dedicated to protecting Canadians' access to natural health products.

Advertisements

RADICAL
DIGITAL AGENCY

Website Design

We need to stick together and support each other! Most small business have been suffering since Covid, including our own. We would like to offer our fellow freedom lovers a discount on our web design, graphic design, printing, SEO, and digital marketing packages

Reach out through our website & lets give each other a hand.

www.radicaldigitalagency.com

Time for ACTION

Raise Awareness

Share the Truth

THEY LIED.ca

STRYDER
LOGISTICS

Canada / USA Full Loads & LTL

Reefer, Dry-van, and Flat-Bed

dispatch@stryderlogistics.com 877-430-4790

Gates-backed Startup Raises \$26 Million for Climate Vaccines

Company develops climate vaccines for livestock as drug-makers eye climate vaccines for humans

By Yudi Sherman

Climate vaccine developer ArkeaBio has raised \$26.5 million in Series A funding, the company announced last week. ArkeaBio aims to fight climate change by vaccinating livestock.

Suppressing methane emissions

A vaccine being developed by the company is designed to prevent animals from releasing methane emissions. Once vaccinated with the ArkeaBio shot, an animal's immune system is supposed to create antibodies that will target methane-producing microbes.

The Boston-based startup secured its first major investment in late 2022 from Breakthrough Energy Ventures, an investment fund founded by billionaire Bill Gates. "Our vaccination-based approach allows for much-needed decarbonization of global meat and dairy products across multiple geographies, supporting greater sustainability in agriculture," the company explains on its website.

Pharma industry eyes climate vaccines for humans

While ArkeaBio hopes to deploy the vaccines worldwide for livestock, it has not yet announced plans to develop climate vaccines for humans. But, human-targeted climate vaccines do appear to be in the works. Researchers at Ginkgo Bioworks, a biotech firm also backed by Gates, have floated mRNA injections as a measure to mitigate the impact of global warming. The World Economic Forum (WEF) has also expressed support for climate vaccines.

The biggest push, however, is coming from the pharmaceutical industry, as vaccine makers claim vaccines

are a "critical response to the climate crisis." In December, pharmaceutical giant GSK (formerly Glaxo Smith Kline) wrote on its website that "[i]n the face of climate change, vaccines play a crucial but underestimated role."

AstraZeneca last year declared climate change to be a public health crisis, sparking concerns that the pharma industry may play a role in climate mandates. The

people are developed, the WHO could demand that the vaccine passports display a person's climate vaccine status together with other so-called "immunizations."

A status showing that a passport holder is not up to date on any scheduled vaccine could lead to the denial of that person's right to travel between nations or even within a nation.

Efficient system – for denying travel rights


In June last year, the WHO announced the launch of its "digital health partnership" with the European Commission. The joint program will involve the development of global vaccine passports among other "digital products to deliver better health for all."

According to the WHO, the passport system will allow "global mobility" and protect people not only from "future health threats" but those that are "on-going." The globalist organization clarified that this will likely include a global vaccine passport, much like many Western countries used during the COVID-19 pandemic:

This partnership will work to technically develop the WHO system with a staged approach to cover additional use cases, which may include, for example, the digitisation of the International Certificate of Vaccination or Prophylaxis. Expanding such digital solutions will be essential to deliver better health for citizens across the globe.

As a "first step," the WHO and European Commission will "ensure that the current EU digital certificates continue to function effectively."

Originally published at frontline.news



declaration came two months after drug-maker Sanofi's Executive Vice President of Vaccines, Thomas Triomphe, penned an article titled "Vaccine innovation is a critical response to the climate crisis."

Climate vaccines required for vaccine passports?

Pharma industry chatter about climate vaccines comes as the World Health Organization (WHO), which has warned of an impending climate pandemic, is developing global vaccine passports. If climate vaccines for

Call Out to Canadians to Lead the Peaceful Exit from The United Nations (UN) Agenda

By Shelagh McFarlane and Cal Washington

"By combining Cal Washington's 3-step approach to obtaining tacit agreement with Shelagh McFarlane's method for putting Mayors back into their true and lawful positions as public trustees, Canada has the template to end the United Nations' economic and political tyranny over individuals, their property, their town and their country." —Hugh Reilly, thatchannel.tv

sent. **HUMAN TRAFFICKING**

FACT 3: Our elected "government officials" are authorizing patented bio-weapons to be deployed in our towns and cities as part of the UN "Strategic Plan." **DEMOCIDE** Bio-weapons are "weapons of mass destruction" (WMD) designed to cause death and injury, and are used for population control, e.g., SMART meters, mRNA injections, chemtrails (geoengineering), and 5G towers.

People now realize that the contracts for this equipment are being made at the local level by Mayors and Councillors. Residents have attempted to stop this Agenda from moving forward by doing public deputations in municipal Council Chambers. However, these have led to horrific arrests and assaults on innocent taxpayers who are advocating for their families and property, and tragically, causing "Municipalities" (provincial corporations) to shut down public forums.

Canadians must end this deadly economic tyranny, and we have the tools to do so, quickly and safely. Superior legislation clearly states that every law-abiding citizen has "a duty to disobey de facto possession of sovereign powers." (Criminal Code of Canada, Section 15)

The following legal tools, used together, can bring all public/private assets into safe harbour, and immediately restore regulatory control.

Tool #1 POGG: Restore Peace, Order & Good Governance

Terminate de facto possession of local public office: claim/protect public assets and accounts. This Notice process, used to confirm their oath, establishes lawful jurisdiction and pulls public trustees out of non-public (UN-controlled) provincial "Municipalities" signed on to the UN Global Agenda.

Tool #2 InPower: Notices of Liability

Terminate de facto commercial contracts with municipal (non-public) corporations. This 3-letter Notice process challenges all "government" contract(s) on the

deployment of the bio-weapons and places monetary liability on all those knowingly or unknowingly participating in the genocide agenda.

Why this CAN and MUST be done:

1. There's no more time for talking. WMDs are being used against you and your family. The only safe plan is an **EXIT PLAN**. You can't negotiate with monopolists, terrorists, or globalists.
2. To date, thousands of elected officials have received the POGG Primer "Notice of Fraud" from their constituents, but have **failed to respond**. This indicates that elected officials have another "Boss." It's never wise to leave trustees "in Office" when they have another "Boss."
3. The Brady Case (*CUELENAERE LLP VS BRADY QUIRING*, Claim #305) in 2019 **revealed** astonishing "systemic government misconduct":
 - (a) corporations functioning as de facto governments
 - (b) identity fraud using birth certificate bonds/trust accounts, and
 - (c) human trafficking directly implicating the Corporation of CANADA and its officers.

Maxims of Law:

1. To one that exceeds his office or jurisdiction, no obedience is due.
2. He who does not repel a wrong when he can, induces it.
3. Silence implies consent.
4. Paying implies consent.
5. Fraud vitiates all contracts.

We now have the legal, lawful, peaceful way to **EXIT the UN public/private "partnership" Agenda**, because it starts and ENDS with your "elected" "public" "officials." Contact us for more information. It's time.

Shelagh McFarlane (Author, "POGG Primer: Ending the Public/Private Partnership Fraud") – shelaw.ca

Cal Washington (Co-Founder, Author for InPower) – inpowermovement.org



We are uniting with a special mission to put our communities back in power. The UN Global Agenda is a declaration of war on every Canadian. There is now concrete evidence that our local, provincial, and federal "governments" are involved in the following **Crimes Against Humanity**:

1. **Fraud:** intentional deception and misrepresentation.
2. **Human trafficking:** using innocent people for profit.
3. **Democide:** Public murder of citizens by their government.
4. **FACT 1:** All of our elected officials are under the control and direction of the UN, claiming it's "lawful, legitimate and public." **FRAUD**

FACT 2: All of our elected officials are under foreign orders to use public accounts (**humans**) as surety to fund a corporate agenda, without public consultation or con-

What Really Makes Us Sick?

By Timm Stein

In the article “Do Viruses Even Exist?” (January 2023 Druthers), we learned that virology has been scientific fraud from the onset, largely driven by a wrongfully awarded Nobel Prize for Medicine. It is a pseudoscience of alleged pathogens that only exist in the computer [and laboratory flasks] of the virologists, and which have never been proven to exist in nature. If you’d like to explore more about this scientific fraud, the website virology.com has a tremendous amount of information that is easy to understand. We concluded that article with questions about what sickness really is. This article goes into more depth about that!

What is sickness? The Oxford English Dictionary defines sickness as “the state of being sick or ill; the condition of suffering from some malady; [...]” And it defines the word sick as “suffering from illness of any kind; ill, unwell [...]” Most people would agree that fatigue, fever, headache, cough, chills, and a runny nose fall under the description of “being sick.” These terms are mostly associated with negative thoughts and emotions, such as feeling miserable, sad, empty, pessimistic, helpless, afraid, and depressed. We see sickness as an unwelcome burden, an inconvenience, a suffering, and we usually ask ourselves, “Why me?” and “Why now?”

We usually don’t ask ourselves, “Why am I feeling this way?” or “What is my body trying to tell me?” We are not listening to our body because we have been conditioned to believe that our body has been invaded by an alleged germ, that we can’t do anything about it, and that our subconscious immune system needs help to fight. We are led to believe that we cannot help ourselves, that we must take pharmaceutical products to help our body in the fight against the germ, and that we should visit a physician to get more medication and toxic injections (aka vaccines).

Why have we been manipulated to think that we can’t help ourselves and should instead “trust ze science?” The answer is simple: a healthy human being does not generate profit for pharmaceutical companies. And physicians have sadly been conditioned to believe that pharmaceutical science is the only science.

Can we actually help ourselves? Yes, in most cases we absolutely can! What it primarily takes is to let go of fear and to understand the miraculous biology of the human body. Positive language is also very important for a healthy mind (for example: start saying *vaccine-free* instead of *unvaccinated* and notice how it boosts your self-esteem!).

Due to an addiction to smart devices and social media, one can easily get psychologically trapped in the fear narratives. It can become challenging to get out of this negative spiral and shift into a positive healing phase. Words like *sick*, *illness*, *disease*, *autoimmune*, *incurable*, and *malignant* all carry a tremendous weight and usually cause additional negative thoughts and emotions, which we can also call traumas.

In reality, the human body is a magnificent, biological system of complex processes that all work flawlessly together, and all in harmony with millions of bacteria. Our body is fully capable to self-regulate and to reset. Problems usually occur when there is intervention from the outside through fearful events (traumas), fearful language and associated negative thoughts (e.g., getting the diagnosis of cancer). Also, toxic medications (such as antibiotics), processed foods, and toxic substances, such as sugar, drugs, alcohol, and caffeine, all of which impact the gut. A healthy gut is essential for our overall physical and mental health. The book *Terrain Therapy* by Dr. Samantha Bailey¹ is a wonderful overall resource.

Another harmful impact on our health that is widely underestimated is electro-magnetic frequency (EMF) radiation through cellphones, smart devices, and WiFi routers. Especially 5G radiation has been shown to be harmful to the human body. A very interesting correlation between thousands of 5G antennas that were turned on in Wuhan, China, in 2019, just weeks before the first reported cases of an alleged new respiratory illness, also in Wuhan, has been documented in the book *The Truth about Contagion*.²

Ultimately, when we feel unwell we need to listen to our body and understand that it is trying to tell us something.

How do we know what our body is trying to tell us? Let’s ditch the corrupt model of Western medicine and take a look at Universal Biology, or New Germanic Medicine, which was pioneered by Dr. Ryke Geerd Hamer. A great introduction to the New Medicine’s Five Natural Laws of Biology can be found on the website disease-is-different.com, and in more depth in the book *Universal Biology* by Giuliana Lüssi.³

Whenever we experience symptoms such as headache, fatigue, fever, runny nose, pain, or cough, our body is letting us know that it has been going through a series of meaningful biological processes. In this context, we



are not talking about physical injuries (such as a broken bone due to an accident), but rather psychological and emotional, often fearful, shocking events with a sudden onset, such as a verbal attack, emotional abuse, separation or divorce, unhappiness at work, loss of a loved one, feeling threatened, or feeling disrespected.

Following the shocking event is the conflict-active phase, in which one may experience cold hands and feet, restlessness, and loss of appetite. Based on the type and intensity of the event, the brain has initiated a biological process that affects the function and/or the tissue of a specific organ. In that regard, the biological sex and the handedness of the individual play an important role. In this phase, the individual has some control over how long the process will take. The longer we remain in this active phase, the longer the body will take to heal.

When the conflict has been resolved, which can often be genuinely felt, the body enters the healing phase, in which it restores its original, balanced state. To move into this phase, though, the solution must be genuine and definite, and it cannot just be just wishful thinking. Therapy can often be helpful during this stage to recognize the conflict and find the solution.

During the healing phase, when the majority of symptoms are felt, the body will accumulate water in those areas that were affected by the shocking event (often felt as swelling), which is essential to restore the organ’s tissue and/or function to its original, balanced state. Pain can be quite intense. Fever, redness, heat, and inflammation are also common. Even though it feels most unpleasant, one needs to understand that this is not an active phase of the body fighting an alleged enemy (what Western medicine would call being infected by an alleged germ), but the body is healing!

Positive thoughts can go a long way during this time.

Unfortunately, Western medicine often interrupts this important healing phase by poisoning the body with toxic medications that suppress the meaningful pain and also the much-needed fever, besides putting unnecessary strain on the liver. Some of the worst poisoning comes in the form of antibiotics. During the healing phase, bacteria have an important role in the body: degradation of tissue that is no longer needed. If the bacteria are killed off, the body is not able to complete healing. It will then have to re-enter that phase once it has re-established the bacteria population and dealt with the poisoning from the toxic medications. Western medicine misinterprets this as reoccurring bacterial “infections.” The diagnosis of cancer and the unfortunate misinterpretation of the meaningful biological process of cell growth by Western medicine follow a similar pattern.

As mentioned, the longer the conflict-active phase

lasts, the longer the healing phase will last. If one experiences repeated events of the same type, then the healing phase remains incomplete. Some people can be stuck in this cycle for many years (in Western medicine this is often referred to as chronic illness) without any solution in sight. However, once the initial conflict is recognized and resolved, even so-called chronic illnesses can often be resolved.

What Western medicine has continuously labelled as diseases are, in fact, meaningful biological processes that occur in the human body as a response to sudden and shocking, fearful events. Instead of poisoning the body with toxic injections and medications that only cause further harm, one needs to understand the concepts of Universal Biology and trust that the human body is fully capable of self-regulating if given the opportunity.

We also need to understand that we are fully responsible for our own health (physical and mental) and that we cannot transfer that responsibility onto another entity (alleged viruses or germs, bacteria, or alleged genetic diseases) that may not even exist.

I invite you to let go of the corrupt Western medicine model of poisoning the human body and, instead, give Universal Biology a chance to restore your health and well-being for years to come. Great resources are available for you to learn about your own body and how to heal it.

I am not a licensed therapist or medical professional and this article is not intended to be medical advice.

References:

1. Terrain Therapy, 2022, *Samantha Bailey*
2. The Truth about Contagion, 2021, *Skyhorse Publishing, Thomas S. Cowan, MD, and Sally Fallon Morell*
3. Universal Biology, 2021, *Praxis Neue Medizin Verlag, Giuliana Lüssi*

Are you a writer?

Druthers is written, funded, distributed, and promoted by the people. If you would like to have your writings considered for publishing in Druthers, please reach out to editor@druthers.net for more info. Thank you. Stay strong!

Websites of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us. You can visit druthers.ca to get in touch.

vaccinechoicecanada.com	action4canada.com
freedomrising.info	theylied.ca
librti.com	americasfrontlinedoctors.com
worlddoctorsalliance.com	constitutionalconventions.ca
thehighwire.com	stopworldcontrol.com
corbettreport.com	evidencenotfear.com
pressfortruth.ca	activistpost.com
stand4thee.com	biidigcon.com
awarriorcalls.com	standupcanada.solutions
takeactioncanada.ca	lauralynn.tv
brightlightnews.com	libertycoalitioncanada.com
nationalcitizensinquiry.ca	awakecanada.org
shelaw.ca	vaccineregret.net
internationalman.com	canadiancovidcarealliance.org
swprs.org	canadahealthalliance.org
geoengineeringwatch.org	childrenshealthdefense.org
howbad.info	redacted.inc
thepowershift.ca	globalwalkout.com
globalresearch.ca	realnotrare.com
genocideblog.com	mamabearsproject.com

Last Updated June 2024

An Empire Self-Destructs



By Jeff Thomas

Empires are built through the creation or acquisition of wealth. The Roman Empire came about through the productivity of its people and its subsequent acquisition of wealth from those that it invaded. The Spanish Empire began with productivity and expanded through the use of its large armada of ships, looting the New World of its gold. The British Empire began through localized productivity and grew through its creation of colonies worldwide—colonies that it exploited, bringing the wealth back to England to make it the wealthiest country in the world.

In the Victorian Age, we Brits were proud to say, “*There will always be an England,*” and “*The sun never sets on the British Empire.*” So, where did we go wrong? Why are we no longer the world’s foremost empire? Why have we lost not only the majority of our colonies, but also the majority of our wealth?

Well, first, let’s take a peek back at the other aforementioned empires and see how they fared. Rome was arguably the greatest empire the world has ever seen. Industrious Romans organized large armies that went to other parts of the world, subjugating them and seizing the wealth that they had built up over generations. And as long as there were further conquerable lands just over the next hill, this approach was very effective. However, once Rome faced diminishing returns on new lands to conquer, it became evident that those lands it had conquered had to be maintained and defended, even though there was little further wealth that could be confiscated.

The conquered lands needed costly militaries and bureaucracies in place to keep them subjugated but were no longer paying for themselves. The “colonies” were running at a loss. Meanwhile, Rome itself had become very spoiled. Its politicians kept promising more in the way of “bread and circuses” to the voters, in order to maintain their political office. So, the coffers were being drained by both the colonies and at home. Finally, in a bid to keep from losing their power, Roman leaders entered into highly expensive wars. This was the final economic crippler and the empire self-destructed.

Spain was a highly productive nation that attacked its neighbours successfully and built up its wealth, then became far wealthier when it sailed west, raiding the Americas of the silver and gold that they had spent hundreds of years accumulating. The sudden addition of this wealth allowed the Spanish kings to be lavish to the people and, as in Rome, the Spanish became very spoiled indeed. But once the gold and silver that was coming out of the New World was down to a trickle, the funding for maintaining the empire began to dry up. Worse, old enemies from Europe were knocking at the door, hoping to even old scores. In a bid to retain the empire, the king entered into extensive warfare in Europe, rapidly draining the royal purse, and, like Rome, the Spanish Empire self-destructed.

In the Victorian era, the British Empire was unmatched in the world. It entered the industrial revolution and was highly productive. In addition, it was pulling wealth from its colonies in the form of mining, farming, and industry. But, like other countries in Europe, it dove into World War I quickly, and, since warfare always diminishes productivity at home whilst it demands major expense abroad, the British Empire was knocked down to one knee by the end of the war.

Then, in 1939, the game was afoot again, and Britain was drawn into a second world war. By the end of the war, it could still be said that there would always be an England, but its wealth had been drained off, and, one by one, its colonies jumped ship. The days of empire were gone.

Into the breach stepped the US. At the beginning of World War I, the US took no part in the fighting, but, as it had experienced its own industrial revolution, it supplied goods, food, and armaments to Britain and her

allies. Because the pound and other European currencies could not be trusted not to inflate, payment was made in gold and silver. So the US was expanding its productivity into a guaranteed market, selling at top dollar, using the profits to create larger, more efficient factories, and getting paid in gold.

Then, in 1939, it all happened again. Although the US eventually joined both wars, they did so much later than Britain and her allies. At the end of World War II, the US had a lively young workforce, as they had lost fewer men to the war. They also had modern factories, which had been paid for by other nations, that could now be used to produce peacetime goods for themselves and the rest of the world more efficiently than anyone else.

And (and this is a very big and) by 1945, they owned or controlled *three quarters of the world’s gold*, as they’d drained it away from the warring nations in the early days of the war. This allowed the US to invite the post-war leaders to Bretton Woods to explain that, as the holders of the world’s wealth, they’d dictate what the world’s default currency would be: the dollar.

But this was all threatened by the fact that, when the now-poorer nations of the world sold their goods to the US, they, too, beginning with the French, wished to be paid in gold.

And so, in the subsequent years, the gold in Fort Knox was beginning to travel back to the east, from whence it had come in previous years. In 1971, this flow was shut off, as the US, still the foremost empire, had the power to simply remove all intrinsic value from the dollar and turn it into a fiat currency. Payment in gold ended.

Fast-forward to the post-millennium era and we see that America, like the previous empires, ended its acquisition of gold after World War II, yet its people became spoiled by political leaders who promised ever-increasing bread and circuses. The productivity that led to its initial strength was dying off, and it was spending more than it was bringing in. Finally, it sought to maintain its hegemony through warfare, thereby creating a dramatic drain to its wealth.

Like other empires before it, the US is now on the verge of relinquishing the crown of empire. If there’s any difference this time around, it’s that its collapse will very likely be far more spectacular than that of previous empires. However, just as in previous collapses, those who least understand that the collapse is around the corner are those who are closest to its centre. Clearly, the majority of Americans are worried about their future yet cannot conceive of their country as a second-rate power. And those who hold the reins of that power tend to be the most deluded, delving ever-deeper into debt at an ever-faster rate, whilst expanding welfare and warfare without any concept of how it might all be paid for.

It’s understandable, therefore, that those of us who are on the outside looking in find it easier to observe objectively from afar and see the coming self-destruction of yet another empire.

As stated in the first line of this essay, “*empires are built through the creation or acquisition of wealth.*” **They tend to end through the gradual elimination of the free-market system, the metamorphosis to a welfare state, and, finally, through the destruction of wealth through costly warfare.**

Does this indicate the “end of the world”? Not at all. The world did not end with the fall of Rome, Spain, England, or any one of the many other empires. The productive people simply moved to a different geographical location—one that encourages free-market opportunity. The wealth moved with them, then grew, as the free market allowed productive people to make it grow.

Freedom and opportunity still exist and indeed flourish. All that’s changing is the locations where they are to be found.

Originally published at internationalman.com

Stop Calling Everything A Crisis



By Riley Donovan

Contemporary discourse is saturated with one word: “crisis.” It is applied to every problem we encounter. If you google the term, you will be informed that there is a mental health crisis, a climate crisis, an office vacancy crisis, a digital addiction crisis, a Canadian journalism crisis, an American border crisis, a social media crisis, a “Pretendian” crisis, and an institutional knowledge crisis.

This is absurd, and has reached the point of hilarity. An office vacancy crisis—really? Are we seriously expected to believe that every one of these phenomena is a crisis? What definition is being used? How many times can this word be employed before it becomes unmoored from reality and loses any actual meaning at all?

Rarely, something does merit the word “crisis.” I think the (immigration-driven) housing crisis fits the bill. How do I know? Because we would be extremely worried about it even if we weren’t being told to. People know a crisis when they see one.

This is not to say that issues like mental health and digital addiction are not problems. But the unnecessarily melodramatic terminology employed by social media influencers, podcasters, and syndicated columnists is putting nerves on edge and keeping us in a prison of panicked inaction.

Indeed, post-Covid discourse appears to basically consist of attempting to induce in one’s readers or listeners a state of constant anxiety (and in getting them to pay a monthly subscription for that privilege, if possible).

Let’s take the example of population. In 1968, Paul Ehrlich published *The Population Bomb*, sounding the alarm bells over a rising global population, which he predicted would lead to global famines. The book kicked off a several-decades-long panic about overpopulation, but its dire predictions did not come true. In fact, the world’s population is now forecast to level off and decline.

Did humanity learn its lesson that global population trends are hard to predict? Are you kidding, how could you grab attention with a boring statement like that?

On the contrary, writers and YouTubers (and Elon Musk) are now very confidently informing us that the world’s population is on the brink of collapse. Using terms like “human extinction,” they conjure up images of a dreary future devoid of children, and of Homo Sapiens going the way of the Dodo bird and the giant sloth.

It is far easier to distract one’s audience with far-fetched crises and apocalypse scenarios than to remind them of the all-too-real, slow-moving problems that are making this country a little bit worse every day.

Problems like grinding poverty, rising debt, environmental degradation, the subversion of elections by foreign agents, the entrenchment of lobbyists in government, woke indoctrination in classrooms, and an out-of-control immigration policy that is overburdening our public services and erasing our national identity.

The good news is that, unlike the office vacancy crisis, these are real problems which can be addressed with concrete actions: pressure your MP, run for school board, circulate petitions.

Let’s keep ourselves tethered to reality.

Originally published at dominionreview.ca

The Lupron Legacy

By Margot O'hlfearnain

Imagine a healthy body weakened, an adolescent mind losing its edge. Illicit drugs, you might guess? Or a terrible disease that has crippled a young life? There's yet another discomfiting possibility: That of a child captured by the gender cult, a cult that brainwashes children into believing that they were "born in the wrong body," then poisons them with pharmaceuticals designed to "change" their sex.

The number of transgender children has exploded. Dr. Lisa Littman, prominent gender physician-researcher, believes in a social contagion theory to explain the 4,000 percent rise in gender dysphoric youth over the past five years. According to Littman, especially girls have been swayed in great numbers by peers or social media to assume a trans identity. Approximately 300,000 minors in the US claim such an identity.

This is transgenderism, the cult-like phenomenon cloaked in the righteous rhetoric of a civil rights movement. It promotes the fallacy of "sex change," an absurd belief glamorized by politicians and actors, sports icons and celebrities who are the new-fangled revivalists and preachers, their bombastic jargon as empty and unscientific as it is large. It holds that a muscle-bound man, clad in a one-piece racer and sports bra represents oppressed "transwomen," who suffer for a lack of human rights. **But the real victims are children,** their bodies maimed, commodified, and used to promote an ideology that turns reality on its head.

Gender-hucksters, and a worldwide nexus of NGOs (non-governmental organizations) and corporate behemoths have capitalized on the West's empathy toward marginalized groups. Enter big pharma, which rarely misses a chance to retrofit an old drug to a new malady. **Lupron is such a drug.**

Originally formulated by Takeda Pharmaceuticals, it is a GnRH agonist, a powerful compound used to interrupt hormone production in men and women. When its use as an end-stage prostate cancer treatment no longer turned a profit, it was repurposed as a treatment for

endometriosis and precocious puberty (a medical condition of very early onset puberty).

Today, Lupron is a highly profitable drug because of its resurrection as a **puberty blocker**, the first line treatment in children who believe they were born in the wrong body. According to Komodo Health, nearly 18,000 US minors were taking puberty blockers between 2017 and 2021. In 2020, it brought in \$752 million in revenue. As a gender "treatment," Lupron shuts down puberty. Cross-sex hormones are then administered to "change" the child's sex.

Lupron, though, has an ominous past: **an alarming list of adverse effects, including increased risk of diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and convulsions.** Bone loss in adolescents is a documented effect of treatment that can lead to early osteoporosis. Depression and mania (bi-polar) have also been observed in children taking this drug. Lupron might even affect brain structure in developing youths, potentially lowering IQ.

Though not FDA-approved for use as a puberty blocker or subjected to clinical trials to show its efficacy and safety in gender-confused youth, Lupron is routinely and casually prescribed off-label to children in the more than 100 gender clinics in the US.

The media props up the lie that blockers and cross-sex hormones are safe in these children, but the truth is surfacing. In her blockbuster book, *Time to Think*, BBC journalist, Hannah Barnes, exposes the corruption of London's infamous, now-closed Tavistock Clinic, and how puberty blockers and cross-sex hormones were freely given to children with underlying emotional problems such as autism and anxiety. The Tavistock Clinic is facing what could be the largest class action lawsuit ever, with

international law firm, Pogust Goodhead, representing its many victims.

In February 2023, Missouri whistleblower, Jamie Reed, former case worker at Washington University's Transgender Pediatric Center, submitted an affidavit to the Missouri Attorney General's office, which shook the world of trans medicine with her claims that parents were being coerced into allowing their children to be given blockers and hormones. **Reed maintains that many of these children had long-standing mental health issues that were never addressed, and that gender treatments exacerbated these conditions or brought on irreversible maladies that were ignored.**


Meanwhile, Norway, Sweden, the U.K., and France have suspended the casual use of these dangerous "treatments" in favor of psychotherapy.

In the U.S., at least 18 states have passed laws preventing "gender affirming care." Yet despite mounting evidence that gender medicine is the biggest medical scandal of all time, others—California and Minnesota—have stubbornly

ramped up their defense of such cruel treatment. New Mexico's Democratic Governor Grisham signed a law permitting gender treatment without parental consent.

Grisham and her ilk continue to promote the corporate gender pharmaceutical complex. In the end, though, they are bound to a crippling legacy: **a generation of children whose minds and bodies are maimed by the repercussions of a false ideology, one that suspends scientific belief in favor of a medical scam.**

Originally published at womensliberationfront.org



Canadians Must Reject Trudeau's Proposed Amnesty for Illegal Immigrants

By Riley Donovan

In an interview with the *Globe and Mail* in December, Immigration Minister Marc Miller laid out the Liberal government's plan to offer an amnesty to illegal immigrants living on Canadian soil.

Miller explained his intention to propose a program that would allow illegal immigrants to "regularize their status" by obtaining permanent residency, and eventually, citizenship. He gave a rough estimate of 300,000-600,000 individuals who may be eligible—mostly consisting of foreign nationals who have overstayed their visas or remained following the rejection of an asylum claim.

This idea is moving closer to becoming reality. Speaking to reporters in Winnipeg on May 17th, Prime Minister Trudeau confirmed his support for a "pathway towards regularization and citizenship" for illegal immigrants. On the same day, Miller's office stated that he will present his amnesty proposal to the Liberal cabinet before the House of Commons adjourns for the summer, sometime in June. At the time of writing, the number of individuals eligible for the amnesty remains unclear.

One immediate effect of an amnesty would be a serious strain on our already fragile public services. Foreign nationals currently living in the shadows and work-

ing under the table would suddenly become eligible for welfare and subsidized housing intended for—and funded by—citizens. The poorest and most vulnerable Canadians would be the hardest hit by this dramatic



increase in competition for government services.

On a deeper level, an amnesty would seriously devalue the rule of law. While the majority of illegal immigrants simply want a better life, they have, nevertheless, flouted Canada's immigration rules in seeking it. By over-

staying a foreign worker or student visa, or remaining in the country after their asylum claim has been rejected, they have gamed the system and taken advantage of our hospitality.

Not only would an amnesty send a signal within our own country that the principle of the rule of law is meaningless, but it would also inevitably act as a magnet for prospective illegal immigrants around the world. Even if Miller tried to emphasize that his amnesty will be the last one, the world would be justifiably skeptical. If it can happen once, it can always happen again—why not try your chances?

I urge patriots to stand up against the Trudeau government's amnesty. Inform family and friends, write letters to newspapers large and small, raise awareness on social media, and above all: put pressure on your Member of Parliament to oppose it. Every MP's email inbox should be full to the brim, and every MP's office phone line should be ringing off the hook.

If concerned Canadians speak up with a united voice, we can stop this amnesty and protect the integrity of Canadian citizenship.

Riley Donovan is an independent journalist and founder of dominionreview.ca. You can find him on X: @valdombre

DRUTHERS

Distributed independently & completely free of charge.

June 2024 circulation: 225,000 copies Canada-wide

+25,000 extra copies last month via Neighbourhood Mail

Original content DRUTHERS 2024®

Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason

Administrator: Christie-Lynn

Editors: Michelle & Lynn

Layout & Design: Michael Choo-Chong

Special Thanks: David Bolton

Extra big thanks to: Kristy, Bob, Jessica, Geraldine, Adam, Shelagh & so many more...

Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net

News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

"Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you.

Keep on passing it on."

— Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS.

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

Please give what you can: druthers.ca/donate Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

“Woke” Weaponizes Compassion

By F. Gamble

I was having breakfast recently with a small group of dedicated and productive activists. We were discussing “Wokeism,” and one of the ladies broke in and said, “I’m confused. Doesn’t Woke just mean Awake?” This is one of the most critical distinctions of our time, and I want to try to break it down here as succinctly as possible, and post references for those who want to go deeper.

“Awake,” in this context, means having an accurate perception of reality and relevant motives. This allows one to see through propaganda, censorship, and coercion to a correct diagnosis, which leads to reveal effective solutions and healing.

“Woke” is a cover, a deceptive attitude that distracts us from character with identity politics, that masks coercion with manipulative political correctness. To borrow my wife Kimberly’s term, **Woke “weaponizes compassion.”**

Woke identifies a value that most humans share. We don’t want discrimination based on skin color. We don’t want to pollute our environment or overheat our planet. We want as little suffering, sickness, and poverty as possible. We want people to have equal rights.

But then, these simple and obvious notions are twisted to shame uninformed or unthinking people into giving up individual rights for “the good of the group.” This is the most dangerous moment in the human thinking process regarding how we self-organize. This is the moment that the illusionary notion of the “collective” can seize control of people’s minds, bodies, productivity, and property. (The group is only an idea made up of real individuals—like a dozen eggs—the eggs are real; the dozen is an abstraction.) Now controllers have an excuse to advocate violence, shut down free speech, surveil everyone, take over people’s bank accounts, separate families, mandate injections, increase taxation (theft), and ultimately imprison, torture, and kill people—all in the name of the virtue signaling of sacrificing oneself to serve the State.

Does this sound familiar? Have you studied the pharaohs, the Kings, Chairman Mao, comrade Stalin, Hitler’s National Socialists (Nazis), Pol Pot’s communist dictatorship in Cambodia, and today, the WEF (World Economic Forum) with Klaus Schwab, the WHO (World Health Organization), The Gates Foundation, the Plandemic, George Soros, the BIS (Bank of International Settlements), the IMF (International Monetary Fund), the World Bank, the Federal Reserve, the EU (European Union), the European Central Bank, and the CCP (Chinese Communist Party)?

So where did this all come from? Collectivism and deception are as old as the hills, but what I think is most relevant here, is that in 1776, as the European central bankers realized that they were losing control of the American colonies, they empowered a man named Adam Weishaupt in Germany to create a secret society

called the Illuminati. (Yes, it’s a real thing.) Their charter was to pit dissidents against each other all over the world. Right versus left, fascist versus communist, nation states, and genders, so that the collectivists behind both sides could hide and then seize control. The next 250 years is just the details, the names and dates of the primary drama. Can the would-be dominators take over the entire world before humanity catches on to this giant and lethal scam and kick the bums out along with their claim



that they should rule us?

Karl Marx was a major champion of this deception and the collectivists merged Marxist socialism/communism with Postmodernism to better dupe the masses. Postmodernism is basically the worldview that says there is no fundamental morality and there is no fundamental truth. This is what now comes out of virtually all major Western universities as well as Hollywood, mainstream media, the military, and governments. When people think that their opinion is as valid as anyone else’s, whether or not they have any evidence or logic, when people think that any behavior is acceptable because morality is relative, then it is much easier for the totalitarians to bend the masses to their will, and shame them if they dissent. Most people want to be good, but in this era, they are completely confused as to what that means.

Then, victimhood, as a specially deserving identity, is added into the Woke mix. Critical theory and its extension into Critical Race Theory brush individual rights and true equality aside as the sociopaths polarize us all against each other while they assume more and more power over us.

Yuri Bezmenov, a refugee from the USSR and a former KGB agent and member of the Soviet Embassy staff, came to the US to try to wake us up to the strategy and tactics of the intended communist takeover of America and the world.

Bezmenov said it would take 2–3 generations and four stages. He said the communists would take over the universities and then the schools. My high school (Choate) and my university (Princeton) are totally gone to Wokeism. Rajiv Malhotra lays out the evidence in his excellent book *Snakes in the Ganga*, that Harvard is the

so-called preventative measure, despite clear evidence that the harms outweigh the benefits, is antithetical to the practice of medicine.

Still, as Beth, the nurse, so eloquently pointed out, the good news about this COVID nonsense is that more Americans than ever before are becoming aware of medical malfeasance.

We may be on the cusp of a collective shift: a new national awareness about the importance of avoiding toxins, individualizing medicine, and thinking for ourselves.

Originally published at jennifermargulis.substack.com

Advertisement

www.shrewviews.com



A community of maskless freedom lovers who are drawn together by insightful articles and inspiring discussion

central “nest of vipers” from which Wokeism is being imposed on India as well as the Western world. Next, the collectivists infiltrate media and Hollywood and finally, the government. Bezmenov says it begins with:

1. DEMORALIZATION, followed by
2. DESTABILIZATION, then
3. CRISIS, and finally
4. a NEW NORMAL.

Sound eerily familiar?

This conversation Bezmenov had with G. Edward Griffin in 1984 is a must-watch if you want to truly understand the plot that has almost succeeded in creating a one-world police state with the bankers, billionaires, technocratic transhumanists, and their secret societies in charge.

For the Woke,

“The only remedy to past discrimination is present discrimination.

The only remedy to present discrimination is future discrimination.”

—Ibram X. Kendi

The collectivist’s core mantra is “fairness,” because they can twist what that means to their own agenda. The true north of a universal morality is “freedom,” which is the real foundation of fairness—equality of protection and opportunity, not equity

of outcome.

Billions are awakening to the realization that, for the Woke, The Great Reset is the Plan for their New World Order. Agenda 2030 is the strategy. Total surveillance with global vax passports, CBDCs (Central Bank Digital Currency), and Social Credit Scores are the tactics. ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) and DEI (Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion) are the subterfuge to extend Social Credit control to the corporations.

Greek proverb: “SOCIETY GROWS GREAT WHEN THOSE WHO PLANT TREES KNOW THEY WILL NEVER SIT IN THE SHADE OF THEIR FOLIAGE.”

Yuri Bezmenov with G. Edward Griffin
[youtube.com/watch?v=yErKTVdETpw](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yErKTVdETpw)

I Fight for YOU!

By Cory Sager

If you’re Christian, I fight for you.
If you’re Muslim, I fight for you.
If you’re Jewish, I fight for you.
If you’re straight, I fight for you.
If you’re gay, I fight for you.
If you’re trans, I fight for you.
If you’re white, I fight for you.
If you’re black, I fight for you.
If you’re brown, red, yellow or any other, I fight for you.
If you came from poverty, I fight for you.
If you were born rich, I fight for you.
If you’re a drug addict or an alcoholic, I fight for you.
If you’re sober, I fight for you.
If you’re born here, I fight for you.
If you’re born elsewhere, I fight for you.
If you have children, I fight for you.
If you don’t have children, I fight for you.
If you’re unable to have children, I fight for you.
If you don’t want to have children, I fight for you.
If you’re being treated unjustly, I fight for you.
If you live care free and unbothered, I fight for you.
If you think the world is flat, I fight for you.
If you think the world is round, I fight for you.
If you believe in science, I fight for you
If you believe all science is bought, I fight for you.
If you are unvaxxed, I fight for you.
If you are vaxxed, I fight for you.
If you are unmasked, I fight for you.
If you are masked, I fight for you.
If you’re young, old, big, small, educated, uneducated, left, right, down the middle, I FIGHT FOR YOU. I don’t care who you are. I understand the powers that SHOULDN’T be have created ALL of these divisive situations. I WILL NOT BE A PUPPET FOR THE ELITES. I WILL NOT ADVERTISE FOR THE ELITES. I WILL NOT DIVIDE. I WILL ONLY UNDERSTAND, CHOOSE, AND MOVE FORWARD.
The war on humanity can only be won with the power of love, kindness, and compassion for each other. Be the change.

With love.

Originally published at: [facebook.com/cory.sager.75](https://www.facebook.com/cory.sager.75)

Vaccine Agenda

Continued from p.3

CDC. To date, no one at the CDC has responded to any of his reports. He has over a thousand families in his practice. He employs another medical doctor, a nurse practitioner, and front-end staff. For the past three plus years, he’s chosen to work quietly from behind the scenes, trying to gently educate his mainstream allopathic-minded patients about his new vaccine safety concerns without alienating them.

While the world needs more medical doctors to speak publicly about the vaccine safety concerns and the adverse events they’re seeing firsthand, this doctor is afraid to come out of the closet. He accepts insurance, has a diverse patient population, and doesn’t want to lose his license. He’s also trying very hard to avoid being witch-hunted, as so many COVID critical doctors, including my co-author, Dr. Paul Thomas, M.D., my colleague, Dr. Steven LaTulippe, M.D., and my friend and colleague Dr. Meryl Nass, M.D., already have.

So what is the vaccine industry’s real agenda?

Medicine is big business. These mRNA vaccines have proven their worth as money makers, allowing pharmaceutical executives to buy luxury real estate and put their newly-minted billions into off-shore bank accounts. The more people who get vaccinated, the more money everyone in the industry makes. There’s nothing wrong with making money. But becoming rich at the expense of our children’s health and continuing to promote a toxic,

Absurdity Observer

Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- A Yale University study published in *JAMA* (*Sayed et al.*) finds that **57% of US doctors received payments from Pharma firms over the past decade, totalling 12 billion USD.** The average amount paid to the top .1% of orthopedists was \$4,826,944. For the top .1% of cardiologists, it was \$3,197,675, and for the top .1% of neurologists and psychiatrists, it was \$2,588,819. The drugs related to the highest industry payments were blood thinners Xarelto and Eliquis, followed by Humira, to treat psoriasis, and Invokana and Jardiance—both used to treat type 2 diabetes.
- **Food Banks Canada’s annual poverty report card finds that food bank visits have increased by 50% since 2021.** The report also found that one in four Canadians have unstable or inadequate access to food.
- **US CDC officials had indeed found evidence that the Pfizer and Moderna COVID-19 vaccines had caused multiple deaths before publicly claiming that there was no evidence linking the vaccines to any deaths,** according to an internal file obtained via a FOIA request by *The Epoch Times*.
- **AstraZeneca withdrew its COVID-19 vaccine globally after its phase three clinical trial data revealed that 1 in 9 people experienced an adverse event and 1 in 35 participants experienced a serious adverse event.** The company has faced a mountain of lawsuits worldwide and has recently admitted in court that its COVID-19 vaccine can cause a unique condition that can lead to blood clots.
- A new **Cleveland Clinic study concludes that, “consistent with similar findings in many prior studies, a higher number of prior vaccine doses was associated with a higher risk of COVID-19.** The exact reason for this finding is not clear. It is possible that this may be related to the fact that vaccine-induced immunity is weaker and less durable than natural.” —*Clin Infect Dis* (*Shrestha et al.*)
- **Scientists trying to reinfect people with the COVID-19 virus so they could test vaccines and treatments found high levels of immunity made it nearly impossible,** according to results from the COVID-19 “Human Challenge” trials in the UK. As Brian Hooker, chief scientific officer for Children’s Health Defense put it, “*The results show the power of natural immunity as compared to the many breakthrough infections in vaccinated individuals ... Any assertion that vaccination-based immunity is more powerful than natural immunity is complete lunacy—the acquired immune system is a beautiful thing and vaccination is a much less effective substitute.*”
- After years of denial, **an NIH official has finally admitted that taxpayers funded gain-of-function research in Wuhan.** At long last, National Institutes of Health (NIH) principal deputy director Lawrence Tabak admitted to Congress on May 16th that US taxpayers funded gain-of-function research at the Wuhan Institute of Virology in China in the months and years preceding the COVID-19 pandemic.

- **Internal emails from the National Institute of Health show Dr. David Morens, Fauci’s senior advisor, was actively shielding certain communications from being accessible through FOIA requests.** In Moren’s emails, acquired by the Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Pandemic in Washington, he mentioned that certain topics, such as the Wuhan lab connection, should only be discussed on his personal Gmail account to avoid FOIA requests.
- **Loblaws and Sobeys’ parent companies are under investigation by Competition Bureau Canada for alleged anti-competitive conduct.** The commissioner claims grocery giants’ designed their lease agreements to restrict other potential tenants and their activities, thus hampering competition in the grocery market.

- **No evidence COVID-19 vaccines stopped transmission when authorized, Canadian Federal Government confirms.** The Health Minister’s representative in the House of Commons has just confirmed that “*at the time of initial authorization of the first COVID-19 vaccines ... there was no reported evidence on the efficacy of the authorized COVID-19 vaccine to prevent asymptomatic infection, to reduce viral shedding, or to prevent transmission.*” Despite this fact, public health messaging suggested that herd immunity was only achievable through mass vaccination.
- **Parks Canada announces a new policy allowing “newcomers to Canada and new Canadian citizens” free admission for a year to all national parks,** historic sites, and marine conservation areas. However, if you’re already a tax paying citizen, you’ll be paying full price (about \$75 for a single adult or \$151 for a family).




Illustration by wokelycorrect.com

- **A group of West Virginia female middle-schoolers who protested a transgender athlete’s participation in their track and field competition have been barred from future meets** for defending their right to have only biological females participate in female-only events.
- **The BC government has introduced legislation to prevent protests around schools.** While the new legislation is aimed to “*keep kids safe and focused on their education by preventing disruptive behaviour,*” the BC government ironically continues to place social issues, such as gender identity, inside the classroom.
- **The EU’s European Council has followed the European Parliament in approving the “Artificial Intelligence (AI) Act” —an act that essentially legalizes biometric mass surveillance in the bloc.** The AI Act will also permit many forms of emotion recognition—AI systems that claim to know what we are thinking.

- Patrick Provost, a professor and biochemist with 35 years of research experience in the areas of genomics, lipid nanoparticles, and RNA, has been **fired from Quebec City’s Université Laval over his criticisms of the Covid-19 vaccine.** Professor Provost’s firing stemmed from him stating that he believed the risks of COVID-19 vaccination in children outweigh the benefits because of the potential side-effects from mRNA vaccines.
- After two cases in the US and another in Australia, US federal health officials are ramping up efforts to combat what they claim is the growing threat of H5N1 bird flu. The US Department of Health and Human Services has announced that **officials are moving forward with a plan to produce 4.8 million doses of H5N1 avian flu vaccine for pandemic preparedness.** FDA Commissioner Robert Califf warned that a potential bird flu pandemic could “be ten times worse” than COVID, despite the only two US cases of human **bird flu** presenting as pinkeye.
- **Pfizer Inc. has agreed to settle more than 10,000 lawsuit cases accusing the Pharma giant of hiding the cancer risks of its Zantac heartburn drug.** The FDA confirmed that Zantac was carcinogenic in 2020 and ordered drugmakers to take all versions of the medicine off the market.
- While Ontario does not cover most sleep apnea surgeries and many jaw surgeries, **an Ontario resident who wants both a vagina and penis wins public funding for unique surgery.** A court has ruled Ontario must pay for a penis-sparing vaginoplasty for a person who identifies as neither fully female nor fully male. Denying the procedure would infringe on the person’s Charter-protected right to security of the person, an Ontario court said in its ruling.
- Hypocrisy at its finest: **Mark Zuckerberg, a vocal critic of “human-induced climate change,” just purchased a new \$300 million, 287-foot mega yacht, powered by four massive diesel engines.** Zuckerberg reportedly purchased the yacht, which requires a whopping \$30 million a year in annual upkeep, as a 40th birthday present to himself.



Support Druthers

Stand For Freedom

Get 20% off automatically when you purchase any 4 shirts.

>>> DRUTHERS.CA/SHOP <<<

Note: Please do not leave Druthers inside the newspaper boxes of other newspapers. As well, any inserts found in this paper are not endorsed by Druthers.