

PROOF: Weather Modification Is Real!

By Amandha Dawn Vollmer

When out walking with your friend, do you point to the hazy sky with airplane tracks criss-crossing above, blanketing our beautiful blue skies and hiding our life-giving sun, and refer to them as chemtrails? Does your friend say, “That’s just water vapour, chemtrails are a conspiracy theory,” and that’s the end of the discussion? Well, now, you can reference the 54-page FOI we just received and the letter that came with it (see references at end of article).

While this Freedom Of Information is “lightweight” as it only shows us a small fraction of the cloud-seeding activities in one province in Canada (Alberta), it’s solid evidence that what is happening has been going on for a very long time.

I have been aware of geoengineering since 2003 and have tried, in my every capacity, to wake people out of their hypnotic daze so we can take action to halt it. I have compiled vast resources on the topic, of which there are many to find with a simple internet search. The sheer amount of data on this topic can be overwhelming, with

agencies and UN-backed groups holding conferences on how to dominate the “climate” for decades. Meanwhile, people parrot their TV brainwashing, and discredit people working hard to raise awareness of these dangerous activities to protect future generations from the impending disastrous consequences of the fake climate-change narrative by globalists with the UN 2030 Agenda.

Recently, I became frustrated anew at the level of spraying over my Ontario, Canada acreage and wondering why it is continuing unabated, I decided to research current lawsuits and figure out how to raise public awareness and stop the covert programs in their tracks.

Not one to linger in victimhood mentality, I took direct action using multiple techniques and devices to attempt to clear the milky haze out of the sky above my property with varying success.

It’s not enough for me. These maniacs must be stopped.

Remember when the founder of The Weather Channel, an educated and trained meteorologist, stepped forward and called climate change the hoax that it is? Where have people with brains gone off to? I bet one component is all the aluminum oxide, barium (more), lithium, calcium chloride and silver salts they are spraying us with.

Why are they creating weather warfare? Many reasons. For one, with the lie of climate change, they usher in the unscientific notion of carbon as “bad” and therefore humans as “dangerous” and in need of tighter control (when carbon is actually good for plant life and life in general). Secondly, assigning each person and company a carbon footprint, carbon credits, carbon tax, we will be tracked for everything we do, buy, eat, and travel.

Ultimately, they want to reduce carbon, which is a totally false theory, because WE are carbon. So, it’s New World Order language for “we want to reduce YOU.”

They wax about saving the planet by excusing their cloud seeding as something heroic and “safe” to prevent large hail, dull down large storms, reduce drought, and prevent farmers’ fields from flooding. If that were true,

discussed whether or not the programs impinged more so on personal or property rights, were we able to find a “commonality of claim” (for example the same chemical causing the same damage), and said that class action lawsuits generally have their own funding so it is a more affordable route to take. She said to “shop law firms” and gather the various action groups together for a more effective front. Using templates of successful organophosphate lawsuits from aerial spraying could be an effective approach.

Wayne from Ethics Over Fear, learning that I was researching lawsuits and seeking a Canadian opinion, introduced me to Alberta attorney Tony Vacca, who had presented a Federal Crown Charter challenge in the courts in 2020. When I spoke with Tony and another of his team named Henry on May 25, 2022, he informed me that the court seemed “concerned” but really didn’t know what to do with the information, so it was dismissed. They have plans to appeal. Despite the massive levels of evidence of geoengineering programs happening in Canada and worldwide, one of the major problems is finding the target of the lawsuits and the correct avenue to halt the spraying, HAARP, ELAT, Woodpecker, NEXRAD, and other experimental cloud seeding projects. He suggested one of the main roadblocks was the lack of enough noise coming out of at least four countries simultaneously, that if this happened it would be too big for them to ignore. He also stated that the gold standard for the court would be air samples but they are expensive to gather; in the realm of close to a million dollars to produce. He said allies he’s been aligned with include Marvin Herndon, a nuclear scientist, and Bill Vanderzan, former premier of British Columbia.

Then I reached out to my friend Christine Massey of Fluoride Free Peel, since she is a wiz at Freedom of Information Requests (FOIs), and asked her if she would assist by requesting one to the Canadian Government about their geoengineering programs. She forwarded to me <https://canucklaw.ca/nova-scotia-foi-pfizer-docs-aefi-deaths-weather-modification/> and had put in the FOI request for:

“All informations, records and reports that have been provided (to the man/woman acting as “Administrator”) under either s. 3 or s. 4 of the Weather Modification Information Act R.S.C., 1985, c. W-5 since January 1, 2012.”

While waiting on that FOI, I received this content from Shelly Hipson (November 2022) who did the FOI after seeing all the cloud formations covering the sun over her home in Nova Scotia.

The Department of Transportation was also suggested in addition to Natural Resources and Defense. This content was a start, and my goodness, is it ever fascinating!

In this vanilla-coated FOI, they admit to spraying two main chemicals: calcium chloride and “ice flares” which are a combination chemical of acetone, silver iodide, sodium perchlorate, paradichlorobenzene and ammonium iodine that create an ice nucleus of iodide-silver



then weather disasters should be reducing and not rising. Hail should be smaller, food should be aplenty with prices falling and abundance everywhere, except that it’s not. If this were true, they wouldn’t be spraying pretty much EVERY DAY, flying up into blue skies, criss-crossing a mess up there, making “wisps of clouds” and turning our glorious sky into a milk-wash. I’ve witnessed far too much to fall for a sweet little cover story such as that.

Message to the sprayers: You are damaging life with your actions. We demand you halt!

Table 1. Seeding activity through 2021.											
Season	Storm Days With Seeding	Aircraft Missions (Seeding & Patrol)	Total Flight Time (hours)	Number of Storms Seeded	Total Seeding Agent (kg)	Seeding Agent Per Day (kg)	Seeding Agent Per Hour (kg)	Seeding Agent Per Storm (kg)	Ejectable Flares	Burn-in-place Flares	Seeding Solution (gallons)**
2021	15	57	159.9	35	213.6	15.3	1.34	6.10	3391	972	0.0
Mean	30	105	218.4	86	227.2	7.9	1.05	2.85	5320	733	167.8
2020	23	111	256.2	71	305.1	13.3	1.19	4.30	6722	1066	177.4
2019	27	114	243.9	61	296.4	11.0	1.22	4.86	7520	912	150.5
2018	26	127	262.5	77	248.0	9.5	0.94	3.22	4663	951	198.0
1998	30	90	189.9	150	3.6	0.60	0.60	0.00	496	1950	1950
1997*	38	92	188.1	108	110.8	2.9	0.60	1.00	2376	356	144.3
1996*	29	71	159.1	75	163.3	5.6	1.00	2.20	3817	542	80.5

* The 1996 and 1997 seasons began on June 15, not June 1, which has been the norm ever since.

** Use of seeding solution was discontinued beginning in 2021. The mean shown reflects previous seasons only.

On May 22, 2022, I had a call with human rights attorney Leigh Dundas to ask her how she would approach a successful lawsuit. She thought it would work best as a grassroots movement-style class-action lawsuit based on one or two specific chemicals they are spraying, linking these chemicals to the causation of harm, either in man or in nature. This means gathering scientific and medical data, compiling it, getting “experts” to testify and of course raising the funds for the evidence gathering. We

Continued on p.10

The Subtle Rewriting of History

By Todd Hayen, PhD, RP

Here's a weasel tactic for you. The subtle rewriting of history in popular media, books, TV, and film to match a modern "woke" sensibility of "inclusion, equity, and diversity." If you know past events do not match up to these current, modern sensibilities, then just change them to match and cross your fingers that the younger generations coming up will see history differently than it actually was—a history that matches your nefarious agenda. Later in the article, I will get into why this is a problem as if you don't already know.

In a certain way, we have always done this. No one should get their history lessons from movies and fiction literature. But the reasons this was done in decades past were different than what we are seeing today. Arguably just as deceiving, an agenda of some sort was typically present, but again, the reasoning behind the historical manipulation of years past was a bit different. Some would argue with what I am saying here; they might say that the agenda is actually the same, and today, in modern times, they have just upped the ante since we have reached a point where they can feed the current masses just about anything, and they will swallow it. I would not argue with that reasoning, but I am not totally confident with the idea.

Anyway, I digress. What's going on? There are so many examples that I will just stick with one or two to make my point. I will also focus more on a singular intention rather than go into detail about the many different intentions that could be present in any media presentation. The intention I will stick with here is the basic "woke" tenets of "diversity, equity, and inclusion." Are we slowly being brainwashed to believe a history that matches our current noble and virtuous beliefs that human beings have always been treated as equals? And if so, why?

One thing the woke/cancel culture wants us to think is that any sort of exclusionary behavior never existed in our history—or it existed everywhere, and if so, it should be wiped out. Oddly enough, they present a hypercritical analysis of nearly everything that happened in the past and point out that nearly every person we have ever venerated or found to be "good" was a racist, a misogynist, and a bigot. Dr. Seuss, for example, is a bigot and a racist who targets Chinese people (for one) because he depicts them in a traditional, stereotypical way in some of his children's books. What is odd about the consequence of this hyper-picky approach is that they wish to erase the offending presentation. Rather than point out, "At one time, we used to see this as appropriate, now we are above that and no longer tolerate it in modern presentations," they wish to erase it as if it never existed. Not only are books banned or rewritten, but statues of once-venerated heroes are now seen as disgusting people, torn down, erased, and cancelled.



Along with the destruction of the past, as it is cancelled or erased, we have a rewriting of the past. The rewrite's intention is to create a past where no prejudice, racism, bigotry, or misogyny exists. It is purified using a new, clean narrative.

Here is an example. Look at the popular series The Bridgertons. Follows is a quote from an article written by Elinor Evans, found on BBC's online newsmagazine, History Extra:

As seen in seasons one and two, the choices made by Bridgerton's creators to include characters from diverse historical backgrounds offer audiences a chance to see

just a fun show."

Well, there is some truth to that statement if it wasn't part of the agenda to eradicate diversity (yes, eradicate diversity), eradicate non-inclusion, and anything not politically correct in our history. That is the agenda, and that isn't going to go well.

I'll tell you why. For one thing, we should not be teaching our young generations that everyone in history was just hunky dory when it comes to people of color, women, and homosexuals. It might feel good to think that everyone just went along with peachy lives during these times, but ultimately, we are destroying the truth

behind the struggles of these marginalized people and taking away from their incredible resilience, fortitude, and moral backbone to fight the adversities of their past and become the people they are today. To me, that fact makes this "rewriting of history" a travesty and unconscionable.

From another online source, Alot, with an article titled "20 Historical Accuracies and Inaccuracies in Bridgerton," Bridgerton wanted to model a modern audience, but there really wasn't any explanation for this racial diversity set in a time where many people of color wouldn't have had the status their characters had in the show. The only blunt discussion of this mix was when Lady Danbury (Adjoa Andoh) said to Simon, "Look at our queen (depicted as black or interracial). Look at our king (King George III, who is indisputably white). Look at their marriage. Look at everything it is doing for us, allowing us to become. We

were two separate societies, divided by color until a king fell in love with one of us. Love, Your Grace, conquers all."

It never happened (not King George III marrying a woman who may have been interracial, which could be true, but that it did jack squat for the people of color living at the time—certainly not in the way depicted in the series.) And what good does it do to pretend it did, even in a fictional account of the period? Is it meant to conjure nostalgia for a "time that never was" but should have been? No, I believe, once again, its intention is to keep the actual history fuzzy enough so the audience believes it "might have been that way. Do we really know?" Actually, yes, we do know. But like everything else in our new Bizarro World, the reality is being reworked. The reason it is being reworked, in this particular case and others like it (there are many more examples), is to neutralize diverse cultures, to erase the work they have done to hold onto the truth of their heritage and become acceptable in light of their differences, not their "sameness." This is a true respect for diversity. The point is to accept diverse people, not make them all the same. That's too easy.

Todd Hayen is a registered psychotherapist practicing in Toronto. He holds a PhD in depth psychotherapy and an MA in Consciousness Studies. You can find Todd's writings at ShrewViews.com

Moderna's "Disinformation Department" Monitors 150 Million Websites for "Anti-Vaccine" Narratives

Through a pharma-funded nonprofit and Talkwalker, a "social listening" company, Moderna's team — run by a former 20-year veteran of the FBI — deploys artificial intelligence to monitor everything from mainstream news outlets to gaming sites.

By Brenda Baletti, Ph.D., TheDefender

Moderna's "disinformation department" partnered with an industry-backed nonprofit, the Public Good Projects (PGP), to monitor and suppress dissenting voices on COVID-19 vaccine policy, according to a new report by investigative journalists Lee Fang and Jack Poulson published Monday in UnHerd.

Over the last year, the "Twitter Files," two lawsuits against the Biden administration and other investigations have exposed instances of collusion among government, social media and universities to suppress dissenting speech about COVID-19 policies, election fraud allegations and other topics. This new report sheds light on Moderna's behind-the-scenes strategy within this new media landscape. It exposes key actors and how they worked to monitor 150 million websites for the purpose of censoring speech that undermines the company's COVID-19 vaccine narrative and actively shaping public discourse to benefit Moderna's bottom line.

Great Barrington Declaration co-author and Stanford

University professor Dr. Jay Bhattacharya, who was blacklisted by Twitter, praised the new report in a tweet:

"This report by @lhfang and Jack Poulson in @unherd is absolute fire. Moderna, thru the Public Goods Project pays thousands of health professionals to attack and defame vaccine critics and push social media to censor anyone who says things, true or false, that reduce profits." @DrJBhattacharya

Moderna had never successfully advanced any product to market prior to the COVID-19 mRNA vaccine and was teetering on the edge of collapse when the pandemic was announced. Its mRNA COVID-19 vaccine transformed the drugmaker into a \$100 billion company almost overnight and turned its CEO, chairman and co-founders into billionaires.

Today, as public interest in taking yet another booster shot tanks and federal subsidies for the shot are disappearing, so are profits, leading the company to invest in new strategies — like a flashy marketing campaign — to stay afloat, Fang and Poulson reported. Moderna also is doubling down on work started during the pandemic to attack dissent about vaccines and to direct vaccina-

tion policy, they found.

In fact, Moderna today employs former law enforcement agents, like Nikki Rutman, a 20-year FBI veteran who worked for the agency in Boston during Operation Warp Speed where her job was to conduct weekly cybersecurity meetings with Moderna.

Now she runs Moderna's global intelligence division — part of the department spearheading Moderna's work to stop "disinformation" — producing reports that flag "anti-vaccine narratives" online and recommending whether and how to address them, they wrote.

The department works with the PGP, largely funded through a \$1.27 million donation from the Biotechnology Innovation Organization, a biotech lobbying group that represents Pfizer and Moderna. Through PGP and Talkwalker, a "social listening" company, Moderna's team monitors everything from mainstream news outlets to gaming sites, deploying artificial intelligence to monitor 150 million websites across the world for vaccine-related conversation.

The team issues reports to Moderna staff that colour-

Continued on p.10

Pickering City Council, Meet Councillor Lisa Robinson

By Lynn Frances

Within five months of beginning her first term with the City of Pickering on October 23, 2022, many of her constituents invited Councillor Lisa Robinson to a Durham District School Board (DDSB) meeting in the spring of 2023. (The children of her constituents attend either the Durham District School Board or the Catholic District School Board.) The parents were frustrated because they couldn’t dialogue with the school trustees at the DDSB meetings. The trustees continuously shut the parents down and kicked them out of the meetings for asking simple questions.

Councillor Robinson was disturbed by what she observed at that meeting.

Then, on May 15, she returned to a second DDSB meeting, which resulted in all the attention she has received since then. Everyone was flustered, and at the break, the school trustees cleared everyone out of the meeting. Outside, two of many concerned parents from the Muslim community were addressing a large crowd using a bullhorn. They asked Councillor Robinson if she would like to talk with them. She took the opportunity to speak and get their opinions on three motions that constituents had asked her to bring forward.

• ***A motion to prohibit the flying of all special interest flags on Municipal Property.***

There is a lot of controversy at the schools with raising different flags. Based on the 2015 Supreme Court decision about the “state of neutrality,” Councillor Robinson noted that elected officials and government bodies should be neutral. When elected officials fly a flag of a special interest group, it creates a hierarchy, which isn’t fair because it doesn’t represent all of their constituents. In their city bylaws, underneath their policy about flag procedures and displays, they’re not allowed to fly any political flags related to controversy or ideological beliefs.

• ***A motion to restrict drag queen story time and pride events to adults only.***

Councillor Robinson proposes an age restriction of 18 for drag queen story time in libraries and pride events to make them age-appropriate. She is responding to her constituents, those outraged parents who demand a stop to the sexualization of their children in the schools and libraries.

• ***A motion to have a female-only change room, a male-only change room, and a universal change room to be inclusive of all genders and families.***

Councillor Robinson focused on the Pickering Recreation Center (the Chestnut Hill Recreation Center), where, during COVID, they revamped the change rooms: a female change room for members over the age of 18 and a male change room for members over the age of 18, with

a universal change room for everyone else. So, a male or female member under 18 or a guest for the day would all be forced to use the universal change room. With mental health and physical health issues for children, younger adults, and adults, Councillor Robinson was firm about the right to choose, with even the provincial government saying women should have designated female bathrooms.

Councillor Robinson never had the chance to present these draft motions to the City Council and only explained them on her Facebook page. Pickering City Council voted



unanimously on October 23, 2023, to freeze Councillor Robinson’s pay. The City of Pickering had already docked Councillor Robinson’s pay for 30 days for a sarcastic remark on her personal Facebook page, and they voted to extend that freeze another 60 days. So, for 90 days (a quarter of her first year’s salary), Councillor Robinson, a single parent with no other source of income, is working on behalf of her constituents for free and unable to support her family, pay her mortgage, or cover legal fees coming into the Christmas season. She will not receive her salary again until January 2024.

The Integrity Commissioner, Janice Atwood-Petkovski, and Councillor’s fellow Council members (Mara Nagy, Maurice Brenner, and Linda Cook), found Councillor Robinson’s proposed motions “unethical” because they considered them “homophobic” and “transphobic.” Councillor Cook further argued that drag performances are a form of “art” and are not hyper-sexualized events targeting children.

In the Council’s complaint against her, they stated that she wants to abolish any support from the City of Pickering

for the LGBTQ+ community, which is untrue. When many targeted Councillor Robinson for the timing of presenting the first and second motions ahead of Pride Month, she emphasized that was not why she did it.

During the Council meeting, Mayor Ashe told Atwood-Petkovski that she did not have to answer Councillor Robinson’s questions regarding the Integrity Commissioner’s report, which the Commissioner based on double hearsay, personal opinion, and personal views.

When Councillor Robinson asked the Integrity Commissioner if she had ever been to the Chestnut Hill Recreation Center change room the Commissioner avoided answering. Councillor Robinson told her that contrary to what the City of Pickering says about the renovations, it’s not safe because, as a grown woman, Robinson can crawl under and over the stalls. She also pointed out that in universities, authorities are charging people with voyeurism for using cameras to watch women in the showers. It’s a space where people are vulnerable and have the right to feel safe.

Through the Councillors’ actions, it became clear they do not respect that Councillor Robinson is doing her job as an elected city councillor by representing her constituents’ pro-family values and upholding the 2015 Supreme Court decision about the “state of neutrality” for elected officials and government bodies.

The Pickering City Council then passed the “Legislative Amendments to Improve Municipal Codes of Conduct and Enforcement,” which will allow the removal of a sitting member by recommendation of the Integrity Commissioner through the court system.

In collusion with the Integrity Commissioner, the Pickering City Council has disregarded the Charter of Rights and Freedoms that protects differences of opinion, including Councillor Lisa Robinson’s freedom to represent her and her constituents’ opinions within municipal government. Freezing a publicly elected official’s salary because she holds a different opinion than her colleagues is unhealthy for democracy. It is a great disservice to all citizens to freely choose who they want to elect as their City Councillor.

See the petition started by Gregory Tomchyshyn to Mayor Kevin Ashe on behalf of Councillor Lisa Robinson: citizengo.org/en-ca/fm/212301-defend-lisa-robinson-pickering-city-council

Donate to assist with her legal fees:
<https://www.givesendgo.com/GB9DP>

Contact Councillor Lisa Robinson:
lifelibertyandlisa@gmail.com
or Twitter (X): [@lifelibertylisa](https://twitter.com/lifelibertylisa)

Suppression Breeds “Conspiracy Theorists”

By Cory Edmund Endrulat

The kind of content those who challenge the mainstream narrative produce is different from any other content. It doesn’t follow the same guidelines, it doesn’t appeal to the same audiences, and it doesn’t often make people’s day better. Yet, if it weren’t for them, who would? And if they challenge the mainstream for some sense of truth not yet embraced, then a better day is the goal, a better future and world is the destiny. In addition, if they choose to be on certain platforms to share such, they can only hope the guidelines support their efforts as anyone else would. Also, the thoughts that arise in such individuals mean they are not alone, and sooner or later, people come to know of them, and they find an audience. Therefore, it may seem that those who challenge the status quo are still on fair ground with those who don’t.

Except for one big problem: Married like slavery and rebellion, the nature of the status quo and the current truths of the time are at war over deceit and censorship. The more extreme the views become, the more the hardships will be unlike anything else. Also, to call for suppressing another is asking for your own suppression, as it is in every form since it is the golden rule. Nevertheless, voluntary communities always persist, and to respect boundaries, as such, is often the very reason why people are so concerned for the truth when it isn’t respected. When those who speak the truth feel like they aren’t being heard in a world where some platforms dominate and actively push content that promotes deceit, suppression occurs, and people ignore it. If it weren’t for systems of suppression, there would be no systematic truthers. If it weren’t for disregard and

attack, there would be less fuel to the fire of those with a burning passion for sharing the truth.

Essentially, suppression breeds distrust and division and the need for transparency and unity, which is no surprise psychologically and by understanding the Taoism’s ancient wisdom. More controls do not generate more unity and order—quite the opposite. And it is not



that social platforms are to have no control; it’s to say that contrary to popular belief, freedom creates order with the proper restraints that make freedom possible. Those said restraints are debated endlessly in governments that use violence against those who do not comply. Whereas, when it comes to social platforms, such restraints are not so much the issue if one can voluntarily leave such platforms, join others, or create their

own; however, again, the problem arises when these platforms become dominant, and individuals feel like they have no choice. In reality, however, they do. They always do. For governments, they always don’t, unless they are without, for which this possibility tells us again that people always do have the choice even when they think they don’t. People create all these systems: the businesses, the products, the services, the industries, the governments, the religions, all of it.

Even if some claim these systems are the works of God or nature, it is a man or woman who delivers and adds their touch, which is always a choice. We know that nature gives what we cannot change by choice—truth itself. And those who would limit choice can only attempt to do so because truth declares that we always have a choice—and truth is always one of the choices. In other words, those who limit truth limit choice, and those who limit choice limit truth. Those who limit voluntary interaction limit freedom and ownership and limit the rules, which tells us the problem with rulers. Limits are all that can be set for one’s nature of infinite potential, a light ever waiting to be shined. Whereas the individual of truth doesn’t set limits upon evil, they abolish it altogether because it’s evil and should not be permitted. The only limits the individual of truth sets are their own because, again, the rules overrule the rulers; the individual overrules some person imagining to be some “authority” over others. Precisely why do we, as ordinary individuals, overrule authority figures? Because, in truth, we are all just people, and we stand with the truth.

Originally published in <https://www.theliberator.us/post/suppression-breeds-conspiracy-theorists>

Truman vs. You

By Laura Aboli

No longer watch movies, it's a side effect of the awakening process, (one the red pill box forgot to mention). But back when I did, there were, every now and then, a few movies worth watching. I have always enjoyed watching and reading things that make me think, that stir something in me. *The Truman Show* was one of those few movies that impacted me and still does to this day.

For those of you who haven't seen the movie, it's a story about a man named Truman (Jim Carrey) who was born and raised in a movie set. His entire life is a controlled production, screened live 24/7, where unbeknownst to him, everyone, from his wife, family, friends, work colleagues and citizens of the small town are actors. This engineered reality is all he's ever known. Through a series of events, Truman begins to question his life and his long-standing intuition that something has never felt quite right eventually drives him in search of truth.

I realize most people may not identify with Truman, but there are many of us, who have come to feel that something is not right and that there is more to life than this, who can totally identify with Truman. The Truman Show is a perfect analogy for understanding the true nature of our perceived reality.

We, like Truman, are born, raised and indoctrinated by a carefully designed system that keeps us obedient, compliant and believing in a reality that is carefully constructed and presented to us in a way that will keep us believing and feeding it. Everything we are taught, shown, allowed to do, say or think must fall into the 'script' or 'narrative' of those who have created this 'show', in order to keep us in the dark, and away from the truth. It has become particularly evident in recent times how anyone who dares to question, criticize or reject the official narrative is censored, ridiculed, stigmatized and labelled crazy, or conspiratorial. The status quo must be maintained, and anyone challenging it must be squashed.

We live in societies where we are tracked, monitored, controlled and told what we can and cannot do. A little over a century ago you did not have to ask permission from government to collect rain, or to go fishing, or to own a property, or to start a business, or to get married, or to sell a product or to protest! Now we can do nothing without being extorted by government and obtaining their permission first. If you think we are free, you are delusional. Somewhere I read: 'We are free-range humans living in a tax farm', and lately we are not even free-range!

"The perfect dictatorship would have the appearance

of a democracy, but would basically be a prison without walls in which the prisoners would not even dream of escaping. It would essentially be a system of slavery where, through consumption and entertainment, the slaves would love their servitude." ~ Aldous Huxley 1931

Our unalienable rights are being eroded as we speak in favour of a totalitarian dystopian reality that we are being sold as the 'Great Reset', where according to the WEF 'We will own nothing but we will be happy'. Our 'show producers' ie. the political-technocrat-pharma



(Screenshot from the movie, *The Truman Show*, with Jim Carrey)

power structure that rules the world, want absolute control over every aspect of our lives, just like Christof had absolute control over every aspect of Truman's life. What Truman read, what he watched, what he was told, everything was orchestrated, everything had a purpose; to keep him believing the lie, to keep him acquiescing to the reality they had created for him.

Is that not what they are doing to us? Are we not 7.9 billion 'Trumans' caught in an engineered reality that serves only the interests of our show producers? Do they not manipulate our perception through the media and entertainment industry? Do they not condition and indoctrinate us through education? Do they not make us fear what they want us to fear? Love what they want us to love? Reject what they want us to reject? Do they not divide us to keep us weak? Have they not destroyed the family unit? Have they not stigmatized religion and spiritualism in favour of consumerism, and materialism?

Our show producers are very good at what they do, they have been doing it for a very long time. We are many generations of 'Trumans', that's why it's so hard for people to step out, we have not known anything different, we have all been born into this carefully produced 'show', or 'matrix'. We no longer know how to distinguish truth from lies, or real reality from the matrix reality.

But just like Truman, more and more people are beginning to question things in search of truth. There is

an innate instinct, an intuition, that is still alive in some of us that will keep pushing us to find the truth, to challenge things, to think critically, to find the light and with it everything that we are, everything that is and everything that ever will be, way beyond this 'Truman Show' they have created for us. For beyond it, there is truth and love and light. For beyond it, there is God's reality. Beyond it, you know you are an eternal soul experiencing life as a means of expression. Beyond it, you are a sovereign being. Beyond it you know and feel that there

is so much more to life than this. Beyond it, you are free, which is exactly what they don't want you to be.

Towards the end of the movie, as Truman sets sail (despite his fear of water) in search of answers, he faces a violent (engineered) storm, and we are reminded that courage is required to step out of the egoic construct of the matrix. The system resists your rebellious act, and those around you who are still compliant will fight your act of courage. They do not want to even consider that you could be right in 'escaping' or 'stepping out' from the established reality, for that would mean they would have to do something about it too, and that requires inner strength and humility and conviction and fearlessness and determination, and most people don't have any of

those things anymore. You, daring to challenge the status quo, have become a problem, you must be stopped, you must be brought to your senses, back into compliance, back to the norm, back into the matrix.

But they have underestimated you, they don't understand we cannot be stopped, the drive is greater than us. We have understood that we have been fooled, entrapped and enslaved, but there is one thing they will never be able to control, they cannot control our souls, that which makes us who we are, that which connects us to source, that which will lead us to truth and with it to freedom. We are far more powerful than we think. They know this, but they made us forget.

Suddenly, Truman's boat hits a wall – the wall of the dome, painted in blues and whites of the fake sky and clouds. And there, up a flight of stairs ascending out of the water is a doorway. The way out from the illusory reality he had grown up in, the gateway to truth, to freedom. Leaving behind everything and everyone he ever knew, he opens the door and steps outside.

The truth is, we are all Truman, whether you want to face it or not. I suggest you open the door and step outside before it's too late.

"In case I don't see ya, good afternoon, good evening and good night."

Originally published at lauraaboli.com

FREEDOM WINS!

- **Charges dismissed for the Calgary pizzeria owner who served unvaccinated customers during lockdown.** Jesse Johnson, the owner of *Without Papers Pizza*, was charged in October 2021 for not complying with public health orders. The restaurant broke the city's bylaw when undercover officers were allowed to buy pizza and stay on the premises without showing vaccination proof during the lockdown. At the time, the pizzeria publicly announced, "*We accept all, may they be vaccinated or unvaccinated, as being equal in their humanity and afforded the same dignity and equity as such.*" Provincial health orders implemented during the pandemic forced the pizzeria into insolvency. Johnson said he intends to pursue a civil lawsuit against the city government.
- Texas Governor Greg Abbott enacted Senate Bill 7, **a new law that bars private businesses within the state from mandating COVID-19 vaccinations for their employees.**
- **DC students will no longer need to vaccinate**

against coronavirus to attend school. After receiving backlash for its authoritarian mandate that lacked scientific evidence, the DC Council voted to repeal its coronavirus vaccine mandate for schoolchildren. Members reversed the measure unanimously and without any debate.

- **CDC announces that the proportion of US kindergartners exempted from school vaccination requirements reached its highest level – 3% for the 2022-2023 school year.**
- **Lingerie chain Victoria's Secret is bringing sexy back – and is ditching its woke/feminist makeover** as it hasn't translated to business success. When the brand decided to move away from its famous "Angels" supermodel image, as described by BusinessOfFashion.com in a recent article, Victoria's Secret saw a significant revenue drop.
- **The Court of Appeal of Alberta acquitted Pastor Tracy Fortin and Church in the Vine**

in Edmonton after being fined more than \$80,000 **for three obstruction convictions under the Public Health Act.** All the convictions were related to refusing COVID-19 health inspections during religious services.

- **No discipline for an Ontario teacher accused of failing to use pronouns in the classroom.** The man, unidentified in a press release, was "cautioned" by professional regulators, though was able to keep his teaching certificate. As Mark Joseph, senior litigation counsel for *The Democracy Fund* noted, after a "*review by the investigation committee, our client's professional regulator decided only to issue an oral caution to our client. Our client is now free to resume his profession.*"
- **Windsor lawyer Antoine d'Ailly wins the case against allegations that he failed to enforce provincial masking requirements** in his law office. Mr. d'Ailly was charged in December 2020 under the Reopening Ontario Act, which required businesses to enforce masking requirements.

The New York Times Finally Admits to the Harm Done to Children

By Jennifer Sey

The *New York Times* published an op-ed over the weekend entitled “The Startling Evidence of Learning Loss Is In.” Here’s the second paragraph: “The evidence is now in, and it is startling. The school closures that took 50 million children out of classrooms at the start of the pandemic may prove to be the most damaging disruption in the history of American education. It also set student progress in math and reading back by two decades and widened the achievement gap that separates poor and wealthy children.” www.nytimes.com/2023/11/18/opinion/pandemic-school-learning-loss.html

For anyone who has been paying even a modest amount of attention for the past 3 ½ years, the evidence is anything but startling.

People often ask me, and even more so since this “startling” piece hit the digital airwaves: “Don’t you feel redeemed?”

In fact, it’s hard to describe how angry this “revelatory” piece of writing makes me. More than 3 years too late, the *New York Times* has now given permission to acknowledge what was obvious from the beginning. But if you dared to say so in 2020, or 2021, or even 2022, you were smeared with all sorts of career-ending ad hominem attacks, including but not limited to: racist, eugenicist, ableist, science-denying alt-right Trumper, flat earther and sometimes Nazi.

So no. I don’t feel grateful that the *New York Times* has finally deemed this subject acceptable to talk about when the damage has already been done to both American children and those dissenters who challenged the fear-mongering, and data-denying mainstream narrative with actual science and facts.

Furthermore, this “journalistic” outfit fails to acknowledge their own complicity in these devastating results.

It was clear what would happen all along, but the *New York Times* failed to interrogate the issue and instead published “the science” as determined by Big Pharma press releases, teachers’ unions, and government leaders cowering in the face of public health bureaucrats.

My first writing on the subject was this in February 2021, but I had started pushing back from day one — March 2020 — in my own community, on news programs, on social media, and with open schools rallies.

There were times I felt like I was going insane because it was all so patently clear what was happening and would only be made worse the longer schools stayed closed: the learning loss; the disengagement from education overall; the depression and anxiety and suicidality due to severe isolation (often summarized as “mental health impacts”); the chronic absenteeism that would inevitably come because when you tell kids that their education isn’t important – isn’t a societal priority – well, they will believe you; the dropout rates; the graduating without being able to read; the abuse at home; the loss of community and hope.

But the more we sounded the alarm bell the more we were demonized.

Unsurprisingly, the poorest, most vulnerable children were harmed the most. Which is also clearly what was going to happen from the outset if you exercised even a modicum of common sense. Because, despite the wealthy hordes in Los Angeles and New York City shrieking about how We’re all in this together! –from their fancy balconies in the Hollywood Hills and the acreage of their Montana vacation homes — they also hired private tutors and formed learning pods with hired help to guide their kids and make sure they stayed on track. And, their children returned to their \$60k a year private schools in the fall of 2020, a year before those who couldn’t afford the luxury of in-person education.

It was poor and low-income children who were left home alone to navigate “Zoom school” while their parents worked hourly wage “essential” jobs. And it was poor and low-income children left home to take care of younger siblings. Or find community – and trouble – outside of school. It was poor and low-income children who missed meals by not being in school, who didn’t have WIFI that worked, who didn’t have adult intervention and oversight that happens in school.



But no child was immune to the impacts. Just when adolescents are meant to be individuating from their parents, they were forced to be at home, alone, relying on screens for any sense of connection to their peers. They missed out on proms, football games, debate club, youth sports, graduations, and all of the small everyday milestones that make a teen’s life. And they were given no hope that it would ever end because it just kept going and going. In some states students experienced disruption to their schooling for as long as 19 months.

And even then, when they finally returned to school full time, they suffered under onerous restrictions including masking, distancing, testing, periodic closures, and no extra-curricular activities.

Furthermore, young people were made to feel like horrible monsters if they struggled with this isolation. They were called selfish grandma killers if they yearned for their friends or wanted to celebrate their graduations. They were made to feel shame for being human. Is it surprising that record numbers of young people were thrown into depression, anxiety, eating disorders, suicidal ideation, drug use, and sometimes, even suicide?

It’s nice that the *New York Times* has caught on now. But in this accurate oh-so-too-late piece, they fail to acknowledge their own complicity in extending and furthering the devastating, ineffective, and morally abhorrent school closures during 2020-2021, with restrictions to children continuing for more than a year after schools actually opened everywhere in the Fall of 2021.

They elevated the voices of those who furthered fear with a schools needs to be closed or else all the children and teachers will die hysteria.

Science reporter Apoorva Mandavilli persistently stoked fears about the danger of Covid to children and downplayed the significant risks of keeping them at home, “learning” on screens, isolated from their peers.

In October 2021, just as children across the country were heading back to school, Mandavilli exaggerated the number of children hospitalized for Covid by 14x, or 837,000 cases.

She continued to stoke unwarranted fear just when kids were going to get a semblance of their lives back, at a time when adults had been going to bars and dance clubs and sports stadiums for over a year.

Was her intention to encourage school districts to shut down again? Who knows. Certainly, she got the numbers way way wrong. She was so caught up in the fear-furthering hysteria — having participated in it for a year and a half at that point — she must have lost the ability to count.

Certainly, there was ample evidence that kids were at little to no risk, nor had they been since the very beginning. But any suggestion — with data cited — that Covid was not in fact dangerous to kids, was deemed “Covid denialism” by Mandavilli.

This is a science reporter for the *New York Times*, folks, not some Twitter rando. Her articles and Tweets carried real weight and influence.

The *New York Times* failed to interrogate the issue of closed schools during Covid in real time. They platformed fear-mongers and silenced, vilified, or just ignored dissenters, which included renowned doctors and scientists who dared to challenge the mainstream narrative like those featured in the pages of this publication.

The *New York Times* consistently published govern-

ment and Big Pharma issued press releases as if they were journalism. They platformed the spokespeople of these entities and their paid influencers furthering unwarranted fear and packaging it as “the science.”

If a normie like me could read and interpret the data available since March 2020 and know that not only would closed schools be incredibly harmful to the most vulnerable children, but that their risk from Covid was thousands of times less than an elderly person, then certainly the science desk at the *New York Times* should have been able to do so.

Simply pushing the narrative that “everyone was at equal risk” was journalistic malpractice.

The news organization needs to go so many steps further than this op-ed.

They need to apologize for their untruthful, damaging reporting which gave cover to government leaders in refusing to open schools and teachers’ unions in refusing to return their members to the classroom.

They need to apologize for smearing those of us who challenged. We didn’t just suffer reputational harm and hurt feelings. We lost friends, our communities, our jobs, in some cases. And our voices were not part of the necessary societal discussion that needed to happen but didn’t. Because the *New York Times* presented one viewpoint — kids are at terrible risk and schools need to stay closed — as the undisputed “science.” As inarguable fact. Anyone who dissented was clearly an insane, selfish, and very dangerous lunatic.

Lastly, after apologizing to both the children harmed and the dissenters dragged through the mud, the *New York Times* needs to pursue this story relentlessly. So that children get the help they so desperately need and deserve.

And so that it never happens again.

Jennifer Sey is a filmmaker, former corporate executive, director and producer of Generation Covid, and author of Levi’s Unbuttoned.

Originally published at: www.brownstone.org

Central Ledger for CBDCs Means 100% Control of your Assets and Money

By Shaun Kaven el Granjero (via X)

It’s way worse than you think. I’d strongly encourage everyone to read the plan written by the BIS (Bank of International Settlement - basically, the Central Banks’ bank)

<https://bis.org/publ/arpdf/ar2023e3.htm>

It’s long and tedious but I’ll give you the cliff notes.

They want to create a CENTRAL LEDGER for CBDCs. That you already knew. But there’s something else much more insidious. They have a plan to tokenize ALL assets. When they roll this out, every asset in the country over a certain value must be tokenized and put onto the central ledger, or it will be forfeited to the government.

Oh, you thought you could escape the dollar collapse by buying properties? Nope. It’ll be tokenized and put on the ledger, and according to this document there will be rules for what you can do with it, how you can sell or buy, etc.

But I have gold and silver reserves! Another nope. They have to be tokenized too. If you don’t tokenize them, and the feds find them, you have to hand them over.

Now, I know a lot of you will say that you’ll defend your property and precious metals with your weapons but good luck against 50 ATF agents.

That’s not the way to stop this. It needs to be stopped before it passes!

Your Life as a QR Code

The Digital ID is Here

By Jason James

Great news, Europe! The digital ID has arrived! Here's a fun, upbeat marketing video to make you think this is a good idea:

<https://youtu.be/AynHulTaafk>

Remember the vaccine passport? This will function in the same way, except massively expanded to capture every aspect of your waking (and non-waking) life. Your entire existence will be stored in an app on your phone; medical records, vaccine status, driver's license, and bank accounts; all of your important information will be instantly accessible with a single tap on your screen. You'll love it because it's convenient, and we all know convenience is the ultimate sleeping pill for Western society. You'll punt your soul into the pits of hell if it means avoiding a flight of steps. You need immediate results, and that's why they've developed this app just for you!

First, you'll link every detail of your physical identity to the app - and don't worry, if you're non-binary or "other" gender, you will be represented with your own category and possibly even a rainbow background. The digital ID has been designed with inclusivity in mind. After all, every human being will be required to have one, and what's more inclusive than that?

Now you're all set up. You've snapped a profile photo and scanned your thumbprint to complete your cybernate self. Everything short of your consciousness is right here in your pocket, 43003 and if all goes according to plan, someday your consciousness will be in there too.

You'll be tracked and surveilled twenty-four hours a day, but it's for your safety. Your digital ID will protect you against pandemics, white supremacy, fascism, and climate change; all potential hazards will be eradicated by your globalist guardians. They'll even send an alert if a sudden surge of transphobia occurs within a hundred-mile radius of your current location. You will know where hate is at all times so you'll never have to feel marginalized again.

A universal basic income will be distributed according to your status as a racialized or non-racialized person. Black and Indigenous folk will be issued an extra

thirty percent payment, and those recognized as colonizers or settlers will be issued thirty percent less as a form of reparations to the racialized members of your community. Other racialized minorities, transgender, two-spirit, gay, lesbian and non-binary identifying persons will be entitled to an additional ten percent payment to be deducted from credits deposited into colonizer accounts.

All paper money will be eliminated and replaced by a central bank digital currency. Your digital ID will act as a wallet to carry this currency, and you will only be able to access your account via this method.

nature. Unsustainable foods like beef, pork, and organic vegetables will require carbon credits in addition to digital currency for purchase. If the required carbon credits are not available in your wallet, your digital currency will not be approved for the purchase of unsustainable foods.

Alcohol and opiate-based narcotics, however, will not require carbon credits for purchase.

In keeping with our commitment to limit travel, our neighbourhoods will be converted into districts, or what they refer to as "fifteen-minute cities." Grocery stores, restaurants, artisan shops and entertainment venues will

all be accessible within these districts. If you wish to venture outside of your assigned district, you will be charged carbon credits in accordance with your distance of travel.

If you wish to earn carbon credits or digital currency beyond your allotted amount, you will be able to do so via a third system called "social credit". In this system, you will be rewarded for equitable and inclusive behaviour. However, inequitable and/or deviant behaviour will result in a low social credit rating and can result in a deduction of carbon credits and/or digital currency from your wallet.

Repeat offenders, or persons with a consistently low social credit rating, may have their digital ID temporarily suspended and may be

required to complete reeducation as a prerequisite for a reinstatement of their digital ID.

You will be expected to stay up to date on your vaccines. An alert will be sent when you are due for a new vaccine or booster. Failure to comply with your vaccine schedule will result in an immediate suspension of your digital ID until all mandatory vaccines have been accepted.

Welcome to your clean and sustainable future. You will own nothing and you will be happy.

(Coming soon to North America)

Originally published at bravenewnormal.substack.com



Since climate change is the greatest threat to humanity in all of recorded history, we will need a collective agreement to restrict travel and limit our individual carbon footprint. Together we can save our planet, and we will be required to make sacrifices in order to ensure a clean and sustainable environment for future generations. Fossil fuel-burning vehicles will be outlawed and air travel will be limited. A specific number of carbon credits will be allotted to each digital ID at the beginning of every calendar year. Carbon credits can be redeemed for flights to domestic or international destinations and can be exchanged for digital currency deposited directly into your wallet.

We will also be required to alter our diets as part of our commitment to living in harmonious balance with

Carbon Tax? Carbon Pain

By Gerald Heinrichs

Bill Gates has astronomical wealth. He buys anything he wants. In a BBC interview, the billionaire admits he has one of the highest greenhouse gas footprints on the planet. But Gates says he contributes to things like "paying for offsets" and "direct air capture". Therefore, he claims, his luxury spending is "not part of the problem".

But that depends on what the problem is. Because if the big problem is ignorance of financial pain, then Bill Gates is a huge part of the problem.

Here in Canada, 2023 has brought a floodtide of financial hardship. A man in Winnipeg cannot afford gas to visit his children who live with his ex-wife in Alberta. And tradespeople with long drives to work get little help from paltry carbon rebates. The Trudeau government admits that 8 million Canadians pay out more carbon tax than they get back. So these Canadians bear the tax with family cutbacks and deeper debt. Canadians are learning that the government's power to tax is - as the saying goes - the power to destroy.

Is all the misery worth it? Retired engineer Gwyn Morgan gives one analysis. He writes "if we took all [Canada's] gasoline and diesel-powered vehicles off the road for a full year, the total emissions avoided would offset just 56 hours' worth of China's emissions." Yet Canadians pay and pay because the Trudeau government says "it can't be free to pollute".

Meanwhile Canada's well-to-do can live footloose and pain free. Because they, like Bill Gates, can carry on the same - paying the carbon tax with a self-contented smile.

Gerald Heinrichs is a lawyer in Regina Saskatchewan.

Citations:

- **Federal Government statistics:** www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/climate-change/pricing-pollution-how-it-will-work/putting-price-on-carbon-pollution.html
- **Bill Gates interview:** www.cbsnews.com/news/bill-gates-private-jet-doesnt-make-him-hypocrite-because-he-invests-billions-into-climate-change
- **Gwyn Morgan article:** www.timescolonist.com/opinion/comment-canada-should-call-out-chinas-emissions-charade-7643614

Advertisement

Action4Canada Merchandise

action4canada.com/
merchandise

Advertisement

RADICAL
DIGITAL AGENCY

Website Design

We need to stick together and support each other! Most small business have been suffering since Covid, including our own.

We would like to offer our fellow freedom fighters a discount on our web design and digital marketing packages. Basic Package at no charge, Advanced and Premium Packages at 50% off with hosting fees.*

Reach out through our website & lets give each other a hand.

www.radicaldigitalagency.com

Century Initiative: 100 Million Canadians by 2100?

By Riley Donovan

It seems like every week another poll is released which shows that public support for mass immigration has slipped even lower. And yet, nothing changes. A major reason for this is the concerted effort of a constellation of immigration lobby groups, perhaps none more influential than the Century Initiative.

Their main goal is clearly laid out on centuryinitiative.ca: increase Canada’s population to “100 million people by 2100” - from 40 million today. This would be accomplished through annual immigration permanently pegged at 1.25% of the population. According to their calculations, this would entail 500,000/year starting in 2026, 560,000/year in the 2030s, 633,000/year in the 2040s, and eventually an annual immigration rate of 1.14 million in the 2090s.

Founded in 2011 by Mark Wiseman of BlackRock, and Dominic Barton of McKinsey, the Century Initiative has been meeting with politicians, producing videos, publishing lengthy reports, commissioning polls, and orchestrating a social media campaign to promote large-scale immigration.

Dominic Barton led Prime Minister Trudeau’s Advisory Council on Economic Growth, with Mark Wiseman serving as a member. In 2016, the council delivered its recommendation: immigration should be raised to 450,000/yr to promote economic growth. A January 2023 report by Radio Canada revealed that the Department of Immigration, Refugees, and Citizenship Canada (IRCC) was told to incorporate this number as

foundational policy.

The Trudeau government now projects an immigration rate of 500,000/yr by 2025. I have traced this astro-nomic figure to page 22 of the Century Initiative’s Fall 2019 report titled For a Bigger, Bolder Canada, which recommends that immigration reach 500,000/yr in 2026.

What will the Century Initiative’s Canada look like in 2100? They project that Vancouver’s population will rise from 3.3 to 11.9 million, Winnipeg’s from 0.8 to 1.7 million, Toronto’s from 8.8 to 33.5 million, and Montreal’s from 4.4 to 12.2 million. This would result in a strain on



infrastructure, a dramatic increase in rent and house prices, more congestion, crowded classrooms, longer surgery backlogs, and stagnant or declining wages from labour competition – to scratch the surface.

Regular Canadians, both landed immigrants and native-born, will be greatly worse off in this scenario of endless population growth. The corporate class, howev-

er, has a clear vested interest in expanding the popula-tion: more consumers, more strip malls, more develop-ment, more cheap labour.

The Century Initiative’s partners include CIBC, Scotiabank, BMO, and TD Bank. Five of the eight Century Initiative Board of Directors have ties to the corporate sector:

- Goldy Hyder (CEO of the Business Council of Canada)
- Murad Al-Katib (Saskatchewan’s “prince of pulse crops”)
- Willa Black (Vice-President of Corporate Affairs and CSR for Cisco Canada)
- Tom Milroy (President of TOSA Investments Limited)
- Mark Wiseman (Formerly Senior Managing Director of Blackrock, now Senior Advisor to Boston Consulting Group and Hillhouse Capital)

In Trudeau’s “post-nation-al state with no core iden-tity” (his own words, in a 2015 interview with the New York Times), the popu-lation growth schemes of lobbyists are given undue influence over immigration policy. Citizens (including many free-thinking immi-grants) who speak out against mass immigration are spuriously labelled racist.

The good news? In our par-liamentary democracy, mon-eyed interests are ultimately powerless against an awak-ened population. Canadians must be unafraid to press our Members of Parliament for a return to peace, order, and good government in our

Dominion - starting with an immigration policy that puts Canadians first.

Riley Donovan is an independent journalist and founder of dominionreview.ca. You can find him on X: @valdombre

The Liberal Effort To “Bribe” The Legacy Media And The Legacy Media’s Willingness To Take Taxpayer Money – Is A Huge Threat To Canadian Democracy

By Spencer Fernando

The closer the media gets to the government, the less Canadians will trust it. The less Canadians trust it, the closer the media gets to the govern-ment.

Years ago, as the legacy press started to lose market share amid the rise of social media and competitors like Yours Truly, they faced a choice.

They could have been introspective and looked at what they were doing to cause the loss of trust and demand for alternatives among the public.

Or they could run to the government, demand state intervention, demand access to taxpayer dollars, and demand restrictions on social media and their competitors.

We know which one they picked.

And yet, for all their efforts to make the state their patron, the legacy media continues to get weaker and weaker.

According to the Reuters 2023 Digital News Report, just 40% of Canadians say they trust the news. That’s down from 45% in 2021 and 42% in 2022. And it’s way down from 55% in 2016.

The trend is undeniable.

Yet, no introspection by the media has taken place.

The more they struggle, the more they demand gov-

ernment intervention. The more Canadians freely choose not to consume their content, the more the legacy press demands the government force us to pay them anyway.

And now, they are in a death spiral.

Government-funded media can never be truly inde-pendent. It can never be fully trusted, because it relies on the government for funding and thus has a powerful incentive not to antagonize the government.

Canadians are increasingly catching on to this. Thus, the more Canadians per-ceive the legacy press as an extension of the state prop-aganda apparatus, the less trust they will have in the press, and the more money the press will lose. The more money the legacy press loses, the more state inter-vention they demand, and the more Canadians then lose trust in them.

They are demolishing an essential part of our democ-ratic institutions, they are

robbing Canadians of our hard-earned money, and they are seeking to cripple the independent media and con-tent creators who are actually succeeding on their own merits in the free market.

And so, as their death spiral deepens and Canadians increasingly turn against them, both the Liberal gov-ernment – for seeking to bribe the press – and the leg-acy press itself – for taking the money – have nobody to blame but themselves.

Originally published at: www.spencerfernando.com



OWN A PIECE OF TRUTHFUL HISTORY!
Druthers Gift Packs include reprints of all 36 issues from our first 3 years of pub-lishing (since December 2020) PLUS a copy of our glossy, 172 page, full colour magazine which highlights the best arti-cles from our first 6 issues. All of this is offered for a limited time for just \$99 which includes shipping & handling. These make great gifts, are extra special collec-tors items, AND your purchase helps sup-port the growth of Druthers.
See: druthers.net/gift-pack

Health Canada Confirms Presence of Plasmid DNA in mRNA Vaccines, Including the SV40 Sequence

By Dr. Mark Trozzi, MD.

With all the world’s attention on Israel and Palestine in recent weeks, you may have missed Health Canada’s bombshell confirmation that vials of mRNA Covid-19 injections manufactured by Pfizer and Moderna are contaminated with plasmid DNA. (bit.ly/47MgAdl)

Most concerning is that the undisclosed and seemingly deliberate integration of the Simian Virus 40 (SV40) promotor sequence in the Pfizer vials poses serious risks of cancer and harm to the immune systems of those injected. These discoveries have serious health implications, as well as important implications for civil and criminal liability of the manufacturers of these products.

These injections were labelled as ‘safe and effective vaccines’. However, analysis of the ingredients listed in the fine print revealed trillions of copies of mRNA for a patented coronavirus spike protein, packaged in a lipid nanotechnology delivery system that penetrates all tissues and cells. Even doctors and patients who did their research and understood how different this product was from anything previously called a vaccine were unable to facilitate or give informed consent, as the presence of DNA and additional genetic sequences were undeclared.

On 9 October 2023, the World Council for Health (WCH) held an expert panel that confirmed the findings by multiple laboratories of DNA contamination, and discussed the implications. This expert hearing was hosted by Dr Mark Trozzi and Christof Plothe, DO of the WCH, with panelists Kevin McKernan, Dr Janci Lindsay, Prof. Sucharit Bhakdi, Prof. Alexandra Henrion-Caude, Assoc Prof Byram Bridle, Dr Peter Mc Cullough, Prof Brigitte König, Dr Jessica Rose, and attorney Katie Ashby-Koppens.

Ten days later, Health Canada became the first national regulator to make a statement. They declared that they were aware of some low levels of DNA contamination but had not been aware of the presence of the SV40 promoter or other additional genetic sequences. This is not surprising because the product provided to the medical regulators to test appears to be different from that distributed to the population.

We are unfortunately accustomed to issues of contamination of our food, water, air, and soil. However this ‘DNA contamination’ of the Covid-19 ‘vaccines’ involves injecting unsuspecting persons with substances that might genetically modify them, and that pose multiple serious health risks both to them and to their offspring.

Even a normal vaccine requires a decade of monitoring to assess its safety and effectiveness. These genetic therapies were imposed on the world’s population after only two months of experiments on humans. Those experiments were riddled with the intent to obfuscate safety concerns and dramatise the benefits of the product. Furthermore, we do not know if those experiments were carried out with the clean mRNA products that the manufacturers had handed to governments for approval, or the highly DNA-contaminated products which they then distributed and administered to the world’s population.

In fact, in Pfizer’s highly flawed two-month clinical human experiment prior to the emergency approval of their product, there were more deaths among the ‘vaccinated’ test subjects than the ‘unvaccinated’ control group. Recent analysis has revealed that, so far, these novel injections have most likely caused at least 17 million deaths and hundreds of millions of severe injuries worldwide. (bit.ly/40X8bRL)

In April 2023, Kevin McKernan and colleagues reported on the discovery of high levels of contamination of mRNA injection vials with plasmid DNA, including the gene sequence for the SV40 promotor (McKernan et al., 2023, osf.io/b9t7m/). Since then, medical regulators were alerted to this problem but, until recently, there had been no replies or feedback from any of them. Health Canada is the first medical regulator to have confirmed the presence of one SV40 DNA sequence in the Pfizer Covid-19 injections, which the manufacturer had not previously highlighted. More recently, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) confirmed the same. (bit.ly/3uz0aXj)

The Epoch Times immediately contacted Health Canada about this, and they confirmed that, “Although

the full DNA sequence of the Pfizer plasmid was provided at the time of initial filing, the sponsor did not specifically identify the SV40 sequence.”

The regulator said that after genomics experts Kevin McKernan and Dr Phillip J. Buckhaults earlier this year publicly raised the issue of the presence of SV40 enhancers in the vaccines to The Epoch Times, “... it was possible for Health Canada to confirm the presence of the enhancer based on the plasmid DNA sequence submitted by Pfizer against the published SV40 enhancer sequence.”

Kevin McKernan and Dr Jessica Rose, both panelists at the WCH expert hearing, are among the authors of a preprint study released in October 2023, confirming the same contamination in all the vials examined in Ontario, Canada (Speicher et al, 2023, osf.io/mjc97). The data they present demonstrates the presence of billions to hundreds of billions of DNA molecules per dose in these injections. All of them exceed—by between 188- and 509-fold—the guidelines set by the FDA and WHO for residual DNA of 10 nanograms per dose.

“The current regulatory standards for DNA contamination are woefully inappropriate as they do not consider the source, i.e. whether it is intact, replicable plasmid, or residual chopped-up random DNA. The standards do not account for the newer LNP delivery system, which delivers this DNA even into the nucleus of cells. They do not consider the type of DNA and if there is a strong regulatory element such as an intact promoter, especially one that is known as a strong promoter of mammalian gene expression, such as SV40.” –Dr Janci C Lindsay, PhD, Toxicologist, Molecular Biologist

It is vital to understand the information presented in the preprints released by McKernan et al. and Speicher et al., yet this is highly technical and not easy to understand. The following sections offer explanations of terms and techniques to assist members of the public to make sense of these findings and to participate in discussions arising from these recent discoveries.

What are plasmids and why are they relevant?

Plasmids (or plasmid DNA, pDNA) are small circles of double-stranded DNA found within bacteria, such as E. coli. The biotech industry uses ‘designer plasmids’ inserted into bacteria to amplify specific DNA sequences and produce a number of pharmaceutical products, including insulin, human growth hormone, and the mRNA in the Covid-19 injections.

To mass-produce mRNA for the Covid-19 injections, DNA that codes for the spike protein was spliced into a plasmid and inserted into E. coli bacteria, which were then cultured in fermentation vats, producing multiple copies of the required DNA blueprint. This plasmid DNA was then used to create corresponding mRNA for the injections. At this point, the plasmid DNA was supposed to be removed from the mRNA product. But this purification step was not carried out properly by Pfizer and Moderna, resulting in multiple labs confirming that approximately 35% of the genetic material in the injection vials is plasmid DNA, not the advertised mRNA.

In fact, Pfizer and Moderna applied for and received emergency use authorization to manufacture the mRNA Covid-19 ‘vaccines’ using a different and cleaner method than the one described above, which they used to mass produce the injections that were distributed and injected into most of the world’s population. This represents a ‘bait and switch’, which is arguably a fraud that cancels their shield of legal indemnity for harms caused by these ‘vaccines’.

The goal of the Covid-19 injections was to introduce mRNA coding for the spike protein into human cells, which would then produce the spike protein. This in

turn would generate an immune response, resulting in immunity to SARS-CoV-2. These mRNA molecules were encapsulated within pegylated lipid nanoparticles (pegLNPs), a nanotechnology delivery system that allows them to pass through the cell membrane, and also protects them from being destroyed by enzymes in the cell.

What we were not told is that the undesired plasmid DNA, which contains multiple genetic sequences, was also encapsulated within the pegLNPs along with the intended mRNA. Once injected, these pegLNPs can travel to and penetrate all organs and tissues in the body, where they deliver their genetic payload into all types of cells. In this way, foreign DNA can actually be delivered into the living cells of any tissues in a person’s body.

What is SV40 and why is it relevant?

SV40 is the abbreviation for Simian Virus 40, a tumor-causing DNA polyomavirus (Institute of Medicine, 2002) that was found contaminating polio vaccines produced in the 1950s and 1960s (Garcea & Imperiale, 2003; Vilchez & Butel, 2004). It has been strongly suspected that the SV40 virus was the cause of cancers in people who were injected with those contaminated polio vaccines.

Pfizer’s COVID-19 mRNA injections do not contain the complete SV40 virus but rather SV40 genetic sequences. One of these, the SV40 promoter genetic sequence, can facilitate the transport of foreign DNA into the nuclei of our cells, and its integration into our chromosomes. This is tantamount to the genetic modification of humans.

Unfortunately, this genetic sequence can facilitate the entry of potentially oncogenic (cancer-causing) factors into our cells and can also facilitate the access of plasmid DNA into the nuclei of cells, potentially causing ‘insertional mutagenesis’ (a mutation resulting from the insertion of exogenous DNA into the genome).

While the pegLNPs promote entry of the mRNA into the cell, the SV40 promoter can actually carry the genetic material into the nucleus. David Dean, Professor of Pediatrics at the University of Rochester Medical Center, said about this process:

Furthermore, we have demonstrated that portions of the 72 bp SV40 enhancer are required for the nuclear entry of plasmid DNA in all eukaryotic cells tested to date; plasmids not containing this sequence remain in the cytoplasm until cell division, whereas plasmids containing the enhancer migrate to the nucleus within several hours ...

Dr Dean goes further, saying that any surviving plasmids in the cell can integrate into the cell nucleus during cell division, even without a transfectant (SV40 promoter). Transfectants like SV40 promoters and LNPs guarantee plasmid integration into cell DNA; but even if they didn’t, the plasmids could still integrate into our chromosomes.

When a modified plasmid sequence (including the SV40 promoter) is introduced into a human cell, it can integrate into the host cell’s chromosomal DNA through homologous recombination or non-homologous end-joining. Homologous recombination occurs when the plasmid sequence shares some similarity with the target chromosomal DNA, facilitating the integration. This has the potential of integrating DNA into genes, mutating them, and potentially causing cancer.

Once combined, the plasmid DNA sequences, including the SV40 promoter, can become a permanent part of the cell’s genome. This foreign DNA may then be read by the cell’s transcriptional machinery, producing corresponding messenger RNA. This is then transported out of the nucleus into the cytoplasm of the cell, where it is used to produce specific proteins. These same cellular mechanisms can recognise and use the SV40 promoter to initiate the transcription of nearby genes. Depending on the location of the integrated plasmid and regulatory elements, this could include the expression of oncogenes (genes associated with cancer) or other genes, depending on the location and regulatory elements near the integrated plasmid.

There has been an unprecedented rise in cancer since the Covid-19 injections were rolled out. This includes extremely aggressive cancers being called ‘turbo cancers’. Multiple cancer-causing mechanisms have been found to account for this aspect of the impact of the

Continued on p.9



A Story Told a Hundred Thousand Times

By Charles Eisenstein

I just received a desperate note from a Palestinian friend.

He said, “I am turning every stone to try to figure out how to help my family in Gaza get out... they are now 3 weeks out of their home in Gaza and in the south. They have been living with 50 other people in a small house with no water or electricity. Just bottled water. They will run out of food by week end.”

My friend’s family members are all Christians. Not supporters of Hamas. They are the collateral damage of a very ugly war. It is a story told a hundred thousand times.

What has happened over the last month is appalling. Without knowing what I am about to say, everyone on any side of the Gaza issue is prepared to agree to that.

As to what, specifically, is appalling, different people have different opinions. It is appalling that pro-Palestinian student organizations celebrated the Hamas attacks that murdered, tortured, and kidnapped hundreds of innocent civilians, including babies in their cribs, and the universities have done nothing to stem the rising tide of anti-Semitism. It is appalling that four or five thousand Gazan children have been killed in the bombing raids that have even targeted ambulances evacuating patients to south Gaza, while settlers murder innocent Palestinians in the West Bank every day as the army stands by and does nothing.

False equivalency! How dare Eisenstein mention both of these in the same breath? Both-sidesism! Doesn’t he know that one is totally inexcusable while the other is quite understandable and even justified given the proper historical-political context?

Ah, but which is which?

Most of the arguments around this issue, which are tearing apart entire political movements and rendering vast areas of comments uninhabitable, center on who is justified, what is inexcusable, whom to condemn, which side is right, and which side is wrong. Despite a month of strenuous vituperation, the two sides seem not to have gotten any closer to agreement. In fact, as weeks go by the other side looms all the more monstrous for its utter intransigence, its moral blindness, its closure to any inconvenient facts. Peace is impossible when facing such monsters. We are battling evil itself.

Such is the rhetoric around this issue. I am not exaggerating it.

People have asked me why I have not condemned Hamas. And they have asked me why I have not condemned Israel. From the mentality of condemnation it is easy to construct a case why either or both should be condemned. To millions on either side, the case for their side seems incontrovertible. But I won’t condemn, because it is the assumptions and mentality of condemnation that

keeps us forever on the grisly merry-go-round of carnage on this earth.

I have a more important goal than to see the good victorious and the wicked punished. I want the carnage to stop. That does not mean that I hold the Israelis and Palestinians as equal parties to the conflict. I understand the dynamics of oppressor and oppressed that are at play. But I will not say, “The Palestinians have a right to armed resistance,” nor will I say, “Israel has a right to root out the terrorists.” Nor am I saying they have no right. What I am doing is to shift outside of that conversation altogether. I leave the rights and wrongs and should’s and shouldn’ts to someone else. And no, I’m not saying those concepts are “wrong.” However, a conversation upon those grounds cannot reach the Promised Land.



I will forgive the reader for thinking that there is no room for fine philosophical distinctions at a moment when thousands of children are being murdered. Won’t it more easily stop if I simply call it out as wrong? Well, I’m not so sure about that. I do think it is important that all aspects of the situation are made visible. We need to understand as much as possible in order to know how to change the conditions that have created it. Does adding a moral pronouncement atop the information do any good?

I think it actually does harm. That’s why I’m making an issue of it at a time when thousands of children are being murdered. There is a way out of this. I have written about it in previous essays. As long as we are in the business of justifying and condemning, we strengthen its corresponding field of consciousness and its basic axioms. We reinforce the impulse to commit violence so long as you think it is justified. Well guess what? In war, everyone always thinks the violence of their side is justified. Even Adolf Hitler believed the liquidation of the Jews was justified. The Nazis had all kinds of elaborate arguments, couched in the terms of science and reason, appealing to

high ideals of patriotism and progress, for the necessity of the most heinous episode of human history.

George Orwell makes this point starkly in 1984. Here, O’Brien is recruiting Winston into the revolutionary Brotherhood to overthrow the Party.

‘You are prepared to give your lives?’
‘Yes.’
‘You are prepared to commit murder?’
‘Yes.’
‘To commit acts of sabotage which may cause the death of hundreds of innocent people?’
‘Yes.’
‘To betray your country to foreign powers?’
‘Yes.’

‘You are prepared to cheat, to forge, to blackmail, to corrupt the minds of children, to distribute habit-forming drugs, to encourage prostitution, to disseminate venereal diseases -- to do anything which is likely to cause demoralization and weaken the power of the Party?’
‘Yes.’
‘If, for example, it would somehow serve our interests to throw sulphuric acid in a child’s face--are you prepared to do that?’
‘Yes.’

The Party is pure evil; therefore no act is evil if it is to overthrow the Party. Fervent belief in one’s own righteousness is all it takes for a person, or a nation, to commit atrocious acts.

There are a lot of people in Israel and Palestine who fervently believe in their own righteousness. If they are to follow the principle of justification that Orwell lays out, we are going to see a lot more atrocities.

How then, are we to decide what to do, if not guided by what is right and what is wrong? One way is to decide by what serves the goal we wish to achieve. Let’s say the goal is peace. Let’s say the goal is for the cycle of atrocity to end. Let’s say the goal is for people in the Holy Land to live without fear of each other. Then we no longer ask what is justified, but rather what serves that goal.

Now it could be that the actions that serve one’s idea of one’s own rightness also serve peace. But there will always come a point where one must choose. If everyone keeps choosing the violence they believe is justified, there will never be an end to it.

Letting go of justifications for violence and revenge, we can begin to pursue other goals, such as peace. They will not be easy to achieve. But we will achieve them if we try. We will find the path. We will recognize each step as it comes. If, indeed, that is what we are looking for.

Originally published at:
charleseisenstein.substack.com

Plasmid DNA Confirmed

Continued from p.8

Covid-19 injections. The SV40 promoter sequence may be another crucial factor in understanding the strong statistical association between the injections and cancer.

Some have suggested that the SV40 enhancer sequence was used in the plasmids to enhance production of more plasmids during the bacterial phase of the manufacturing process. But it is essential to understand that the SV40 sequence does not work in bacteria. It only works in the cells of mammals, including humans. So why was it designed into the plasmids when it could serve no honest purpose there?

Why is non-disclosure by the manufacturers of the presence of plasmid DNA in the injections important?

The fact that the producers of the mRNA injection failed to inform the regulators of the SV40 promoter sequence has far-reaching consequences. It is not just a toxin that could potentially be removed from the victims once identified; rather, it is genetic material that has the potential to integrate foreign DNA into the human genome. This raises the possibility that a majority of humans on this planet could by now essentially be genetically modified, not to mention generations to come. We do not know at this stage whether or not this is the case, but thousands of scientists and doctors demand an immediate halt to all Covid-19 genetic ‘vaccine’ campaigns. No one should be injected with these

products!

In the 1980s, the vaccine industry was freed of all liability for any side effects from any vaccine administered, making it very difficult for injured persons or families of deceased persons to obtain compensation. This is also the case with the COVID-19 injections, and there is no doubt that, had this not been the case, this novel and experimental gene therapy would never have been released to the entire world.

A vital court ruling was recently made in Michigan, USA. Specifically, the court ruled that a contaminated version of the medical product would render the pharmaceutical company’s legal indemnity null and void, as the product, but not any contaminants, is subject to legal indemnity. Failing to disclose a bio-active component goes one step further. This is a clear violation of existing manufacturing principles and laws and should have legal consequences.

At a minimum, the manufacturers of these injections must be held liable for injuries, deaths, and any other damages resulting from these contaminated products.

Implications for informed consent

Nuremberg Code promulgated after World War Two clearly states that no medication should be used on the public without informed consent. Even in the absence of the now-confirmed DNA contamination, informed consent was already impossible, bearing in mind the short duration of approval trials, the lack of animal studies, the

unforeseeable consequences of experimental gene therapy, and many other reasons. However, the lack of transparency regarding the presence of plasmid DNA, with its potentially gene-modifying and cancer-inducing ingredients, has made it impossible for even the most diligent of doctors to inform their patients. Informed consent for these injections does not exist, and never has.

The Precautionary Principle now demands that Health Canada and medical regulators of all countries using these ‘vaccines’, or any proposed experimental mRNA injections, stop their distribution and administration immediately. Additionally, state-funded media organisations must promptly alert the public. It is their duty to serve the public, not the pharmaceutical industry.

We call upon all persons to alert others, help stop these injections, and protect innocent lives.

Many organisations, including the WCH in its *Covid-19 Vaccine Pharmacovigilance Report*, have previously raised the alarm and called for a halt to the Covid-19 genetic ‘vaccines’. The WCH now calls upon all national and international health regulatory bodies and health agencies to halt the Covid-19 ‘vaccines’ and take immediate steps to protect the public.

This article was originally published by worldcouncil-forhealth.org. All sources for this article can be found on the World Council for Health’s Substack at worldcouncilforhealth.substack.com

Pfizer Sues Poland, Demanding Money for Undelivered and Unwanted COVID Vaccines

Disinformation

By Igor Chudov

After achieving a modest 57% COVID vaccination rate and seeing the vaccines not live up to the promise, Poles refused additional Pfizer COVID vaccine doses around April 2022.

“At the end of last week, we used the force majeure clause and informed both the European Commission and the main vaccine producer that we are refusing to take these vaccines at the moment and we are also refusing to pay,” health minister Adam Niedzielski told private broadcaster TVN24.

“Indeed, the consequence of this will be a legal conflict, which is already taking place,” he said.

Poland cannot directly terminate the contract for the supply of vaccines as the parties to the contracts are the European Commission and manufacturers, he said.

The value of the contract for vaccine supplies to Poland up to the end of 2023 with one producer alone was worth over 6 billion zlotys (\$1.4 billion), with over 2 billion zlotys of that for supply in 2022.

Pfizer said its agreement over the supply of its COVID-19 vaccine to European Union member states was with the EU Commission.

“Our discussions with Governments and the details of vaccine deliveries are confidential,” it added.

Somehow, Poland is a party to the EU/Pfizer contract that was kept confidential from the country but still obligates it to pay.

Anyway, now in 2023, Pfizer filed a suit, suing Poland for the monies due under the contract that was confidential and unavailable for Poland to even look at.

<https://www.politico.eu/article/pfizer-is-suing-poland-over-covid-vaccines-this-is-how-we-got-here/>



How a party can be obligated to pay under a contract that could not ever be assented to due to secrecy is a mystery to me, but I guess the legal minds in Europe see it differently.

Pfizer is suing in Brussels because Polish courts cannot see the contract and are unlikely to be very receptive to enforcing a contract that the court cannot review.

According to Polish newspaper Gazeta Prawa, Pfizer brought the civil case before a Brussels court because the doses were purchased through EU joint procurement contracts, drawn up under Belgian law.

Can Poland, perhaps, bring forth some novel defenses?

Infertility in Poland

Perhaps Poland can ask Pfizer to comment on the dramatic fall in fertility that Poland is experiencing.

Polish COVID Vaccine Victims

Poland may ask its local courts to make Pfizer compensate Polish COVID vaccine victims. (fortunately, there are fewer of them compared to the vax-crazy countries).

Pictures of some of the Polish victims of Covid vaccines, beautiful healthy humans who never needed the “vaccine” and yet died from it, are displayed by their bereaved relatives:

Can Pfizer explain, for example, why Sweden’s deaths continued to go up as the country was vaccinated, while Poland’s deaths went down after Poland refused COVID vaccines?

I am not an international lawyer, and I do not specialize in the enforceability of secret contracts that cannot even be seen by the parties which they obligate.

But I expect that Pfizer will lose.

Do you agree with me?

Originally published at: www.igor-chudov.com

Conspiracy Fact: Chemtrails

Continued from p.1

chloride-salt and carbon dioxide.

Yes, you heard that right: they are fighting climate change but making combustion products of carbon dioxide? I think I just heard their carbon score take a dive. No more flights for you! This FOI isn’t much as far as the extent of what they are up to, (see Dane Wigington’s Geoengineering Watch website for more data) nor what is happening throughout Canada, however what we do have are flight logs, times, chemical use, and evidence of cloud seeding focused on “hail stopping” and thunderstorm modification. This document should be proof enough to jar the sleepers out of their denialism about cloud seeding. However, for me at least, more importantly we have NAMES: the names of companies and people involved with this sh*tshow. The main problem with figuring out how to stop them is finding the culprits to sue. Now we have some places to start.

For now, let’s keep digging, educating, strategizing and collaborating to stop the spraying because I cherish all life: nature is what we are meant to protect, not poison. Tying with natural systems is a HUGE mistake, the results of which we are already experiencing. There is no scary climate change, but there is geoengineering at the hands of a few causing climate chaos and manipu-

lating mankind into yet another deep delusion, furthering their plans to create some warped one world order Orwellian dystopia. I am not leaving my daughter in this cesspool of insanity. Maybe it’s time to start a company that reconstructs Reich’s Cloud Busting machine to retaliate. It’s time to get loud, collect names, and take action.

References:

The 54-page FOI report: canucklaw.ca/wp-content/uploads/A-2022-01018-Release-Weather-Modification.pdf

Letter: canucklaw.ca/wp-content/uploads/A-2022-01018-Response-Letter-Weather-Modification.pdf

Amandha Dawn Vollmer, affectionately known as ADV, is a renowned health expert and best-selling author with an impressive breadth of knowledge on natural healing and holistic wellness practices. With over 20 years of experience in the field, ADV is a sought-after speaker and educator who has inspired countless individuals to take control of their health and live vibrant, thriving lives.

You can find her at www.yumnaturals.store

This article is an excerpt of a longer piece with many reference links, originally published at amandhavollmer.substack.com

Continued from p.2

code the “anti-vaccine narratives” by level of risk. Low-risk narratives “don’t currently warrant any action.” For the higher-risk narratives, the team “will notify the appropriate stakeholders with recommendations,” Lee and Poulson wrote.

Analyzing sample reports, the journalists discovered that examples of “high-risk” posts included a video posted by Elon Musk mocking myriad claims that the vaccines were “100% effective” along with a number of posts made by comedian and political commentator Russell Brand, whom they flagged in September for his “anti-vaccine” beliefs.

The Moderna team also raised concerns over the optics when tennis star Novak Djokovic, who refused the COVID-19 vaccine, won the Moderna-sponsored US Open.

Lee and Paulson reported that Moderna was unconcerned with the truth of any of the claims made in the posts it flagged, only with their effects. “None of the reports that we have seen makes any attempt to dispute the claims made,” they wrote. “Rather the claims are automatically deemed ‘misinformation’ if they encourage vaccine hesitancy.”

Moderna first began working with PGP in 2021-2022 on a program called “Stronger,” where the nonprofit “identified misinformation and shaped content decisions on social media.” PGP could do this effectively because it had “backdoor access” to Twitter data, through a “firehose,” which provides real-time access to all tweets on the platform for large-scale data analysis and data mining.

PGP, which worked directly with Twitter to develop its policies around the pandemic, would send Twitter lists of accounts to amplify or censor. Twitter’s general counsel also advised the US Department of Homeland Security’s task force on combating misinformation to work with PGP on COVID-19 speech-related issues.

Lee and Poulson also found that PGP distributed talking points and advice on how to respond to vaccine misinformation to a network of 45,000 healthcare professionals. “[Moderna’s] intention, as we have gleaned from the emails exchanged, was not only to combat misinformation, but also to affect the content and tenor of public debate,” Fang and Paulson wrote.

This year, as the COVID-19 booster uptake numbers have collapsed, Moderna and PGP launched a new collaboration, this time working with the American Board of Internal Medicine, to develop a training program called the “Infodemic Training Program,” to train healthcare workers to identify “medical misinformation.”

Despite public outrage regarding social media censorship, a clear lack of interest in continuing to take booster shots and the official end of the pandemic announced in May by the Biden administration, Moderna continues to grow its surveillance operation. Internal alerts analyzed by Fang and Poulson reveal the company is closely monitoring laws and politicians seeking to restrict vaccine mandates and that it continues to flag messages posted on X, formerly known as Twitter, by Musk, who Moderna notes, “increasingly uses that platform to elevate fringe vaccine opponents and conspiracy theorists.”

The authors wrote: “The network of fact-checking nonprofits has grown at an industrial pace, providing opaque opportunities for private and public interests to take subtle control over the public discourse. Such sophistication in blending public-health messaging and corporate advertising should concern anyone with an interest in how government controls free speech.”

Originally published on childrenshealthdefense.org



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
December 2023 circulation: 250,000 copies Canada-wide
+50,000 more copies last month via Neighbourhood Mail
Original content DRUTHERS 2023®
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Editors: Christie Lynn & Michelle
Production Manager & Layout: David Bolton
Layout & design: Michael Choo-Chong
Big thanks to: Kristy, Bob, Jessica, Tim, Geraldine, Thunder, Adam, Lynn & so many more...
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net
“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on.”
- Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS.
Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.
Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.
Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.
Please give what you can: druthers.net/donate
Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza,
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

EXPOSED: Bill Gates's Relationship with Jeffrey Epstein

It Revolved Around a Global Health Investment Fund

By Seamus Bruner

Earlier this month, I revealed that one of Bill Gates's most powerful business partners, JPMorgan Chase, had reached a combined \$365 million settlement with Jeffrey Epstein's victims and the U.S. Virgin Islands, which a federal judge approved on November 9.

Over time, Gates and JPMorgan established an investment fund that sought to profit from the development of vaccines and other health technologies. Epstein wanted a piece of the action, and James E. Staley brought Epstein into the Gates partnership.

The Gates-JPMorgan partnership was called the Global Health Investment Fund (GHIF) and was created circa 2011. At the time, Staley managed the Epstein relationship for the bank, and Epstein was a valuable client who brought JPMorgan a lot of business. Epstein was an adviser to billionaires like Victoria's Secret mogul Leslie Wexner and Wall Street legend Leon Black and the "family offices" which manage their fortunes. Wexner and Black deny any involvement in Epstein's criminal activities.

The GHIF partnership brought in a host of other powerful investors, including The Pfizer Foundation, Merck, GlaxoSmithKline, as well as entities funded by the governments of Sweden, Canada, and Germany. There would also be private support from "qualified individuals and family offices."

The GHIF's purpose was to give "individual and institutional investors the opportunity to finance late-stage global health technologies that have the potential to save millions of lives in low-income countries." The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency committed to help offset any potential GHIF losses.

As the GHIF was getting started, Epstein began pitching other business ideas to Gates and his foundation staff. They met "many times," according to the New York Times, and Gates flew on Epstein's Gulfstream plane from Teterboro Airport in New Jersey to Palm Beach, Florida, according to a March 2013 flight manifest.

It turned out that Gates and Epstein had mutual interests in the areas of global health and funding scientific breakthroughs. Both men also had a deep interest in genetic tinkering projects. Epstein reportedly wanted to "seed the human race" with his own DNA by impregnating scores of women. Ironically (or perhaps hypocritically), Epstein was concerned about overpopulation.

Likewise, Gates has long been worried about overpopulation and has stated repeatedly that he wants to

mass vaccinate the third world, specifically for the purpose of curbing population growth. In a 2010 TED Talk, Gates succinctly explained his rationale for funding vaccines and birth control to curtail population growth worldwide.

"The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's headed up to about 9 billion," Gates warned. "Now, if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower that by, perhaps, ten



In this photograph obtained by the New York Times of a gathering at Jeffrey Epstein's Manhattan mansion in 2011, the following individuals are seen (from left to right): James E. Staley, who was a senior JPMorgan executive in 2011; former Treasury Secretary Lawrence Summers; Jeffrey Epstein; Microsoft Co-founder Bill Gates; and Boris Nikolic, who was a science adviser for the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

or fifteen percent."

But Epstein worried that saving lives by curing diseases would not lower population growth rates. He "criticized efforts to reduce starvation and provide health care to the poor because doing so increased the risk of overpopulation," the *New York Times* reported. Bill and Melinda Gates apparently do not see curing diseases in the same way.

While Melinda has acknowledged that saving lives to reduce population may seem counterintuitive, it is not how she views the issue. "When more children live past the age of five," she said in a 2018 interview, "population sizes don't go up, they go down."

Crucial to this formula are the population control efforts into which Bill and Melinda Gates and their foundation pour billions of dollars: contraceptives, pills, and abortion (or, as Melinda describes it, allowing

mothers to "decide if and when to have children").

The simple formula for Gates's plan to save the world appeared to be the following: vaccines plus abortion plus birth control equals sustainability. As *Controligarchs* reveals, it just so happens that Bill Gates and his associates profit from both sides of this equation.

After JPMorgan and Gates teamed up on the global health fund, Epstein pitched an idea for a separate partnership, envisioning "a vast fund, seeded with the Gates Foundation's money, that would focus on health projects around the world," the *New York Times* reported.

However, any future plans involving Epstein were scrapped once he was charged with sex trafficking minors in July 2019. Naturally, his friends and associates began distancing themselves from him, and Melinda Gates claims that she divorced Bill in 2021 due to his relationship with Epstein. Bill Gates has denied having any involvement in Epstein's crimes.

Epstein reportedly hung himself in his prison cell under mysterious circumstances in August 2019.

The GHIF continued its work after the Gates-JPMorgan-Epstein connections were exposed. It had backed mRNA technologies at least five years before the COVID-19 pandemic, and at least four of the companies that the GHIF invested in—Atomo Diagnostics, AccessBio, GeneDrive, and Univercells—"actively worked to address the COVID-19 pandemic through efficient diagnostics that help identify and track cases and the application of innovative technologies for vaccine development and manufacturing."

Seamus Bruner is the Director of Research at the Government Accountability Institute and author of "Controligarchs: Exposing the Billionaire Class, Their Secret Deals, and the Globalist Plot to Dominate Your Life". Follow on X: @SeamusBruner

Originally published at www.breitbart.com

Over 648 Days in Custody...

These four men from Alberta, now known as the "Coutts Four," have been detained for 648 days (as of Dec 1) without bail. They face allegations of plotting to harm police officers during a Freedom Convoy rally in Coutts, Alberta -despite no substantial evidence supporting these accusations. You can show your support by sending them Christmas cards or by visiting their official links:

Chris Carbert
givesendgo.com/GBC3A
facebook.com/groups/1372655066704865

Chris Lysak
givesendgo.com/lysakdefensefund
facebook.com/groups/285319267830256

Jerry Morin
givesendgo.com/G9D6V

Anthony (Tony) Olienick
givesendgo.com/GBDGK



Anthony Olienick (Tony)
Inmate #2200620
Care of Medicine Hat
Remand Centre
874 Second Street SE
Medicine Hat, AB
T1A 8H2



Jerry Morin
Inmate #2182289
Care of Calgary
Correctional Centre
11808 85 Street NW
Calgary, Alberta
T3R 1J3



Chris Carbert
Inmate #1322255
Care of Lethbridge
Correctional Centre
PO Bag 3001
Lethbridge, AB
T1J 3Z3



Chris Lysak
Inmate #1477491
Care of Lethbridge
Correctional Centre
PO Bag 3001
Lethbridge, AB
T1J 3Z3

please send letters of hope

Advertisement

Unique Etched Wooden Ornaments.
\$105.00/set of 4 including postage.
Fundraiser for **Alberta Political Solutions**
see Facebook page.
Inquire at OperationRescue@protonmail.com

SUPPORT INDEPENDENT
MEDIA WITH A

POSTAL SUBSCRIPTION

STRAIGHT TO
YOUR MAILBOX

\$119.40

FOR 1-YEAR SUBSCRIPTION OF 3 COPIES
DELIVERED MONTHLY

**DRUTHERS.net/
subscribe**

OR MAIL TO:
P.O. BOX 40531
SIX POINTS PLAZA
TORONTO, ONTARIO
M9B 6K8

CHEQUES PAYABLE TO:
SHAWN JASON LAPLANTE

OR ETRANSFER WITH ADDRESS TO:
ADMIN@DRUTHERS.NET

Absurdity Observer

Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- A Washington Post investigation found that the **Canadian Sugar Institute** (a national non-profit association that claims to provide “a science-based nutrition information service on sugars”) recently **paid at least a dozen dieticians for videos that encouraged people to give in to cravings for sugary foods**, mocked advice to cut sugar intake, and urged parents to let children eat as much candy as they want.
- A new report released by Juniper Research finds that **investments in smart city technology across the globe are skyrocketing**. The report estimates that the global annual spending on smart city projects will increase from \$35 billion in 2021 to \$70 billion in 2026, with a large chunk of the spending directed towards gathering intelligence through smart technologies.
- **Artificial intelligence is on track to have a larger impact on the environment than entire countries, according to a recent study** published in the journal *Joule* (de Vries). Given the immense amount of energy needed to train and integrate data into AI, the research found that AI could undermine supposed efforts to reduce carbon emissions by eventually consuming as much energy as a country the size of Sweden by 2027. Worst case scenario, the study estimates, in roughly three years, “Google’s AI alone could consume as much electricity as a country such as Ireland.” According to the International Energy Agency, energy production is currently responsible for over three-quarters of global greenhouse emissions.
- **The Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine clinical trials showed a 3.7-fold increase in the number of deaths due to cardiovascular events in vaccinated subjects compared to placebo controls.** This information, from Pfizer’s own data, was disclosed following a Freedom of Information Request by the Texas group *Public Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency*. Initially, the FDA intended to keep the trial data confidential for 75 years, citing the sensitivity of the health outcomes of the 44,060 trial participants. The analyzed data can be found in a peer-reviewed article published in the *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research (IJVTPR)* titled, “Forensic analysis of the 38 subject deaths in the 6-Month Interim Report of the Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine Clinical Trial” (Michels et al.). For a deep dive into the data, see hart-group.org/danger-signals
- **A Canadian study finds DNA contamination in both Pfizer’s and Moderna’s COVID mRNA vaccines. The study also found a strong relationship between DNA contamination and side effects** experienced by those vaccinated. The study, titled “DNA fragments detected in monovalent and bivalent Pfizer/

BioNTech and Moderna modRNA COVID-19 vaccines from Ontario, Canada: Exploratory dose response relationship with serious adverse events” (Speicher et al.), found “the presence of billions to hundreds of billions of DNA molecules per dose in these vaccines.... all vaccines exceed the guidelines for residual DNA set by FDA and WHO of 10 ng/dose by 188 - 509-fold.... In an exploratory analysis, we found preliminary evidence of a dose-response relationship of the amount of DNA per dose and the frequency of serious adverse events (SAEs).”

- **An Ontario Doctor who publicly rejected COVID-19 mandates is found guilty of spreading “misinformation,”** raising free speech concerns. The Ontario College of Physicians and Surgeons ruled in a disci-



Illustration by @the_art_of_jalapeno

plinary tribunal that Dr. Mark Trozzi is guilty of professional misconduct, dishonorable conduct, and incompetence in the practice of medicine. The tribunal based its judgment on Dr. Trozzi’s dissent from authorities’ views on COVID-19 mandates, which they categorized as “misinformation.” Dr. Trozzi’s medical license hangs in the balance while he awaits a penalty hearing.

- For the third year in a row, the **UK’s Office for National Statistics has reported a marked increase in excess deaths among the 15-44 age group.** In 2023, this rate significantly surpassed the figures from the years prior to the introduction of the COVID-19 vaccine and notably exceeded the excess death rate recorded in 2020.(Data available at bit.ly/46vcDsi)
- **Ireland’s Department of Health claims that the recent increase in excess mortality is “an indicator of the impact of climate change”** and says that heart-related issues and tumors were some of the leading causes of climate-related deaths last year.

- **For the first time in 20 years, the US infant mortality rate is on the rise.** New data from the CDC show a nearly 3% rise in the rate of infant deaths between 2021 and 2022, which is the largest year-over-year increase the agency has recorded in over 20 years.
- **Not addressing climate change is racist, according to the Canadian federal government.** In her 2023 fiscal update to the Commons, Finance Minister Chrystia Freeland stated that inequitable environmental policies, laws, and decisions that do not address climate change are a form of “environmental racism” that disproportionately impacts “racialized individuals, groups, and communities.”
- **A British museum announces it is relabeling its display of a Roman emperor after concluding he was a transgender woman.** The North Hertfordshire Museum will now refer to Roman emperor Elagabalus with female pronouns, citing references indicating he had a feminine side. A spokesperson for the museum said it was “only polite and respectful to be sensitive to identifying pronouns for people in the past.”
- **US pharmaceutical giant Pfizer is taking the Polish government to court for not purchasing 60 million doses of the COVID-19 vaccine,** breaking their contract. The Polish government says the vials would go to waste. According to Canada’s Auditor General, such advanced purchasing agreements led to Canada disposing of a staggering \$1 billion worth of COVID-19 vaccines in December 2022, on top of tens of millions of other doses that had already expired.
- **New York just made it legal to quarantine and isolate citizens indefinitely.** Regulation 10 NYCRR 2.13 gives the state the ability to instruct the Department of Health to “pick and choose which New Yorkers they can lock up or lockdown without any proof they are sick and without any proof that you’ve been exposed to a communicable disease,” according to Attorney Debbie Anne Cox, “and there is no time limit.”
- **The Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) has invoked “commercial confidentiality” to keep secret thousands of pages of data it collected from millions of Canadians without consent via cell phones** during the COVID-19 plandemic, according to Blacklock’s Reporter.
- The CEO of **The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation announced that they are, by far, the largest philanthropic donor to the World Health Organization.** The foundation provides a whopping 88% of all voluntary funding. This funding makes up about three-quarters of the WHO’s annual budget, which equates to about 66% of their overall budget. Most voluntary contributions are specified, meaning they are tied to a specific program or health campaign, giving the contributor influence over how they use the funds.

VOLUNTEER

Will you **help** give out these free papers in your community?



Or maybe you can help in other ways? We'd love to hear from you.
Visit us at: druthers.net/volunteer

DONATE

Your **support** is vital to the continued success of this paper.



E-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Or visit: druthers.net/donate

SUBSCRIBE

Have your Druthers **delivered** right to your door each month.



Never miss an issue with a postal subscription. Just cover the cost of s/h.
Sign up at: druthers.net/subscribe