

DRUTHERS

TRUTH • LOVE • FREEDOM

Issue #29 | April 2023

druthers /n. ...Informal...
one's own way, preference, or choice:
eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

FREE

Covering news
& information
that mainstream
media won't.
Please read and
then pass this
paper along to
someone else
when done. Find
more to explore
on our website:
www.druthers.net

The World's Largest CBDC Trial: A Preview of the Elite's Cashless Vision for You

By Nick Giambruno

The eNaira is Africa's first Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC).

Central bankers, academics, politicians, and an assortment of elites from over 100 countries hoping to launch their own CBDCs have closely followed the eNaira.

They used Nigeria — Africa's largest country by population and size of its economy — as a trial balloon to test their nefarious plans to eliminate cash in North America, Europe, and beyond.

Are you concerned about CBDCs? Then you should be paying attention to what is happening in Nigeria. That's because there's an excellent chance your government will reach for the same playbook when they decide to impose CBDCs in your area — which could be soon.

CBDCs enable all sorts of horrible, totalitarian things.

They allow governments to track and control every penny you earn, save, and spend. They are a powerful tool for politicians to confiscate and redistribute wealth as they see fit.

CBDCs will also enable devious social engineering by allowing governments to punish and reward people in ways they previously couldn't. CBDCs are, without a doubt, an instrument of enslavement. They represent a quantum leap backward in human freedom.

Unfortunately, they're coming soon...

Governments will probably mandate CBDCs as the "solution" when the next real or contrived crisis hits — which is likely not far off. That's why you must pay attention to what is happening in Nigeria. That way, you can know what to expect and take preventative action.

Here are the top five insights from the eNaira.

Insight #1: Don't Take the Bait... Reject CBDC Incentives

In Nigeria, the government implemented discounts and other incentives to increase the adoption of eNaira.

In North America and Europe, expect the government to require CBDCs to receive welfare payments, a potential universal basic income, so-called "inflation relief checks," or whatever the next cockamamie scheme is.

Think of these incentives like the cheese in a mouse-trap.

Insight #2: Simultaneous Moves To Eliminate Cash

To help boost eNaira adoption, the Nigerian government announced a plan to remove the legal tender status of various high denomination bills, rendering them worthless.

According to the World Bank, over 55% of the adult population in Nigeria does not have a bank account and is dependent on physical cash.

The Nigerian government must have known phasing out cash would be a disaster for a majority of the popu-

lation, but they plowed ahead anyways — so much for democracy.



When your government imposes a CBDC, expect simultaneous measures to force people out of cash, regardless of the costs. Those measures could come in many flavors, but I would bet they would first look to phase out large denomination bills by removing their legal tender status.

We're already seeing this happen...

For example, the EU has already phased out the 500 euro note.

The \$100 bill is the largest in circulation in the US, but that wasn't always the case. At one point, the US had \$500, \$1,000, \$5,000, and even \$10,000 bills. The government eliminated these large bills in 1969 under the pretext of fighting the War on (Some) Drugs. The \$100 bill has been the largest ever since. But it has far less purchasing power than it did in 1969. Decades of rampant money printing have debased the dollar. Today, a \$100 note buys less than \$12 in 1969. Even though the Federal Reserve has devalued the dollar by over 88% since 1969, it still refuses to issue notes larger than \$100.

With CBDCs on the horizon, I think the US government will not only never issue another bill higher than \$100 but will probably look to phase out the \$100 bill under various pretexts.

Insight #3: Bank Restrictions

Most people think of the money they deposit into the bank as a personal asset they own. But that's not true. Once you deposit money at the bank, it's no longer your property. Instead, it's the bank's, and they can pretty much do whatever they want with it.

What you really own is the bank's promise to pay you back. It's an unsecured liability, which makes you technically and legally a creditor of the bank. And since the banking system is intertwined with the government everywhere, it's only prudent to expect governments to place more restrictions on bank accounts as CBDCs debut.

This is exactly what happened in Nigeria.

Cash withdrawal limits and debit card transaction restrictions were imposed, among other measures. In addition, capital controls made it challenging to send money out of the country. I wouldn't be surprised to see the forced conversion of bank deposits into the eNaira — at an unfavorable rate.

Here's the bottom line. Expect all sorts of restrictions — and possible confiscations — to be imposed on bank accounts when a CBDC is released.

Insight #4: Rising Inflation

Amid the eNaira rollout, Nigeria is experiencing some of the highest inflation levels in its history.

This is not surprising. CBDCs make it even easier for the government to debase the currency. So, it's reasonable to expect more inflation when CBDCs come to town.

Insight #5: Social Unrest

In another predictable development, frustrated Nigerians took to the streets over the government's actions to restrict cash and bank accounts. There was a violent scramble to exchange old notes before the government deemed them worthless. Riots broke out in several locations.

There's an excellent chance the destructive restrictions imposed alongside CBDCs could create social unrest anywhere.

Conclusion

To summarize, here are the top five insights from Nigeria's CBDC experience.

- Insight #1: Don't Take the Bait... Reject CBDC Incentives
- Insight #2: Simultaneous Moves To Eliminate Cash
- Insight #3: Bank Restrictions
- Insight #4: Rising Inflation
- Insight #5: Social Unrest

As CBDCs come to your neighborhood, you now know what to expect. Governments will probably mandate CBDCs as the "solution" when the next real or contrived crisis hits — which is likely not far off. There's an excellent chance more inflation and financial chaos is coming soon.

Are you ready for it?

That's why I just released an urgent PDF guide, "Survive and Thrive During the Most Dangerous Economic Crisis in 100 Years." Download this free report to discover the top 3 strategies you need to implement today to protect yourself and potentially come out ahead.

With the global economy in turmoil and the threat of a "Great Reset" looming, this guide is a must-read. Go here to download it now. (/internationalman.com/special-report/the-most-dangerous-economic-crisis-in-100-years-the-top-3-strategies-you-need-right-now)

Originally published at internationalman.com

DRUTHERS is written, funded, promoted & distributed freely by concerned Canadians like you.
If you appreciate this paper, please help us print more @ www.druthers.net/donate

facebook.com/druthers.net | instagram.com/druthersnews | twitter.com/druthersnews

youtube.com/druthers | bitchute.com/druthers | telegram.me/druthers

Punished for Doing the Right Thing? The Battle for Ethical Medicine in Ontario

By Dr. Matthew Evans Cockle

The College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario (CPSO) continues to pursue disciplinary proceedings against three Ontario doctors for providing vaccine exemption letters and making allegedly “misleading” statements questioning the health benefits of CPSO policy on COVID-19.

Medical Doctors Speak Out

The stakes are high for Doctors **Crystal Luchkiw**, **Patrick Phillips**, and **Mark Trozzi**; with their medical licenses indefinitely suspended, they are risking their right to practice medicine, their income, and their reputations as health care professionals in a struggle over both the right of patients to receive personal health care and advice from their physicians, and the right of physicians to debate medical matters of public concern.

For Dr. Mark Trozzi, it all comes down to following the golden rule of doing no harm, and the Hippocratic oath to uphold the medical profession’s ethical standards. The ethical practice of medicine is simply the non-negotiable means and end of being a real doctor.

For Dr. Crystal Luchkiw, the ethical and effective practice of medicine depends upon doctors’ freedom to make independent clinical judgments based on the doctor’s knowledge of both the relevant medical domain and the patient’s unique needs. It is imperative that such judgments proceed from both expertise and cornerstone medical fundamentals, and that they be exercised free from any and all coercive external interference.

According to Doctors Luchkiw, Phillips, and Trozzi, the COVID-19 related restrictions that the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario has imposed upon doctors in Ontario are not only unethical and contrary to best medical practice, but they are also unlawful. As Dr. Patrick Phillips has explained, none of the policies imposed by the CPSO have any formal legal “force or effect to lawfully prosecute physicians.^[i]” According to the most recent amendment of the Medicine Act of 1991, legally binding regulations may only be made by the CPSO’s council “subject to the approval of the Lieutenant Governor in Council and with prior review by the Minister [of Health].” The CPSO’s COVID-19 policies do not have the Lieutenant Governor’s approval and were not reviewed by the Minister, and they do not, therefore, meet the criteria of legally binding regulations. The point might seem insignificant at first glance, but it is of major importance.

CPSO Overreach

Essentially, if the COVID-19 policies imposed by the CPSO have no legally binding power, then the CPSO has no grounds for launching investigations against Doctors Luchkiw, Phillips, and Trozzi, no grounds for disciplinary proceedings and charges against them, and no grounds for suspending their medical licenses.

A recent hearing panel at the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario rejected a motion to dismiss the CPSO investigations and disciplinary proceedings against Doctors Luchkiw, Phillips, and Trozzi. This means that all three of these highly qualified and ethically conscientious doctors continue to have their licenses suspended. In spite of this disappointing outcome, the hearing panel **did** result in several significant victories for the trio of physicians. In fact, the CPSO conceded that its restrictions on speaking out against public health orders, on prescribing off-label lifesaving treatments for COVID-19, and on writing exemptions for vaccines are **only suggestions** “properly characterized as guidance documents, not binding rules.” Now clearly, there is tremendous irony in this concession. The hearing panel has essentially exonerated all three doctors by admitting that the CPSO’s COVID-19 restrictions are recommendations that do not have the force of law and

are not mandatory. At the same time, the panel refused to dismiss the CPSO’s disciplinary proceedings against Doctors Luchkiw, Phillips, and Trozzi, and their licenses to practice medicine remain suspended.

As Dr. Patrick Phillips has explained, what he and his two colleagues are facing in the ongoing litigation with the CPSO, should be of major concern to all Ontarians and to all Canadians. What is at issue is, firstly, the right of all Canadians to receive personalized medical advice, treatment, and care; secondly, who determines the nature of that care; and thirdly, what advice and opinions medical doctors can express privately to patients and publicly to their communities.

Doctor’s expertise disregarded

By depriving physicians of the freedom to treat and to communicate with patients in accordance with their medical experience and expertise and knowledge of

allegations against Doctors Luchkiw, Phillips, and Trozzi, even after conceding that the CPSO’s statements upon which the proceedings are based — regarding speaking out against CPSO COVID-19 policies, prescribing off-label lifesaving treatments for COVID-19, and providing individual vaccine exemption — were only ever **suggestions** and not binding rules. For a great many Canadians following these proceedings, it must surely appear self-evident that such **suggestions** should not be used as grounds for suspension, investigation or punishment of any doctor.

Questionable actions by CPSO

Indeed, it seems highly questionable whether the CPSO panel has acted in good faith during the hearing. To wit, the CPSO hearing panel refused even to address Doctors Luchkiw, Phillips, and Trozzi’s key submissions that the CPSO “Registrar, Dr. Nancy Whitmore, did not have reasonable and probable ground to order [their] investigations [... and that] the College ... failed to establish that [they] had violated a standard of practice.” Quite frankly, to ignore these submissions has all the earmarks of hubristic folly, appearing contrary to the principles of fundamental justice and the spirit of law in Canada. Dr. Patrick Phillips has expressed the matter succinctly – “In a free and democratic society, citizens are protected from laws that are so broad you can’t know if you’re violating them beforehand.”

Discussions of legal proceedings rarely make for good reading. The plodding pace of legal arguments and the barrier posed by technical vocabulary inevitably get in the way of visceral understanding. That’s why it’s absolutely essential that, in closing, we return to the fundamental issues. Doctors Crystal Luchkiw, Patrick Phillips, and Mark Trozzi have lost their licenses, their careers are under threat, and their lives are being marred by the unpleasant necessity of enormously costly ongoing legal battles.



Dr. Mark Trozzi, Dr. Patrick Phillips and Dr. Crystal Luchkiw

individual patients’ needs, the CPSO effectively prohibits the basis for informed consent and compels patients to

“... all three of these highly qualified and ethically conscientious doctors continue to have their licenses suspended.”

accept whatever advice is promoted by the CPSO in lieu of proper personalized care.

The threat to Doctors Luchkiw, Phillips, and Trozzi’s continued medical practice constitutes a real crisis in Canadian medicine that needs to be addressed immediately. The crux of the problem is that the colleges have been given virtually unlimited power to investigate, discipline and de-license doctors. Section 7 of the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* states that “Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of the person and the right not to be deprived thereof except in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice.” This section of the Charter should protect Canadian citizens from both investigations that are unconstrained by fact-based allegations, and from punishments being imposed before the allegations have been determined. Contrary to common sense, however, courts have ruled that section 7 does not apply to investigations related to professional licenses. Alarmingly, this restriction of section 7 rights and freedoms grants regulatory bodies like the CPSO unreasonably broad powers to investigate and to suspend medical practice licenses prior to a hearing. As Dr. Patrick Phillips writes, this means that the College “can charge anyone for absolutely anything and get away with it.”

The hearing panel at the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario chose to schedule a hearing of the

Needless deaths

The personal cost they are paying is no laughing matter, but that personal cost is not what’s driving them, and it’s not where the gravity of this situation lies. These doctors are fighting for the right to care for their patients in the best way they know how, as determined by their medical knowledge and the individual needs of each individual patient. In reminding us what is at stake with the COVID-19 related restrictions imposed upon doctors by the CPSO, Dr. Phillips pulls no punches:

Countless patients have needlessly died from COVID while being denied early treatments with life-saving medications. Countless patients have been deprived of informed consent around the risks of vaccines. Countless patients were denied exemptions even though they had horrendous adverse events after the first dose. Countless patients have been forced to take genetic therapy against their will and many of those patients have died or been maimed by that therapy.

Dr. Phillips, for one, has had enough — in view of the terrible harms associated with the CPSO’s unchecked power over medical care throughout the declared pandemic, he is calling for a “complete dismantlement of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario” and the subsequent creation of a new College, “one with leaders who respect the rule of law” and whose power is “limited to prosecutions of offences that are in line with the charter and protect the rights of all.”

[i] All quoted and paraphrased materials, including quotes and paraphrases of statements made by Doctors Luchkiw, Phillips, and Trozzi, are drawn from the documents, video, and written statements shared by Dr. Patrick Phillips in the following 31-part Twitter thread. twitter.com/DrP_MD/status/1616861353649602560 When paraphrasing statements, I have done my best to remain true to both the content and spirit in which they were made. I apologize, in advance, for any inaccuracies that I may have inadvertently introduced.

Letter to a Mainstream Straddler

By Margaret Anna Alice Through The Looking Glass

“We have so hopelessly ceded our humanity that for the modest handouts of today we are ready to surrender up all principles, our soul, all the labors of our ancestors, all the prospects of our descendants — anything to avoid disrupting our meager existence. We have lost our strength, our pride, our passion. We do not even fear a common nuclear death, do not fear a third world war (perhaps we’ll hide away in some crevice), but fear only to take a civic stance! We hope only not to stray from the herd, not to set out on our own, and risk suddenly having to make do without the white bread, the hot water heater ...

“We have internalized well the lessons drummed into us by the state; we are forever content and comfortable with its premise: we cannot escape the environment, the social conditions; they shape us, ‘being determines consciousness.’ What have we to do with this? We can do nothing.

“But we can do — everything! — even if we comfort and lie to ourselves that this is not so. It is not ‘they’ who are guilty of everything, but we ourselves, only we! ...

“But it will never come unstuck by itself, if we all, every day, continue to acknowledge, glorify, and strengthen it, if we do not, at the least, recoil from its most vulnerable point.

“From lies.”

— Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn, *Live Not by Lies, The Solzhenitsyn Reader: New and Essential Writings, 1947–2005*

I get it. You don’t want to be called a “conspiracy theorist.”

You don’t want to be tarred an “anti-vaxxer.” A “science-denier.” A “far right-wing extremist.”

You’ve got your reputation to protect. Your credibility. Your grant funding.

So you water down the truth. You tiptoe around it. You don’t go there.

And the philanthropaths, the tyrants, the Big Liars, the demociders, and their enablers continue to profit. Continue to conspire. Continue to torture. Continue to slaughter.

They tell you right to your face what they’re doing.

But if you turn around and quote them, you’re the crazy one.

If you ask why a child, teen, athlete, or other healthy adult suddenly had a heart attack, got turbo cancer, or died, you’re the “truly disgusting” one.

If you provide scientific evidence that a warp-spaced experimental injection being peddled by a trillion-

dollar industry in collusion with governments, federal agencies, the media, and Big Tech is dangerous, you — not the corporations raking in billions — are the grifter.

If you ask what’s causing the sudden deaths and injuries that began surging in 2021 in hopes of pre-



venting future such tragedies, you’re “morally reprehensible” (and yet “mocking anti-vaxxers’ COVID deaths ... may be necessary”).

“If you challenge people to face the livid, electrifying grief of those who have lost loved ones to financially incentivized hospicide, you are making them uncomfortable”

If you point out that we should maybe think twice about pushing a product estimated to have killed thirteen million human beings and counting, you are the “major killing force globally” and guilty of “undermin[ing] public confidence” in said product. If you call genocide genocide, you are the enemy, the misinformation spreader, the anti-semitic.

If you dare point out that “Never Again” is already happening (<https://live.childrenshealthdefense.org/>

[chd-tv/events/never-again-is-now-global/](https://live.childrenshealthdefense.org/)), you get inquisitioned — even though Holocaust survivors and their relatives agree.

If you call out governments for practicing totalitarianism and enacting policies that cause lethal collateral damage, you’re the granny-killer.

If you challenge people to face the livid, electrifying grief of those who have lost loved ones to financially incentivized hospicide, you are making them uncomfortable.

A World of Lies

You know you’re living in a world of lies when the mob is more enraged at the whistleblowers revealing the deceptions, corruption, and murder than they are at the lying liars, corrupt corrupters, and murdering murderers themselves — indeed, they trip over themselves racing to defend their narcissistic abusers.

As Edward Snowden says: *“When exposing a crime is treated as committing a crime, you are being ruled by criminals!”* But guess what?

Once they start calling you all those hideous names, you realize they’re nothing more than magicians’ smoke. You gradually start to give fewer and fewer f*cks. You know you’ve hit zero when you feel the exhilarating liberation that comes from shouting the unfettered

truth. That’s the words-can-never-hurt-you stage.

You become untouchable.

You start collecting libels like Purple Hearts. The more scars you can count, the more evidence of your efficacy, your threat to the hegemony.

That’s when you can truly LIVE. And by truth, not by lies.

If enough of us stand up and do that, we can hold the perpetrators accountable. We can present the unadulterated evidence of their crimes. And we can find justice ... or die trying — like the members of the White Rose, whose piercing words still ring out nearly a century later:

“We will not keep silent. We are your guilty conscience.”

I’m going to tell you a secret.

Stick it out long enough, and that tarnished reputation turns into burnished gold.

Because when you are slandered by the propagandists, that means you are the good guy, even though the menticed public believes the opposite.

In Upside-Down World, persisting in seeing things right-side up — despite the incessant, relentless, never-ending gaslighting — means you have valiantly guarded your most precious possessions: your integrity and your sanity.

As E.E. Cummings writes: *“To be nobody but yourself in a world which is doing its best day and night to make you like everybody else means to fight the hardest battle which any human being can fight and never stop fighting.”*

Most gratifying of all, you will find fellow members of your karass, and together you will set about fulfilling your wampeter.

Once you are living in alignment with your values, you will feel the deepest joy fathomable.

And when the COVID criminals have been found guilty, when the spells dissolve, the people will gradually awaken from their coma and recognize you for the hero you are. Or not. Most will be too ashamed to admit they’ve been conned. To realize they shielded fascist tyrants and attacked those trying to rescue them. Few find that courageous humility within themselves to acknowledge their complicity in totalitarianism. And so they will swathe themselves in soothing denial and lash out at anyone who tries to puncture it.

But you will keep trying, anyway. Because that’s what truth-tellers do. That’s what people who care about saving lives do. That’s what people of integrity do, whether or not anyone ever recognizes it.

You know in your heart what is true, and you speak it. And no one can ever shut you up again.

Your bravery will outlive you.

Your words will remain like candles, lighting the path for future truth-droppers. And you will be at peace, in life and beyond.


There are many interesting links embedded in the original article, published at margaretannaalice.substack.com

Good News Tidbits

- **The US senate unanimously voted to pass a bill that calls on the Biden administration to declassify all intelligence about the origin of COVID-19** since the public has the right to know.
- **VIA rail ordered to rehire an engineer terminated over the vaccine mandate.** According to the federal arbitrator, VIA rail broke labour laws when they fired the engineer over his vaccination status.
- In a majority ruling on March 24, a US federal **appeals court blocked President Biden’s executive order mandating federal workers nationwide get vaccinated** against COVID-19 citing he didn’t have the authority to mandate vaccines.
- Georgia governor Brian Kemp signs into law stating that **children must wait until they are 18 to make the forever life-altering decision of gender-affirming surgery** and hormone replacement therapy.
- **On March 5, the US Congress Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Pandemic put forth a memorandum to condemn Jeremy Farrar for his involvement in suppressing the lab leak theory and pushing the natural origin theory as “fact.”** Jeremy Farrar, who is now earning a 6-figure salary as the newly appointed Chief Scientist at the World Health Organization and was also the former Director of the Wellcome Trust (a philanthropic organization that funds the WHO as well as organizations that fund gain-of-function research in Wuhan), conspired with other scientists to publish “Proximal Origins of SARS-CoV-2” in Nature in 2020. This article was seen as definitive proof that COVID was a naturally occurring virus given that it was published by the world’s “top scientists” and edited and approved by Dr. Fauci of the NIAID. New evidence shows that during a conference call only 3 days prior to the publication of the paper, most scientists involved in the article believed the virus was *not* natural.
- **Canadian premiers tell PM Justin Trudeau they won’t be sharing citizens’ medical data with the federal government.** All 12 premiers in Canada have signed a joint letter saying that their territories and provinces will not be sharing healthcare data with the federal government. The federal government made sharing the data a requirement for its increased healthcare funding to provinces.

Send us your favorite good news tidbits (editor@druthers.net) for consideration in this new section.

The Masque of Deception



By Mitch Verigin

The word “magic” is often used to describe phenomena we cannot comprehend. I remember Masked Magician, a Fox network special presentation, during which an accomplished illusionist — later revealed to be Val Valentino — divulged the secrets behind numerous popular magic tricks. Viewers would recall that every illusion had a unique trick to it that, once understood, eliminated the possibility of using the word “magic” to describe it. Essentially, once the secret of the trick had been unmasked the “trick” no longer worked.

Mark Twain once said *“It is much easier to deceive someone than to convince them that they’ve been deceived.”* However, I am not one to choose the easy way out, and thus, in this article, I will attempt to remove the mask and persuade you, the reader, that you have been and are being deceived.

The mask of virtue has been used throughout history to conceal nefarious behaviours. I’m reminded of the notorious serial killer BTK (Bind, Torture, Kill) Dennis Rader. He killed 11 people we know of, while a happily married Air Force veteran and volunteer at his local church. It’s much easier to hide in plain sight than in the shadows and there are many more examples of this, like priests who claim to do God’s work while abusing young children, or charities like Black Lives Matter claiming to raise money to support black communities, but instead using the majority of the funds raised for personal gain.

How many examples do we need of people posing as public-serving politicians that turn out to be in the game for personal profit? How do people like Joe Biden make a public service salary his whole life, and end up a multi-millionaire?

Stories have the power to make people buy into a belief system. A strong example of this is Heaven’s Gate. On March 26, 1997, the largest mass suicide on U.S. soil was discovered. Thirty-nine members of Heaven’s Gate were found dead in their suburban home in California. Laid in bunk beds and across tables, the members wore identical uniforms labeled “Heaven’s Gate Away Team” and the now infamous black-and-white Nike running shoes. The Heaven’s Gate message, intentionally or unintentionally, targeted vulnerable people — people who had just lost jobs or cherished family members or friends. And by stripping their followers of their identities, renaming them, changing their physical appearance (shaving/cutting their hair, dictating their dress), and forcing their departure from friends and family, the cult leaders held ultimate power over their isolated and transformed followers.

Belief can make people change everything about who they are.

Move over money, vaccines are the new religion, brought to you in part by people who believe there is no God and worship money and power! Even the Father of Scientology, L. Ron Hubbard, learned that convincing people to buy into a religion was profitable, and he was insane! It’s truly frightening how the word belief has worked its way into the Covid conversation.

As a wise man once said: *“Belief is the death of intelligence, doubt is the custodian of truth.”*

The fact that people are being forced to believe that the vaccine is the only way to fix the problem or risk losing their reputations, their job, their friends, their families and basically everything that ever made life worth living should be enough for them to take pause. I acknowledge many people were scared at the time

and fear robs us of our ability to think rationally, but it’s 3 years later — isn’t hindsight supposed to be 20/20? Just like in the Heaven’s Gate cult, society was targeted during a vulnerable time, the difference was they themselves were responsible for their state of vulnerability.

With Covid, it was the state instilling fear and robbing people of their ability to work, isolating them, forcing mask wearing and dictating changes in appearance by closing salons and barbers.

There is widespread evidence that locking down society did more harm than good. Gyms and parks were closed, churches and AA meetings were forced to stop operating while Alcohol stores were deemed essential. From rises in addiction, domestic abuse and suicide, it leaves no doubt what the intention was. It made everything worse. All while insisting we “Do the right thing.” Masks and vaccines were turned into virtue signals for caring about others — that was the trick — when really what was happening was, we were forfeiting our fundamental rights and freedoms, the very rights and freedoms that our ancestors fought for and often died to protect.

The mask was a visible signal of one’s willingness to do the right thing, but it concealed the real intention, which was to break the spirit, cut communication, scare us into submission and ultimately control the masses. Being that the (alleged) virus is less than 0.1 microns in size and the masks only filter out particles 0.3 microns in size or larger, It was like trying to stop a mosquito with a chain link fence. They failed to offer any protection against anything except fresh air and human connection. The story they told was that we were all in imminent danger and if we didn’t listen to them then we would not just die, but we’d kill grandma too. The Covid-19 experimental medical interventions did nothing to prevent infection or transmission; the injury and death rate post-vaccination is much higher than pre-pandemic. They did, however, take hard-earned tax-payer money and make Pfizer over 60 billion dollars richer in a single year.

Our problem is our inability to discuss plausible issues without falling into absolutes. There is wisdom in “I don’t know”, yet it’s been vilified. If you ask the spectators of an illusion to explain how it was done, one might say, I don’t know, and the other might ecstatically exclaim: “It was magic!” There’s wisdom in one answer and entertainment in the next, so, which one will they show us? When I was in class, sometimes I’d become frightened to ask questions because I was afraid of looking foolish. When did my concern shift from seeking knowledge to managing appearances and why?

People who have confidence and speak like they know what they’re talking about are attractive and get everyone’s attention, and those who are uncertain about things are given less attention. “I don’t know” is boring, but it’s honest, it’s real life. It calls for a collaborative response to solve the problem. I certainly see the entertainment value in confidently spouting off things that make no sense, but in real life that’s not helpful, it’s make-believe. They are incredibly confident, but they are incredibly wrong. So please dear reader, remove the mask of belief from the conversation and begin raising doubts. Belief has no place in the facts and data surrounding Covid and its response.

Questioning science is how you do science, (not

blindly trusting the science that we have never been shown) We cannot afford to make decisions about public health based on belief, instead, we must be critical and thoughtful as we constantly reflect upon decisions made, based on information and data as it develops.

Watch the video essay at: youtu.be/Nwhpxj79SfA

Safe & Effective

By Allison Tymiak

Safe and effective
is the phrase that they used
While the authority of the state
Was clearly abused

Safe and effective
Was the statement they made
While they secretly rolled out
The great body invade

You’ll travel, you’ll dine
The best concerts you’ll see
Just follow the science
And don’t dare disagree

The hero you’ll be
The loved ones you’ll save
By exchanging your hugs for
A far away wave

Cover your face
And submit to the master
And with the help of the koolaid
We’ll get over this faster

Safe and effective
Is the word of the day
While taking your
Bodily autonomy away

Safe and effective
Is the name of the game
Just get used to the fact that
All will be changed

Safe and effective
Let’s get this thing finished
Just ignore the fact that
The population has diminished

The choice is yours
Don’t call it coercion!
We’ll keep you distracted with our
Wars and perversion

Compliance is key
Just do what you’re told
Never mind the fact that
You’ll never grow old

We’ll keep you dumbed down
We’ll make you grow weary
And maybe you’ll stop listening
to the conspiracy theories

Your connections will grow
With the use of your phone
But get used to confinement
To a particular zone

Safe and effective
The narrative shall stay
Was compliance worth it
At the end of the day?

Correction

On page 3 of the last issue, we attributed a letter sent to Australia’s medical practitioners to the AHPRA, when in fact, the letter was sent by the AMPS, drawing attention to the current AHPRA guidelines.

On page 8, we attributed a piece titled ‘Pay Attention to Local Land Use Bylaws: Check With Your Municipal Council’ incorrectly. The author’s name should have read Careyleigh Thiessen. We apologize for the errors.

Too Big To Fail?

Dark Implications of Secret 2008 Canadian Bank Bailouts

By Guy Crittenden

In the last edition (March 2023), *Druthers* contributor Tish Conlin reviewed Canada’s precarious financial sector in her article, “Is This a Controlled Demolition of Our Banking System to Set Up For a Central Bank Digital Currency As The ‘Solution’?” The house of cards she described has since grown shakier, and readers wondering whether they should keep their savings in Canadian banks deserve to learn about a little-known fact from the 2008 global financial crisis, which is that Canada’s major banks were secretly bailed out. Massively so. As officials covered this up, citizens’ assumptions were preserved that Canada has the “most sound banking system in the world.”

It’s time to upend those assumptions.

Let’s review a bit of Canadian banking history and what happened between 2008 and 2010, then fast-forward to the implications today. For further research, I recommend to readers Dan Dick’s *Press for Truth* report on Canadian bank bailouts dated March 16, 2023, and an April 2012 report from the Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives (CCPA) titled, *The Big Banks’ Big Secret*, written by David MacDonald.

The last great banking news for ordinary citizens was arguably in 1938 when Prime Minister William Lyon Mackenzie King nationalized the Bank of Canada; the BoC controlled currency and permitted the government to borrow up to 50 percent interest-free loans for infrastructure projects like the St. Lawrence Seaway and the TransCanada Highway. Finance Minister Paul Martin changed the rules such that Canada borrows from private sector banks and pays the going interest rate. While the banks don’t mint currency, they’re responsible for 95 percent of all loans. This lucrative situation was made recklessly so by Prime Minister Brian Mulroney, who erased the requirement that banks hold eight percent reserves on the money they could loan out 12.5 times over. After Mulroney, the fractional reserve system required zero reserves from the private banks, meaning if many customers attempted to withdraw their funds at the same time, the banks would not be able to honour their requests.

During the Great Financial Crisis (GFC), between 2008 and 2010, the federal government arranged to purchase insured mortgage pools from Canada’s banks in order to keep them afloat. This help was artfully called “liquidity support” in order to disguise the generosity afforded to the banks. As the CCPA report states,

“Between October 2008 and July 2010, Canada’s largest banks dipped into programs provided by the U.S. Federal Reserve, the Bank of Canada and the Canada



Photo credit: oasisamuel / shutterstock.com

Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) — all at the same time. Both the U.S. Federal Reserve and the Bank of Canada offered short-term collateralized loans which peaked at \$33 billion and 41 billion Canadian dollars respectively. CMHC was buying mortgages directly from the banks after they had been converted to mortgage-backed securities. By the end of this program, CMHC had

ers when central bank digital currency (CBDCs) is introduced, possibly this year (validating Tish Conlin’s thesis).

What’s different today (compared to decades prior when JP Morgan Chase, Citi Group and Deutsch Bank underwrote globalism) is the secretive Switzerland-based Bank for International Settlements (BIS) now controls central banks and champions globalism. Technocracy expert Patrick Wood calls the BIS the “white hot core” of globalism and the transhumanist agenda. It’s possible that, in order to soften the public up for CBDCs, it will create a useful crisis — possibly lighting the fuse on the rarely discussed “weapons of mass destruction” (as Warren Buffett calls them) known as *derivatives*, now totalling a staggering *one quadrillion* in poorly understood liability.

“2023 is Year One of the New World Order.” - Trilateral Commission

While some Canadians might assume the government will again bail out the major Canadian banks, it’s unlikely anything could float them over such a tsunami. Most likely a period of Venezuela-style hyperinflation will precede a protracted depression and total restructuring of the world financial system along World Economic Forum lines. In light of this, a recent statement from the Trilateral Commission seems apt. The Commission recently held a first-ever global meeting of all three of its regions at its Annual Plenary Meeting in New Delhi in March. It declared that “2023 is Year One of the New World Order.”

China has just brokered a peace deal between Iran and Saudi Arabia — sidelining Israel and the beleaguered United States, which is rapidly losing its world reserve currency status to China and the BRICS nations.

I can’t advise people about how to invest their money, but in light of the above, it might be a good time to diversify into inflation-resistant assets (e.g., precious metals, real estate, artwork) and not

leave all your cash invested in Canada’s banks.

Guy Crittenden is a freelance writer and author of the award-winning book *The Year of Drinking Magic: Twelve Ceremonies with the Vine of Souls* (Apocryphile Press, San Francisco). Follow Guy at [HipGnosis.co](#)

“...the secretive Switzerland-based Bank for International Settlements (BIS) now controls central banks and champions globalism.”

purchased \$69 billion worth of mortgages.”

The support peaked in March 2009 at \$114 billion. At the time, **this represented seven percent of the economy or \$3,400 for every man, woman and child.** Amazingly, government support of three of the underwater banks (CIBC, BMO, and Scotiabank) exceeded the companies’ value! CIBC received funds worth 1.5 times the bank’s value, ergo it would have been cheaper for the government to simply buy all the shares of the company.

Banks kept making bank

With the taxpayer’s help, the banks remained profitable over the period, sharing \$27 billion in profits between them. While Canadians were losing their jobs and homes, the bank CEOs ranked among the highest-paid executives in the country, each receiving raises in total compensation. No belt-tightening for them! (The compensation of TD’s Edmund Clark, for example, rose from \$11.1 million in 2008 to \$15.2 million in 2009.) Smaller banks such as National Bank, HSBC and ING may also have accessed Bank of Canada and CMHC programs, but because the support amounted to less than \$4 billion the details remain sketchy.

Meanwhile, public officials covered for the banks. For instance, then-Prime Minister Stephen Harper said, “It is true, we have the only banks in the western world that are not looking at bailouts or anything like that... and we haven’t got any TARP money.”

Last month south of the border;

Let’s zoom out from the Canadian situation and look at what’s just happened south of the border. On March 12, 2023 — the US Fed abandoned all pretense of monetary tightening (to fight inflation) and introduced the Bank Term Funding Program that will inject \$2 *trillion* in liquidity (translation: money printing). When the Fed similarly introduced \$700 billion in liquidity in 2008, it quickly ballooned to \$7 trillion. Now we’re starting at \$2 trillion; where will *that* end up?

Large US banks like JP Morgan are borrowing from \$30 billion at the Fed discount window and loaning the money out to smaller regional banks. There’s an arbitrage opportunity for them to eventually take over the small banks, which will leave only a handful of large play-

Websites of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us.
You can visit [druthers.net](#) to get in touch.

- | | |
|--|---|
| vaccinechoicecanada.com | action4canada.com |
| freedomrising.info | americasfrontlinedoctors.com |
| librti.com | constitutionalconventions.ca |
| worlddoctorsalliance.com | stopworldcontrol.com |
| thehighwire.com | evidencenotfear.com |
| corbettreport.com | activistpost.com |
| pressfortruth.ca | standupcanada.solutions |
| stand4thee.com | lauralynn.tv |
| awarriorcalls.com | libertycoalitioncanada.com |
| takeactioncanada.ca | awakecanada.org |
| brightlightnews.com | vaccineregret.net |
| gbdeclaration.org | www.canadiancovidcarealliance.org |
| jccf.ca | www.canadahealthalliance.org |
| swprs.org | www.childrenshealthdefense.org |
| geoengineeringwatch.org | caers.info |
| thepowershift.ca | globalwalkout.com |

WANT DRUTHERS
DELIVERED TO
YOUR NEIGHBOURS
MAILBOXES?

DRUTHERS

Now you can with
Druthers Neighbourhood
Mail service.

[druthers.net/neighbourhood](#)

It’s never been easier to make a big
difference in your neighbourhood
with Druthers.

Now you can have copies of Druthers
newspapers delivered right to mailboxes
in your neighbourhood and wake up
your community more quickly.

We make all the arrangements so all
you need to do is, decide how many
addresses you would like delivered to
and which postal code area you
would like blanketed, and that’s it...
we’ll take care of the rest.

Visit [druthers.net/neighbourhood](#)

Climate Hysteria 2023

The U.N. Says The Sky Is Falling... Again

By Jeffrey Rath

As written in my previous Substacks (jeffreyr.substack.com), Canada remains a carbon negative, pristine and beautiful country.

Canadian forests, prairie grasslands, tundra, land mass and oceans absorb far more carbon than Canada emits. Notwithstanding this simple fact, Trudeau and his made in China government continue to beat working Canadians into the ground with Made in China carbon taxes, Made in China inflation, Made in China totalitarian digital currency and Made in China 15 minute city surveillance policies.

According to the UN and *Toronto Star* "Climate Change Reporter" - Kate Allen :

"In the time it will take a current fifth grader to graduate high school, the world needs to realize massive, rapid and sustained cuts to greenhouse gas emissions in order to yank the planet back from the brink of disastrous climate change consequences according to a major United Nations report ..." (Kate Allen, *"The UN just released a landmark climate-change report. Here's the grim timeline it gives us"*, *Toronto Star*, March 20, 2023)

UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres then uses this report to declare that it is time to "move into warp speed climate action now."

The last time a public official sucked us in to "warp speed" public policy action we got experimental vaccines mandated for injection into people statistically more likely to die from COVID vaccines than from the disease the vaccines were not capable of preventing. As a result of precipitous "warp speed" circumvention of the usual vaccine safety regulations, thousands of otherwise healthy children and adults under the age of 50 are now dead, dying, crippled or disabled from aneurysms, myocarditis, pericarditis and numerous other identified vaccine side effects. Side effects that were either denied, dismissed or minimized through a public health narrative, that against a massive body of scientific evidence to the contrary, continues to describe the vaccines as "safe and effective".

According to self-proclaimed *Toronto Star* Climate genius, Kate Allen "Countries such as Canada must slash carbon emissions by half in the next seven years to prevent that same fifth grader from living out her old age in a world with increased floods, fires, crop failures, forced migration and infectious disease outbreaks and to zero by 2050, according to the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Synthesis Report." (ibid.)

What all of these hysterics refuse to discuss is how even completely eliminating Canadian carbon emissions will have any measurable effect on climate change even if you subscribed to the fanciful notion that 418.96 ppm (PARTS PER MILLION) of CO2 in the atmosphere was the actual cause of so called "climate change". If any of you recall the early iterations of climate change theory the "GreenHouse Earth" proponents would describe GreenHouse Gases (GHG's) as creating a cloud over the

planet similar to the clouds of Venus that would allow heat from the sun through but would trap the heat on the planet by preventing the heat from radiating back in to space. Yet the recent UN IPCC Synthesis report only confirms CO2 concentrations at 410 ppm, methane at

all of the pain Canadians are feeling from Harper and Trudeau signing Canada up to the Paris Agreement and its poorly negotiated and ineffective carbon reduction schemes is completely needless and at best only symbolic. Canadian politicians have agreed to let the average working Canadian suffer economically while China and India are permitted to continue to emit carbon at increasing rates at the expense of gullible Canadians who actually believe that their suffering matters.

The other thing to remember is that because of the size of our land mass and the sheer carbon absorptive capacity of our lands, forests, prairies and oceans, Canada is likely already carbon negative or with certainty at the very least already at "net zero". It's time for Canada to declare victory in the war on "carbon" and move on.

Make no mistake, the ability to emit carbon and utilize fossil fuels to generate wealth is fundamental to any successful modern economy. Notwithstanding that simple fact, successive Canadian governments have bought into the ridiculous notion that Canadian prosperity must be limited in favor of the economic growth and global economic hegemony of China. Trudeau's ridiculous fixation on carbon emissions is really a fixation on impoverishing Canadians out of his Made in China belief that Canadians must suffer for

the benefit of China.

It is no wonder that the People's Republic of China now invests in electing the most carbon crazy Canadian governments money can buy.

It's time for a national discussion and referendum on whether Canada should simply declare itself carbon negative and move on. It is time to start focusing on the prosperity, health and welfare of Canadians in Canada without wasting any more time or energy on worrying that "the sky is falling" because politicians bought and paid for by the People's Republic of China say so.

Canadians need to wake up and realize that it's time to return the strategic manufacturing of things like micro-chips, drugs, antibiotics and especially public policy from China to Canada.

Jeffrey R.W. Rath, B.A. (Hons.), LL.B. (Hons.)
Foothills, Alberta

Originally published at: jeffreyr.substack.com



Artwork by Tina Toon at: grrrgraphics.com

1866 ppb (PARTS PER BILLION) and nitrous oxide at 332 ppb. The IPCC then goes on to note that they have very high confidence that these are the highest levels in the last 800,000 years, a literal blink of the eye within the multi-billion-year employment contract of a Sea Org member of the Church of Scientology.

It is almost as if all of these "esteemed" scientists and policy makers have never heard the phrase "correlation does not equal causation". This seems particularly odd given that so many public health "experts", who likely blamed COVID on climate change, would trot that phrase out every time someone would point out the rising levels of unexplained deaths since the forced imposition of COVID vaccines on society.

Getting back to the miniscule amounts of CO2 in the earth's atmosphere, ask yourselves how effective any sun block would be if its active sunscreen component was measured in parts per million or parts per billion. Ask yourself if a sunscreen that only covered 0.041% (or in the case of methane 0.000019 %) of a human would in fact prevent sunburn. It seems somewhat unlikely on its face. Like all of the COVID vaccine narrative, we are expected to take these 'scientists' at face value and simply accept, without any critical thought, that bare statements such as "safe and effective" or "man-made climate change is an existential threat" are in fact true.

The fundamental issue for Canadians is to what degree should we continue to elect any politician that buys into the nonsensical idea that even eliminating Canada's alleged 1.5% contribution to global carbon (reduced by 0.3% since 2005 on the basis of Government of Canada numbers) will have any effect on total global carbon. This same Government of Canada data set indicates that China's Carbon output has increased 7.4% and India's has increased 2.1%, for that same period. In other words, the increased carbon outputs of India and China dwarf Canada's total carbon reductions for that same period by approximately 31.7 times.

What this means from a policy perspective is that

Advertisement

The Revolution
Sign your Convention of Consent and take action against government corruption!
51% of Canadian citizens in 7 provinces could make all the difference!
THEPOWERSHIFT.CA

Advertisement

Greht Canehdian Awehkening
May 5 & 6 at Canada Christian College, Whitby, ON
Email for tickets: canadianawakeningtickets@gmail.com
Speakers and info: www.canadianawakening.ca

Support Independent Media with a
POSTAL SUBSCRIPTION
STRAIGHT TO YOUR MAILBOX
\$119.40
FOR 1-YEAR SUBSCRIPTION OF 3 COPIES A MONTH.
DRUTHERS.net/
subscribe
OR MAIL TO:
P.O. BOX 40531
SIX POINTS PLAZA
TORONTO, ONTARIO
M9B 6K8
CHEQUES PAYABLE TO:
SHAWN JASON LAPLANTE

The Strange Power of Name Calling

By Gerald Heinrichs

In February 2023, three conservative MP’s met with German politician Christine Anderson in Ottawa. The *Toronto Star* and *Globe and Mail* reported the event. The two articles provided no substance of Ms. Anderson’s policies but unleashed a torrent of cheap shots: hard right, anti-vaccine, anti-refugee, Islamophobic. Hardly a sentence was spared.

Within hours, Pierre Poilievre issued a statement. He offered no resistance to the machine-gun trial by the media. He joined in, calling Ms. Anderson “vile” and that her “racist hateful views are not welcome here”. Critics claim that Poilievre folded like a cheap suit.

This was, however, just another event when high-profile name calling erased any hope of mature discussion on important issues. This all at a time when Canadians are thirsty for civil debate on today’s front-page topics. Canadians are more than curious about issues like: Is there a point when excess immigration harms a country? Is climate action unreasonable if it causes economic damage or unemployment? Is it fair for transgender individuals to displace females? If robust college debates still existed, these would be among the topics. Instead though, today’s debates are shut down almost before the question can be spoken.

This clam-up phenomenon is sometimes called cancel culture. But often it is like a witchcraft spell where loud uttering of words like “transphobe” or “racist” shuts

down conversation like iron doors on a nuclear bunker. Justin Trudeau is a master of the name-calling method. In 2022 he called opponents of his government’s COVID restrictions homophobic, transphobic, misogynistic, and racist. In 2023 he called immigration critics xenophobic. Using such words, Trudeau conjures up a spirit of public loathing and very often foils his opponents.



But there are some, like Maxime Bernier, who have an unusual power to shrug off the insults. In stark defiance of the media’s name calling, Bernier gave Christine Anderson an honorary party membership. Such outliers believe that words bruise no stones.

Meanwhile, the average person cannot stand even the threat of harsh name calling. In today’s universities, school divisions, and institutions, thousands live in holy

fear of doing something that might unleash the name callers. For example, reporter Tara Henly quit her job at CBC, stating that the workplace required staff “To keep one’s mouth shut, to not ask questions, to not rock the boat.” Similarly a 2022 National Post survey found that Canadian universities are “political monocultures” with “a hostile climate for those who disagree”. People who stay in these organizations must kowtow to policies that might oblige abandoning their principles. Name calling, therefore, has strange incredible powers.

One of the worst name-calling events was against American high school student Nicholas Sandmann. In 2019, a score of reporters and celebrities smeared the teenager for smiling while wearing a MAGA hat in Washington DC. Three US media outlets later paid undisclosed settlement amounts. A rare case of sweet revenge against the name callers.

Any rational person knows that hot-button issues have more than one side. They know that debate is valuable. All the good books say it is wrong to judge and scorn. Nonetheless, we see scant resistance when the name callers unleash. And consequently moral busy-bodies take advantage and make a hell

on earth for others.

Back in Ottawa, politicians like Mr. Poilievre need to decide how they will respond to the next name-calling media frenzy. A good leader should strongly defend the truth. Otherwise the name callers will have another easy walk.

Gerald Heinrichs is a lawyer in Regina Saskatchewan.

Propagating Druthers

By Andrew MacDonald

Think of yourself as a link in a chain of papers – not the end of the line where information stops. Now that we have our *Druthers*, here are some ideas about how to spread them around. You may have your own ideas too. Don’t forget to actually do them!

Consider distributing. If you pick up *Druthers* at a regular place, find the source and ask the source for more.

Stealth drop newspapers at homes. Do it early in the morning if you feel exposed.

Drop them at libraries, coffee shops, inside apartment buildings, rural mailboxes.

Keep some in your winter coat — in season. Or in your purse, briefcase or car, ready to go.

Have them mailed to your neighbourhood. (I love that *Druthers* has gone to every mailing address in the Yukon. I can only guess the effect this has.) druthers.net/neighbourhood

Give ‘em away on the street. Have a hat and collect donations for *Druthers*!

Connect your community and the newspaper by putting a sheet of local contact info into the *Druthers* that you distribute.

Write for *Druthers* to help make people in your town or city – yourself included – feel more connected to the movement for change.

Where are local “hubs” where local people gather? Put *Druthers* there, repeatedly.

Donate to *Druthers* to make more newspapers appear.

Mail to friends in towns or rural areas that don’t yet have *Druthers*, encouraging them to get and distribute copies.

game to plant seeds of change. (It can change the way you feel.)

Make every copy count.

Andrew MacDonald lives in Perth, Ontario. Subscribe to his blog on finding a personal voice in a sea of mass formation at andrewcartermacdonald.substack.com



Get your *Druthers* at Pfenning’s Organic & More in St. Agatha, Ontario



Image of Darlene Dwyer, *Druthers* hub in Newfoundland



Scott Stewart giving away *Druthers* in New Brunswick



Sarah (www.rebelheartmvmmt.ca) giving out *Druthers* in Ontario

Advertisement

ART & STORIES ABOUT CANADA

TWENTY DAYS OF CANADA

TRAVEL CHRONICLE BY ARTISTS

JAMES C.E. LIGHTLE AND JAMIE LEE LIGHTLE

roundhillstudio.com

A Fairy Tale About Journalists

By Ron Laffin

Once upon a time in another world, a world far, far away — not this world, a different, fictitious, one — there were Journalists. Some worked in print, some in radio, some in TV and some published online. These were ethical, honest men and women. They spent their lives pursuing the truth and then shared those truths, no matter how unpleasant, with the public. Sometimes they put themselves at risk to do so. Many were relentless and self-sacrificing in their desire to serve the public good. They never compromised their principles. They were the backbone of their democracies.

Then, something happened.

There was this virus, see? A pandemic. And vaccines were developed to combat that virus.

The Journalists, in their zeal to protect the public from this deadly virus, endlessly promoted the vaccines as the only way to end the pandemic. Indeed, they believed it was. Alternative treatments were dismissed as ‘fake news.’ Many, many people took the vaccines having faith in the Journalists they trusted.

Some of the Journalists, however, on their time off while at home, began to do some research of their own and what they found was troubling. There were, it seems, many distinguished and accomplished medical and scientific experts who were raising alarms about the safety and effectiveness of the vaccines. They were saying that there were considerable risks in taking the new injections which they said were based on an experimental mRNA technology, never before used widely on humans. Furthermore, it appeared that these experts were being banned and censored even by their medical regulatory bodies.

The more curious Journalists did more research and started to believe what the vaccine critics were saying. They went to their superiors and provided the information they had found intending to share it with their readers/viewers/listeners to provide balance to the narrative so educated decisions could be made. Astoundingly, they were not just met with arguments against their proposal, they were told, in no uncertain terms, not to mention, no matter how briefly, any information that was not 100% supportive of vaccines.

Some had no problem with this; others were deeply troubled, especially when excess death rates skyrocketed, young people developed heart problems, healthy athletes started dropping dead in the middle of games and sudden deaths by unknown causes became common.

“These things never happened before,” they thought to themselves and again went to their superiors asking if they could work this disturbing information into a story. This time the response was even more direct. They were told they would be fired if any of that information was made public and they would never work in any media again.

This was, of course, an opportunity to do what Journalists are supposed to do — serve the public and tell the truth.

None ever did.

After all, they had mortgages, children to support and lifestyles to maintain. So, none of them spoke of it publicly. Even when people kept becoming disabled and dying.

None. Of. Them.

In fact, it was worse than that. Not only did they never speak of what they strongly felt were the deadly effects of the vaccines, they kept eagerly promoting it publicly, urging people to take more and more shots and promoting hatred towards those who didn’t.

“The unvaccinated should be imprisoned,” they said. “Let them die in the gutters,” others said. The mantra of “The unvaccinated should be denied health-care” echoed throughout the mainstream media. “The unvaccinated are misogynists and racists” the Marxist leader of one unfortunate nation screamed.

There was no one to speak for those maimed and killed by these unknown causes which, for some curious reason, never existed before the vaccines were rolled out.

In the end, when the dust settled, the number of disabled and dead kept rising as did the number of vaccines sold.



And guess what also rose — the number of Journalists warning the public of dire consequences if they failed to take the vaccines. Not a single Journalist was fired. “You will kill Grandma” they screamed. “How can you be so uncaring” they shouted as their campaign

And fewer and fewer people lived happily ever after.

The End?

of shame ramped up and up and up.

But, take heart, mortgages were paid, children got the latest iPhones, fancy restaurant dinners were consumed, and luxurious vacations were embarked upon by members of the most ethical profession in the world. (Not our world, remember. A different, fictitious, world.)

15 Minute Smart Cities may not be So Smart

By Carrie Storms

The 15-minute SMART city concept is gaining traction in Canada, with several cities like Edmonton, Alberta exploring its implementation as part of their efforts to create more livable, sustainable, and equitable communities where everything you need like entertainment, groceries, schools, work, banking, recreation, retail etc. is within 15 minutes walking distance of your home. Edmonton proposes that these initiatives can help to address many of the complex challenges facing urban areas today. By using data and technology to optimize urban systems, SMART cities, they say, can help to reduce traffic congestion, minimize energy consumption, and improve public safety, and improve resident’s overall quality of life, but at what cost?

I detect a political sleight-of-hand with city planners, the mayor, and politicians dancing around direct questions from those who oppose the 15-minute SMART city plan for Edmonton.

There are several privacy concerns regarding SMART cities, as they rely heavily on the collection, analysis, and use of data from various sources, including sensors, cameras, and other surveillance technologies. But I am getting ahead of myself here. I think it is best to first describe what SMART stands for. The term SMART is an acronym that stands for “Self-Monitoring Analysis and Reporting Technology”. So, what does that mean in the context of 15-minute SMART cities? SMART cities are being met with controversy and criticism, particularly around issues of privacy, surveillance, and control. SMART city technologies often rely on extensive data collection and analysis, which can raise questions about who has access to this data and how it is being used.

Many opponents are concerned that SMART cities could be used to enforce social and political control and suspend civil liberties, akin to what we have seen and experienced during the Covid lockdowns and restrictions. One major concern is the potential for mass surveillance of residents, as the collection of large amounts of personal data can be used to track individuals’ movements and behavior much like what the Public Health Agency of Canada did to 33 million Canadians via their cellphones with the assistance of TELUS Communications in 2021.

This can lead to a loss of privacy, the undermining of civil liberties, and government overreach. We only need to reflect on the past couple years to under-

stand the opposition’s concern that the use of surveillance technologies in SMART cities could potentially be abused by governments or other entities to restrict the movement and activities of residents. The use of surveillance technologies has been criticized in other countries as contributing to the creation of “surveillance states” or “police states” where citizens are closely monitored and restricted in their actions. China is an excellent example of Edmontonian’s fears brought to life.

In China, the government implemented a social credit system that uses data from various sources, including surveillance cameras and social media, to assign citizens a score based on their behavior. This system has been criticized as a form of mass surveillance that is used to control and punish citizens who do not conform to government expectations. Once the infrastructure is in place, it becomes easy to abuse and misuse and given the revelation of China meddling in the last two Canadian elections, I

dare say asking citizens to trust the government with such power and control over our communities, is a big ask.

Another concern is the security of the data collected, as it may be vulnerable to hacking or other cyber threats. This could lead to sensitive personal information being exposed or stolen, potentially putting individuals at risk. The use of data analytics and machine learning algorithms in SMART cities may also reinforce existing biases or perpetuate discrimination, as the algorithms may make decisions based on incomplete or biased data. Finally, there is a

concern that the data collected may be used for purposes other than those for which it was originally intended, such as for targeted advertising or law enforcement purposes, without the explicit consent of residents. What is the city of Edmonton doing to prioritize privacy and security and ensure that residents are informed about how their data is being collected, used, and protected? What legal and ethical frameworks are in place to govern the use of data in SMART cities?

Given these controversies, the development of SMART cities must be approached with caution and careful consideration. While these initiatives hold promise for addressing some urban challenges, they come at a personal price and we must be vigilant in protecting the rights and interests of residents and citizens. If we cannot stop the transition to 15-minute cities, then we need the implementation of SMART cities to be guided by principles of transparency, accountability, and respect for civil liberties.



How we are Being Misled

By Joe Martino

To understand how we are being misled, I want to tell a story about incentives and ‘getting to the top.’ It’s all connected.

Back in 2015 an old friend from high school asked to come to my company’s office (Collective Evolution) to interview me. She was in town from New York and was apparently intrigued by what I had created.

It sounded good to me. A chance to see an old friend and have my story told.

The day she arrived was sunny and warm, I was excited. We greeted one another with a hug. She had grown up since I last saw her. She’s a good looking girl with a bright smile and a good head on her shoulders.

We spent 3 hours together, walking around my office, meeting my team, talking about consciousness, personal development, the breakdown of science, and my ideas to help make society better.

The meeting ended with her asking me what books I could recommend for her to explore personal transformation and consciousness as she was intrigued. I can’t recall what books I stated, but I remember ‘A New Earth’ by Eckhart Tolle being one of them.

I walked her out to her car. We hugged and she left. Then a week or so later she sent me a draft of the piece she wrote. I was perplexed. It was a hit piece. I was crushed by it.

That’s how I felt after only a couple of paragraphs. My co-workers, who were reading the piece at the same time, looked at me confused several times. They felt bad for me. They knew I’d be hurt by this and that this was not at all what I expected was going to happen.

She changed my quotes, took my words out of context, and made me sound like a lunatic. Being in the internet game I realized quickly what this was. This wasn’t a piece to tell my story, it was a piece to build her career off the popularity of my name and company.

I emailed her 30 minutes after finishing my read of it. I wanted to know if her editor made her construct the piece in the way she did, or whether she chose to frame it this way herself. The meeting we had did not match the piece she wrote, I had to find out what happened.

She responded, refusing to answer the question. I asked again, she dodged again.

Finally I asked her why she used quotes I didn’t say and why she took my words out of context. She simply responded with “I’m sorry you feel that way.”

Her emails were short, blunt, and emotionless. This was not a friend. The hugs and smiles from a week prior started looking different to me now.

Her email responses gave me the sense that she knew what she did was wrong, but had made the decision in her mind that the ends justified the means. I can’t say this for sure of course.

And no, I’m not suggesting one story like this builds a person’s entire career. But it’s one of many that build a portfolio that illustrates access and produces “how’d you get that story” type reactions. It’s drama. Drama sells.

For weeks I reflected on the meeting we had, trying to figure out where I might have gone wrong.

Perhaps it was the fact that back in 2015 I was early in seeing just how hijacked science was becoming. I had conveyed this observation to her, a person who has spent her whole life up to that point studying science. Maybe she didn’t like hearing that? Couldn’t be that simple, could it?

Either way, this was my first professional foray into how far people may go to get what they want.

I could not believe someone could be so two-faced. It made me feel momentarily cynical about the world. It illustrated the capability humans have to look you in the eye and convey warmth, when in reality there is an agenda to harm.

In the end, I learned a lot from the experience. You can’t trust journalists (lol), but you can navigate them more carefully.

Ethics Aren’t Incentivized At ‘The Top’

I won’t provide this woman’s name. It serves no purpose in my mind. All you need to know is she went on to work with The New York Times and for The Atlantic.

I’m not surprised she ended up working for companies like that. People who want to work for the most ‘prestigious companies’ are sometimes the ones willing

to do whatever it takes to climb the ladder. Maybe that’s unfair for me to say, but I can’t help it, especially after all I have learned about the media industry over 15 years. This wouldn’t be the first time a journalist does this to get a story, it happens all the time. The shoe fits.

These are the people who, with their shaky ethics in hand, write the stories that shape public opinion. The



facts don’t really matter. The truth can be twisted.

These are the people who uphold the story of our existing world at all costs. The ones who care more about their career than they do about truly following where the evidence leads on any story - especially when it goes against the grain. And especially when it challenges what everyone else thinks.

The woman who wrote the hit piece about me recently wrote a piece in The Atlantic about masks. Even though the science is weak time and time again, obviously they still provide protective benefits according to her article.

Many of the conclusions in her piece were similar to mine about the same study, but what she left out tells the whole story.

Her determination to uphold the idea of mask effectiveness is immense. The willingness to sift through the science, come up with a well-written piece, yet leave out some of the most important details is uncanny. It’s reflective of mainstream journalism.

Her piece seeks to find the tiny benefit mask wearing might provide through a reductionist lens, while making no effort to examine the lengths the CDC went to lie about mask effectiveness.

It doesn’t mention the unscientific rhetoric politicians spewed claiming they had certainty about masks when they truly had none. It doesn’t mention the piles of evidence illustrating the negative effects of prolonged mask wearing either.

After all, aren’t we supposed to be measuring the cost/benefit analysis of our interventions? Why does the rhetoric state there are zero costs to mask wearing when we have evidence of many costs? This is the problem with reductionist thinking like this. It’s unscientific and lost in a vacuum. It doesn’t seek to truly find the truth in complexity, it only seeks to uphold the status quo.

Why? Why the unbalanced inquiry?

In looking through all of her articles I see nothing questioning the rate of damage COVID vaccines are causing. Sure, plenty on why you need to get a booster shot, and why we just witnessed the pandemic of the unvaccinated, but nothing exploring where COVID policy might have gone wrong.

I wonder to myself, how many hours has she spent pulling apart vaccine data? What does she make of the fact that 8% of people had to seek medical attention after being vaccinated?

Has she looked at the Pfizer clinical trial data? Does she realize these vaccines were approved based on only 10 outcomes? What does she make of Brooke Jackson, the Pfizer whistleblower who claims the clinical trials were fraudulent?

Does she agree with the FDAs wildly unscientific decision to recommend COVID vaccines to children? I don’t know, but I wonder.

Where is her reporting on these stories? Why doesn’t she have the same willingness and rigor in exploring ‘the other side’ of the COVID debate? Why only the dedication to upholding the status quo? Is that what she was bred for? Is career more important than truth?

Maybe she’s stuck in an echo chamber at The Atlantic.

Maybe at work she’s exposed to the discrimination and jokes shot at the ‘anti-vax idiots’ who question vaccine safety ‘because someone online made a meme about it.’ This culture can keep people in line and can limit their curiosity.

I don’t know what’s going on in her mind. But at least I can admit that.

I’ve personally taken the time to check my narratives around COVID. Debunking nonsense theories while curiously looking at difficult to answer questions. My goal is to serve the public as best I can. At times my audience gets upset because my work and findings challenge what they believe. But that’s the point. To go where the evidence leads when you take a holistic look at it.

Sometimes the way to mislead the public is to simply not look at what you don’t want people to think. Don’t balance your inquiry and you can avoid providing an understanding of the whole story.

This is how we end up divided and lost. Not because there isn’t clarity to be gained, but because even those who consider themselves to be scientists and science writers, and who have the loudest microphones, aren’t actually looking at stories and evidence in good faith.

They become part of the problem. Part of the misinformation. Part of misleading the public. Yet they wholeheartedly believe they are heroes operating at the high standards science demands.

This behavior from people willing to mislead to get ahead, are we surprised?

Originally published at collective-evolution.com

Dr David Martin PhD – Mass Murder Charges Coming Soon

By Dr. Mark Trozzi

“If you think this is anything to do with health and a virus, you are delusional.”

Dr. David Martin is now an established champion in the covid war. This global intellectual property expert led the way exposing decades of patents and other intellectual property evidence of the premeditated covid crimes, including premeditated mass murder, racketeering, and fraud. That evidence is now the backbone of cases in the courts that are going after covid arch criminals.

Biden, Trudeau, Fauci, Baric, Daszak, and others are named in this lawful hunt of arch criminals.

Dr. Martin reminds us that we must not waste our energy on irrelevant debates, but focus on criminal prosecutions. Please help make sure the entire world knows that whoever promotes the covid agenda in any way is complicit.

Here are a few quotes of Dr. Martin:

“Any law enforcement supporting Trudeau are aiding and abetting the criminal acts of the Government of Canada, University of British Columbia, Acuitas, AlCana, Thomas Madden and Pieter Cullis.”

“It does not matter what clinical trials have or have not been done, we must be focused singly on the criminal prosecution of terrorists who have now aided and abetted in the murder of millions of people.”

“A bioweapon delivered by a carrier agent developed by the gov of Canada. Pfizer, BioNtech and Moderna pay royalties to Canada for every shot; this is racketeering at the highest level. Trudeau and the Government of Canada are complicit and guilty of racketeering and conspiring to commit acts of terror.”

Learn more about what’s coming at www.prosecutenow.io

The Myth is Canada

By a man named Duane

“One of the saddest lessons of history is this: If we have been bamboozled long enough, we tend to reject any evidence of the bamboozle. We’re no longer interested in finding out the truth. The bamboozle has captured us. It is simply too painful to acknowledge, even to ourselves that we’ve been taken. Once you have given a charlatan power over you, you almost never get it back.”
Carl Sagan

Never Have So Many Known So Little About So Much.

As a Canadian, here are 11 very important questions that you need to ask yourself:

1. Where are the Articles of Confederation, if Canada had confederated in 1867 and is a sovereign nation?
2. Why was Canada known as the “Dominion of Canada” a British colony until 1938, if Canada had confederated in 1867 and is a sovereign nation?
3. Why in 1867 was the BNA act created to be Letters Patent for a Governor General to the Dominion of Canada if Canada had confederated and is a sovereign nation?
4. Why in 1893, would the British Parliament deem it necessary to repeal certain sections of the BNA act, with the “Statute Law Revisions act” if Canada confederated in 1867 and is a sovereign nation?
5. Why in 1931 would the British parliament create the “Statute of Westminster” to nullify the Dominion of Canada, if Canada confederated in 1867 and is a sovereign nation?



6. Why in 1946 did a foreign Monarch, King George VI appoint a representative for the UK, a Governor General and then command the Parliament of Canada to create Letters Patent and command the PM at that time to sign on his behalf those Letters Patent in 1947 for his Governor General, if Canada confederated in 1867 and is a Sovereign Nation?
7. Why did PM Trudeau in 1982 have the government create the “Canada Bill” and then take that Bill to a foreign Monarch and have her parliament pass that Bill as the “Canada Act, 1982” if Canada confederated 153 years earlier and is a sovereign nation?
8. Why do Prime Ministers and other officials when sworn into office here in Canada, swear their allegiance to a foreign monarch, Queen Elizabeth, and

not to the people of Canada if Canada confederated in 1867 as a sovereign nation?

9. If Canada is a sovereign nation, why does the Government of Canada in their Interpretations Act define Canada as the internal waters and territorial seas if Canada confederated in 1867?
10. Why was it necessary in 1990 to sue a member of the federal parliament, J. Littlechild MP, to force him to do his duty to his constituents and have the courts rule against his constituents, if Canada had confederated in 1867 and is a sovereign Nation?
11. Why in the “Constitution Act, 1982” of Canada is there no clause that allows for land for the Government of Canada to become a sovereign nation if Canada confederated in 1867 and is a sovereign nation?

If the people in the 12 sovereign nations (Provinces and Territories) could awaken to what the Government of Canada Inc. really is (master that owns them upon their consent) and take the blinders off put there by those who call themselves the government, we could all have a bright future. The Government of Canada Inc. has painted itself as some sort of religious fairy tale set out to save everyone and keep them safe. Once people realize the truth and claim ownership over their land they can truly be free. Let us help you see the truth, **The Myth is Canada.**
TheMythIsCanada.com

“You never change things by fighting the existing reality. To change something, build a new model that makes the existing model obsolete.” – R. Buckminster Fuller

CENSORED: Millions of People Worldwide Take to the Streets to Protest Against Tyranny

By Brian Shilhavy

Most Americans living in the U.S. today are probably unaware of the massive protests that are currently happening in Europe and other places around the world. This past week has seen literally millions of people hit the streets to protest in France, Spain, Denmark, Israel, the U.K., and other places.

I had to do a lot of digging to find most of this, as even the Alternative Media is not reporting on this much. You can find most of these stories in the corporate media, but they are not headline news, so you have to search for them.


The world’s financial system is on the brink of collapse, so it is to be expected that the corporate media does not want these massive protests to get headline news, which could trigger even more social unrest, and eventually bank runs.

But based on the videos and articles I have looked at, current protests around the world right now might be larger than what we even saw during the lockdowns back in 2021.

This should be headline news, but it is not.

I have put together a video report that is condensed down to about 10 minutes.
bitchute.com/video/DBAWII7eexqi

Originally published at: healthimpactnews.com
Brian Shilhavy is the Editor of Health Impact News



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
April 2023 circulation: 200,000 copies Canada-wide
+50,000 more copies last month via Neighbourhood Mail
Original content **DRUTHERS 2023®**
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Assistant editors: Christie Lynn & Michelle
Production Manager & Layout: David Bolton
Big thanks to: Kristy, Mitchell, Bob, Tiantian, Jessica, Tim, Geraldine, Honey, Thunder & so many more...
Comments & General Inquiries: **info@druthers.net**
News Tips & Article Submissions: **editor@druthers.net**

“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on.”

- Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of **DRUTHERS**.
Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute **DRUTHERS**.
Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.
Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.
Please give what you can: **druthers.net/donate**
Or send an e-transfer to: **admin@druthers.net**
Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza,
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

HOOKED! –The Subtle art of Making, Marking and Masking Humanity.

By Peter Sacco


Now that is a not too subtle concept, but perhaps it is when the majority of the general population doesn't know exactly what is truly going on, or they are buying into falsehoods and exaggerations consciously, or by-proxy. What is this 'proxy' you might ask? Most likely the underbelly of the motivation is a derivative of its most basic components; Fear and Greed.

Has there been something going on behind the scenes for the last few years, or last few decades, or even longer than that? Enquiring minds really wanted to know, and many have been speaking out, even shouting from rooftops and social media, that is when they were allowed to speak freely. Was anyone truly listening or paying attention? I have been in the psychology field for nearly 30 years. I have been an 'expert' guest on many radio and TV shows over those years sharing my expertise on various subjects related to psychology.

Recently, I was asked by several colleagues, "What is the percentage roughly, of the general public who are immune to the 'B.S.' that the mainstream media saturates us with, and tries to condition and recondition us into believing their propaganda?" I thought about it for a moment, and 17% was the number that I best recalled. That is one heck of a low number! I consulted with Dr. Mark McDonald, a very esteemed psychiatrist and author in the USA, who is all too familiar with these patterns, and he believed that number is about accurate, or perhaps even smaller. Dr. McDonald appears in the new documentary *HOOKED!* and discusses not only the conditioning, but other facets about what had been going on around the world recently, and throughout time.

He is not alone...

HOOKED! is a film which shares the voices of many individuals who know a lot about a little in



their fields of expertise, or have experiences that need to be heard to educate and enlighten the general public. At first blush, one might believe that this award-winning film is a film attacking the COVID scheming that we have endured for the last few years. Well, it does enlighten about that in some regards, however it is much deeper and delves into

so much more, the fears and greed at the root of how individuals think and feel which allows a cabal to sink its hooks into individuals and truly get them hooked onto what they want people to believe.

The hooks are not just in COVID or medicine, although a large part of it is what got people to buy into irrational fears for the last few years. The hooks are commonly found in; the media, news, social media and of course entertainment. For decades people have been getting reeled in hook, line and sinker! All of this was planned, and this set the stage leading up to 2020, and for where we are today.

While directing and helping co-create this film, I got to interview individuals from various disciplines who I consider warriors to their causes. Folks such as; NHL great Theo Fleury, Olympic gold medalist Jamie Salé, Pastor Artur Pawlowski, esteemed attorney Leighton Grey, Real People's John Barbour, Canadian singer Ray Lyell, analyst of time Bo Polny, and *Druthers'* very own Shawn Jason share their insights, along with many others. They pull no punches, and censor nothing! They share facts alone, and not their perceptions of the truth.

Grant Abbott, one of many featured in the film said it best, "I would rather be uncomfortable telling the truth, than uncomfortable telling a lie". Unfortunately, for too long many were motivated or driven by fear and greed which led to the encompassing lies that many Canadians, as well as those around the world have drowned in.

To learn more about the film visit peterandrewsacco.com or watch *HOOKED!* on Rumble: rumble.com/v2equio-hooked-the-subtle-art-of-making-marking-and-masking-humanity.html

The World Freedom Declaration

By International Covid Summit

We, the people of the world, declare that we hold the power and obligation to reject tyranny and the duty to strongly protect the rights granted by those before us.

We, the people of the world, demand the immediate termination of all oppressive, segregative, dystopian, tyrannical and divisive mandates that have been imposed globally under the premise of a declared pandemic.

We, the people of the world, demand that governments return all powers to medical and scientific professionals to treat patients based on science, knowledge and experience instead of on imposed mandates.

We, the people of the world, demand that the physician-patient relationship be restored by allowing all medical professionals to practice without fear of punishment.

We, the people of the world, demand freedom to choose our own medical treatments based on uncensored and transparent scientific data and research that is free from coercion, punishment, or threat.

We, the people of the world, demand the right to refuse medical treatments based on health, conscientious or religious beliefs and demand that our infringed rights to bodily autonomy be returned immediately.

We, the people of the world, demand that all employment that was terminated because of the refusal to take an exper-

imental drug be reinstated immediately.

We, the people of the world, demand the immediate cessation of mandates and coercion that impose medical interventions on children without the explicit consent of the parents.

We, the people of the world, demand the immediate release of the medical and scientific data and statistics of all vaccines and medications that have been mandated during this declared pandemic.

We, the people of the world, are enforcing Article 6, Section 3 of the Nuremberg Code which states that: In no case should a collective community agreement or the consent of a community leader or other authority substitute for an individual's informed consent.

We, the people of the world, demand that all liability

be imposed onto the drug manufacturers and that they be held responsible for all deaths and injuries caused by the medication.

We, the people of the world, demand a thorough investigation of all corruption and conflicts of interest surrounding this declared pandemic and that each guilty party be punished and convicted for every death and/or injury that was caused by the mandates or coercion, including all suicides.

We, the people of the world, declare that it is our inalienable right and duty to immediately demand the return of our freedoms, truth, liberties and our right to the pursuit of happiness.

It is our duty and right to restore our world by removing the power from the destructive leaders and placing it back into the hands of the people.

We have been called upon to fight for our liberties to keep our world from being overrun by selfish and corrupt hands. Thanks to those before us, we were born free and it is our duty to protect our children and leave them a world where their freedoms and rights are intact.

All rights that we give up will be lost forever. We cannot make that happen.

Let this be our legacy. United we will win.

Please sign *The World Freedom Declaration* internationalcovidsummit.com/declaration

International Covid Summit home page internationalcovidsummit.com



Absurdity Observer


Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- After months of hiding it, **Trudeau’s office finally admitted he billed Canadians \$6000/night for a London hotel room.** To make matters worse, Trudeau’s Governor General Mary Simon charged taxpayers \$800,000 to go to Germany to visit a book fair with two photographers. The Bloc Québécois forced Rideau Hall to release all travel expenses after Simon concealed a \$1.15 million trip in 2022 to Dubai. Both her trips were planned to promote Canadian culture and literature abroad.
- **Automaker Ford patents a car that would have the ability to repossess itself and self-drive directly to a repossession agency.** With this patent, entitled “Systems and Methods to Repossess a Vehicle,” any vehicle with autonomous capabilities could be self-driven from the premises of the owner to either an impound lot or (if the vehicle does not have value) a junkyard.
- After public health officials tried for almost a year to block its publication, the **National Toxicology Program (NTP) released a report linking prenatal and childhood fluoride exposure to reduced IQ in children.** The US Department of Health and Human Services and the CDC initially blocked the NTP from releasing the report, according to emails obtained via a FOIA request. But a court order stemming from a lawsuit filed by Food and Water Watch against the U.S. EPA forced the report’s release.
- Following suit from Ontario, **Alberta is now giving COVID-19 vaccines to minors without parental consent.** On March 2, 2023, Alberta Health Services issued new guidelines for the COVID-19 vaccine, stating that “mature minors” under the age of 18 are now eligible to receive the covid vaccine without their parent’s consent. The immunizer is the one who will decide if the minor is mature enough to make their own decision.
- Statistics Canada Alberta reveals that the year **2022 experienced 250% more excess deaths compared to 2020** (despite 2020 experiencing all those “COVID deaths”). The data, which can be found on Alberta.ca (and compiled on dksdata.com/AlbertaDead) is similar to what is being seen throughout the developed world since the release of the COVID-19 vaccines.
- A new pre-print article titled “Is there a link between the 2021 COVID-19 vaccination uptake in Europe and 2022 excess all-cause mortality” (Aarstad et al.) finds that **there is a strong positive correlation between all-cause mortality in 2022 and the vaccination rate of a country in 2021.**
- **Former CDC Director** Dr. Robert Redfield testified before the House Select Subcommittee that he **strongly believes that the COVID-19 virus was likely created by gain-of-function research** funded by the NIAID. Dr. Redfield also testified that he was excluded from meetings and kept out of the loop by NIAID director Dr. Fauci, the World Health Organization, and the rest of the establishment because he had a different opin-

- ion about COVID-19’s origins and they told him they wanted a “single narrative.”
- **After both the US Energy Department and the FBI concluded that the pandemic most likely arose from a laboratory leak, the New York Times asserts that Raccoon dogs are the most likely culprit** of COVID-19 based on a photograph of raccoon dogs supposedly taken at Wuhan Market pre-COVID by a scientist who was coincidentally funded by the Wellcome Trust.
 - A new set of internal Twitter documents leaked and published by journalist Matt Taibbi exposes even more Big Tech-federal government censorship collusion. The most recent set of files shows that **the US feder-**

- finds that **autism spectrum disorder prevalence in the US has increased to 1 in 36 children** born in 2012 — up from 1 in 44 children from the previous report for children born in 2010. That’s up from 1 in 110 children studied in 2006 and 1 in 1,000 children studied in 1995. With the increasing number of shots added to the childhood vaccination schedule over the years, the CDC still asserts that there is no link between vaccinations and autism despite never studying rates of autism and vaccines administered before the age of two.
- **FDA quietly extended the end date for the Pfizer study on heart inflammation after COVID vaccination** in 16-30-year-olds — by AN ENTIRE YEAR — despite Pfizer only needing a few months to develop their vaccine, finish their study, and release the COVID vaccine back in 2020. Study 4591031 would be the only randomized controlled study on mRNA vaccine-induced myopericarditis and was supposed to be completed in June and published in December 2022. This isn’t the first time Pfizer has done this. Their first-ever study on the vaccine in pregnant women was completed in July 2022 but they have yet to release that study and data as well.
 - Despite *Dietitians of Canada* advising the public in 2020 that “You cannot boost your immune system through diet. No specific food, supplement, or natural health product will prevent you from catching COVID-19... Do not spread misinformation,” scientific evidence is showing the contrary. A new meta-analysis (a study of studies) titled *Protective Effect of Vitamin D Supplementation on COVID-19-Related Intensive Care Hospitalization and Mortality* (Argano et al.) found that “**Vitamin D administration results in a decreased risk of death and ICU admission**” and claimed the association is “definitive.”

- The large German health insurer *BKK ProVita* released an analysis of data collected from more than 10 million people suggesting COVID vaccine side effects are “significantly” underreported, finding that **4-5% of vaccinated people have received medical treatment as a result of COVID vaccination side effects.**
- A new report shows the staggering number of boosters needed to be administered to prevent just one “COVID hospitalization” (a COVID hospitalization is defined as a hospitalized patient who tests positive for COVID, regardless of the reason for admittance). According to the report by the UK Health Security Agency (titled: *Appendix 1: estimation of number needed to vaccinate to prevent a COVID-19 hospitalisation*), **76,000 boosters would be needed to prevent just one COVID hospitalization in teens ages 16-19.** The 20-29 age group would need 40,900 to prevent just one hospitalization in their population, and the 30-39 age group would need 35,900 boosters to prevent just one hospitalization. When it came to “severe hospitalizations,” the numbers were even worse, with 418,100 boosters needed to prevent just one severe hospitalization in the 20-29 age group.



- al government helped to launch a project (called the **Virality Project**) where six Big Tech companies (Facebook/Instagram, Twitter, Google/YouTube, TikTok, Medium, and Pinterest) and a coalition of “research agencies” **partnered to mass monitor COVID-related content and flag millions of posts for censorship** each day — even posts they admitted were true — because it “might promote vaccine hesitancy.”
- Prime Minister Justin Trudeau announced on March 6th that **the Canadian government will be spending an additional \$5.5 million to build “civil society organizations to combat disinformation.”** This is in addition to the \$31 million of taxpayer’s money he has already pledged for the Digital Citizen Contribution Program, a program that “aims to support democracy and social cohesion in Canada by enhancing and/or supporting efforts to counter online disinformation and other online harms and threats.”
 - **The rate of myocarditis spiked in the US military in 2021,** newly disclosed data shows. Diagnosis of myocarditis, a form of heart inflammation linked to the COVID-19 vaccine, jumped 130.5% in 2021 when compared to the average from the years 2016 to 2020, according to data from the Defense Medical Epidemiology Database. The military mandated the COVID vaccine in the summer of 2021.
 - The newly released CDC funded Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network report

VOLUNTEER

Will you **help** give out these free papers in your community?



Or maybe you can help in other ways?
We'd love to hear from you.
Visit us at: druthers.net/volunteer

DONATE

Your **support** is vital to the continued success of this paper.



E-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Or visit: druthers.net/donate

SUBSCRIBE

Have your Druthers **delivered** right to your door each month.



Never miss an issue with a postal subscription.
Just cover the cost of s/h.
Sign up at: druthers.net/subscribe

DRUTHERS is entirely funded, written, distributed & promoted by the people, for the people.

Note: Please do not leave Druthers inside the newspaper boxes of other newspapers. As well, any inserts found in this paper are not endorsed by Druthers.