

DRUTHERS

TRUTH • LOVE • FREEDOM

Issue #27 | February 2023

druthers /n. ...Informal...
one's own way, preference, or choice:
eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

FREE

Covering news
& information
that mainstream
media won't.
Please read and
then pass this
paper along to
someone else
when done. Find
more to explore
on our website:
www.druthers.net

1149 Athletes Have “Died Suddenly” Since the Covid Vaccine was Deployed

By Tea Lynn Moore

Since the COVID shots were deployed to the public at the end of 2020, the news has been flooded with an alarming number of stories of young and exceptionally fit athletes dropping dead on the field. It is not normal for so many athletes to suffer from cardiac arrests or die while playing their sport. Many of these cardiovascular issues and deaths come shortly after they received a COVID vaccine. While it is possible this can happen to anyone who did not get a shot, the vaccine mandates issued to athletes, combined with the sheer number of athletes dropping dead, certainly point to the obvious.


At the same time, the so-called health professionals running the COVID vaccine programs around the world keep repeating that “the COVID vaccine is safe and effective.” In response to their pronouncement, GoodSciencing put together a non-exhaustive and continuously growing list of (mainly young) athletes who had major medical issues and/or died in 2021 and 2022 after receiving one or more COVID shots. **Since January 2021, GoodSciencing has listed 1657 athlete cardiac arrests/serious issues, with 1149 athlete deaths.** Check out the growing list at Goodsciencing.com.

In addition to GoodSciencing's list, lists of athlete deaths categorized into their particular sport can be found on Wikipedia. Each list is just as jarring as the next. For example, on the Wikipedia page “List of association football players who died during their careers,” they list FIFA player deaths with their cause of death. While European Football has historically averaged the highest number of deaths per year among all sports, the year 2021 was their worst year yet. **In 2021 there were 19 cardiovascular deaths on the field**, the previous ten years saw between 3-12 sudden cardiovascular deaths per year, averaging 7.1 deaths per year, meaning: **sudden FIFA deaths on the field increased by 268% at the time athletes were getting vaccinated.**

While it feels like the new normal now, athletes haven't always been collapsing on the field. A study in *Circulation* by Maron et al. on sudden deaths in US athletes, **from 1980 to 2006** in thirty-eight sports, identified 1049 cardiovascular deaths, with an **average of 66 deaths per year** (range 50 to 76). **The years 2021 and 2022 averaged 141 sudden cardiovascular deaths in US athletes per year** in those same sports (goodsciencing.com). Meaning, **sudden cardiovascular deaths in US athletes have more than doubled since the vaccine was rolled out and mandated into the arms of young athletes.**

Many of the recent cardiac arrests seen in athletes are thought to have been caused by myocarditis. In 2021, the CDC found that males aged 18-24, showed an increase in myocarditis between 19.4 and 213 times (source: *Benefit-risk discussion slides from a 2021 CDC ACIP meeting*). At the time this data was collected (August 2021), less than 50% of the US population was vaccinated. So, taking this fact into consideration, this would mean that **vaccinated males aged 18-24 had an observed incidence of up to 426 times the expected rate of myopericarditis.** According to the Cleveland Clinic, Myocarditis has a 5-year survival rate of only 50%.

While we witnessed an unprecedented amount of collapses and deaths on the field, it leaves us wondering: if those who are the healthiest among us are suddenly dying, what does that mean for the rest of us?



DRUTHERS is written, funded, promoted & distributed freely by concerned Canadians like you.
If you appreciate this paper, please help us print more @ www.druthers.net/donate

facebook.com/druthers.net | instagram.com/druthersnews | twitter.com/druthersnews

youtube.com/druthers | bitchute.com/druthers | telegram.me/druthers

REVEALED: Documents Show Bill Gates Has Given \$319 Million to Media Outlets

By Alan MacLeod @ MintPress.com

Up until his recent messy divorce, Bill Gates enjoyed something of a free pass in corporate media. Generally presented as a kindly nerd who wants to save the world, the Microsoft co-founder was even ironically christened “Saint Bill” by *The Guardian*.

While other billionaires’ media empires are relatively well known, the extent to which Gates’s cash underwrites the modern media landscape is not. After sorting through over 30,000 individual grants, *MintPress* can reveal that the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) has made over \$300 million worth of donations to fund media projects.


Recipients of this cash include many of America’s most important news outlets, including *CNN*, *NBC*, *NPR*, *PBS* and *The Atlantic*. Gates also sponsors a myriad of influential foreign organizations, including the *BBC*, *The Guardian*, *The Financial Times* and *The Daily Telegraph* in the United Kingdom; prominent European newspapers such as *Le Monde* (France), *Der Spiegel* (Germany) and *El País* (Spain); as well as big global broadcasters like *Al-Jazeera*.

The Gates Foundation money going towards media programs has been split up into a number of sections, presented in descending numerical order.

Awards Directly to Media Outlets:

- NPR- \$24,663,066
- The Guardian (including TheGuardian.org)- \$12,951,391
- Cascade Public Media – \$10,895,016
- Public Radio International (PRI.org/TheWorld.org)- \$7,719,113
- The Conversation- \$6,664,271
- Univision- \$5,924,043
- Der Spiegel (Germany)- \$5,437,294
- Project Syndicate- \$5,280,186
- Education Week – \$4,898,240
- WETA- \$4,529,400
- NBC Universal Media- \$4,373,500
- Nation Media Group (Kenya) – \$4,073,194
- Le Monde (France)- \$4,014,512
- Bhekisisa (South Africa) – \$3,990,182
- El País – \$3,968,184
- BBC- \$3,668,657
- CNN- \$3,600,000
- KCET- \$3,520,703
- Population Communications International (population.org) – \$3,500,000
- The Daily Telegraph – \$3,446,801
- Chalkbeat – \$2,672,491
- The Education Post- \$2,639,193
- Rockhopper Productions (U.K.) – \$2,480,392
- Corporation for Public Broadcasting – \$2,430,949
- UpWorthy – \$2,339,023
- Financial Times – \$2,309,845
- The 74 Media- \$2,275,344
- Texas Tribune- \$2,317,163
- Punch (Nigeria) – \$2,175,675
- News Deeply – \$1,612,122
- The Atlantic- \$1,403,453
- Minnesota Public Radio- \$1,290,898
- YR Media- \$1,125,000
- The New Humanitarian- \$1,046,457
- Sheger FM (Ethiopia) – \$1,004,600
- Al-Jazeera- \$1,000,000
- ProPublica- \$1,000,000
- Crosscut Public Media – \$810,000
- Grist Magazine- \$750,000
- Kurzgesagt – \$570,000
- Educational Broadcasting Corp – \$506,504
- Classical 98.1 – \$500,000
- PBS – \$499,997
- Gannett – \$499,651
- Mail and Guardian (South Africa)- \$492,974
- Inside Higher Ed.- \$439,910
- BusinessDay (Nigeria) – \$416,900
- Medium.com – \$412,000
- Nutopia- \$350,000
- Independent Television Broadcasting Inc. – \$300,000
- Independent Television Service, Inc. – \$300,000
- Caixin Media (China) – \$250,000

- Pacific News Service – \$225,000
 - National Journal – \$220,638
 - Chronicle of Higher Education – \$149,994
 - Belle and Wissell, Co. \$100,000
 - Media Trust – \$100,000
 - New York Public Radio – \$77,290
 - KUOW – Puget Sound Public Radio – \$5,310
- Together, these donations total \$166,216,526. The money is generally directed towards issues close to the Gates’ hearts. For example, the \$3.6 million *CNN* grant



went towards “report[ing] on gender equality with a particular focus on least developed countries, producing journalism on the everyday inequalities endured by women and girls across the world,” while the *Texas Tribune* received millions to “to increase public awareness and engagement of education reform issues in Texas.” Given that Bill is one of the charter schools’ most fervent supporters, a cynic might interpret this as planting pro-corporate charter school propaganda into the media, disguised as objective news reporting.

The Gates Foundation has also given nearly \$63 million to charities closely aligned with big media outlets, including nearly \$53 million to BBC Media Action, over \$9 million to *MTV*’s Staying Alive Foundation, and \$1 million to The *New York Times* Neediest Causes Fund. While not specifically funding journalism, donations to the philanthropic arm of a media player should still be noted.

Gates continues to underwrite a wide network of investigative journalism centers as well, totaling just over \$38 million, more than half of which has gone to the D.C.-based International Center for Journalists to expand and develop African media.

\$38 million to investigative journalism centers. Examples of centers include:

- International Center for Journalists- \$20,436,938
- The Pulitzer Center for Crisis Reporting – \$2,432,552
- International Women’s Media Foundation – \$1,500,000
- Center for Investigative Reporting – \$1,446,639
- The Bureau of Investigative Journalism – \$1,068,169

In addition to this, the Gates Foundation also plies press and journalism associations with cash, to the tune of at least \$12 million. For example, the National Newspaper Publishers Association — a group representing more than 200 outlets — has received \$3.2 million.

\$12 million to press and journalism associations. Some of these organizations include:

- Education Writers Association – \$5,938,475
 - National Newspaper Publishers Association – \$3,249,176
 - National Press Foundation- \$1,916,172
 - Washington News Council- \$698,200
 - American Society of News Editors Foundation – \$250,000
 - Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press- \$25,000
- This brings our running total up to \$216.4 million.

The foundation also puts up the money to directly train journalists all over the world, in the form of scholarships, courses and workshops. Today, it is possible for an individual to train as a reporter thanks to a Gates Foundation grant, find work at a Gates-funded outlet,

and to belong to a press association funded by Gates. This is especially true of journalists working in the fields of health, education and global development, the ones Gates himself is most active in and where scrutiny of the billionaire’s actions and motives are most necessary.

Gates Foundation grants pertaining to the instruction of journalists include the following examples:

- Johns Hopkins University – \$1,866,408
- Teachers College, Columbia University- \$1,462,500
- University of California Berkeley- \$767,800
- Institute for Advanced Journalism Studies – \$254,500

The BMGF also pays for a wide range of specific media campaigns around the world. For example, since 2014 it has donated \$5.7 million to the Population Foundation of India in order to create dramas that promote sexual and reproductive health, with the intent to increase family planning methods in South Asia. Meanwhile, it allotted over \$3.5 million to a Senegalese organization to develop radio shows and online content that would feature health information. Supporters consider this to be helping critically underfunded media, while opponents might consider it a case of a billionaire using his money to plant his ideas and opinions into the press.

Total: \$97,315,408. Examples of some media projects supported by the Gates Foundation:

- European Journalism Centre – \$20,060,048
- World University Service of Canada – \$12,127,622
- Well Told Story Limited – \$9,870,333
- Solutions Journalism Inc.- \$7,254,755
- Entertainment Industry Foundation – \$6,688,208
- New America – \$3,405,859
- Center for Advocacy and Research – \$2,200,630
- The Sesame Workshop (Sesame Street) – \$2,030,307
- Open Cities Lab – \$1,601,452
- Harvard university – \$1,190,527
- Learning Matters – \$1,078,048

\$319.4 MILLION AND (A LOT) MORE

Added together, these Gates-sponsored media projects come to a total of \$319.4 million. However, there are clear shortcomings with this non-exhaustive list, meaning the true figure is undoubtedly far higher. First, it does not count sub-grants — money given by recipients to media around the world. And while the Gates Foundation fosters an air of openness about itself, there is actually precious little public information about what happens to the money from each grant, save for a short, one- or two-sentence description written by the foundation itself on its website. Only donations to press organizations themselves or projects that could be identified from the information on the Gates Foundation’s website as media campaigns were counted, meaning that thousands of grants having some media element do not appear in this list.

A case in point is the BMGF’s partnership with ViacomCBS, the company that controls *CBS News*, *MTV*, *VH1*, *Nickelodeon*, and *BET*. Media reports at the time noted that the Gates Foundation was paying the entertainment giant to insert information and PSAs into its programming and that Gates had intervened to change storylines in popular shows like *ER* and *Law & Order: SVU*.

However, when checking BMGF’s grants database, “Viacom” and “CBS” are nowhere to be found, the likely grant in question (totaling over \$6 million) merely describing the project as a “public engagement campaign aimed at improving high school graduation rates and postsecondary completion rates specifically aimed at parents and students,” meaning that it was not counted in the official total. There are surely many more examples like this. “For a tax-privileged charity that so very often trumpets the importance of transparency, it’s remarkable how intensely secretive the Gates Foundation is about its financial flows,” Tim Schwab, one of the few investigative journalists who has scrutinized the tech billionaire, told *MintPress*.

Also not included are grants aimed at producing arti-

New Record Number of Journalists Jailed Worldwide

By Reporters Without Borders

Last year’s record has been broken again. The total of 533 journalists being held in connection with their work on December 1 was 13.4% higher than last year’s figure. RSF has also never previously seen so many women journalists in detention. A total of 78 are currently held, a record-breaking rise of nearly 30% compared to 2021. Women now account for nearly 15% of detained journalists, compared to fewer than 7% five years ago.

China, where censorship and surveillance have reached extreme levels, continues to be the world’s biggest jailer of journalists, with a total of 110 currently being held. They include Huang Xueqin, a freelance journalist who covered corruption, industrial pollution and the harassment of women. Also a sign of major repression, the Islamic Republic of Iran, with 47 detainees, became the world’s third biggest jailer of journalists just one month after the onset of massive protests. Among the first journalists detained were two women, Nilufar Hamed and Elahe Mohammadi, who had helped draw attention to the death of the young Iranian Kurdish woman Mahsa Amini. They now face the death penalty.

“Dictatorial and authoritarian regimes are filling their prisons faster than ever by jailing journalists. This new record in the number of detained journalists confirms the pressing and urgent need to resist these unscrupulous governments and to extend our active solidarity to all those who embody the ideal of journalistic freedom, independence and pluralism.”

Christophe Deloire
RSF Secretary-General

The number of journalists killed has also risen. A total of 57 paid with their lives for their commitment to report the news in 2022 — an 18.8% increase com-

pared to 2021, after a two-year period of relatively calm and historically low figures. The war that broke out in Ukraine on 24 February 2022 is one of the reasons for this rise. Eight journalists were killed in the first six months of the war. Among them were Maks Levin, a



Ukrainian photojournalist who was deliberately shot by Russian soldiers on March 13, and Frédéric Leclerc-Imhoff, a French video reporter for the TV news channel BFMTV, who was killed by shrapnel from an exploding shell while covering the evacuation of civilians.

Meanwhile, more than 60% of journalists killed lost their lives in countries considered to be at peace in 2022. Eleven were murdered in Mexico alone — nearly 20% of the overall number of journalists killed worldwide. Mexico’s figures, along with Haiti’s (with six killed) and Brazil’s (with three killed) helped turn the Americas into the world’s most dangerous region for the media, with nearly half (47.4%) of the total number of journalists killed worldwide in 2022.

The 2022 round-up also reports that at least 65

journalists and media workers are currently being held hostage. They include Olivier Dubois, a French reporter who has been held for more than 20 months by the Support Group for Islam and Muslims (JNIM), an armed group in Mali affiliated with al-Qaeda, and Austin Tice, an American journalist abducted nearly 10 years ago in Syria. Furthermore, two more journalists were reported missing in 2022, bringing the total number of journalists currently missing to 49.

The round-up also takes a look at some of the year’s most striking cases, including that of Ivan Safronov, one of Russia’s best investigative journalists, who was sentenced to 22 years in prison for revealing “state secrets” that were readily available online. This was the longest sentence recorded by RSF in 2022. It also mentions the case of Dom Phillips, a British journalist whose dismembered body was found in a remote part of the Brazilian Amazon, where he had gone to research the attempts by local Indigenous groups to combat poaching, illegal gold mining and deforestation.

Originally published at rsf.org

Since 1995, Reporters Without Borders (RSF) has been compiling an annual round-up of violence and abuses against journalists based on precise data collected from January 1 to December 1 of the year in question. The 2022 round-up figures include professional journalists, non-professional journalists and media workers. RSF gathers detailed information that allows it to affirm with certainty or a great deal of confidence that the detention, abduction, disappearance or death of each journalist was a direct result of their journalistic work. Our methodology may explain differences between our figures and those of other organisations.

Gates Buys Influence Over Media

Continued from p.2

cles for academic journals. While these articles are not meant for mass consumption, they regularly form the basis for stories in the mainstream press and help shape narratives around key issues. The Gates Foundation has given far and wide to academic sources, with at least \$13.6 million going toward creating content for the prestigious medical journal *The Lancet*.

And, of course, even money given to universities for purely research projects eventually ends up in academic journals, and ultimately, downstream into mass media. Academics are under heavy pressure to print their results in prestigious journals; “publish or perish” is the mantra in university departments. Therefore, even these sorts of grants have an effect on our media. Neither these nor grants funding the printing of books or establishment of websites counted in the total, although they too are forms of media.

LOW PROFILE, LONG TENTACLES

In comparison to other tech billionaires, Gates has kept his profile as a media controller relatively low. Amazon founder Jeff Bezos’s purchase of *The Washington Post* for \$250 million in 2013 was a very clear and obvious form of media influence, as was eBay founder Pierre Omidyar’s creation of First Look Media, the company that owns *The Intercept*.

Despite flying more under the radar, Gates and his companies have amassed considerable influence in media. We already rely on Microsoft-owned products for communication (e.g. Skype, Hotmail), social media (LinkedIn), and entertainment (Microsoft Xbox). Furthermore, the hardware and software we use to communicate often comes courtesy of the 66-year-old Seattleite. How many people reading this are doing so on a Microsoft Surface or Windows phone and doing so via Windows OS? Not only that, Microsoft owns stakes in media giants such as Comcast and AT&T. And the “MS” in *MSNBC* stands for Microsoft.

ALL THE WAY TO THE BANK

In most coverage, Gates’s donations are broadly presented as altruistic gestures. Yet many have pointed to the inherent flaws with this model, noting that allowing billionaires to decide what they do with their money allows them to set the public agenda, giving them enormous power over society. “Philanthropy can and is being

used deliberately to divert attention away from different forms of economic exploitation that underpin global inequality today,” said Linsey McGoey, Professor of Sociology at the University of Essex, U.K., and author of *No Such Thing as a Free Gift: The Gates Foundation and the Price of Philanthropy*.

None of this means that the organizations receiving Gates’ money — media or otherwise — are irredeemably corrupt, nor that the Gates Foundation does not do any good in the world. But it does introduce a glaring conflict of interest whereby the very institutions we rely on to

hold accountable one of the richest and most powerful men in the planet’s history are quietly being funded by him. This conflict of interest is one that corporate media have largely tried to ignore, while the supposedly altruistic philanthropist Gates just keeps getting richer, laughing all the way to the bank.

Editor’s note: see [mintpress.com](https://www.mintpress.com) for links to the relevant grant on the organization’s website and the full list of grants in each category.

Originally published at [mintpress.com](https://www.mintpress.com)

Good News Tidbits

- **Halton District School Board finally orders dress code for teachers after many months of protests over transgender Oakville High School teachers’ wearing of watermelon-sized prosthetic breasts.**
- **Quadruple-vaccinated NIAID director Dr. Anthony Fauci finally retires after 54 years at the NIH. The man who once claimed that “attacks on me are attacks on science” is retiring with an estimated retirement salary of more than USD 355,000 per year.**
- **Pentagon ditches COVID vaccine mandate for troops. The National Defense Authorization Act terminated the COVID-19 vaccine for military members. The act has now opened the door to reinstating troops who believe they were wrongfully let go, stipulating that service members and veterans may apply to correct their records. While the military has not yet decided on providing back pay to affected service members, a spokesperson said the department is looking into it.**
- **Florida Governor Ron DeSantis introduces legislation called “Prescribe Freedom” that will ban vaccine passports in the state. The legislation will also protect medical professionals’ freedom of speech and will protect medical freedom of choice by banning discrimination based on vaccination status, testing, and mask-wearing.**
- **Half of Americans are now questioning the safety of vaccines. A Rasmussen Report national telephone and online survey finds that 49% of American Adults believe it is likely that side effects of the COVID-19 vaccines caused a significant number of unexplained deaths, and more than a quarter say someone they personally know could be among the victims. Even the vaccinated are questioning — with 40% saying they are concerned about the safety of the COVID-19 vaccine, and 22% saying they personally know someone who likely died from the vaccine. Meanwhile here in Canada, more than half of Canadians (56%) say they don’t trust the government, in general, to act in their best interests. That’s a 9% increase from 2016.**
- **China ends its zero-COVID policy after the country saw its largest protest in more than 30 years as hundreds of thousands of demonstrators filled the streets to denounce China’s zero-COVID quarantine and testing policies.**

Send us your favorite good news tidbits (editor@druthers.net) for consideration in this new section.

Food as a Weapon

By James Corbett | corbettreport.com

So what is food, anyway? To a normal human being whose head is screwed on straight, that sounds like a dumb question. Food is fuel for the body, obviously.

Oh, sure, we could get fancy about it. Scientists might talk about the caloric content of different foods, or measure their macronutrient levels. Sociologists might point to food as the basis of human community, drawing people together into families, tribes and communities to break bread and engage in social relations. Theologians may even discuss the transubstantiation of bread and wine and the communion with God that such sacred acts of consumption make possible...

... But then there are the psychopathic would-be world controllers. These Machiavellian schemers would define food in a very different manner. To them, food is a weapon.

There are no end of examples of food (or the lack thereof) being used as a method to manipulate and control populations throughout history.

For millennia, attacking armies have known that a city can be conquered by blockading it. Eventually, the besieged city's inhabitants will run out of food and will either starve to death or surrender.

The English knew that food was a powerful tool of control. They created the conditions that led to the Irish Potato Famine and then stood idly by as millions died or were displaced, because — in the memorable words of Charles Trevelyan, who was in charge of the British government's response — "the judgement of God sent the calamity to teach the Irish a lesson, that calamity must not be too much mitigated." But the Irish were neither the first nor the last to feel the brunt of the British Empire's indifference to their hunger; just ask the Bengals about their own famine.

The 20th-century example of this "food as a weapon" mindset that immediately springs to mind is the Holodomor, a brazen act of genocide perpetrated by Josef Stalin's Soviets against the Ukrainians in order to squelch their budding independence movement. The ensuing famine resulted in the deaths of four million Ukrainians.

But the Holodomor is certainly not the only time that food was weaponized in the previous century. Even the official whitewash of American president Herbert Hoover by his namesake institution admits that Hoover weaponized food in pursuit of his geopolitical objectives — first by providing food to German-occupied Belgium and northern France during the First World War and then by his efforts to provide food aid to the Bolsheviks after the war. (Readers of Docherty and Macgregor's *Prolonging the Agony: How International Bankers and their Political Partners Deliberately Extended WW1*, however, will know that there is a much deeper and darker story behind these efforts ... but that is a story for another day.)

And who can forget arch-globalist Henry Kissinger's National Security Study Memorandum 200: Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security and Overseas Interests? This now-infamous document — drafted by Kissinger in December of 1974 and adopted as official policy by President Ford in 1975 — argues that since "[g]rowing populations will have a serious impact on the need for food," food aid to the developing world may need to be tied to mandatory sterilization programs or population reduction quotas, prompting even the coolly calculating Kissinger to wonder whether such a scheme would turn food into "an instrument of national power."

But that was then. This is now! Surely this "food as a weapon" idea has been retired, hasn't it?

Lest there be any doubt that food is still being weaponized against us in the 21st century, one only has to turn to the latest news headlines to see that this idea is far from a relic of the past.

The COVID lockdowns demonstrated the fragility of the global industrial food supply chain, with closures and layoffs causing massive disruptions at meat processing

s and food distributors in countries around the world, from Canada to the UK to China to Japan. These closures caused havoc for supplies of milk, produce, rice and wheat everywhere. And this food supply pandemonium in turn caused headaches for farmers, truckers, supermarkets and restaurants and led to stampedes in Kenya and protests in Bangladesh and looting in Colombia and clashes in South Africa (not to mention supermarket freak-outs and shopping brawls in the US and Australia and the UK).



Then the Russian invasion of Ukraine kicked off a fresh round of global food insecurity. Ukraine harvested 19 million tonnes of wheat in 2022, down more than 40% from the previous year, and the smaller harvest means that cash-strapped Ukrainian farmers have fewer resources to invest in vital inputs like fertilizers, meaning that there is likely to be an even smaller harvest in 2023. This affects not only the countries like Egypt, Tunisia, Morocco, Indonesia, Pakistan and Bangladesh that rely on Ukraine for their wheat imports, but also poor countries in sub-Saharan Africa, whose flour derives from Ukrainian wheat processed in Turkey. It also drives up grain prices around the world, contributing to instability and food insecurity for millions.

All told, the shocks to the global food supply chain have contributed to a doubling in the number of people facing acute food insecurity since the start of the scamdemic.

'There are no end of examples of food (or the lack thereof) being used as a method to manipulate and control populations throughout history.'

Given this vivid demonstration of just how fragile the global food supply is and just how much economic and societal chaos can result from any shock to this system, it would be unthinkable that governments would now be deliberately attempting to undermine that supply chain further, wouldn't it? Well, think again.

From the Netherlands to Ireland to Poland to Italy to Canada to Argentina to Sri Lanka, governments are cracking down on farmers, forcing them to cull herds, lower production, dump milk and comply with onerous new operating restrictions in the name of reducing pollution.

These governments are not stupid. Like the British, the Soviets, the Americans and other repressive regimes throughout history, they know that these measures, if played out to their conclusion, will result in widespread hunger and unrest. In fact, we've already seen massive protests against these restrictions in numerous countries, from Germany to Italy to Poland to Spain to Panama to Argentina to Canada. Dutch farmers made headlines for their dramatic protests last year, and Sri Lanka descended into chaos last summer, with protesters storming

the capitol and causing the President to flee the country when it became obvious that the Sri Lankan government's own green policies and farming restrictions had contributed to the collapse of the nation's economy.

But if this food crisis is being knowingly engineered, the question is why? What purpose would governments have for creating food shortages for their own people?

The answer is simple. We are witnessing a controlled demolition of the food supply chain, one that is intended to result in the destruction of the current industrial farming system as we know it. But this changeover is not intended to return us to truly sustainable farming practices, with local, organic farmers producing crops in accordance with age-old agricultural wisdom. Far from it.

As it turns out, the "solution" to this food crisis being proffered by the billionaires of the corporate-pharmaceutical-medical-industrial-philanthrocapital-military complex is being engineered in laboratories and sold to the public via a bought-and-paid-for mainstream media.

One thing is for certain: the future of food will look very different from anything that we have seen in human history.

The Future of (Weaponized) Food

Anyone who has been paying attention in recent years will already know the direction that the food industry is heading.

Yes, by now we all know the Eat Ze Bugs agenda being pushed by Klaus Schwab and his Davos minions. I guarantee that wherever you are, in whatever corner of the world you are reading this editorial, you will have seen (or could easily find) a local news story about high school students "spontaneously requesting" cricket powder dumplings in their school lunch or a puff piece about how valiant scientists are working to save the world with worm burgers.

And we all know about the GMO problem: genetically modified organisms making their way into our food supply. We know about the multiple health studies that have proven time and time again the deleterious health effects of GMO consumption. We know about the insane lengths that the GMO giants have gone to to suppress bad news about their products and the insane lengths that the press has gone to to assist them in this cover-up. And we know about the additional harms that this technology causes, ruining farmer's lives, contaminating the genome of the planet and causing associated products like glyphosate to further contaminate the food supply and further endanger our health.

But do we know about the next evolution in culinary technology? Now that scientists are playing around with the fundamental building blocks of life, reengineering organisms at the cellular level, an entire field of biotechnology is opening up that is threatening to fundamentally transform what we think of as food itself.

Moving beyond the simple insertion of foreign genes into an organism, scientists are now working on creating foodstuffs from designer microbes, engineering organisms into "bioreactors" that can be used to grow proteins and other materials for food production, growing meat-like products from cells in the laboratory and dozens of other zany ideas.

After a near-decade-long PR campaign, you've probably heard of Impossible Foods and Beyond Meat, companies that employ the latest techniques in chemical engineering to create plant-based meat substitutes. But there are many more technologies around the corner that threaten to transform our food supply in even more bewildering ways.

Scientists are bioengineering spores that can be inserted into crops and livestock, allowing companies to identify and track food products all the way through the food system, from farm to factory to fork.

DARPA is doling out multi-million-dollar contracts for researchers to find ways "to turn military plastic waste

See, 'Depredation' p.10

The Boy Who Trapped Death in a Nut

By Haley Kynefin

There is a Scottish folk tale that provides an apt metaphor for the ethical-philosophical problem of the Covid era. It is called “Death in a Nut”, and my favorite version is the one told by Daniel Allison in his book, *Scottish Myths and Legends*, narrated by Angus King.

As the story goes, a boy named Jack who lived by the sea with his mother loved to go walking on the beach. One morning, while he is out walking, he is approached by Death. Death tells Jack that he is looking for Jack’s mother, and wonders if he could be so kind as to give him directions to their cottage.

Jack, horrified at the prospect of losing his mother, and doing what you might hope any good son would do, instead leaps at Death, tackles him, folds him over on himself until he becomes small enough to fit in his hand, and then stuffs him in a hazelnut shell. He pockets the shell and goes home to have breakfast with his mother.

When he arrives home, it dawns on him how easily he could have lost the person he loved most, and he is seized by a sense of urgency to treasure every moment with her. Overtaken by emotion, he showers his mother with affection and appreciation. He offers to make her a nice breakfast of eggs.

There’s just one problem: the eggs won’t crack.

Jack uses all his strength to bang away at one egg after another, but none of them will break open. Eventually, his mother suggests they fry up some carrots instead. Again, no matter how hard he tries, he cannot cut the carrots. Finally, he decides to go to the butcher and buy some sausage, which the muscly butcher will surely be able to cut with his heavy cleaver. The butcher tries to cut up some sausage, and then some steak, but to no avail.

“Something strange is going on, Jack,” says the butcher. “It’s as if ...as if nothing will die.”

It’s then that Jack realizes what he has done. By imprisoning Death, he has stopped the process of life itself, and has brought society to a standstill. He rushes home to tell his mother the whole story. While she is touched by his desire to protect her, she says:

“That was very brave, what you did. But it was wrong. Death is painful, Jack. But the world needs Death. Death is what keeps the world alive. I wish my time hadn’t come so soon. But if it’s my time, it’s my time. You have to let it be.”

The two weep together, understanding that Jack must release Death from the nut in order for life to continue, which implies that they must give in to the natural order, accept fate, and say their goodbyes.

When I first heard this tale, a year ago, I was struck by its resemblance to the basic philosophical dilemma of the Covid debate. Facts aside, we are stuck in a clash between two moral perspectives:

On the one hand, there is the attitude that Death must be vanquished at all costs; that the highest value is survival and safety, both for ourselves and for the ones we love; that the natural order is cruel and unjust and should be controlled and sanitized.

On the other hand, there is the perspective that an overemphasis on fighting Death — which, after all, is an inevitable part of life — ultimately ends up sacrificing the very things we live for. Those of us in the latter category don’t advocate a cold indifference to fate or a “let it rip” attitude; we merely believe that the fight against Death should not become an all-consuming holy war, which demands as its sacrifice the soul.

Few of us want people to die, and most of us fear death to some extent. It’s not a pleasant thing, and it can be incredibly cruel. We can empathize with people like Jack — perhaps, even, in the beginning of the story, we root for him. Approached by Death, he refuses to give in and he subverts the typical narrative of the “Death encounter” by fighting back.

In fact, even Death himself is taken off guard by this rebellion, which is why, despite being armed with a scythe, he succumbs so easily to his opponent. Jack is

feisty, and on top of that, his case has moral appeal: what could be more honorable than the impulse to protect one’s own mother?

What I like about this story is that it’s ethically complex. It illustrates beautifully and viscerally the heroic ideal of trying to protect one’s loved ones. This is what motivated many people to “do their part” during the pandemic in various ways they thought would help — by getting vaccinated, by wearing a mask, or by complying religiously with self-isolation, testing, social distancing rules, and quarantine requirements.

Many people had selfish or cowardly motivations of course; but others, like Jack, truly believed they were doing the right thing — the obvious thing. Forget for a

second whether or not the facts supported them; they truly saw themselves in a fight against Death to protect their parents, their children, their family and friends. If we were to see this dimension in isolation, we could easily frame them as heroes.

The ethical twist is that Jack’s attempt to bind Death ultimately does not serve the “greater good. In fact, just like under the Covid regime, society is stopped. The economy is shut down; restaurants (to the extent they exist in Jack’s town) are closed; no one can share meals together or earn a living (to the extent it involves killing plants or animals or preparing food, which in an old rural Scottish town, would probably include most people). Sure, no one can die, presumably, so they won’t die of starvation — but what do they have to live for when their lives are put on pause?

In the story, everyone — including Jack’s mother — recognizes that this is an unsustainable state of affairs. While no one wishes death on themselves or their loved ones, they understand that life as a process requires death in order to keep flowing.

Life is a messy, risky, and at times lethal adventure, and while it’s perfectly acceptable and in fact compassionate to try to lower this risk to some extent, a complete elimination of all risk would create a dull, lifeless world devoid of conviviality and meaning. The people of Jack’s town are willing to accept some level of pain, sadness and suffering in order to reap the concomitant rewards that come with living life to the fullest.

One wonders how some of our public health “experts” would react at hearing the end of this folk tale. Judging by their track record, they might be mortified. Perhaps they would accuse Jack of infringing on the rights of the collective by releasing Death from the nut? Perhaps they would call him selfish for wanting to return to sharing meals with the people of his town, or wanting to reopen his economy, if it meant that some people would inevitably die?

How could he make such an irresponsible decision on behalf of others? While Death was imprisoned in the nut, his town had zero deaths, from Covid or from anything else. After he releases Death, there could be dozens, or hundreds, or thousands of deaths from all kinds of things. Is this man not an immense danger to public health?



We can only surmise.

The madness of the pro-mandate position, which at first glance might have appeared reasonable but, upon closer inspection, reveals itself as absurd (to put it lightly), is that there is no compromise, no accommodation for any other sorts of priorities. And this, despite the fact that its root goal — the eradication of death, symbolized by a virus — is inherently unattainable.

Anything and everything is deemed suitable for the chopping block, with the exception of the so-called “essential” (that necessary for survival). There is no amount of tolerable risk, no mention of proportionality, no deadline by which we either pronounce victory or accept defeat and move on. It is an attempt to produce conditions never before experienced in the natural world, while risking everything to achieve it. It is a brutal crusade of insanity against...dying.

Ironically, though, isn’t it Jack’s tussle with Death that really moves him to value his mother? It is the realization that he could lose her that makes him treasure every single moment by her side. An awareness and acceptance of death, its inevitability and ultimate unstopability, and the understanding that none of us are immune to it, does not automatically make us colder and more heartless human beings. On the contrary, it teaches us the urgency and importance of living a meaningful life and sharing every moment we can with those we love.

When risk, pain and sadness are hidden from us, there is the temptation to feel that life is our shareholder’s due, that we are entitled to it, and that it might and should go on forever. But no matter how much we may feel this, the powers of nature are always stronger than us and we remain vulnerable to them.

Luckily for us, this is not a new phenomenon. Humans have contended with pain, loss, disability and death for thousands of years. These hardships are universal and make up the subject matter of endless myths, folk tales, spiritual narratives and stories from cultures both familiar and alien to us. Such narratives act as guides not so much for escaping or fighting fate, but for facing it with honor, compassion and humanity. And in the end, as both history and myth have proven, humans can face even the darkest of circumstances as long as we have our sense of meaning and each other.

We are never safe from death. No human has ever escaped it. Thus, we cannot truthfully say we have a right to dodge its grasp. But as long as we are granted the wonderful gift of living here on this planet, we do have the right to treasure our moments, live them with a sense of vibrancy and urgency, and share them with the people we care about — things that are theoretically under our control.

This right has never in history been snatched away from a people to the extent that it was in 2020. Those moments — those years — are never coming back. For the people who lost that time with loved ones, who lost the opportunity to live beyond mere existence, to celebrate or mourn with their companions, to seek and learn and explore the world around them, to spend time with dying relatives or watch their children grow, there is no replacing what they lost. Those were real, present, available years sacrificed for a hypothetical goal — the avoidance of death — that can never actually be accomplished and at best only delays an inevitability.

How can we call this fair, compassionate, ethical or just?

This is my plea: Let’s learn from our myths and our folklore. Let’s stop trying to cheat fate and start developing the fortitude to face it, together. Let’s celebrate the moments and the people we have while we have them, so that when fate shows up, we’ll have no regrets. Let’s stop trying to pause time and stuff Death in a nut.

Author Haley Kynefin is a writer and independent social theorist with a background in behavioral psychology. She left academia to pursue her own path integrating the analytical, the artistic and the realm of myth. Her work explores the history and sociocultural dynamics of power.

Originally published at brownstone.org

Diary of a (dying) Vaccinated Man

The following are a series of tweets from Mike O'Mara on Twitter (@mikeomara)

- **Oct 30, 2022** — Attention all other vax—injured/ long COVID folks with mysterious muscle wasting diseases that appear like ALS/cancer/prion disease/ etc. who are getting ignored and gaslit over and over again like me. Connect with me. If we're going out, we're making noise before we exit.
- **Nov 8, 2022** — It is long past time to go public with this. I refuse to simply lie down and die as if I did something wrong to bring about this terrible torture that I have been enduring for the last eight months now.
- **Nov 8, 2022** — I understand that others may have differing opinions, and they are entitled to them, but this is MY truth and MY life, and I am going to lose it very quickly if others aren't made aware of everything and don't assist in putting pressure on the broken medical system.
- **Nov 8, 2022** — At this time, after months and months of crippling pain, encroaching and accelerating disability and the wasting away of my body's muscle and strength, it is obvious that I am fading fast due to a neuromuscular degenerative disease of some type.
- **Nov 8, 2022** — It presents like ALS — except, it isn't showing up as classically on the expected testing as ALS usually does, which has prompted doctors to at first outright dismiss me — which they did for months — or exclaim that my case is too difficult and 'fire' me from their practices.
- **Nov 8, 2022** — Terrifyingly, I have been in contact with dozens of people suffering similarly who have likewise been ignored and passed off by their medical teams as well — and through sharing our stories we have learned that our common denominator has been the COVID vaccines.
- **Nov 8, 2022** — Of course, the medical establishment won't touch that possibility. I am expiring quickly.



It is time to stop being nice and polite and to start demanding answers and treatment. Those of us suffering with these horrible issues post—vaccination need immediate testing and care.

- **Nov 12, 2022** — I was a guy who loved his life. I was a teacher, a mentor, an artist. All I wanted was to keep doing what I loved for years to come. But I have been murdered by a 'medicine' that was haphazardly thrown together and coercively used as a political pawn to divide humanity.

- **Nov 12, 2022** — Since early this year, my muscle and connective tissue have been literally dissolving from my body. I have had horrendous, all day, bodywide pain. Unrelenting insomnia. Insufferable digestive issues. I have not had one good moment since the beginning of March. Thanks, Moderna.
- **Nov 15, 2022** — I am dying. Murdered by Moderna. The muscle mass in my body is gone. Dissolving. No diet, exercise, supplements, etc. have worked or will work. There is a mass murder that has taken place worldwide. Don't be like those who stood aside and didn't want to make noise in the '30s.
- **Nov 16, 2022** — Things keep failing. The muscle wasting keeps progressing, constant as the horror it produces. I don't have an official death sentence diagnosis as of yet, but it is coming. Like so many others murdered by the COVID vaccines, everyone will act surprised. I've known for months.
- **Dec 13, 2022** — I cannot believe I am dying a horrible agonizing death for trying to do the right thing by society. I cannot believe even more than after a lifetime of knowing me, just about everyone who used to love & value me has abandoned me to my fate over the politicization of the reason.
- **Dec 15, 2022** — I would have any hope that I can survive this vaccine injury/slow motion murder if it weren't for the literal pieces of me just missing now thanks to the ALS—presentation muscle wasting. It is horrifying, endlessly painful and those who did this to the world deserve to hang.
- **Dec 21, 2022** — Is there anyone else out there with the turbo ALS—like muscle wasting disease from the vax? I want to connect with more before I am gone. The few dozen people I have met suffering like me have been my only source of humanity in the past months. Someday the truth will come out.

See, 'Ruminations' p.10

Elites: Do As We Say, Not As We Do

Continued from p.6

but to be essential, to be able to shape the public opinion itself.”

On another panel, Moderna CEO Stéphane Bancel said the “pandemic is still ongoing” and was critical of scientific, political and public debate about COVID-19 vaccines.

Responding to a prompt from Sasha Vakulina, a Ukrainian journalist working for European news network *Euronews*, who described “the extent of this misinformation when it comes to vaccination” as “overwhelming,” Bancel said:

“In some countries you saw scientific debate on TV, in prime time, so you can imagine some people were scared. “You saw the differences in countries where all the parties would say, you know, these have been approved by the regulators, clinical studies have been done, you should get your vaccines.

“The social media was just terrible, just terrible. You could see some countries where you had scientific debate and political debate and social media ... those three things and the vaccine rate was very very low.”

To this, Vakulina simply replied, “absolutely.”

On the same panel, Michelle Williams, dean of faculty at the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, said, “In a diverse society, you are going to need to have layers and layers of communicators and different styles and ways of communicating.”

Williams said governments should “work collaboratively and respectfully in addressing the appropriate message and messenger to really promote the change.”

Seth Berkley, CEO of the Gates-affiliated GAVI, The Vaccine Alliance, said:

“It was amazing the amount of misinformation that was there, and that information then went straight to the rest of the world ... the way we normally deal with misinformation is we get the local chief, the local religious leader, the local healthcare workers who are trusted, but all of a sudden they're like, ‘but look at what's going on in Germany or in the U.S. or in other places, and here's what I'm getting in my social media,’ and that has been a real problem.

“So the trust goes even broader. We don't trust the institutions. We have misinformation, and it's getting worse, not better.”

In another session, Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla said

COVID-19 vaccines were “politicized” because people questioned whether they worked, and that this questioning was “constantly in our way.”

Similarly, Erik Brynjolfsson, professor and senior fellow at the Stanford Institute for Human-Centered AI [artificial intelligence], expressed concern about the flow of so-called “polarizing information.” He also discussed the importance of communicating the “truth to the right people.”

The head of Britain's telecommunications and broadcast regulator Ofcom, Dame Melanie Dawes, defended “free and frank and open conversations on any topic,” but then qualified that statement by saying “Well, there are sometimes cases where we open up an investigation but ... let's see how that goes.”

These are people who don't practice what they preach

The “cost of living” crisis was a big theme at this year's meeting. Yet panel discussions such as “Stemming the Cost of Living Crisis” included participants like Gita Gopinath, the first deputy managing director of the IMF — an institution known for imposing austerity measures globally.

Journalist James Melville, remarking on this theme, wrote:

“Millions of people are suffering the consequences of the cost of living crisis. But when they see our global elites grandstanding their power & control at the WEF/Davos, it's hardly a surprise that people feel disenfranchised and forgotten.”

And journalist Michael Shellenberger noted the WEF, even though it purports to advocate more transparency and disclosure from corporations, is highly secretive with its own financial disclosures.

According to the WEF, “Swiss law does not require financial reporting for foundations,” although the 2022 WEF annual report says part of its portfolio is managed by Al Gore's Generation Investment Management.

Lawton noted the WEF meetings operate under the “cash-for-access” model, with business leaders reportedly paying \$250,000 to attend, although politicians participate for free. This is in addition to annual WEF membership and partnership dues which are as high as \$650,000.

According to Lawton, the WEF meeting also operates alongside a type of caste system, where “your value in Davos isn't determined by your net worth but by the

colour of your ID badge,” as access to certain spaces is prohibited for those with the “wrong” color.

And while participants proclaim to be engaged in the business of saving the world, Greenpeace noted attendees arrived “in droves of private jets.” *The Guardian* reported that private jet emissions quadrupled during the 2022 WEF meeting.

One attendee, interviewed by independent journalist Savanah Hernandez, justified the extensive use of private jets in these terms: “I think it's more important what decisions are made here rather than how the people come here, by plane or by train,” while a driver for VIPs in Davos revealed that, for such figures, “I cannot drive electric car.”

Despite the WEFs agenda to reduce meat consumption, Hernandez noted, “All of the attendees in the food halls are eating MEAT. Also, the hors d'oeuvres served to WEF attendees at parties have MEAT [emphasis original].”

And according to Lawton, attendees enjoyed “wine and hors d'oeuvres” while discussing “food insecurity in Africa.”

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of Children's Health Defense.

Originally published at childrenshealthdefense.org

Advertisement



Who needs a sovereign monarch when we can be the collective sovereign above the government?



THEPOWERSHIFT.CA

The Collapse of US Transportation

A man-made disaster.

By Mark McDonald M.D.

At the end of the year, I flew to Europe and back on a Boeing 787 Dreamliner. On the flight out, in my section alone, two tray tables failed, one TV screen blacked out, a headphone port jammed, and the motors for three seats refused to turn on. On the flight back, the situation was even worse, and a crew of mechanics was called to make repairs so the passengers standing in the aisles could sit down. The plane took off an hour late. On both flights, the stewardess said, “Unfortunately, this has become quite common.”

I asked the mechanic who repaired my seat why this was happening. “We can’t get the parts” was his reply. “It’s been this way for nearly three years now.” This wouldn’t have surprised me if I had been flying from one third world country to another — Nairobi to Kathmandu — but not from the United States to western Europe, and on an American-made plane.

Of course, this problem is entirely man-made, more specifically, government-made. By handcuffing businesses for two years, the US government destroyed the nation’s ability to manufacture parts and supplies for essential sectors of our economy, like air travel. And now, rather than work to solve the problem, the government is simply making it worse by increasing the cost of labour through foolish cash giveaways and minimum wage increases, and increasing the cost of fuel by hobbling exploration, production, and distribution of oil and natural gas. My heating bill doubled this month, although I used the same amount of natural gas the month before. And last month’s bill was already double what it was the same month one year ago. Yesterday there were no eggs on the shelf at Trader Joe’s in Los Angeles. At Sprouts, there were three boxes left — each one priced at \$12 a dozen. Eggs cost less than \$2 a dozen before the government shutdown of businesses in

spring 2020. These are not mistakes. They are intentional, planned, and thoughtfully executed stratagems to cause our nation to collapse.

Over Christmas, for the second time, a major wave of airline flight cancellations spread across the nation. This time, the entire network of Southwest flights — over 15,000 — was taken down just as Americans prepared to travel to see their families for the holiday. Millions of people were left stranded for days in the middle of winter with no information and no hope for a rapid solution. Southwest blamed the collapse on a software issue.



Last week the entire commercial air transportation system was shut down, as the FAA grounded all flights for the first time since the September 11, 2001 World Trade Center terrorist attacks. Once again, a software issue was reported to be the cause. Despite this being a once-in-a-generation event, the shutdown barely registered on the evening news. Have Americans simply grown accustomed to the new third-world status of their home country, or have news organizations decided not to report on major infrastructure failures to provide cover for the gov-

ernment program of destruction?

Tucker Carlson proposed that the increased frequency of transportation failures is due to cyberterrorism. Possibly. I think he’s giving the terrorists too much credit, though. The evidence that our own government is behind every one of our current national catastrophes is overwhelming. An advisory committee for the city of San Francisco just recommended paying every black resident there \$5 million for “reparations.” Why not \$100 million? And where will this lottery award funding come from? The advisory committee did not answer that question. The goal, as always, is not to solve a problem but rather to create a new one. Ronald Reagan had this realization decades ago, when he observed that “government is not a solution to our problem ...government is the problem.”

Government is certainly the cause of the collapse of our national transportation systems. Here I highlight air travel, but this is also happening to local transport as well: trains, subways, buses. Simply driving a car has become more difficult — the cost of purchase, maintenance, and operation has skyrocketed. The roads are in disrepair. The car itself is under threat of a ban as the gasoline-powered engine is due to be outlawed in California and other states in less than 10 years. When I picked up my car from the body shop last month after a week of repairs, the manager told me I was lucky. “I have a brand-new Ford with only 100 miles on it that’s been sitting here for four months — we can’t get the parts for it.” No government that truly wants its citizens to thrive makes it harder to travel or transport goods. A government that wants its citizens to suffer does that.

Mark McDonald, M.D. is a psychiatrist and author of “*United States of Fear: How America Fell Victim to a Mass Delusional Psychosis*” and “*Freedom From Fear: A 12 Step Guide to Personal and National Recovery*”

Originally published at markmcdonaldmd.substack.com

FREEDOM PODS - Local Teams to Survive and Thrive

By Guy Crittenden

I first encountered research journalist and activist Derrick Broze on *The Last American Vagabond* podcast — a platform that also amplified the work of investigative journalist Whitney Webb. Broze — who now lives in Mexico — not only supplies audiences with insightful commentary, but practical solutions. One of his best ideas is that of the “freedom cell” that I’ll explain here.


Of course, if the public would simply stop complying with unreasonable (and sometimes illegal) mandates and orders, we could end medical tyranny in Canada overnight. But the power of propaganda being what it is, a large number of Canadians follow orders such as useless mask wearing. After three weary years of abuse from their governments (at all levels), it appears many folks suffer from Stockholm syndrome. They haven’t connected the dots that a corporate coup d’état has occurred, and when its various component parts snap together, we’ll find ourselves in a digital prison overseen by transhumanist oligarchs. Our fate will not only be the loss of liberty; it will be our promised transformation into surveilled cyborgs, modified via a conveyor belt of in-the-pipeline gene-altering mRNA injections and nanotech, that increasingly appears to have a nefarious relationship with certain 5G wavelengths. As athletes in their prime collapse on live TV, some are beginning to wake up.

Fresh research from US biotech and pharmaceutical researchers Karen Kingston and Sasha Latypova indicate the introduction of a global surveillance and control grid (with a disturbing population reduction dimension) is actually being coordinated by the US Department of Defense (not just, say, China), according to troves of hidden patents and secret agreements they unearthed dating back to at least 2012. (Australian Indy journalist Maria Zeee and American broadcaster Dr. Jane Ruby have filed many reports since the start of the year, if you want to dig further.)

Those of us who know *something untoward* is unfolding realize we need a good *ground game*. And this is where Broze’s freedom cell idea comes in. The concept is to find about eight like-minded (“awake”) people who live close by, and meet regularly to develop bonds, make

plans, share resources, and offer one another mutual support in a time of crisis.

It’s a fluid concept, and there aren’t any hard rules about how these things operate (*we’re freedom lovers, after all!*), so I’ll share some highlights from the freedom cells with which I’ve been involved.



I use the term “pod” in place of “cell” as I prefer the connotations. A *cell* reminds me of a jail cell; a *pod* makes me think of dolphins. And seeds. The local “pod” I lead is managed by a core committee of about 10 people. As we collect more names of interested locals, we’ll plan events to include them, and harness their energy in subcommittees.

Following Broze’s model, we eschew a “pyramid” structure. Our pod is like a honeycomb, that can nestle beside others in a *horizontal* network that could eventually span the whole country. We might even be on the cusp of inventing a new governance structure for the human family. But unlike our opponents, we won’t allow ourselves grandiosity.

Your freedom pod can meet as often as it likes. Ours meets once per month in different member homes, usually over a potluck dinner. We discuss the business of the organization and review agreed-upon action items. Topics of discussion might include the best model of walkie-talkie to purchase, which channel to use in a “grid down” situation and establishing a rallying point. One

member has a CB, and an owner’s manual in case he’s not available to operate the equipment or train others.

We talk about crypto currency and various “barter” systems for the time when our country’s fiat money becomes worthless, or (worse) when a central bank digital currency (CBDC) is introduced. This would eventually be tied to a digital ID and a Chinese-style social credit score (as is already being introduced in various countries). I won’t even mention the vaccine passports to which the WHO and the World Economic Forum (WEF) have committed themselves (as part of their global One Health plan). We discuss prepper topics such as long-term storable foods, and “who has what” tool or equipment or skills that might prove useful in a post-Apocalyptic world.

I wish I was joking.

We each chip in a few bucks at meetings to pay for brochure printing, poster making, or rental space for fundraising events (such as dances or Town Hall meetings). We’re planning workshops, too, such as first aid training and preserving food in cans or jars, or drying or pickling. (Yes, I see pickled moose in my future...) Off-grid home construction, power generation and indoor gardening are popular subjects. My pod friends have agreed to teach me how to hunt and fish. And we’re meeting with local farmers to discuss regular bulk purchases. We might pay with cash, or in exchange for helping out at the farm. We don’t plan to eat insects, or food contaminated with mRNA vaccines. We recently ordered some costumes for “street theatre” to convey our message in an entertaining way, not just another *protest*.

Lastly, we try to have fun with our pod, and plan social gatherings like darts and wings nights, snowshoeing, or attending live music. And we sometimes invite members of other pods over, so we build our network and learn from each other. Our movement is quite fragmented at this time, and we think freedom pods is a great solution, winning hearts and minds one person at a time.

Learn more about the Freedom Cells concept at: freedomcells.org

Guy Crittenden is a freelance writer and author of the award-winning book *The Year of Drinking Magic: Twelve Ceremonies with the Vine of Souls* (Apocryphile Press, San Francisco). Follow Guy at HipGnosis.co

I’m Willing to bet Anyone in the World \$1M that the Covid Vaccines Have Increased All-Cause Mortality in the US. Any Takers?

If the vaccine is so safe, why won’t anyone in the world bet against me?
Not even the drug companies will stand behind their product.

By Steve Kirsch

I’m tired of hearing doctors say that the evidence clearly shows that the COVID vaccine benefits outweigh the risks in the US.

This is simply not true. It’s not even a close call. I’m willing to bet anyone \$1M that I’m right. Do any of the pro-vax doctors in America actually believe their own advice? Or is it all “Big hat, no cattle?”

I believe a human life is worth more than \$1M. So how can doctors risk their patients’ lives when they clearly are not very confident at all that their own beliefs are correct?

My \$500K bet with Saar Wilf

I’m about to enter into a \$500K bet with Saar Wilf. He might raise his wager to \$1M after the judges are selected.

We started negotiating the terms of the bet on Nov 17, 2022, and now we’re basically done with the term sheet. There were some points where I thought the negotiation would fall apart (around December 23), but I’m pleased to report that we’ve overcome all the issues and it’s been smooth sailing since then. There are a couple of minor details regarding the judges we’ll need to work out after the judges are selected and then we are good to go.

It’s a very fair term sheet (skirsch.com/covid/GreatDebateTerms.docx) where neither side has an advantage and the judging panel is completely neutral. This wasn’t easy to work out, but I think the result is a model that can be applied to resolve the answer to future questions of public interest. Heck, it may end up being our most important contribution to the world, you never know!

Why is everyone afraid to challenge me?

So we now have a situation where not a single person in the entire world is willing to bet me \$1M that the COVID vaccines were a beneficial intervention. **Not even the drug companies.**

Saar may be the first and only person to hit the \$1M threshold, but he hasn’t yet.

So the question remains: If the vax is so safe, why isn’t anyone confident enough in their beliefs to actually back their statement by putting their (or someone else’s) capital at risk?

In other words, why isn’t anyone willing to put their money where their mouth is?

People can no longer use the “excuse” that I’m not serious about the bet, don’t have the money, or that I

won’t negotiate in good faith. This term sheet is proof I’m serious. (skirsch.com/covid/GreatDebateTerms.docx)

Nor can anyone use the excuse that they don’t have the money. Pfizer or Moderna should be happy to sponsor a qualified challenger and put up the money. \$1M is peanuts to them. And since the vaccine is so safe, it’s a sure thing; a no risk bet! And they’ll decrease vaccine hesitancy which means they’ll sell more products. If they really believe their product is effective, there is simply no



reason they wouldn’t put up the capital.

Where is Bill Gates?

Why isn’t Bill Gates taking me up on my bet? He’s been promoting the deadly COVID shots since the beginning. Why isn’t he willing to put his money where his mouth is? Not worth his time? OK, he could easily find someone to challenge me.

Where are Tony Fauci, Rochelle Walensky, Peter Marks, Scott Gottlieb, Eric Topol, Peter Hotez, Vivek Murthy, Ashish Jha, Sanjay Gupta, Monica Gandhi, Leana Wen?

Why aren’t they mounting a challenge? They could all raise \$1M in a heartbeat to challenge me and prove I’m wrong. Why not take my money and set the record straight? They’d kill two birds with one stone and end vaccine hesitancy.

Here’s why nobody will take my bet

But they will never do it, because they know they will lose. It’s that simple.

The excuse they will use for not taking my bet

I know what they will say when asked why they don’t accept my bet.

They will say, “Science is not settled by bets; it is settled in the peer reviewed literature.”

WRONG. WRONG. WRONG.

They would argue that the peer-reviewed literature says that vaccines are beneficial. It’s settled.

Oh Really?!?!

Where is the randomized controlled trial that actually looks at the all-cause mortality and assesses correctly whether a participant died from the vaccine? It doesn’t exist.

So if we want to settle this issue decisively ASAP to the best of our ability, we need to present the evidence to an unbiased scientific panel and ensure that the presenters on both sides are highly motivated to make the best possible case for that panel. And that is precisely what this bet will accomplish.

If I changed the subject of the bet, I’d be inundated with offers

If I offered to bet \$1M the moon is made of swiss cheese, do you think I’d have any problems getting people to accept my bet? Of course not!

So let’s be real folks: the real reason they aren’t taking my bet is they know they would lose.

My motivation in making the challenge

I’m not doing it for the money. I’m doing it because when it is all over, all confidence in the vaccine will collapse with a decisive loss, which I predict is almost certain if anyone accepts my bet.

The US government believes that censorship is the best way to deal with scientific dissent. I disagree. That hasn’t worked. It’s made things worse as I clearly pointed out in my Open Letter to CDC Director Walensky. (stevekirsch.substack.com/p/an-open-letter-to-cdc-director-walensky)

I think these questions are best handled through discourse. Call me old fashioned. The \$1M at risk ensures that the discourse will be very serious.

My claim that I will win is not hubris. It is because the evidence supports my position.

Before I made the bet with Saar, I asked UK Professor Norman Fenton what he thought. He put the probability at “over 99%” when I asked him about evidentiary support for my claim that the vaccines increased net all-cause mortality. That’s a good start.

And then I checked with many other people who have no conflicts of interest and who study the data closely and seek the truth. Same answer.

And I also tried to find anyone who could explain to me how VAERS can have 15,000 excess American deaths if those deaths are not caused by the COVID vaccines. Nobody had an explanation that fit the data. NOBODY.

NOBODY IN THE ENTIRE WORLD can explain that one critically important data point.

Now consider that there are hundreds of data points like that one that nobody can explain.

Isn’t it funny how the VAERS data (due to it being underreported) is often used to show people that there is not a safety problem with vaccines, but when VAERS throws an unprecedented number of safety signals, the VAERS system suddenly is considered by the “experts” to be “unreliable?”

NOBODY can explain how the CDC detected 770 safety signals on this vaccine if it is as safe as claimed.

NOBODY could explain to me how it is ethical for the Israeli Ministry of Health to completely ignore the adverse safety data from these shots and defund the safety research program so they wouldn’t collect any safety data. When I bring this topic up with doctors, they never answer and instantly change the subject. I did this several times in Twitter Spaces today and every single time all the doctors avoided answering a simple yes/no question and deflected.

NOBODY could explain why ACIP Chair Grace Lee refuses to see the safety data from the Israeli Ministry of Health. Professor Martin Kulldorff had the best answer when I asked him. He said, “I don’t know.”

So we are down to the real reason that nobody will take my bet: **because the data is so crystal clear that the COVID vaccines killed more people than they saved in the US.**

If the data actually supported the false government narrative, I would be inundated with bet requests. But all I hear is crickets and excuses.

An interesting asymmetry... have you noticed?

If I win the bet, no mainstream media will cover my win.

If I lose the bet, it will be covered in every mainstream media source in the world.

Because that is how the news works today, isn’t it?

Who wants to be a millionaire?

If you want to challenge me, here is the term sheet (skirsch.com/covid/GreatDebateTerms.docx) and you can indicate your willingness to accept this term sheet (subject to changing the parties and the amount to \$1M) by making your legally binding offer here, (<https://airtable.com/shr2qRXWtAbjKtsN1>) just like Saar did.

Or make your own custom offer if you don’t like the one we crafted.

I’m always open to better ways to settle important scientific disagreements in a fair and balanced way.

Originally published at stevekirsch.substack.com

Websites of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us. You can visit druthers.net to get in touch.

vaccinechoicecanada.com	action4canada.com
freedomrising.info	americasfrontlinedoctors.com
librti.com	constitutionalconventions.ca
worlddoctorsalliance.com	stopworldcontrol.com
thehighwire.com	evidencenotfear.com
corbettreport.com	activistpost.com
pressfortruth.ca	standupcanada.solutions
stand4thee.com	lauralynn.tv
awarriorcalls.com	libertycoalitioncanada.com
takeactioncanada.ca	awakecanada.org
brightlightnews.com	vaccineregret.net
gbdeclaration.org	www.canadiancovidcarealliance.org
jccf.ca	www.canadahealthalliance.org
swprs.org	www.childrenshealthdefense.org
geoengineeringwatch.org	caers.info

Depredation of Food Supply Is the Plan

Continued from p.4

into protein powder” for human consumption.

A company called **Amai Proteins** is using genetically engineered microbes to create peptides that taste like sugar but are digested like proteins. And the best (read: worst) part is that, “[a]lthough these microbes are technically genetically engineered, **the desired products can be purified and legally sold as non-GMO!**”

And then there’s **Indigo Ag**, a Boston-based agricultural technology company that is ushering in The New Normal Of Agriculture by — as its thinly-disguised corporate PR masquerading as “investment news” likes to boast — **“utilizing advanced AI and machine learning techniques to create a revolutionary agronomics platform that boosts farmland sustainability and productivity through next-gen microbiome treatments, digital regenerative content, time-series satellite imagery, advanced crop monitoring and data analysis, and grain quality testing”** ... whatever the hell that means.

Of course, these technologies will be sold to the public as a way to remarkably improve upon the boring old “food” that humanity has relied on for untold



millennia. This isn’t food after all, this is **Food 2.0!**
Molecular gastronomy will allow for the creation of all sorts of zany and unimaginable dishes, from spherified juices to deep-fried hollandaise to lollipopified octopus!
In the nutrigenomical kitchen of the future, the AI systems that plan our meals and assemble our food will be able to precisely tailor our diet to our individual

health, food and agriculture, the environment, manufacturing, and security.”

When it comes to food, the folks at Policy Horizons Canada are positively ecstatic about the possibility for these new “biodigital” technologies (i.e., technologies blurring the line between biological life and digital machines) to reshape our food supply.

“Traditional agriculture relies on land, water, and a suitable climate,” the authors of the report lament before noting that “[i]n the future, foods could be manufactured anywhere in labs and indoor vertical farms.”

After discussing lab-grown foods, nutrigenomics and “smart” farming, the paper gushes about the incredible benefits of this technology. It will enable us to grow anything, any time, anywhere! It will allow countries to pursue food self-sufficiency by manufacturing those foods and ingredients that they can’t grow or raise themselves! It will allow us

to reimagine our diet as a form of preventive healthcare! And, of course, it will save us from the deadly scourge of climate change!

The propaganda that is being rolled out to sell the public on this transformation of our food supply sounds like the sales pitch of a used car salesman. This should not be surprising. For those who know the players who are pushing this “Food 2.0” agenda and their real intentions, it is obvious that the enormous and unbelievably hubristic effort to replace natural food with laboratory-made food substitutes is not about helping the poor and starving to achieve food security, but rather to deprive them of the earth’s natural abundance. The end result will be a population dependent on the laboratory-produced food substitutes produced by a handful of oligopolistic corporations and a population at the mercy of the scientists these corporations employ. These molecular magicians will, after all, be able to insert all manner of exotic agents into the food supply at any time.

But to really understand where this agenda is heading and how quickly we are likely to get there if it is not opposed, we need look no further than the story of **Future Fields**. This company and its product has managed to combine the Unholy Trinity of fake food: GMOs, bugs and biotech.

Future Fields, a biotech company in (where else?) Canada, has notified the Canadian government of its intention to commercialize “EntoEngine,” a type of fruit fly that “has been genetically engineered to express a growth factor isolated from cows.” This growth factor, it turns out, is an important component of the lab-grown meat recipe, which has so far required the use of “fetal bovine serum” (FBS) — a substance extracted from unborn cattle — to grow the meat cells. But now that the “EntoEngine” has been created, Future Fields is excited to use these flying “bioreactors” to produce the growth factor faster and more cheaply than before.

Yes, bugs are being genetically modified to produce growth factor that is then used to grow meat cells in a lab. This is the future of food if the mad scientists and their billionaire funders get their way.

What Can We Do About This?

Thankfully, we are not passive spectators of this unfolding agenda; we are active participants, influencing the course of these events by the decisions that we make and the actions that we take.

Without understanding who is behind this agenda, however, or how it is being pushed, we can never hope to truly derail this Frankenfood nightmare.

*Originally published at corbette-report.com
(Subscribe to his website to see this article with dozens of reference links and much more.)*

Ruminations on Dying From the Vaxx

Continued from p.6

- **Dec 28, 2022** — Is there anyone out there with ALS/MND type severe muscle/connective tissue wasting post COVID vax that has found ANY help? I have tried FLCCC/Kory protocol and just about everything else I could find. Anyone? Is there anything that helps or am I just dying and that’s it?
- **Jan 12, 2023** — Well, looks like I’m not going to be fully banned off of Facebook for telling my story and trying to find help and community while I am dying from vaccine—induced muscle wasting disease. Humanity is truly non-existent any longer. Follow my YouTube until I’m erased there, too...
- **Jan 12, 2023** — I used to workout because it made me feel good to do something for my health. These days I do it in horror as I continue to watch my muscles melt away regardless thanks to the vaccine that has murdered me with no cure or reversal coming. And I can’t talk about it or I am banned.
- **Jan 12, 2023** — I cannot believe I am dying this way. With all of my muscle systemically dissolved away. All of my organs slowly and surely showing more and more damage. The slowest, most torturous murder imaginable, like something medieval. And I was healthy, I had a life to live. All gone.
- **Jan 12, 2023** — Let it end. Let it end! God, please just take me from this ruined life. Let me go as I sleep. Please, please. There is nothing left. There will never be joy, never recovery, never a thing to look forward to but more and more suffering as I dissolve and lose everything. Let me go.
- **Jan 13, 2023** — There are two reactions I have gotten to my horrible, irreversible wasting disease caused by the COVID vax — scorn/hatred, or pity. I don’t want either. I wanted my life. It is so cruel how this just takes and takes, little by little. How I wish it would just end me now.
- **Jan 14, 2023** — Waking up feeling like little pools of acid have been poured into various places where muscles connect as they continue to dissolve from my body. Every time I think the torture can’t get worse before the end, it does. Somehow, it can hurt me more yet before it kills me.

- **Jan 14, 2023** — It is an eerie, terrible feeling to know that you’ll be dead soon. To know that every little thing you do, every action you wouldn’t have thought twice about might be the last time this time you’re doing it. Standing, walking, eating, sleeping, lifting my arms... it’s all ending.
- **Jan 15, 2023** — Goodbye, all. My nerves are dying, my muscle tissue dissolved, the last bits twitching as they fail. My digestion has stopped, organs failing slowly but surely. I await my last ride to my last stop for whatever final failure will kill me. Love to those who supported me. Goodbye.
- **Jan 16, 2023** — Somehow, multiple doctors are refusing to help me get promptly readmitted to an ER as things continue to deteriorate. Why actively dying people need to beg to be seen, taken seriously and given prompt assessment and comfort care is beyond cruel. Continuing to beg for help...
- **Jan 16, 2023** — Hey, hateful trolls: — I didn’t ask anyone to follow me — I don’t owe you my medical records — I don’t owe you my medical photos — Your mockery doesn’t change facts — I really hope you never have to suffer anything like this, and if you do, — I hope people aren’t like you to you
- **Jan 18, 2023** — The last gasp hopes of my one truly dedicated doctor to try to find an autoimmune angle to what is killing me are all coming back negative, proving again that this relentless neurogenic wasting disease is swiftly murdering me with no recourse. Time for final defined diagnosis.
- **Jan 18, 2023** — More labs — no neuro antibodies, no paraneoplastic antibodies. So no hope for anything treatable. Even a few days ago, I could at least force myself to be up most of the day. I could still clumsily and with pain play some piano, do some singing. All of that is done, now.
- **Jan 21, 2023** — I am just one of so many who are the ‘late bloomers’ of the ‘died suddenly’ phenomenon. There are, and will be, multitudes with all kinds of diseases and abnormal presentations of diseases never seen before. Those of you who are left — change the system. Fight for victims.

Follow Mike on Twitter and send him your love & blessings: twitter.com/mikeomara



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
February 2023 circulation: 225,000 copies Canada-wide
+50,000 more copies last month via Neighbourhood Mail
Original content **DRUTHERS 2023**®
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Assistant editors: Christie Lynn & Michelle
Production Manager & Layout: David Bolton
Big thanks to: Kristy, Mitchell, Bob, Tiantian, Jessica, Tim, Geraldine, Honey & so many more...
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

*“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you.
Keep on passing it on.”*

- Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS.

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute **DRUTHERS**.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

Please give what you can: druthers.net/donate
Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza, Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

Crown Corporate Policy Wars Against Human Rights in Canada & What YOU can do!

By Canadian People’s Union [thepowershift.ca](#)

In 2023, the best gift we can all give ourselves as Canadians and Indigenous Peoples of Canada is *Collective Sovereignty*, which will in turn give us *True Freedom*.

But what is TRUE FREEDOM? True Freedom can only be achieved once the people are legally in power over government. Canadian history includes a few instances when Canadians and Indigenous Peoples were given the right to legally and collectively rule over their government. However, The PowerShift to Freedom — a project proposed by the Canadian Peoples’ Union NFP (C.P.U.) — is a 21st Century necessity because generations of politicians have disregarded our collective rights to self-determination and governance, leaving the door wide open for corruption and globalization.

Coups d’État by the governing bodies...

For example, in 1931, independence was given to Canada for free trade and military purposes. However, the people were not given their sovereignty or liberty, and this turned Canada into what is referred to as a “nanny state”.

In the sixties, the rights to self-determination and self-governance were granted to us through the *Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples* of 1960 as well as the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* of 1966, which Canada and the UK both signed in 1976. Yet these laws, which form part of our Constitution, were never enforced, much to the detriment of Canadians.

Another coup d’État occurred in 1982 when The *Constitution of Canada* repatriation transferred the sovereign power of the Imperial Crown to the government of Canada, along with stipulations which have never been finalized to this day. This resulted in a theft of our lawful rights above our government.

This action, by P.E. Trudeau’s Liberal government and the Provincial Premiers, turned Canadians and the Indigenous Peoples into mere subjects of the “Crown”, known as the Canadian government, all along falsely claiming self-determination and decolonization for Canadians. In fact, Trudeau self-determined only the State, and not the people. The right to self-determination is intended to be accorded to peoples as opposed to States (countries).

Repercussions on our society

Thanks to Canada’s obsolete political system, patriarchy, and stealth authoritarianism, politicians are not held accountable to the citizens and can prioritize the interests of outsiders who rarely favour other goals except their own financial gains, over the peoples’ best interest.

These transgressions have been purposely unaddressed by our politicians, all political parties, senators, and courts. By now, the World Economic Forum (WEF), a Non-Governmental Organisation (an unelected lobbyist company), has infiltrated all levels of our governments. Their Great Reset to control humanity is moving forward at an accelerated pace. They are already installing “limits” to effect control on climate change to “attain” net zero emissions by:

- Enthroning the Global Parliament of Mayors, renamed as the Global Covenant of Mayors, implementing projects such as:
 - Strong Mayors, Building Homes Act, c.18-Bill 3, giving mayors veto powers over counsellors, thus destroying municipal democracy
 - Implementing C 40 and “15 minutes cities” with the ultimate goal of centralizing global governance into the hands of the WEF
- Abdicating government responsibility and constitutional duty to the people, by siphoning our natural resources — including decision-making and prof-

- it-generation — to the control of the Public Private Partnerships (PPP’s, or P3’s)
- Wielding geo-engineering as the steppingstone to future hardships
- Causing disruption of all supply chains, especially food supplies

‘In short, our leaders are passing laws that are destroying the Constitution of Canada to benefit world elites.’

- Coercing public and private corporations and their employees to the point of forcibly mandating experimental medical treatments into their policies, which is still in effect by many



Photo credit: Margoe Edwards / Shutterstock.com

Unfortunately, the list goes on. This is the true meaning of serfdom such as they intend to impose for Canada’s citizens.

In short, our leaders are passing laws that are destroying the Constitution of Canada to benefit world elites. The Constitution, still under the UK Parliament’s jurisdiction, will never protect Canadians and Indigenous Peoples unless we are fully recognized as this country’s collective Head of State with full veto power over our governments. In the meantime, Canada and the provinces hide anti-democratic measures under the mask of the law.

There is hope!

In 2022, Mauritius finally won its case against the United Kingdom in regard to the decolonization of the Chagos Islands, creating an important precedent in which International Laws have been invoked.

What emerged from this debate determines that the people rule over government. This is something that the C.P.U. has been emphasizing here in Canada since 2017.

The responsibility of correcting the Canadian Constitution and respecting International Laws and universal rights, which Canada has signed, falls upon the UK and the Canadian Parliaments. In addition, the Courts *must* uphold both the Constitution and the International Laws under the Rule of Law Principles (principles that protect the people from governments that create seemingly arbitrary laws that are neither based on the Rule of Law, nor on protecting the people).

This correction was requested by the C.P.U. in March 2022 and is still their biggest preoccupation. On July 30, 2022, in Ottawa, the C.P.U. and its supporters stood up and activated our collective political and civil rights, which was the first step in initiating a dialogue with the UK and the Canadian governments. Prior to 2022, the C.P.U. had also written to Minister of Justice David Lametti and various other officials hoping to shed light on the neglected state of democracy in Canada.

An opportunity of ridding ourselves of the monarchy is also presenting itself. Before the Coronation of Charles III in May 2023, Canadians and Indigenous Peoples could, once and for all, right the wrongs of our past! Instead of accepting yet another sovereign monarch, we could actually have collective sovereignty over our government!

So how do we achieve TRUE FREEDOM?

The Coups d’État and numerous transgressions are still preventing us from enjoying our lawful rights, and all issues hijacked by the WEF and its accomplices should be addressed collectively instead of used to torment the populace and threaten future generations. We **MUST** stand together in our collective power.

As the true shareholders and co-owners of the Crown of Canada on both sides of the Two Row Wampum Treaty — an ancient agreement between the

first settlers and the Indigenous nations, still pivotal in inspiring good communication and mutual respect between parties — we could prosper as a country, eradicating political corruption and intimidation, and taking control of our natural and human resources out of the hands of the Crown and the government!

For this quiet and peaceful 21st Century revolution to take place, the C.P.U.’s PowerShift to **True Freedom** needs the support of people from all walks of life today! We can all be a part of the solution to bring this injustice to court!

Sign your citizen’s Convention of Consent and usher in the greatest positive political change in Canadian history, the Era of Collective Sovereignty, True Freedom! The Canadian Peoples’ Union NFP
Nicole Lebrasseur

contact@thepowershift.ca
Tel: 226-777-5580

**WANT DRUTHERS
DELIVERED TO
YOUR NEIGHBOURS
MAILBOXES?**

DRUTHERS

Now you can with
Druthers Neighbourhood
Mail service.

druthers.net/neighbourhood

It’s never been easier to make a big
difference in your neighbourhood
with Druthers.

Now you can have copies of Druthers
newspapers delivered right to mailboxes
in your neighbourhood and wake up
your community more quickly.

We make all the arrangements so all
you need to do is, decide how many
addresses you would like delivered to
and which postal code area you
would like blanketed, and that’s it...
we’ll take care of the rest.

Visit druthers.net/neighbourhood

Absurdity Observer

Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- Canada Girl Guides drops ‘Brownies’ name after it’s deemed racially offensive. According to their CEO, The Girl Guides of Canada has renamed the “Brownies” branch to “Embers” to prevent the “harm” it might inflict on some minority members.
- Moderna clinical trial COVID vaccine participant, Olivia Teseniar, revealed on *The Highwire* a shocking fact about the Moderna trials: her serious vaccine injury was never reported to the FDA and did not appear in the pages of their study. Teseniar developed a painful, swollen shoulder shortly after receiving the 2nd shot with swollen lymph nodes in her injected arm. She was later diagnosed with Lymphoma followed by Leukaemia. Moderna failed to cover the costs of her treatments and failed to mention her injury in their report to the FDA when applying for Emergency Use Authorization. Equally as concerning, the doctor who submitted a report of her injury to VAERS (the CDC’s Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) later found that there was no report under her report number and VEARS has not responded as to why her report is no longer in the system.
- Mucosal COVID-19 vaccines (mouth and nose sprays) have arrived in Canada. Officials are claiming this vaccine prevents transmission since, unlike mRNA injections, it claims to stimulate immunity in the respiratory tract.
- FOIA request finds that The American Dietetics Association’s Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics accepted over \$300,000 in 2015 from baby formula producer Abbott Nutrition as part of a deal where the Academy influences dietitians and pediatricians to push its Pediasure infant formula. The academy also owned Abbott stock at the time of the deal. A similar deal was made with Kraft, where Kraft Singles cheese was given the academy’s “Kid’s Eat Healthy” seal of approval. The findings are a part of a recently published peer-reviewed study that examined a trove of financial documents and internal communications obtained through a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request. Documents also revealed that the academy received at least \$15 million from corporate contributors from 2011-2017, and an additional \$4.5 million more went to the academy’s foundation. Some of the highest contributions came from Nestle, PepsiCo, Hershey, and Kellogg’s. This is not the first study to reveal how corrupt the dietary world is. Last year, a study by Cambridge University titled *Conflicts of interest for members of the 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee (Mialon et al.)* found that 95% of the committee members had conflicts of interest with food and/or pharmaceutical companies.
- The Government of Canada website just announced that, since February 2022, Canada has given over \$1 billion in military assistance to Ukraine.
- Facebook and Instagram will now be allowing female users to show their bare breasts on the platforms — but only those who identify as trans women and non-binary, according to Meta’s advisory board. The board ordered Facebook and Instagram to lift a ban on images of breasts for anyone who identifies as

transgender, or as non-binary — meaning they view themselves as neither male nor female.

- BMJ study on new heart medication Evolocumab (Repatha) finds that the group that was taking the drug had double the number of deaths due to cardiac failure compared to those in the placebo group (31 vs 16 deaths). As previously reported by *The New York Times*, the FDA approved the drug before any clinical data results were in.
- The FDA eliminates its requirement to use animal testing in drug trials to allow for speedier and more cost-effective drug development. The US Congress



called the act that allows humans to be the first living beings to take an experimental drug, *The FDA Modernization Act 2.0*.

- The Ontario College of Psychologists has proposed disciplinary action for Professor Jordan Peterson due to his “unprofessional” social media posts. The college is requiring Peterson to take a coaching plan that will cost him up to \$225 per hour.
- In what’s now being called the Facebook Files, a set of confidential emails that emerged thanks to a court case (*Missouri v. Biden Administration*) show that Facebook representatives and the CDC not only kept in touch at all times but the CDC also instructed the social network behemoth on what stories to mark as harmful misinformation and fact-check.
- LeadStories, a so-called “fact-checking” website funded by Facebook and Google, “fact-checked” Druthers’ November front page story titled “80 Canadian Doctors Vaxxed and Dead” claiming it’s not true because not only have no doctors died from the vax, but no one in Canada has ever died from the COVID-19 vaccine. Since Dr. Makis’ research on the 80 dead doctors was published, *The Canadian Medical Association* has removed the vast majority of its reported obituary deaths from its memorial page. The removed pages are still available to view on *The Wayback Machine*.
- Canada to spend the first \$1.2 million of \$31 million

of taxpayer’s money to fight online “misinformation.” The Department of Canadian Heritage announced the support of 16 projects, justifying the spending because, as they put it, the “increase in harmful content online, including misinformation and disinformation, is a threat to freedom of expression and democratic values.” The \$1.2 is part of the money earmarked for the Digital Citizen Contribution Program and a drop in the bucket as far as their funding goes. In total, it will be given \$31 million over the next four years.

- The College of Physicians of Ontario suspends the license of Dr. Chris Shoemaker for making public statements against the government policy regarding vaccination in Canada. The physician with 45 years of practice claims “No individual citizen of Ontario has placed a complaint against my activities and functionalities as a doctor.” Meanwhile, in Alberta, the province is now offering to “fast-track” international doctors (who will be instructed to follow the mRNA narrative) to help with the doctor shortage.
- Australia’s most recent COVID data shows that 100% of hospitalized COVID-19 patients have received at least 1 dose of the vaccine with the overwhelming majority having received 4 doses. Just like what happened to Canadian COVID data, days after the document went viral on social media, the Australian government announced, “Vaccination status of cases admitted to hospital, admitted to ICU, and those who die will no longer be reported.” This final data report can be viewed at <https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/Infectious/covid-19/Documents/weekly-covid-overview-20221231.pdf>
- Despite months of Dutch farmers protesting policies that negatively impact the food supply of hundreds of millions of people throughout Europe, the Dutch government announced a plan to buy and close down up to 3,000 farms to comply with EU nature preservation rules and cut down on nitrogen pollution.
- A new preprint study titled “Effectiveness of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Bivalent Vaccine” by Shrestha et al. finds that a person’s risk of COVID-19 increases with the number of vaccine doses previously received.
- The UK just experienced one of the worst years of excess deaths in 50 years, but the Chief Medical Officer for England doesn’t see it as being vaccine-related. Instead, he believes the excess deaths are caused by a lack of expensive prescription medication and other pharmaceuticals. In the report “Technical Report on the COVID-19 pandemic in the UK,” he explained his bizarre thoughts: “There is little doubt that delays in presentation, reductions in secondary prevention (such as statins and antihypertensives), postponement of elective and semi-elective care and screening will have led to later and more severe presentation of non-COVID illness both during and after the first 3 waves. The combined effect of this will likely lead to a prolonged period of non-COVID excess mortality and morbidity after the worst period of the pandemic is over.” According to *Open Prescribing*, which is based on monthly NHS prescribing data, statin prescribing has increased since the pandemic.

VOLUNTEER

Will you **help** give out these free papers in your community?



Or maybe you can help in other ways?
We'd love to hear from you.
Visit us at: druthers.net/volunteer

DONATE

Your **support** is vital to the continued success of this paper.



E-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Or visit: druthers.net/donate

SUBSCRIBE

Have your Druthers **delivered** right to your door each month.



Never miss an issue with a postal subscription.
Just cover the cost of s/h.
Sign up at: druthers.net/subscribe

DRUTHERS is entirely funded, written, distributed & promoted by the people, for the people.

Note: Please do not leave Druthers inside the newspaper boxes of other newspapers. As well, any inserts found in this paper are not endorsed by Druthers.