DRUTHERS

FREE

Volume 1 Number 8 | July 2021

/n. ...Informal...
one's own way, preference, or choice:
eg. *'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'*

Important information. Please read, then stuff this in a neighbours mailbox when finished. Find more to explore on our website: www.druthers.net

# Active Duty Police:
## "Together, We Can Win Our Freedoms Back!"

***Editors Note:*** Druthers *had the privilege of an in-depth interview with an active duty York Region Police Constable, Chris Vandenbos, who is here to represent Police On Guard. (POG) is a newly formed group made up primarily of active and retired Canadian police officers, of all ranks, who are demanding justice and truth.*



York Region Police Const. Chris Vandenbos

**When was Police on Guard created and can you briefly explain by whom and why?**

"Police on Guard" (POG) was established in December 2020 as a direct result of the ongoing governmental breaches of the Canadian charter rights and freedoms, and their direction that the police shall enforce these unconstitutional measures. This caused internal unrest in myself and fellow POG founder, Matt Blacklaws, leading to us simultaneously reaching out to Ontario provincial MPP Randy Hillier, who has and continues to be vocal about his opposition to these same mandates and measures. Matt and I at the time were complete strangers but were put in contact with one another to discuss our thoughts surrounding these egregious breaches of the people's charter rights.

As a result, a social media page was created and an open letter was penned highlighting the most recent charter breach that had occurred when a young man was arrested and almost tasered for simply skating, on a rink, outside in Calgary.

This letter immediately led to many more officers joining our movement, both active and retired, as well as Canada's top constitutional lawyer, Rocco Galati, volunteering to represent our movement in taking our government and police chiefs to court over these mandates and measures.

**We hear that P.O.G. is being inundated with new officers joining the ranks. What kind of membership numbers have you been seeing? How many are retired vs active duty?**

The growth pattern of POG has been constantly on the incline. At first our membership was filled with a large majority of retired officers speaking out and joining us. However, as we have increased our messaging and made our mission statement clear, there have been so many active duty police officers joining the ranks we are having a hard time keeping up on getting them all vetted (to prove they are police and are active). It should also be noted that the variation of ranks within our movement is quite vast. From frontline constables all the way up to superintendents within various police services across Canada, we are well represented.

Furthermore, we have active duty and retired military, corrections officers, sheriffs, border services, and fire fighters, all of whom have taken an oath and have joined in full support of our Mission Statement, "to win back the rights of Canadians".

**It is clear there are mixed opinions among police when it comes to the enforcement of COVID regulations. Can you give us a sense of how many are displeased with the things they are being asked to do?**

It would be impossible for me to give a percentage or a number as to how many officers are against these measures. But what I can say is that Police On Guard have worked hard to keep a very close finger on the pulse within police services across Canada.

At the beginning, there were few officers speaking out about the unconstitutional mandates and laws being handed down to the police to enforce. But, as time has gone on and we are seeing that the politicians themselves are not following their own rules, it has sparked conversations within the walls of police divisions. These conversations are now surrounding the legitimacy of the laws in the first place.

Further to that, and this is emblematic of just how human police officers are, there is also a shift happening as a direct result of seeing the disenfranchised and impoverished people becoming more and more downtrodden due to these measures and laws. Businesses are being shuttered. Child suicide rates, substance abuse, domestic violence, alcohol abuse, and severe depression are all on the rise.

As our politicians dine together on rooftop patios, visit their friends, or fly to desirable vacation destinations, the rest of Canada is left to suffer the consequences of their unconstitutional autocratic decisions that breach the Charter of Rights and Freedoms of Canadians. And that is unacceptable!

**We are seeing a lot of media-generated societal pressures, which ultimately pit the public and law enforcement against each other. With the rapidly growing mistrust of authority, how does P.O.G. envision a course correction to get us all back to unity?**

The best way for a course correction is to lead by example and speak out in truth. This is what Police On Guard is doing and will continue to do.

The media today is consistently divisive. It is also consistently opinion-based, as a lot of the truth is being silenced.

We truly hope that our actions, and leading by example, will lead to a sea of change across Canada, not just with our fellow officers who need to remember their oaths, but also with the public. We need to not be divided. We need to stand together.

To our fellow officers across Canada, we humbly remind you that your oath is to protect the people. Their Charter rights of Canadians are guaranteed, and it is our job to uphold them.

**What kind of initiatives does Police On Guard have in the works?**

Police on Guard believes that it is best to be the boots on the ground as much as possible. To that end we are represented from coast to coast by member representatives that attend rallies and speak every weekend to encourage police and our fellow Canadians to join us, and to STAND ON GUARD.

We have window placards available on our website for people to print off and put in their front window, to show their support and to take this stand with us.

**What is the end goal for Police on Guard? What would you consider the pinnacle of success?**

Our statement of claim is before the courts as we speak, and in the meantime, our objective is to get as many people in Canada to support our cause as we can. Together we can win this.

Our end goal, and the pinnacle of success, is the full reinstatement of our fellow Canadian's Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Period!

**What do you feel is the general sentiment towards the vaccine among active duty police? Will most take it or will most say no?**

When it comes to issues surrounding medicine, and vaccines, we defer to the experts.

What we can say, however, is that informed consent is a right of the people. You have the right to know all the dangers surrounding any procedure, injection, or treatment, and to refuse any of the above if you choose to do so.

**We notice that POG doesn't ask for donations. How are your initiatives funded? What can our readers do to support POG and its initiatives?**

Currently you can donate to our court process by attending www.constitutionalrightscentre.ca and click on "police action" to donate to support our case.

We are also in the process of officially registering as a not-for-profit so that we can engage in selling merchandise to assist us in all the different various areas where financial assistance is needed.

**Can you leave our readers with a feeling of hope and inspiration?**

Canada has a rich history of prevailing in the face of adversity and evil. Our national anthem says it best: "Oh Canada, we stand on Guard For Thee". We ask that our fellow Canadians stand on guard for thee with us. Make your voices heard, contact your elected representatives and voice your displeasures loudly but non violently, and stand strong.

We here at Police On Guard made an oath to uphold the Constitution and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms of all Canadians. This is not only our oath but this is our promise. And that is a promise we will keep.

Together, we can win our freedoms back!

# The Corruption Of Genuine Science

For half a century, the pharmaceutical industry has shown near zero tolerance towards criticism against its unequivocal failures and medical catastrophes.

Permanent disabilities and deaths due to unsafe drugs, such as Merck's anti-inflammatory drug Vioxx, Pizer's Bextra, synthetic hormone replacement therapy, thalidomide, and the earlier cellular pertussis and the 1976 influenza vaccines, are regarded as the collateral damage of getting unsafe medical products on the market.

During the past two decades a tightly-knit and collaborative relationship has evolved between the pharmaceutical industry, federal health agencies, Congress, Silicon Valley, and the new culture of billionaire philanthropists such as Bill Gates.

Due to the large web of funders favoring corporate financial interests and CDC-sponsored educational programs, the mainstream media is now the successful advertiser for pharmaceutical ambitions. As a consequence, modern medicine's dire risks to public health are undermined.

The broader picture and the darker players operating behind the tragic legacy of medical iatrogenic failures remain largely hidden from the public. In recent years those physicians, researchers and health advocates who dissent from the pharmaceutical narrative often face a formidable blowback resulting in censorship and destroyed reputations.

Over forty years ago, sociologist and philosopher Ivan Illich prophetically observed a conspicuous unfolding of modern medicine becoming divorced from itself and the ethical basis for treating illnesses. He wrote, "the medical establishment has become a major threat to health."

Illich was among the first poignant critics of the corporatization of medicine to address the problems of "medicalization," the process by which very human non-medical conditions are redefined as medical diseases and then diagnosed and pharmaceutically treated as such. This has been a result of hardened scientific materialism's ascendency as the final judge over national healthcare.

Increasingly researchers, more often than not funded by private drug companies and backed by an army of lobbyists, are discovering ways to reevaluate health conditions with only flimsy clinical evidence into the actual etiology of disease — even infectious pandemics.

Psychiatric practice, which today relies almost exclusively on a drug-based model, is the greatest serial offender. Yet systemic corruption throughout our national healthcare has been a boon for drug makers who can then develop novel medications for illnesses that could otherwise be treated by less expensive and safer drugless therapies.

**"Modern medicine is a negation of health," Illich wrote in his acclaimed book *Medical Nemesis: The Expropriation of Health.* "It isn't organized to serve human health, but only itself, as an institution. It makes more people sick than it heals."**

It is a system that today depends upon volumes of flawed medical clinical trials, financial incentives, institutional bureaucracy, revolving doors between government and private industry, rampant conflicts of interests, and an aggressive propaganda machine that has had enormous success in marginalizing and ridiculing critics both within and outside the medical complex.

Our medical edifice has violated every defining principle of scientific inquiry that should place uncompromising value on objective, unbiased inquiry and open conversation and debate over conflicting views. To invoke the precautionary principle is a personal confession of heresy.

Over the years, the steady rise in the number of class action and criminal lawsuits against pharmaceutical firms, Freedom of Information Act submissions, and false testimonies by federal health officials before Congressional subcommittees have confirmed Illich's warnings.

For Illich the dangerous consequence is that conventional medicine has become depersonalized. Whereas in the past malpractice was treated as a serious ethical issue — and iatrogenic death, or fatalities due to medical error, is now the US's third leading cause of mortality — it is simply perceived as a technical glitch that can be corrected by further technical solutions.

As a result of persistent self-denial over conventional medicine's inherent failures, the dominant medical paradigm that now governs the nation's health has succeeded in barricading itself behind a monolithic propaganda machine and a compliant media with the ability to marginalize criticism and to hermetically seal itself from being called to legal account.

Even worse, it has usurped the sovereignty we have over our bodies and transferred this power to a technocracy that deeply believes it is upholding the integrity of science. However it is a science solely molded in the image of medical bureaucrats and their powerful allies who have been christened as experts.

"Medical science has made such tremendous progress that there is hardly a healthy human left." — Aldous Huxley

1929 Drawing by Eric Pape (1870-1938)

And all of these past medical failures, the estimated 90 percent of junk pharmaceutical clinical trials published in junk medical journals, institutionalized hubris, and the drug makers' capture of our health agencies is being openly staged in the handling of the Covid-19 pandemic on the global theater.

When we are being lectured to recite the pandemic mantra in unison with Joe Biden, Governor Andrew Cuomo, the UK's Boris Johnson, and one of the church of Scientism's head priests Neil DeGrasse Tyson — "Follow the Science" — whose science is being referred to?

Is it the 19th century mechanistic science, which continues to be the foundation for modern evolutionary biology, neuroscience, psychiatry and vaccinology? Is it the pseudo-science promulgated by the cult of Skepticism that pollutes hundreds of Wikipedia's health entries? Is it corporate, pharmaceutical-based science; medical research and discovery motivated by astronomical commercial incentives to appease the hedonic financial appetites of shareholders?

As for Anthony Fauci, he has imagined himself as the incarnation of science. Replying to MSNBC's Chuck Todd, Fauci proclaimed, "what you're seeing as attacks on me quite frankly are attacks on science."

Or is it science that is meticulously vetted by a range of independent professionals who aspire to arrive at the truth of a medical problem or to find a medical solution? It is this latter group who are most inclined to impartially review the pros and cons of scientific papers, the clinical trials of a drug, vaccine, medical device and diagnostic tool; then, based upon the empirical evidence, a medical intervention's value, efficacy and safety is properly determined.

Sadly this latter group is rarely if ever invited to sit at the regulatory table or to advise national health policy. Rather, the pursuit of medical facts about disease and pandemics has ceased to be an evidence-based methodology of objective inquiry and has become a means to institute authority and control over a population.

**"You can't really follow the science," states the philosopher of science Matthew Crawford, "because science doesn't lead anywhere. It can illuminate various courses of action; for example by quantifying the risks that attend each. It can help to specify the trade-offs... but it can't make the choices for us."**

Modern medicine's failure to recognize this has, in Crawford's opinion, led to "victimology joining hands with scientism." That is, medicine as an ideology and not a science. The consequence is that those who question or challenge the dominant medical ideology are censored, cancelled and have their reputations destroyed

We must come to the conclusion that modern conventional medicine has lacked the enthusiasm to uncover scientific truths for many decades. The pandemic's mantra, "follow the science," has been waxed into a meaningless banality. It is an empty amoral platitude for bureaucrats and media pundits with MD and PhD decorating their names.

Unlike the "hard sciences," such as mathematics and physics, medical practice is "soft." Medical certainty, as in the serious, hard sciences, should have as its objective "value-neutral truth." Medicine and medical discovery is equally an art form. It is supposed to be grounded upon scientific evidence in order to make reasonable decisions.

The debate over whether the practice of medicine is an art or an empirically based science has raged for decades. Over two decades ago, the *British Medical Journal* published an article, "The Practice of Clinical Medicine as an Art and as a Science." The authors spread out on the table the prime principle to govern medical research as a determining factor for publication.

**"... scientific thinking should, must, be insulated from all kinds of psychological, sociological, economic, political, moral and ideological factors which tend to influence thought in life and society. Without those proscriptions, objective knowledge of truth will degenerate into prejudice and ideology."**

Unfortunately, none of the self-anointed captains now steering our global and governmental health agencies to confront the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic and the deeply worrisome escalation of Covid-19 vaccine injuries and deaths, has ever bothered to give this fundamental scientific axiom a moment's worth of reflection.

**Reported Covid-19 vaccine injuries and deaths in the CDC's Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System now dwarf those from all other vaccines during the past two decades combined.**

The "experts," such as Anthony Fauci and the FDA's new Commissioner Janet Woodcock — a 35-year careerist at one of our most discredited regulatory agencies, hold their high rank within the medical hierarchy because they were seduced to sacrifice "objective knowledge of truth" in return for prestige, power and wealth.

They serve as the prejudiced and ideological protectors of authentic science's antithesis: the pharmaceutical industrial complex

We do not need to stretch too deep into Western medicine's history — back to the era of leeches, blood-letting and exorcizing neurological disorders — to find examples of medical consensus and treatments displaying humanity's sheer stupidity. We have continued to inherit this madness up into the 21st century, and during the pandemic it blazes before our eyes.

Unfortunately, too many Americans and citizens in other nations are blindly willing to surrender their faith and trust to medical experts, the latest drug or vaccine on the market, and the federal regulators who are mandated to assure that these medications and vaccines have been scrupulously reviewed to evaluate their safety and efficacy profiles.

We assume that medical interventions are evidence-based. We believe they are founded upon scientifically sound and reliable observation, data collection and analysis. Yet we only need to look at modern history to find many examples of Western medicine being categorically wrong.

In the 1940s and throughout the 1970s, millions of Americans smoked. In some households every adult smoked. Even physicians, who were viewed as the exemplars of health and knowledge, smoked regularly. Doctors would be featured on advertisements endorsing different cigarette brands.

After a smoker reached 40, being diabetic, overweight, or having a cardiovascular illness and emphysema was considered normal aging. Medical leaders assured us that this could not possibly be associated with smoking. Their words were trusted because they were of course the "experts."

*See, 'Can' p.3*

# The Missing Pages of Our Covid-19 Vaccine Informed Consent Document

**By Gail Reynolds**

I was recently considered old enough to be eligible to book an appointment for a Covid-19 vaccination. After working in the health field virtually all my adult life, I've developed deep respect and trust in my body's ability to keep me healthy as long as I treat it well. But I thought I should check into these Covid-19 vaccines to see if a new, "Emergency Use" vaccine would somehow serve me better than risking getting SARS-CoV-2 itself. I must admit I was curious about how our health authorities thought a vaccine would provide better protection than my own immune system when data shows we have a mean survival rate of over 99% without a vaccine (95% if you are over 70). But I was willing to hear what they had in mind.

I started by researching the 4 front-runner vaccines (Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca and Johnson and Johnson). I read the Pfizer and Moderna submissions to the FDA for "Emergency Use" approval. Then I listened to countless researchers who work in the fields of immunology, vaccines, and virology. When it finally became available, I looked at the Informed Consent documents that my own (Ontario) and other provincial health authorities presented as information you should consider before taking the vaccine.

I was shocked by what information was **not** there. The consent forms seemed to be far more focused on information-gathering for the practitioner than on information-providing so the public could fully weigh the benefits and risks of taking this vaccine. The Ontario form did refer to a "COVID-19 Vaccine Information Sheet", which everyone was to read, but it was not included with the consent package.

Having already done considerable research at this point, I found the government information to be misleading and incomplete. For example, on page 3 of the Information Sheet, it's implied that these vaccines work like "all vaccines…" by "…presenting our body with something that looks like the infection…" when in fact, these vaccines work entirely differently. They use new gene therapy technology to get your body to produce a foreign spike protein that is intended to make your body create an immune response. The document says "This piece of the virus cannot hurt you, …", but they cannot know that. First, it's a synthetic piece of mRNA in the case of Pfizer and Moderna, and foreign DNA in the other two. A corona vaccine has never been successfully developed before. They don't know if the genetic message will shut off before creating a full immune response. They don't know how this genetic information will influence other genes. The trials to find answers are still going on; the pre-"emergency use" approval trials were too short to be able to gather long-term data concerning the outcomes of this novel gene therapy. If you take these vaccines, you become a subject in Stage 3 and 4 of the ongoing experiment.

I didn't find these and other important points made clear in the Informed Consent Form. So, I decided to make a list of what I would like to have known to make a fully informed choice to consent or decline a Covid-19 vaccine. Following is the list that evolved from my research.

## Background Information of Interest

- **Your Rights.** The Nuremberg Code, the UN Declaration of Human Rights and the UNESCO Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights are agreements that give citizens the right to choose to consent to any biological intervention **after being fully informed about the intention, risks and benefits** of the procedure, and being **free from coercion in any form to consent**. This means that neither our government, an employer, a nursing home, a school nor an airline should be trying to force you to consent to an experimental medical treatment, especially a non-FDA approved treatment, in order to work, play or live your life as you wish. These international laws were put in place to protect us from being used as medical guinea pigs by some over-zealous pharmaceutical company, agency or government without our knowledge and without our agreeing to accept the risks associated with the treatment, such as what was perpetrated on the Jews and the infirmed under the Nazis. It was also designed to prevent segregation (e.g. privileges for the vaccinated vs the un-vaccinated), hence the "coercion" rider. The Informed Consent document you are asked to sign before you receive a vaccination, or any other medical procedure, is to confirm that you know what you are getting into and you do so of your own free will with the understanding that there is no penalty for refusing.
- **There are no "FDA approved" vaccines.** All vaccines currently available that are intend to protect you from SARS CoV-2 are authorized for temporary "emergency use" (USA) or "interim order" (Canada) only. That means that the research behind them is incomplete, in this case, "fast tracked", which means many aspects of the vaccine's effects remain unknown, such as its effect on pregnancy, or special groups, like the very old or the very young, people who already have immunity from having had Covid-19, people who had a flu shot, or the long-term effects of the vaccine, autoimmune challenges, etc. The work just hasn't yet been done. Anyone who tells you these vaccines are "safe and effective" is either ignorant or lying. They cannot know what hasn't been tested.

- **Covid-19 vaccines are experimental "vaccines."** Many scientists say that, by definition, these are not actually "vaccines." They do, however, match the traditional definition of "gene therapies." As experiments, Phase 3 and 4 of the trials are scheduled to go at least another year or more; Pfizer's trials go until January 31, 2023 and Moderna's to October 27, 2022.

  Because Pfizer and Moderna's original trials did not include proper, long-term animal testing, humans are the test subjects for what animal trials may have revealed. In past development trials for coronavirus vaccines, the animal trials revealed an issue, for example, of Antibody Dependent Enhancement (ADE) in which those who were vaccinated responded well regarding antibodies, but when subsequently exposed to the wild virus, suffered severe cases of the disease and often death. This issue was not addressed in many of the current vaccines. Similarly, long-term effects of the new mRNA gene technologies are unknown. RNA gene therapy products have never been approved for prior use in vaccines nor has this science been verified by independent researchers and been published in peer-reviewed journals. The experiment continues.
- **These vaccines do not prevent you from getting the disease nor do they prevent you from transmitting the disease.** The current vaccine trials were designed to establish that the vaccines could reduce symptoms in mild- to moderate cases of SARS CoV-2. They were NOT designed to prevent the disease nor to prevent transmission. While it is arguable whether the criterion of reducing symptoms was met scientifically, it is absolutely clear that these vaccines do not prevent you or anyone from getting SARS-CoV-2. Recent evidence finds significant numbers of fully vaccinated people are getting Covid-19 ("Breakthrough" cases) and a shocking number are dying (US VAERS reports 5,165 deaths between December 14, 2020 and May 28, 2021 following vaccination). The vaccines do not stop you from transmitting the disease to Granny. That's why vaccinated people are told to continue wearing masks. That leaves you having to protect yourself and others from getting SARS-CoV-2 just as people have protected themselves for the past few hundred years of coronaviruses (as opposed to using the ineffective and totally destructive practices that were assigned this time). Bottom line: these vaccines do not give you your normal life back, as Pharma, the media, government and many medical and health "authorities" imply.
- **Vaccine companies have immunity from liability and a poor track record for integrity.** The US government gave vaccine companies immunity from prosecution if their products caused personal injury in 1986. To compensate for this self-regulation freedom, the industry was to submit bi-annual reports of their work on safety and efficacy of all new vaccines to the US Senate and the House. A court-ordered (filed 07092018) access to information request by the Informed Consent Action Network (ICAN) resulted in the revelation that no safety and efficacy reports for new vaccines had been submitted since being granted immunity from prosecution. That means that there has been no industry vaccine safety and efficacy data made public since 1986. The mantra that vaccines are "safe and effective" is just industry say- so. These same companies have also paid out billions in court settlements for knowingly creating false and misleading advertising regarding drugs, lying to physicians, producing fraudulent research studies and other legal breeches of integrity during that same period.

  Moderna cannot be painted with the same brush, having only joined the "vaccine companies" list when Covid arrived. They were previously a failed gene therapy company. These are the companies that our government and health authorities want us to trust with a "Warp Speed" rollout of an experimental gene product as a "vaccine" for a coronavirus that over 99% of people survive without a vaccine.

## Risk vs Benefit Considerations of Not Vaccinating

- **Most people already have powerful immune protection.** Your immune system has been adapting to protect you from viruses for thousands of years. It knows what it's doing. Firstly, understand that Covid presents a high risk of mortality predominately to elderly people and those who have more than 2 co-morbidities and who have blood Vitamin D levels below 20 mg/mL. For people under 50, the infection survival rate is greater than 99.98%. For those over 70, it's 94.6% according to Dr. Stephen Malthouse, the CDC, and many others. The death rate for immune-normal children is less than for the flu, statistically zero.
- **There are highly effective prevention and treatment protocols for SARS-CoV-2 available.** Long proven therapies like Hydroxychloroquine, Ivermectin, high-dose Vitamine C and Vitamin D were deliberately downplayed in the early going of covid so that Emergency Use vaccines could be developed. There's obviously no need for a vaccine if there are safe, effective treatments available. For example, studies show that survival, and probable prevention, are made easier by keeping blood Vitamin D levels between 40 and 60 ng/mL (some scientists advise 6080 ng.) When Covid symptoms come on (e.g. a scratchy throat, stuffed sinuses, unusual fatigue, headache, etc.), immediate high dosing with Vitamin C, Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) with Zinc protocol, or an Ivermectin Protocol (I-MASK) have all shown to be effective tratments. An early start (within 5 days of symptom onset) is critical for an early resolution. There are other effective treatments, such as nebulized hydrogen peroxide or Budesonide, but the research is less extensive on these. Dr. P. McCullough and many other scientists confirm that, had HCQ and Ivermectin for early treatments not been suppressed, 85% of COVID-19 deaths would have been avoided.
- **Natural immunity provides more complete immunity than artificially-induced immunity.** Evidence indicates that natural immunity (from getting and recovering from a virus) is better than artificially-induced immunity because your immune system learns to deal with the whole virus in natural immunity, rather than just one protein, segment, or modified form of the virus like happens in vaccine-induced immunity. Your immune system responds on more levels. There is also




**All meta-analyses to date show Ivermectin to be effective against COVID-19, yet Health Canada and the FDA have still not approved this treatment, not even for "emergency use authorization" or "interim order authorization."**

*See, 'What' p.4*

# Are you being truthfully informed?

*Continued from p.3*

evidence (Jorge Dominguez-Andres, et al) that applying vaccine-induced immunity to someone who already has natural immunity to the virus actually interferes with the long-term effectiveness of innate and adaptive immune responses. Thus, there may be added risk to those who previously had covid getting a vaccine. Recent UK data indicates that people with prior infection have the most intense vaccine-related adverse reactions. An added benefit of natural immunity recently confirmed by a study by Andrew Redd was that T- cells created by natural immunity fully recognize "variants" (which appear to be normal mutations) to date. Vaccines are not so effective, as is suggested by recent British data that 29% of Brits who died from the India variant had received their second vaccination. Vaccine-makers are indicating that you will need boosters or annual vaccinations to deal with these variations if you choose to vaccinate.

## Emerging Adverse Event Data

Now that a number of months have gone by since the rollout of the Covid-19 vaccines, monitoring systems are beginning to identify some of the long-term adverse events that might be associated with the vaccines that were not possible to see in the short, preliminary Phase 3 trials of the vaccines and because significant animal studies were not done. Note that the US and Canadian Adverse Events (AE) monitoring systems are passive, meaning that it is up to the vaccine-injured or their healthcare practitioner to file a report. This appears to create an underreporting problem.

Canada's AE numbers are low when compared to rates of injuries noted by more vigorous reporting systems in the UK and Europe and even compared to the passive US VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) which, in a study out of Harvard, found to receive reports of less than 1% of vaccine injuries.

That means that, if Canada has a similarly low reporting rate due to its passive system, the June 11, 2021 report of 6,864 Total Adverse Events with 1,391 being Serious, could actually mean that well over 100,000 Canadians have had serious adverse events following being vaccinated. If Health Canada is not rigorously collecting these injury reports, Canadians are not getting good data on the actual safety of these vaccines. This lack of precise monitoring is inexcusably poor scientific method for a new technology, "Emergency Use," experimental injection that is still in Phase 3 of the experiment.

Following is a list of Adverse Events that are showing up with some frequency after vaccination in countries around the world. Understand that, for some of these injuries, direct cause and effect has not been proven; it's that the incidence of the injuries are higher than would be expected in normal populations, or that a causal mechanism is not yet understood. More study is essential. If some of these issues concern you, search out the latest scientific evidence directly from the scientists who work in the field (not from Google, outlets that use "fact-checkers" or pharmaceutical industry-dependent "experts").

- **Reproductive issues** Unusual and heavy bleeding with menstruation, breast and scrotum swelling, erectile dysfunction, miscarriages and premature births are being reported. The UK Yellow Card system reported an increase of 475% in the 6 weeks (vaccine rollout) up to March 7, 2021 in the number of women who lost their unborn child. The spike protein from the vaccine has appeared in the breast milk of mothers and subsequently in their babies. At least one baby suffered a bleeding disorder and died. Recent Kirsch data suggests that miscarriage rates for women who get vaccinated in the first 20 weeks of pregnancies are 82% compared to the normal 10%. Remember that the vaccine trials *did not* include pregnant or soon-to-be pregnant women, so effects on women and their babies are unknown until now.
- **Blood clots** All 4 vaccines have been connected with blood-clotting issues. The mechanism appears to be linked to the spike protein, which is inherent to both mRNA and vector vaccines. The spike protein is known to be toxic and biologically active. It's known to travel through the blood where it binds to platelet receptors which then can cause clots and other issues. Clots can occur in the brain, heart, lungs and other organs. They can incite strokes and vaccine-induced thrombosis and thrombocytopenia (blood clot and low platelets), which can be deadly.
- **Cardiovascular issues** Heart failure, heart attacks and Myocarditis/Pericarditis (inflammation or the heart or lining around the heart) are occurring often within days of vaccination. Myocarditis is particularly affecting younger males (1840 years old) within 5 days of their second shot, though not exclusively. Data out of Israel shows that vaccinated 1624 year old males have 25 times the rate of myocarditis than normal. Scientists generally agree that it is the spike protein itself that is almost entirely responsible for the damage to the cardiovascular system when it gets into circulation (*Brindle, Seneff, Whelan and others*). As of mid-June, the CDC has had reports of 475 cases of Myocarditis in the US in under 30-year-olds and is scheduled to have an emergency meeting June 18, 2021 because of these excessive heart inflammation cases.
- **Neurological issues** Bell's Palsy (facial paralysis), Guillain-Barre Syndrome (rapid onset muscle weakness and loss of sensation), Transverse Myelitis (spinal cord inflammation) and other seizure, tremor and loss of sensation/control issues have occurred post vaccination. Symptoms may resolve in time, but many do not.
- **Breakthrough cases** Fully vaccinated people are testing positive for covid. There have been so many of these "Breakthrough cases" in the US that the CDC changed the monitoring system to only record those that require hospitalization or that result in death and only those that test positive with a much less sensitive PCR test. These changes will make it almost impossible to determine what protection the vaccine is actually providing against infection.
- **Deaths from vaccination** The CDC's VAERS system reported 4057 deaths following a covid vaccination between December 14, 2020 and May 7, 2021. 13 of 23 post- vaccination deaths in Norway were autopsied and concluded that common side effects from the vaccine were likely the cause. Israel posted an article on February 11, 2021 based on Pfizer vaccine data following their 5-week vaccination period which concluded that, for the elderly, about 40 times more people were killed following the vaccine than by COVID itself, and about 260 times more people than the disease in younger people. Post-vaccination deaths are occurring in previously healthy ,as well as compromised people, usually within 3 or 4 days of being vaccinated and up to 14 days.
- **Pending long-term adverse events** Some fully expected long term AEs have yet to evolve. Specifically, Antibody Dependent Enhancement, which caused previous coronavirus vaccine efforts to fail when animals and people who showed good antibody response got more severe forms of the disease or died when exposed to the live virus. There is a possibility this problem may surface with the coming Fall coronavirus season. Secondly, Autoimmune diseases are expected because of the level of inflammation that may be created by the spike proteins, but they often take a year and more to develop into recognizable symptoms.
- **Calls for a vaccination moratorium** A UK report based on their Yellow Card monitoring system has been submitted to the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency stating that "the MHRA now has more than enough evidence on the Yellow Card system to declare the COVID-19 vaccines unsafe for use in humans." They suggest that "an immediate halt to the vaccination program is required whilst a full and independent safety analysis is undertaken to investigate the full extent of the harms, …" Similar requests, some legal, have been put forward in European countries by doctors and scientists. In addition, many scientists, doctors and legal experts are advising that children under 18 years old should not be vaccinated until much more is known about long term adverse events. US VAERS currently reports 271 serious AEs in 1217 year olds and 7 deaths. This is totally avoidable. Childrens' Health Defense has requested that the FDA revoke Emergency Use Authorizations and refrain from vaccinating all demographic groups and specifically children, "… because the current risks of serious adverse events or deaths outweigh the benefits, and because existing, approved drugs provide highly effective prophylaxis and treatment against COVID, …"

My intention in presenting these pages is that this document broadens your perspective and helps you make a more fully informed choice regarding whether to get the Covid-19 vaccine at this time or not. Stay healthy.

*Gail Reynolds is an exercise physiologist who has been developing training programs for amateur and elite hockey players and teams over the past 22 years, including NHL stars. A former professor of exercise physiology at the University of New Brunswick, she currently runs her own fitness consulting company.*

# Why The Victoria Declaration?

**By Ted Kuntz**

There is little argument that governments globally have exceeded their delegated authority. Governments around the world are indiscriminately and immorally destroying local economies, closing businesses and schools, restricting personal movement, mandating unwarranted behaviors, imposing unproven medical interventions, and eliminating the most basic of human rights and freedoms. All of this in the absence of true authority, without evidence of benefit, accountability, and in ignorance of the overwhelming damage to the health and well-being of all of humanity.

To permit this unfettered abuse of power is to risk losing the natural rights and freedoms that are the heritage of all people and the foundation of free and just societies. History reveals that rights and freedoms are never returned willingly. Rather, it is the oppressed themselves who ultimately reclaim and preserve human rights that benefit humanity.

The authors of the Victoria Declaration recognize rightly that it is the duty of every human to ensure that fundamental human rights and freedoms are upheld. The consequence of failing to stand up and curtail tyranny is to risk losing all that we hold precious and to condemn future generations to lives of servitude.

## Who Is the Victoria Declaration For?

The Victoria Declaration is a call to embolden humanity to stand united to stop all forms of tyranny, and to reclaim our right to live in freedom and aspire to our dreams in the full expression of all that human life can be. We acknowledge that it is our responsibility to take back what we have allowed to be taken from us and to protect our inalienable rights and freedoms.

We present the Victoria Declaration as an expression of our historically recognized divine rights on behalf of all who seek freedom.

We recognize that we are on the front lines of the most important battle in history. This is a battle to save democracy, freedom, human liberty and human dignity – the birthrights of every human being.

## How to use the Victoria Declaration

The Victoria Declaration presents a foundation for the restoration of humanity. Its authors declare that humanity is at a critical juncture because collectively we have failed to grasp the significance of the events unfolding around us and to respond accordingly.

It is time to rise up, speak up and stand firm against tyrannical orders to ensure that future generations may thrive in freedom, health and happiness.

The Victoria Declaration is for you, your family, your community, your city, your nation — for humanity itself in all of its sovereign expressions. Please take this document and share it, evolve it, express it, and make it your own.

## Call to Action

Our request is that you firstly read the document and thoughtfully consider its implications. The Victoria Declaration is first and foremost a declaration of self-responsibility. It declares that we who have been given these fundamental rights and freedoms from our Creator have a responsibility to protect and preserve our rights and freedoms, not only for ourselves, but for all future generations; it is we who must stand up to the tyranny violating humanity at this time.

Second, declare your pledge to stand in your power and authority and reclaim what has been unlawfully and immorally taken from us by signing the declaration.

Third, share this document widely. Invite others to accept the responsibility to protect our rights and freedoms. This includes family, friends, colleagues and acquaintances, and also our duly elected representatives in government and the various agencies and organizations who are obliged to preserve and protect humanity. We encourage you to print, post, share, and invite others to join in this global freedom movement.

It is critical that we take action now to reclaim what is rightfully ours.

May our voices joined in harmony be insurmountable.

**Read the full declaration at:**
**librti.com/victoria-declaration**

# Canadians Aren't Being Told About Vaccine Risks

**By John Cunnington, MD**

At the top of the medical hierarchy is the neurosurgeon. Neurosurgeons are surrounded by a mystique of omniscience and omnipotence. Imagine my surprise, therefore, as a lowly medical student, to discover that the senior neurosurgeon in our institution, Robert Hughes, was being sued for malpractice. In fact, Robert Hughes, to his chagrin, went on to make Canadian medical and legal history on the issue of informed consent.

In 1970 Hughes performed a carotid endarterectomy (cleaning out of the carotid artery to the brain) on a 44-year-old man, John Reibl, who then went on to suffer a stroke that left him paralyzed on one side and unable to continue working. Reibl sued Hughes, claiming that he was not informed that he might suffer a stroke from this elective surgery, and that had he known this he would have delayed the surgery until he'd become eligible for a Ford Motor Company pension less than two years later.

**Here is Reibl's testimony to the Court:**

**Q**. Did he talk to you about what would happen if you didn't have the operation?

**A**. Yes, he said, "It is up to you if you want to have it or not. You can live a few years. You can live about 7 or 10 years or longer. One of these days you are going to fall on your nose, and that's it. If you are going to do it now in the beginning you are not going to have any problem later."

**Q**. Did Dr. Hughes say anything else about any risks of the operation?

**A**. He didn't mention anything.

Dr. John Cunnington. May 2018 photo, taken on his last day of work.

*Reibl v Hughes* went all the way to the Supreme Court of Canada and in 1980 the Court articulated the current standard for informed consent, specifically that the physician (or other health care provider) "must give the patient sufficient information so that an objective, reasonable person in the patient's position would be able to make an informed choice about a medical procedure". The court defined failure to disclose the attendant risks as negligence.

Thus, in Canada, to receive a treatment or procedure, the subject must not just verbally agree and sign a consent form, but must give *informed* consent after having the risks explained to them.

Forty-one years after the Supreme Court decision our federal and provincial governments are engaged in a program of administering to the entire Canadian population above the age of 12, a completely new, untried, experimental, non-FDA approved, gene therapy treatment. This therapy, according to US and European government adverse vaccine reaction databases, is reasonably suspected of having killed thousands of people, and created serious injury in tens of thousands. Meanwhile, the long-term consequences of the therapy are simply unknown.

Are Canadians who are receiving this treatment getting this information? Are they being told what they need to know to give informed consent? A friend of mine recently got the injection. I asked him if he was informed of the possibility of side effects. He said none were mentioned!

As far as I can determine, Canadians are not being informed that there are risks. When they show up at the injection site it appears that they are told to sign a form and hold out their arm. Those giving the treatment are not discussing with them the pros and cons, the risks and benefits of the injection prior to "vaccine" administration. Most Canadians taking the shot have no idea that there is a risk of blood clotting disorders, such as pulmonary embolism and stroke, of life-threatening immune processes such as vaccine-induced thrombocytopenia, or that young people taking the shot are at risk of the potentially fatal complication of myocarditis (nor are they informed that the risk of Covid itself is almost negligible for the young and healthy). Such lack of information is a violation of the Supreme Court decision on consent. If you are injured by the vaccine and did not provide informed consent, you have grounds to sue your health authorities for negligence and damages.

***Note:*** The onus is not on patients to do their own research. The onus is on the health care provider to inform patients of the risks so that an objective, reasonable person in the patient's position would be able to make an informed choice. Does anyone really believe that a 12 to 15-year-old child is able to sufficiently understand the complex issues involved in experimental gene therapy to give informed consent? Does enticing children with ice cream (Toronto), or adults with a lottery (Alberta), constitute informed consent?

If *Reibl v Hughes* sets the standard for approved treatments, what then should be the standard for unapproved treatments, for experimentation on humans with new and untried technologies? As a consequence of experiments performed by Nazi doctors on concentration camp prisoners and the subsequent Nuremberg trials, that Court articulated ten research ethics principles to guide medical experimentation in humans. The first principle is that "the voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential". Although the Nuremberg Code, created more than 70 years ago, did not use the word "informed", it did use the word "consent," and it is hardly a stretch to conclude that the consent they spoke of was "informed consent". Most Canadians taking these novel gene therapy injections have no idea that these "vaccines" are not an approved therapy, like a flu shot, and they are unaware that they are in fact being enrolled in clinical trials which are still ongoing.

Our federal and provincial governments, premiers, public health administrators and personnel are negligent in administering the Covid vaccines to tens of millions of Canadians without clearly informing them that this is an experimental therapy, one which could result in serious adverse events, including life-altering injuries or death, and that the long-term side effects, for example potential auto-immune diseases, are as yet unknown.

When governments use all the means in their power, including control of the media and widespread censorship of dissenting voices, to induce people to get a medical treatment without adequately informing them of the risks, they are violating the fundamental trust between the people and their government.

# I Was Given A Choice At Work This Week

**By Stephen Tremblay**

I remarked to a friend of mine as we walked down Queen Street that "the law is fluid". The homeless man we happened to pass added, "the law is malleable". I turned and smiled at him. There was a brief moment of recognition between us; a moment when two people see that they are both on the same page.

I said to a co-worker at lunch break, "people don't see the truth unless they look for it". He was sharing current events with me and discussing the state of the nation as we all do when we have little in common and are tired of work talk. I received the "huh?" look for my insight and I know he contemplated the meaning of those words. I ask myself often if I am looking or not. Here's my latest story of discovery.

I was given a choice at work this week, either I follow rules x,y,z, without question, or I move on. Simple, direct, and scary, too. In the past I would have crumbled and done whatever was asked of me with my tail between my legs or blown a gasket and caused some real chaos. Both lead to pain, and both are unhealthy and destructive. Feelings of shame, guilt, confusion, anger, blaming, getting even… (well, you get the picture) usually follow. Then I stay angry and quit later. I'm happy to say that I do not live that way today.

I took the rest of the day off work to reflect and digest my situation. Only two choices. I wrote in my journal. I made lists; I changed those lists. I wrote explanations and rehearsed them in the mirror. I had conversations with my good friends. I got angry, screamed in my car with the windows up, rode my bike, and tried to ignore the fear that crept in. I meditated and found the sweet, fuzzy safe zone where the nugget of truth unearthed itself.

I cannot in any way guarantee that I will follow all rules without question ever. I cannot lie to myself or to my boss. This is the truth for me and all I had to do was look.

So now I'm unemployed. I called the next day. I felt my heart pound, and the anticipation before my boss answered and dove into the truth. We were calm; he accepted my resignation although he sounded confused. It's like this is a new experience for him. There were good luck wishes and a feeling of mutual respect. No animosity or threats like in the past. Cool, clean, complete.

I have my doubts and worries, of course. I have always stuck out a job until another came around, no matter how bad things got. I think about reputation, future employers, and money. These thoughts come and go; they don't own me.

I get everything I ask for. You see, I wanted to do exactly what my true self wants. I kept my integrity and morals, acted with love not hate, and I gained the best reward of all. That reward is the feeling of power and invincibility. Protection. Safety. The deep knowing of another truth for me and the courage to follow my heart's lead.

Have you ever sat down and looked for the truth? Removed all the lies and fears of others? Shut off social media and the news? Sat in silence and witnessed? Do you want to know your lines in the sand? Do you open yourself to the truth or turn away as it is easier to ignore? How else will you know unless you seek?

# PROPAGANDA

## In the Fourth Industrial Revolution

**By Guy Crittenden**

As I suggested in previous articles for *Druthers* such as "With No Shot Fired: Medical Tyranny and the Rise of the Fourth Reich," totalitarianism returned to the world in 2020 in a way not seen since the rise of the Iron Curtain in Cold War Europe. No nation is safe: 179 countries have signed on to top-down plans from the Davos crowd, the World Economic Forum (WEF), the UN and an alphabet soup of agencies and NGOs including the WHO, CDC, IMF to impose a *technocracy* or AI-controlled management system on human society, in place of nation states. This will see small businesses, traditional schools, local doctors, family farms — and even private property and common law rights — replaced with a *Black Mirror* society in which digital screens will be ubiquitous, along with lab-grown meat and GMOs, sensors, facial recognition cameras, social credit scores, monitoring systems, vaccine passports and digital ID's, satellites, human capital bond programs, and so on. Implants and brain-machine interfaces could even lead us into a *transhumanist* (read: eugenicist) future that may challenge the very notion of what is human.

For more than a year, people have lit up social media with memes and links to articles and videos about the current lockdowns, masks, and social distancing, and the coming Orwellian dystopia; it's overdue that we deconstruct the visual dimension of the propaganda. The current globalist takeover and restructuring of the world economy has a specific look or aesthetic to it; we must dismantle its spellbinding power through critical awareness.

As we will see from a few examples, the look of tyranny plays a crucial role in manufacturing our consent.

### Examples


**1**. This bus shelter poster has appeared in local languages in many countries, including the UK and Spain. The cartoon-like rendering of the woman is typical of what we could call the "Davos" poster style, featuring areas of flat solid colour, stylized facial features, a woman of indeterminate ethnicity, and text that encourages social ostracization of anyone who doesn't follow orders. Statements such as the one in the bottom right panel, that she "thinks she's better than everyone else," could even incite violence.

**2**. The Davos style is used globally by such agencies as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the US Center for Disease Control (CDC). The portrait icons capture different ages, genders and ethnicities, but notice how no one has a face. Humanity is being infantilized and anonymized, and led into a gamified, tokenized economy in which people will have "digital twins." The soft pastel colours distract us from the implementation of a two-tier society. The text about "protecting others" encourages virtue signalling and shaming of those who refuse or cannot wear masks or whose agitation for rights and freedoms is "selfish." Issues are sidestepped about masks not preventing disease, and that they actually harm the wearer.



**3**. Another example of the "Davos" style, again with featureless faces and in this case an oxygen deprived mother breastfeeding her baby. Her brown skin suggests racial inclusivity and a cosmopolitan world, but notice the subtle message that mask wearing is as natural as breastfeeding. This is all about normalization. Note that even people who've taken two injections have been kept from their newborn children, as they can still transmit COVID-19 (we're told). Quite a different reality from this poster.

***"...The look of tyranny plays a crucial role in manufacturing our consent"***


**4**. The stylized art in this poster genuflects to Soviet era and Chinese Communist propaganda. Mask wearing is associated with industrial garb worn during yesteryear's four-year economic plans from central committees. Note the confident hands-on-hips stance and that the woman holds the entire globe in her left hand, like a basketball. Perhaps world domination is what we will succeed in here.




**5**. This poster is a riff on the vintage Rosie the Riveter iconography of World War II fame, in this case showing both a female and a male worker flexing their biceps and recalling the "can do" attitude of previous war efforts in which women (especially) worked in factories building planes and tanks while the men fought overseas. This subconsciously associates taking the injections and compliance with government health edicts with heroism, strength and community service, and distracts the public from the risks of what are untested, unapproved and dangerous experimental gene therapies, where even children as young as 12 are being encouraged to take with free ice cream.

**6**. No look at the globalist propaganda would be complete without that little blonde girl in pigtails — a trope from 1930s fascist movements — one that's exploited in what Canadian environmental activist Cory Morningstar calls the "manufacturing of Greta Thunberg." The young girl's fresh-faced innocence extols hope for the future in addition to racial purity. The eugenicists currently deploying a dangerous spike protein technology by way of syringes are using Thunberg's persona as the "voice of the young" to promote a climate change ideology that will be used to justify further lockdowns and assaults on civil liberties (despite that the earth is actually cooling as we enter a Grand Solar Minimum), and ultimately depopulation of the planet.



**7**. The now-infamous WEF image of a young man celebrating life in a New World Order future. His tousled hair and beard rock that international ethnic vibe, though he's sufficiently pale to remain ambiguous. He looks like he could hail from the Gaza Strip — the globalist model for the whole planet — where people own nothing, but most certainly aren't happy.

We've touched on only a few examples here from a vast arsenal of propaganda iconography currently on display worldwide. These examples and our analysis should equip you to see through the various ways in which promoters of the so-called Great Reset manipulate us with images and subtle cues. We must inoculate ourselves against these mind viruses as much as any contagion, whether real or imaginary.

*Guy Crittenden is a freelance writer and author of the award-winning book* The Year of Drinking Magic: Twelve Ceremonies with the Vine of Souls *(Apocryphile Press, San Francisco). Follow Guy at* **HipGnosis.co**

*The author was interviewed for the audio and video podcast Book of Ours about this propaganda art topic, and that the interview is available on the* **Druthers.net** *website.*

# Druthers Paperboys & Papergirls

Every month we receive many photos from our passionate readers who have voluntarily taken up the job of delivering *Druthers* papers in their communities. We often hear them express how fun it is since delivering papers was a first job for many when they were young. We've decided to start highlighting a couple people each month who are helping give out *Druthers.* Here is our first installment of this feature.

**Do you know someone in your community who delivers *Druthers* and should receive a shout-out in next month's issue of *Druthers*? We'd love to hear about it. Please send photos and a blurb about the person to info@druthers.net and you just might find it in next month's issue.**


## Oldest Paper Boy In Canada?

"92 years young!!! Ron Salisbury is the oldest paperboy in Canada. Up this morning delivering *Druthers* papers at 6:00 AM. Unmasked, unvaccinated, but most importantly... UNAFRAID!!! Spreading truth like a virus. The most important time in history to be alive. Keep fighting." — Tal Salisbury (Vernon, BC)


## 92 Year Old Holocaust Survivor.

This is Irene. Irene gave a powerful speech at a lockdown protest in Toronto which brought the crowd to tears. We went up to thank her and give her a *Druthers* and that's when she told us she already knows and loves *Druthers* and that she proudly delivers *Druthers* around her neighbourhood. Wow Irene, thank you

# Coast to Coast With *Druthers*


Jen Campbell
Salt Spring Island, B.C.


Greg Tanner
Vancouver, B.C.


Peedj Mon
London, Ontario


Catherine Gagnon-Poirier
Amherst Shore Beach, Nova Scotia

# Government Must Stop Trying To Replace The Role Of Family

**By Spencer Fernando**

*The more the government tries to act like a parent, the more destabilized and entitled our society becomes. As Canada honours Father's Day, many are reflecting on the role our Father's play in our lives.*

My Father came to Canada from Trinidad when he was young, and despite starting with very little, managed to build a life and help give me the opportunity to succeed and chase my dreams, for which I am very grateful.

And as we reflect on how our parents have influenced our lives, we can also see and acknowledge a concerning trend that continues to pick up steam: Government trying to act like our parent.

This has been ongoing for some time, with government's increasingly fulfilling the role of 'provider' and 'protector,' even in instances where individuals should be free to make their own choices.

For example, the welfare system often leads to the government being a provider for a parent and children, yet that ironically ends up making matters worse, since that provision is structured in such a way as to often dis-incentivize work and instead entrench dependency.

We have also seen disturbing incidents of government interfering in discussions between children and parents when it comes to gender, even taking children away and throwing parents in jail, a level of interference that should never be allowed in a free society. And when it comes to Covid, we have watched the government evolve into the ultimate exaggeration of the 'parent' role.

The government shut down businesses, told people to stay home, threw people in jail for gathering, imposed fines, and more. In effect, they imposed curfews on the wayward population to 'keep us in line.' And of course, all of it was 'for our own good.'

### Unhealthy parent-role

Of course, government attempting to act as a parent is all about power, and it thus creates an unhealthy power imbalance. Politicians love to try and control the lives of the population, and thus they are glad to see the role of government expand. But in trying to make government a parent, the best that can be done is to create a distant and authoritarian parent-child relationship.

A government can never be 'there for you' like another actual human being, and can only rule through fear and dependence, neither of which are healthy. Government is a cold and distant bureaucracy, and thus can never do what a parent can.

### What about people without parents?

At this point, some may be saying 'well, not everyone is lucky enough to have parents, or have good parents, so what about them?'

Well, for most of history, it wasn't simply assumed that the government would intervene in those cases. Government was supposed to exist to provide some basic functions like a national defence, judicial system, and some services, not try and control the direction of our lives.

Further, there was a stronger sense of community, with religious institutions, community groups, and the private sector helping those in need. Ironically, because of how much government interferes these days, many people simply leave problems for the government to fix, feeling they pay enough in taxes and have thus done their part.

Government spending and interference atrophies our sense of community, making society an even colder place. As noted by the Fraser Institute, rising government spending leads to less charitable activity:

*"Long before the COVID recession, the generosity of Canadians, measured by charitable donations, was already steadily falling. From 2008 to 2018, the percentage of tax-filers donating to charity fell from 24.1 percent to 19.4 percent and the percentage of aggregate income donated to charity fell from 0.62 per cent to 0.54 per cent. Because many different factors affect people's decisions to give to charity or not, however, the reasons for this decline are hard to identify exactly.*

*Interestingly, some economists have pointed to the level of government spending. The more government spends on welfare, education, environmental conservation and so on, the less people feel obliged to donate privately to these causes. This partly explains why in the United States, where total government spending is lower than in Europe and Canada, there's relatively more charitable giving.*

*But even in the U.S., charitable giving is not as*

**See, 'IsS' p.10.**

# Ignorance Is A Choice

**By Pater Noster**

If it is true we get the politicians we deserve, it must also be said of us: we have risen to a level of incompetence. This is made terribly obvious by Covid's perfect storm of complex science, media complicity, and human error.

Plato's answer to such an eventuality was the *philosopher-king*: "Philosophers are both morally and intellectually suited to rule: *morally* because it is in their nature to love truth and learning so much that they are free from the greed and lust that tempts others to abuse power. And *intellectually* because they alone can gain full knowledge of reality." (source: *Britannica*)

The *intellectual* ideal is attractive—logical, having a working knowledge of cognitive errors, science, law, economics, ethics, entrepreneurship, with *adult* debating skills. Who would deny that such a person would have a better grasp of reality, thus better suited to public office? And who would deny that a *moral* intellectual who values truth and learning above all else would be better suited to *use* such an intellect? Of course, such people do not exist.

Worse, even the pursuit of the ideal is gone. James Lindsay in a December 2020 essay *Psychopathy and the Origins of Totalitarianism,* says we have exchanged those virtues for the pseudo-realities of "paralogic" and an "evil paramorality." Lindsay writes, "The goal of paramorality, is to socially enforce the belief that good people accept the paramorality and attendant pseudo-reality while everyone else is morally deficient and evil. It is an inversion of morality."

Government: "For love of neighbour you should comply with all lockdown measures." Fifth grade Sunday schooler: "But my neighbour is *anyone in need*: small-business owners, kids kept from school, people getting less medical care, depression, alcoholism, divorce and domestic abuse." Canadian constitutional lawyer Rocco Galati calculates the harm from lockdowns is 14 times greater than from Covid. Heck, if only 100% more — ignoring this is surely *hating* your neighbour. Lockdown-defying pastors know this. Their virtue-signalling neighbours *don't*.

Equally sinister is the new "paralogic." "Its objective" says Lindsay, "is to transmute the substance of reality *as it is* into what is envisioned in the pseudo-reality and the Utopia it is ultimately based upon. This means that there can be no legitimate form of disagreement with a pseudo-real paralogic, and there can be no disproof of the pseudo-reality it claims to make sense of. The paralogic, falsely appearing logical, dismisses all such contradictions."

Government: "Lockdown measures are backed by science."

Fifth grader: "Show me the science." A recent review of 80 lockdown studies by Douglas W. Allen confirms much more harm is caused by lockdowns. A good scientific case for lockdowns never existed. And it turns

out the thing that set the world's hair on fire in the first place—asymptomatic transmission—is a negligible concern, says a study of 10 million people in Wuhan, China, and confirmed by a study from the University of Florida.

Government says: "Case counts are up!"

Fifth grader: "How come?"

Are increased *cases* simply the result of more widespread testing? False positives? Cycle thresholds? Kary Mullis, the inventor of the PCR test now being used for Covid-19, vehemently disapproved of its use for infectious diseases. The WHO now confirms that the PCR test is flawed, and the CDC now admits that a PCR test kept under 28 amplification cycles eliminates 90% of the false positives. Which means that PCR test cycles of 35-45 times now used to rob Canadians of their Charter rights are—*meaningless.* When they do decide to lower the threshold to 28 cycles, you can bet they'll credit the vaccine for the reduced case counts.

If our 'experts' were trading stocks, they'd all be broke. Our best defence against being wronged by experts, says David H. Freedman, author of *Wrong: Why Experts Keep Failing Us,* is to maintain "an appropriate level of wariness with regard to any expert pronouncement." A more trustworthy expert, he says, is candid about refutational evidence. He adds: "we ought to give more weight to expert advice that isn't simplistic; that is supported by many large, careful studies; that is consistent with what we mostly believe to be true; that avoids conflicts of interest; and that isn't a reaction to a recent crisis."

James Lindsay writes, "For those who will take up the task, the approach is a combination of being informed, being courageous, being forthright, and being subversively funny."

We might start with penetrating questions made famous by fifth graders everywhere: who, what, where, when, why, and how:

*Who* decided that politicians should delegate their responsibilities to narrow-visioned, non-elected officials? *Who* will investigate how our top elected officials have 'profited' by lockdowns?

*What* studies show the efficacy of masks, distancing, outdoor transmission?

*Where* did the seasonal flu go in 2020?

*When* did Canadians vote to approve a globalist agenda?

*Why* are we denied highly-effective drug interventions with little to no side effects? *Why* are death rates in Canada still at normal levels? And *why* on earth are we masking kids and pulling them from school when they are the least threatened age-group?

Kids aren't satisfied with contradictory non-answers to their questions. We need to get as dissatisfied as they are or we'll be fooled again by the 'experts', or by our own pseudo logic and pseudo morality.

The way out from this morass is the way *back*—to logic that recognizes contradiction as the sign of a failed argument, and to a Judeo-Christian appreciation for truth which corresponds to reality and traditional standards of morality—in other words, everything a progressive hates.

The truth is out there. In this age of information, ignorance isn't fate but a *choice*.

# Aylmer Church Of God Found In Contempt Of Court And Sentenced For Third Time For Holding Outdoor Worship Services

**By jccf.ca**

The Justice Centre was in virtual court on June 11 in St. Thomas, Ontario, on behalf of the Church of God (Restoration) Aylmer, as the Attorney General of Ontario demanded over $200,000 in contempt sanctions, plus court costs, stemming from three outdoor services held by the Church of God. The Court refused to award the figure sought by the government, and instead fined the Church and its leaders a total of $85,500, plus court costs of $5,000.

The targeted enforcement order obtained by the government on February 12, which the Church has been repeatedly accused of violating, is being challenged by the Justice Centre on constitutional grounds. The matter is set for a three-day hearing beginning on October 4, 2021.

Meanwhile, the Church continues to try and serve its congregation. The Church faced earlier contempt proceedings, after it held a Sunday service indoors on April 25, 2021. In court on May 14, the Church of God and its leaders were ordered by the Honourable Justice Bruce Thomas to pay fines

and costs totalling $117,000, and the local Sheriff was ordered to change the locks on the Church's doors. Numerous police officers accompanied the Sheriff into the Church that day, as the congregation sang hymns and prayed for the officers.

The Church then held an outdoor service on Sunday, May 16 (regulations in place at the time in Ontario limited outdoor religious gatherings to 10 people) attracting many supporters outside its regular parishioners, for which it was hauled back into court for a second contempt hearing on May 31 and fined an additional $66,000 by Justice Thomas, including court costs.

Just a few days later, the Attorney General brought a third contempt proceeding for the outdoor services held on May 23 and 30, and later added the service held on June 6.

At the contempt hearing on June 11, Justice Thomas acknowledged that the province's rules had recently changed to permit outdoor religious services, limited only by having enough space to permit physical distancing which was to be observed by participants. While he found the Church and its leaders in contempt, he deferred sentencing until today to see if the Church was cooperating with physical distancing rules.

While the government's lawyer argued that drone evidence taken by police the previous Sunday showed people not physically distancing, the photos produced plainly showed that there were many small groups spread out around the Church's large acreage, and there was no evidence that they were not part of the same household. Furthermore, the government's complaint that the Church's livestream that day failed to pan over the congregation, as it has done on previous occasions, was dismissed by the Judge, who said that the burden of proof in the contempt proceedings was on the Attorney General, and the government failed to establish this allegation with proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

While the most recent church service was not the subject of contempt proceedings, Justice Thomas commended the efforts of Pastor Hildebrandt to encourage compliance with physical distancing among attendees, even if the Pastor's announcement at the start of the service was couched in an admonition to the government: "Do as the Premier and Prime Minister say, and not as they do." Pastor Hildebrandt's comments referred to recent actions by political leaders, including the Ford government's temporary suspension of the pandemic restrictions to permit a 10,000-person vigil to proceed in nearby London, Ontario, which was attended by political leaders at all levels of government. No physical distancing was observed.

"Events over the last ten days have shown, again, the rank hypocrisy of our leadership class, as the Prime Minister gathered in a group of 10,000 people, before immediately flying overseas to hobnob in person with other world leaders, including the 95-year-old Queen," says Justice Centre lawyer, Lisa Bildy, who has been representing the Church in court.

"Pictures showed him in close contact with other leaders, none of them wearing masks, none social distancing or showing any indication, except in staged photos, that there is a serious health concern. Their actions show that it's mostly pandemic theatre at this point, and the public should also be free to live their lives without government oppression."

*Originally published at jccf.ca*

# *Can we trust the 'science'?*

***Continued from p.2***

To speak out against cigarettes as the culprit behind these preventable conditions was taboo. Consequently several generations of Americans suffered and died prematurely and needlessly because the science accepted by the nation's health officials was unconditionally false.

California State University bioethicist and author of *The Illusion of Evidence Based Medicine*, Prof. Leemon McHenry views the epidemic of bad medical research as similar to dirty money laundering.

After reviewing thousands of clinical trial documents, he observed the means by which pharmaceutical companies intentionally design flawed clinical trials favoring their drugs and vaccines, generate dubious data and then wash it through a corrupt methodology to make the product look clean at the other end.

During an interview, Prof McHenry said it was like throwing darts at a door and then later drawing a target on the door so the darts appear to have hit a bull's-eye. Drug makers have mastered these tricks and our regulatory officials are consistently fooled and left none the wiser.

For those who grew up in the Great and Baby Boom generations, stress reduction was virtually unknown. Exercise was perceived as unnecessary after high school and college. A plant-based or vegetarian diet was viewed as extreme.

The different iterations of the American food pyramid, starting with the Food for Young Children guide in 1916 and leading up to the 1979 Daily Food Guide, suffered from a serious lack of knowledge and a misunderstanding about nutrition.

There was little bimolecular understanding about the dangers of sugar and excessive salt. Processed foods, preservatives and chemical dyes were being completely ignored. The only dietary supplement that was widely recommended was iron and to a lesser degree Vitamin C.

Today we can look back upon these national dietary standards as medieval; yet the horrendous lack of science that supported our unhealthy American lifestyle was part of a scheme to indoctrinate people. And private corporations profited exorbitantly by sustaining these illusions.

In the 20th century alone, leading medical journals and government agencies would promote electroshock therapy, bariatric surgery, mercury amalgams and dental fluoride, diethylstilbestrol, synthetic hormone replacement, artificial sweeteners such as saccharin and Monsanto's aspartame and vaccine ethylmercury.

However, today researchers frequently publish research papers identifying the very serious health risks for these products, which earlier were supported by reams of fraudulent corporate-sponsored research to court regulators.

But despite all of the reliable scientific data, it has failed to rein in national health policies and the conduct of the CDC, NIAID and FDA to lessen the health risks Americans are exposed to daily.

It is now 15 months since the World Health Organization declared a pandemic on March 11 of last year. The mainstream media has followed in lockstep with the government's public relations narrative.

It has lied about the reliability of PCR testing as a gold standard; injuries and deaths from the J&J, Moderna and Pfizer vaccines are either rejected or reframed as unfortunate anomalies.

We may hear about the rare non-promising study against the use of inexpensive Covid-19 treatments such as hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin; but the many dozens of studies recommending these drugs are flatly ignored.

Nor are our health officials telling us the truth about the adverse effects of prolonged mask-wearing, social isolation and quarantines, the vaccines' safety profiles, the inflated numbers of Covid-19 cases and mortalities, and approving expensive novel drugs shown to be questionably effective.

While many criticize Big Pharma's abuse of public relations firms to whitewash their noxious public image, in 2015, *The Hill* reported that the federal government spent over $4 billion on public relations services and over half of that went to the world's largest firms.

Last September, Trump's Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) awarded the PR firm Fors Marsh Group $250 million to twist the handling of the pandemic in his favor. In 2012, Obama's HHS gave $20 million to the Porter Novelli PR firm and $26 million to Ogilvy Public Relations for publicity damage control over controversies in his *Affordable Care Act.*

Surely large PR firms have an enormous role within the cartel of governments' health ministries, the World Health organization, the drug and vaccine industry, and billionaire donors who are now directing the pandemic.

Fortunately the faux scientific artifice upon which the authoritarians in power have defined the pandemic is crumbling. For the first time in medical history, tens of thousands of physicians and medical professionals are calling out our officials and the drug companies for vagrant acts of corruption and deception.

Anthony Fauci's control over the pandemic narrative is in jeopardy. The theory behind a natural origin of the virus is likely a sham; laboratory "gain of function" research to engineer pathogenic coronaviruses has been covered up with lies.

We are discovering that health officials intentionally exaggerated SARS-CoV-2's severity and sabotaged viable medical alternatives to curtail the progression of infection in a way that is scientifically sound, compassionate, and not jeopardized by pharmaceutical interests.

'The deepest sin against the human mind," Huxley warned, "is to believe things without evidence." In the face of millions of unnecessary and preventable Covid-19 deaths due to the irresponsible authority handed to the Fauci-s, Gates-s, Tedros-s, and Matt Handcock-s of the world, a grave moral sin has been committed by allowing technocratic scientism to override medical evidence.

*Richard Gale is the Executive Producer of the Progressive Radio Network and a former Senior Research Analyst in the biotechnology and genomic industries.*
*Dr. Gary Null is the host of the nation's longest running public radio program on alternative and nutritional health and a multi-award-winning documentary film director, including Poverty Inc and Deadly Deception.*
*Originally published at: GreatGameIndia.com*

# Lawyers & Medical Experts Start Legal Proceedings Against W.H.O. And World Leaders For 'Crimes Against Humanity'

By GreatReject.org

**A team of over 1,000 lawyers and over 10,000 medical experts led by Dr. Reiner Fuellmich have begun legal proceedings against the CDC, WHO & the Davos Group for crimes against humanity.**

Fuellmich and his team present the faulty PCR test and the order for doctors to label any comorbidity death as a Covid death as fraud. The PCR test was never designed to detect pathogens and is 100% faulty at 35 cycles. All the PCR tests overseen by the CDC are set at 37 to 45 cycles. The CDC admits that any tests over 28 cycles are not admissible for a positive reliable result. This alone invalidates over 90% of the alleged Covid cases / "infections" tracked by the use of this faulty test.

In addition to the flawed tests and fraudulent death certificates, the "experimental" vaccine itself is in violation of Article 32 of the Geneva Convention. **Under Article 32 of the 1949 Geneva Convention IV, "mutilation and medical or scientific experiments not necessitated by the medical treatment of a protected person" are prohibited. According to Article 147, conducting biological experiments on protected persons is a grave breach of the Convention.**

The "experimental" vaccine is in violation of all 10 of the Nuremberg Codes.

The "vaccine" fails to meet the following five requirements to be considered a vaccine and is by definition a medical "experiment" and trial:

**Provides immunity to the virus**

This is a "leaky" gene therapy that does not provide immunity to Covid and claims to reduce symptoms yet double-vaccinated are now 60% of the patients requiring ER or ICU with Covid infections.

**Protects recipients from getting the virus**

This gene-therapy does not provide immunity and double-vaccinated can still catch and spread the virus.

**Reduces deaths from the virus infection**

This gene-therapy does not reduce deaths from the infection. Double-Vaccinated infected with Covid have also died.

**Reduces circulation of the virus**

This gene-therapy still permits the spread of the virus as it offers zero immunity to the virus.

**Reduces transmission of the virus**

This gene-therapy still permits the transmission of the virus as it offers zero immunity to the virus.

**The following violations of the Nuremberg Code are as follows:**

**Nuremberg Code #1: Voluntary Consent is Essential**

No person should be forced to take a medical experiment without informed consent. Many media, political and non-medical persons are telling people to take the shot. They offer no information as to the adverse effects or dangers of this gene-therapy. All you hear from them is — " safe and effective" and " benefits outweigh the risks." Countries are using lockdowns, duress and threats to force people to take this vaccine or be prohibited to participate in free society under the mandate of a Vaccine Passport or Green Pass. During the Nuremberg trials, even the media was prosecuted, along with many of the doctors found guilty of Crimes Against Humanity.

**Nuremberg Code #2: Yield Fruitful Results Unprocurable By Other Means**

As listed above, the gene-therapy does not meet the criteria of a vaccine and does not offer immunity to the virus. There are other medical treatments that yield fruitful results against Covid such as Ivermectin, Vitamin D, Vitamin C, Zinc and boosted immune systems for flu and colds.

**Nuremberg Code #3: Base Experiments on Results of Animal Experimentation and Natural History of Disease**

This gene therapy skipped animal testing and went straight to human trials. In mRNA research that Pfizer used – a candidate study on mRNA with rhesus macaques monkeys using BNT162b2 mRNA and in that study all the monkeys developed pulmonary inflammation but the researchers considered the risk low as these were young healthy monkeys from the age of 2-4. Israel has used Pfizer and the International Court of Law has accepted a claim for 80% of the recipients having pulmonary inflammation from being injected with this gene-therapy. Despite this alarming development Pfizer proceeded to develop their mRNA for Covid without animal testing.

**Nuremberg Code #4: Avoid All Unnecessary Suffering and Injury**

Since the rollout of the experiment and listed under the CDC VAERS reporting system over 4,000 deaths and 50,000 vaccine injuries have been reported in America. In the EU over 7,000 deaths and 365,000 vaccine injuries have been reported. This is a grievous violation of this code.

**Nuremberg Code #5: No Experiment to be Conducted if There's Reason to Think Injury or Death Will Occur**

Nuernberg [i.e., Nuremberg] trials in session. November 27, 1945.
Library of Congress, Prints & Photographs Division, NYWT&S Collection, LC-DIG-ppmsca-19290. //hdl.loc.gov/loc.pnp/ppmsca.19290

See #4, based on fact-based medical data this gene-therapy is causing death and injury. Past research on mRNA also shows several risks that have been ignored for this current trial gene-experiment. A 2002 study on SARS-CoV-1 spike proteins showed they cause inflammation, immunopathology, blood clots, and impede Angiotensin 2 expression. This experiment forces the body to produce this spike-protein inheriting all these risks.

**Nuremberg Code #6: Risk Should Never Exceed the Benefit**

Covid-19 has a 98-99% recovery rate. The vaccine injuries, deaths and adverse side-effects of mRNA gene-therapy far exceed this risk. The use of "leaky" vaccines was banned for agriculture use by the US and EU due to the Marek Chicken study that shows 'hot-viruses' and variants emerge… making the disease even more deadly. Yet, this has been ignored for human use by the CDC knowing fully the risk of new deadlier variants emerge from leaky vaccinations. The CDC is fully aware that the use of leaky vaccines facilitates the emergence of hot (deadlier)strains. Yet they've ignored this when it comes to human

**Nuremberg Code #7: Preparation Must Be Made Against Even Remote Possibility of Injury, Disability or Death**

There were no preparations made. This gene therapy skipped animal trials. The pharmaceutical companies' own Phase 3 human clinical trials will not conclude until 2022/2023. These vaccines were approved under an Emergency Use only act and forced on a misinformed public. They are NOT FDA-approved.

**Nuremberg Code #8: Experiment Must Be Conducted by Scientifically Qualified Persons**

Politicians, media and actors claiming that this is a safe and effective vaccine are not qualified. Propaganda is not medical science. Many retail outlets such as Walmart & drive-through vaccine centers are not qualified to administer experimental medical gene-therapies to the uninformed public.

**Nuremberg Code #9: Anyone Must Have the Freedom to Bring the Experiment to an End At Any Time**

Despite the outcry of over 85,000 doctors, nurses, virologists and epidemiologists — the experiment is not being ended. In fact, there are currently many attempts to change laws in order to force vaccine compliance. This includes mandatory and forced vaccinations. Experimental 'update' shots are planned for every 6 months without any recourse to the growing number of deaths and injuries already caused by this experiment. These 'update' shots will be administered without any clinical trials. Hopefully this new Nuremberg Trial will put an end to this crime against humanity.

**Nuremberg Code #10: The Scientist Must Bring the Experiment to an End At Any Time if There's Probable Cause of it Resulting in Injury or Death**

It is clear in the statistical reporting data that this experiment is resulting in death and injury yet all the politicians, drug companies and so-called experts are not making any attempt to stop this gene-therapy experiment from inflicting harm on a misinformed public.

What can you do to help put an end to this crime against humanity? Share this information. Hold your politicians, media, doctors and nurses accountable — that if they are complicit in this crime against humanity they too are subject to the laws set forth in the *Geneva Convention* and *Nuremberg Code* and can be tried, found guilty and put to death. Legal proceedings are moving forward, evidence has been collected and a large growing body of experts are sounding the alarm.

*Visit the Covid Committee website at:*
*www.corona-ausschuss.de*
*This article was originally published at:*
*www.greatreject.org*

# *Is the 'nanny state' getting worse?*

*Continued from p. 8*

*high as it once was. The period of the greatest charitable activity, famed economist Milton Friedman often pointed out, was the second half of the 19th century—the heyday of laissez-faire capitalism, when total government spending was less than 10 percent of GDP.*

*There was a significant expansion of government spending in the 20th century, however, and the costs extended far beyond higher taxes and government debt. "One of the worst things, in my opinion, that has happened as a result of the welfare state," said Friedman, "is the destruction of private mechanisms" for promoting charitable activity."*

## Entitlement & dependency

One of the ironies of the government increasingly acting like a parent is that it indeed reverts many people to a more child-like mindset. A key part of becoming an adult is taking responsibility for our own lives, recognizing that we must take action to succeed and build the kind of life we want.

Truly effective parents manage to balance the importance of providing support while also discouraging dependency, giving young people a push to test their own abilities and become more and more independent over time. For those who never get that push, they remain in a state of dependency, and develop an attitude of entitlement. This filters out through all of society.

Thus, we arrive at the situation we are in today, where every interest group feels entitled to government money (rather than competing on their own merits), and politicians of all political stripes are afraid to cut spending, as they are so locked into the mindset of government being the key provider.

Additionally, as we saw with Covid, a significant portion of the population believes the government must restrict freedom to protect them from both their own personal choices and the choices of others. They literally want to be controlled and restricted, because fear has pushed them into a submissive mindset.

## Empowerment and independence

If Canada continues to go down this road of seeing the government as a parent, we will further weaken the bonds of family, and further reduce the authority of Fathers and Mothers. That would be disastrous, as the increasing coldness and entitlement of that kind of society leads to disastrous outcomes. Instead, the government needs to step back, and we need to promote self-reliance, independence, and protect the authority of Fathers and Mothers.

*Article originally published at SpencerFernando.com*

# A Mom And Pop Perspective

**By Becky Burgess**

November 2, 2019 was our grand opening! My brother and I had dreamed of opening a business together for 20 years. Now, with the incredible support of family and friends, we had finally made it happen. We had created a business that was environmentally responsible, beneficial to our community, and celebrated our passion for creativity.

The community embraced us. As we began to build a clientele, we received a unanimous thumbs up from everyone who visited our store. We knew we had a lot of work and sacrifice ahead of us but we now had every reason to believe that our efforts would be successful.

Within four months, the nightmare began. As the world became consumed with fear, our store grew quiet and our revenues disappeared.

In the beginning, we were supportive of the first lockdown, hoping that we could nip this new virus in the bud and quickly recover. It soon became apparent that we were not "all in this together" as advertised. Two weeks stretched into two months while huge corporate retailers and online businesses flourished. Many small businesses perished while waiting for the promised government aid that was often too little and too late. As a new business, we did not qualify for financial help but were fortunate to have an amazing landlady who bent over backwards to ensure our survival.

When the economy reopened, we enjoyed a surge of success that restored our hope for the future. However, when masks were mandated in July, our sales abruptly dropped by fifty percent! Very few people wanted to browse with their faces covered. I felt forced to choose between my mask exemption and my business so with great reluctance, I covered my face and forfeited my enjoyment of the genuine human interactions that were a cornerstone of our business.

People were "staying home and staying safe." Our goods and services were no longer "essential" in their "new normal." Drug abuse was escalating and we started to feel the impact of theft. The thieves were certainly benefiting from the mask mandates.

And we weren't seeing any evidence of a devastating pandemic. We were experiencing the devastation of government overreach! Common sense was telling us that the pandemic response was very disproportionate to the risks posed by Covid 19.

In November of 2020 we saw the video of the WEF's predictions for the future. "You will own nothing and you will be happy." Suddenly the end game was very clear. We spent countless hours sifting through research, separating verifiable facts from theories, crunching numbers and weighing the realities against the propaganda. We knew that we had been buried in bullshit and our business didn't stand a chance if we continued to comply.

This was never about a deadly virus.

It was a full scale attack on the middle class and those working towards it.

When the second lockdown started, we advised our landlady that we would not be able to pay our rent at the end of January and offered our notice. She declined, preffering to keep good tenants and holding onto the hope that we would find a way.

My brother and I continued going to our store every day despite Ontario's stay at home order. Our sign said closed but our door was unlocked and, much to our surprise, enough people wandered in and shopped to keep the utilities paid.

Spring arrived during the third lockdown and we propped our door open and put sale items out front. We stopped wearing masks and encouraged our customers to do the same. People asked questions and we gave them *Druthers*. While I was terrified that we were risking our good reputation, we knew it was time to make a stand. If we were going to lose our business, we were going to go down fighting. Our clientele grew and the customer feedback was one hundred percent positive!

The government has severely restricted our business for fifteen months now, including six and a half months of full lockdown. During that time, we have managed to qualify for twenty thousand dollars of government aid, enough to cover our rent for three and a half months. Our business would not have survived without customers. We don't consider it selfish or criminal to protect what we worked very hard to build and we are so grateful that our community has supported our non-compliance.


While we prefer to maintain a positive outlook and focus on our gratitude, we feel that it is also important to share our perspective because this isn't over. And we don't believe that it will be over until either the middle class is eliminated, or we collectively embrace civil disobedience and force our government to release it's economic stranglehold.

What's been driving us insane since this madness began is that the government has spent untold millions of tax dollars on conflicting propaganda. On one hand we are being urged to support local businesses and bombarded with false assurances that these businesses are receiving all the help they need to survive. On the other hand, these same small businesses are being restricted and locked down, deemed non-essential and portrayed as vectors of death and disease.

How can we support something that is not available?

Why would we support something that is unnecessary and dangerous?

This is classic Orwellian doublespeak! And we're paying for it!

But what can you do about it? Forced experimental medical intervention can NOT be the answer.

First, you must take an honest look at the Covid 19 data. Compare it to other seasonal illnesses. Consider the testimonies of professionals who have risked their livelihoods to present verifiable facts and reasonable perspectives.

Ask yourself the hard questions. Why are qualified doctors and scientists being censored. Why would the world's governments collaborate against the people whom they are entrusted to lead. And how? Follow the money. The answers are hard to digest, but they are easily available and they do make complete sense.

Next you must live in harmony with your convictions.

If you firmly believe that masks serve no purpose except to signal compliance, then please TAKE IT OFF! Most people will not be judging your facial nudity. They will be envying your courage.

If you own a small business and understand that you are not imperilling anyone's health, OPEN UP FULLY! You are self employed because you are a courageous, independent, hard working leader. Please act like it.

Quit depositing your money in the bank accounts of greedy, corrupt corporations. You know who they are. When you lose your job to a computerized machine, do you really think Walmart is going to offer you a snack and a change of clothes? Hell no! But we will. We do it every day because you are more than a transaction to us. Our community is not our bottom line. It is our first priority.

What can you do?

Envision the future that you want for yourself and for future generations. Then make it happen.

Wake up. Stop complying with ill conceived mandates. Go for a leisurely browse in a local store that honours mask exemptions. Smile. Connect. Reclaim your humanity!

Written with much love by a small businesswoman, a warrior, a survivor.

*Becky Burgess owns Buzzy's Nifty Thrifty Place, London, Ontario*

## Websites Of Interest

**Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us. You can visit druthers.net to get in touch.**

**vaccinechoicecanada.com**
**worlddoctorsalliance.com**
**thehighwire.com**
**corbettreport.com**
**pressfortruth.ca**
**rebelnews.com**
**randyhilliermpp.com**
**withpierre.ca**
**weareallessential.ca**
**stand4thee.com**
**awarriorcalls.com**
**takeactioncanada.ca**
**brightlightnews.com**
**gbdeclaration.org**
**jccf.ca**
**swprs.org**
**americasfrontlinedoctors.com**
**constitutionalconventions.ca**
**stopworldcontrol.com**
**evidencenotfear.com**
**activistpost.com**
**freedomforumcanada.com**
**standupcanada.solutions**
**lauralynn.tv**

DRUTHERS

Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
July circulation: 250,000 copies Canada-wide

Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Operations & Logistics: Anas Attia
Production Manager/Layout: David Bolton
Big thanks to: Christie, Kristy, Krissy, Graca, Jordan, Barbara, Zoe, & many others too.
Comments & General Inquiries: **info@druthers.net**
Help Distribute Papers In Your Area: **info@druthers.net**
News Tips & Article Submissions: **editor@druthers.net**

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of *DRUTHERS*.

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute *DRUTHERS*.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help the truth be told by making a donation.

You can donate here: **druthers.net/donate**
Or send an e-transfer to: **admin@druthers.net**

***"Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on."***
- Shawn Jason

# Absurdity Observer

## A List Of Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- **The Make-a-Wish-Foundation will only be granting wishes to fully vaccinated children**, the foundation's CEO Richard Davis explained in a recent video. Those accompanying the child must also be vaccinated. Socially distant wishes such as virtual shopping sprees for the unvaccinated may still be granted.
- **University of Florida Lab finds dangerous pathogens on children's face masks**. A small sample of 5 face masks worn by children for 1 day at school, and 1 adult for the same period of time, detected the presence of 11 dangerous pathogens that included bacterias that cause pneumonia (multiple strains), meningitis (multiple strains), diphtheria, sepsis, and antibiotic resistant pathogens.
- **Facebook now says that it will no longer censor posts about COVID being created in a lab.**
- The leader of the People's Party of Canada **Maxine Bernier was handcuffed and jailed for attending a lockdown protest**. He is currently out on bail.
- **The Philippine president, Duterte, announced he will arrest Filipinos who refuse COVID-19 vaccinations**. He also exclaimed, "If you don't want to get vaccinated, I'll have you arrested and I'll inject the vaccine in your butt."
- Manitoba Premier, Brian Pallister, claims the **reason we did not (and are not)** using or researching the most promising COVID-19 treatment, **ivermectin, is because "We are pursuing domestic research that we hope can lead to better vaccine availability**."
- You are now able to get a **Free Vaccine and a Free BigMac at select McDonalds locations** in California. Yes, that's right, the leading junk food restaurant now cares about your health.
- **Australia is now considering adding "climate change" as a new cause of death** to be listed on death certificates.
- The World Economic Forum claims that in 10 years **citizens may be vaccinated by consuming edible vaccines in plants**.
- At least 153 employees of a Houston hospital were fired or resigned on June 22 after refusing to get a mandatory vaccine for COVID-19, making it one of **the first mass terminations related to mandatory COVID-19 vaccinations in the U.S**.
- On June 24th, Ontario became the first province in Canada to **impose a mandatory policy on vaccinations for long-term-care workers**.
- **Farmers across the US are losing fields of crops due to drought restrictions**, forcing farmers to abandon fields of dry crops. These restrictions not only affect annual crops, but also orchards and vineyards, where produce (like almonds and walnuts) takes up to a decade to establish, meaning there will be a lasting effect for years to come.
- 21 new statues are set to be displayed in 21 cities across the globe. Called "The Giant," it is **a programmable, moving statue that's 10-stories tall** and covered with millions of LED pixels that allow it to take the form of any person — such as Einstein, John Lenon, or even Spider-Man. The statues can also sing and speak.
- **SickKids Hospital reveals they have seen** a handful of cases of myocarditis — **inflammation of the heart — in youth following vaccination for COVID-19**. The adverse event is dose dependent, with most cases appearing within 5 days of the second dose. On June 23rd, the CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) meeting found that the rate heart inflammation is 256 times the expected for male teens aged 12-17. Despite the CDC admitting that the rate is higher than previously thought, CDC bizarrely made the claim that COVID vaccinations still have a "positive balance for all age and sex groups." Then the agency's scientists went even further, saying teens with previous cases of heart inflammation (even if caused by the 1st COVID vaccine dose) could still receive the COVID-19 vaccines.


- Authored by a doctor, among others, who leads the CDC's v-safe COVID-19 Pregnancy Registry Team, *The New England Journal of Medicine* published an article, titled 'Preliminary Findings of mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine Safety in Pregnant Persons,' that concluded: "Preliminary findings did not show obvious safety signals among pregnant persons who received mRNA Covid-19 vaccines." The paper bragged that of the 827 pregnant women who had received a vaccine in the US up until Feb 28, spontaneous abortions under 20 weeks gestation occurred only 104 times, or 12.6% (within the expected incidence rate). However, the fine print revealed that of the 827 participants of the study, 700 received their first dose in the third trimester (after 28 weeks). Meaning: **spontaneous abortions in women vaccinated before week 20 actually occurred in 104 out of (at most) 127 pregnant women (or 82%)!**
- **The Covid-19 vaccines have created at least nine new billionaires** after shares in companies producing the shots soared. Topping the list of new billionaires are Moderna CEO Stéphane Bancel and the CEO of BioNTech, which has produced a vaccine with Pfizer, Ugur Sahin. Both CEOs are now worth around $4 billion.
- "When you are trying to get at me as a public health official and as a scientist, **you are really not only attacking Dr. Anthony Fauci, you are attacking science", said NAID director Dr. Fauci** in an interview where he is defending himself against allegations of a Wuhan Lab leak cover-up.
- As the establishment lacks good arguments against valid points brought up by Canada's quickly waking population, they have resorted to name-calling. **Ottawa Public Health's official blue-check twitter account tweets: "You'll see the tentacles of white supremacy weaving their way through the 'COVID is a hoax/this is just a flu' crowd**. And yes, the 'see more replies' section will inevitably be full of them, each one saying why their own racist remarks aren't racist."
- **Bill C-10** passed the House of Commons and is now going on to the Senate. The bill seeks to regulate freedom of expression by replacing social media site's algorithms with a government approved one.
- Despite hate speech *already being illegal* under the criminal code, a new anti-hate speech bill was introduced. **Bill C-36 is apparently aimed at countering 'online hate,' however, they have left the definition of 'hate' deliberately vague,** defining it as: "the emotion that involves detestation or vilification and that is stronger than dislike or distain." The bill would also give the government new powers to preemptively address hateful content. Perpetrators of hateful content online may be fined up to $70,000!
- Anti-virus software maker **John McAfee, who spoke out against government corruption** and posted to social media that the government wanted him dead and that he would never commit suicide, **was found dead by *apparent* suicide in his jail cell**.
- Musician **Eric Clapton claims to have permanent nerve damage** and pain in his hands as a result of receiving the COVID-19 vaccine. Clapton is now a strong advocate for informed consent.
- **ProPublica recently unveiled secret IRS files** that revealed how the world's richest people avoid income tax. In 2007 and again in 2011, Jeff Bezos did not pay a penny in federal income tax. In 2018, Tesla founder Elon Musk, also paid no federal income taxes. George Soros paid no federal income tax three years in a row. But no one among the 25 wealthiest avoided as much tax as Warren Buffet, which is surprising given his public stance as an advocate of higher taxes for the rich. **His riches rose $24.3 billion between 2014 and 2018**, during that time his true tax rate was 0.1% (or less than 10 cents for every $100 he added to his wealth).



**Note: Please do not leave Druthers inside the newspaper boxes of other newspapers. As well, any inserts found in this paper are not endorsed by Druthers.**