

Addicted to Obedience

By Marco Navarro-Génie | MNGHaultain.Substack.com

When Susan Holt, Premier of New Brunswick, explained why New Brunswickers couldn't go for a walk in the woods, she probably thought she was being reasonable. After all, she was talking about safety, and who could be against safety? Her tone was casual, a little hesitant, almost folksy, as if she were explaining to a neighbour why they shouldn't light a campfire in a dry summer. But the words—stripped of their verbal padding—revealed a dangerous and growing habit among the technocratic political class: the idea that the state may suspend your liberty not because of something you've done, or even something you're likely to do, but because you might, in some small way, inconvenience the machinery of government.

This was not a policy Holt invented in isolation. The initial reflex came from Tim Houston, the alleged conservative Premier of Nova Scotia, who moved first to ban activity in the woods under the guise of wildfire safety. Holt followed his lead but offered her justification when people pushed back.

Here is Holt in her own words:

"Me going for a walk in the woods is gonna cause a fire. I can understand why people, uh, think that that's, that's, that's ridiculous. But the reality is, it's not that you might cause a fire, it's that if you're out there walking in the woods and you break your leg, we're not gonna come and get you because we have emergency responders that are out focused on a fire that is, uh, threatening the lives of New Brunswickers.

And if you take your boat out fishing in a pond on crown land and you capsize, we're not gonna be able to come and help you out because our first responders are focused on an immediate and serious threat to our province, and so it's the possibility of diverting emergency resources away from where they are really needed."

She begins by acknowledging the obvious—that banning walks in the woods on the grounds of preventing fires is ridiculous—only to pivot into something stranger. The ban, she admits, is not about fires at all. It is about the possibility that you might get hurt in a way that would require emergency services, at a moment when those services are otherwise engaged.

In other words, the basic freedom of movement can be revoked, not because you are engaging in dangerous behaviour, but because you might someday need state assistance when the state is busy doing something else.

It is not a fire safety policy. It is a triage policy, enforced by preemptively removing the public from situations that could "possibly" create emergencies instead of prioritizing the situations. It treats liberty not as a default, but as a state-rationed privilege—something to be issued or withheld according to the administrative convenience of the



government.

It is also an echo, almost note-for-note, of the logic that governed our pandemic restrictions.

During COVID, the danger that justified curtailing your movement was rarely that you, specifically, were sick or a risk to others. It was that you might be, and that your potential illness might lead to hospital visits, and that those visits might—in the statistical aggregate—put strain on the health system. "Flatten the curve" was never about eliminating disease; it was about protecting state capacity. The emphasis, repeated endlessly, was on the system's needs, not yours. People were sacrificed to protect a decaying system, instead of fixing the system.

Tim Houston and Susan Holt's "no walk in the woods" decrees offer the same logic in a new key. The danger is not that you will start a fire, or even that you will injure yourself, but that you could, and that this hypothetical injury could burden a service currently occupied.

The safety measure is not a fire ban or rescue warning, but rather the forced cessation of activities to avoid the possibility (not the probability) of creating a demand for state response.

The underlying assumptions

The first is that the government owns your risk-taking. You may not climb a hill, walk a trail, or paddle a canoe if those actions carry even a theoretical chance of drawing on government resources at a moment inconvenient for the government. The relationship implied here is not that of citizen and servant, but of dependent and caretaker. Your life must be scheduled around the state's operational needs.

The second is that a capacity shortage is the public's problem to absorb, not the government's to solve. If the fire service is short on staff or the ambulances are stretched thin, the solution is not to bolster resources or coordinate additional capacity. The solution is to restrict the public from engaging in any activity that may require assistance. It is now an established habit to have the burden of scarcity shifted away from the state and onto the citizen.

The third is that hypothetical harm justifies immediate restriction. No injury or fire needs to occur; the mere possibility is enough. This is a perilous standard, because all human activity carries possible risk. Once that door is opened, the scope for prohibition is endless.

This is not simply about a premier or a province far away. It is the latest rung in a ladder that governments have been building for years. COVID gave them the blueprint.

The pandemic was the prototype.

There, the logic was that any action which might, in a statistical sense, contribute to system strain could be prohibited outright. You could be barred from visiting family, attending religious services, and from opening your business, all for the sake of "protecting the system." No real-time proof of danger was needed; it was enough that you could be part of the problem.

From there, it is evidently a short hop to climate and fire restrictions. The structure is the same: a system under strain, a possible but unproven risk from your behaviour, and a blanket prohibition for your own good—or more precisely, for the good of the system.

And once the principle has been accepted, it expands. When I lived in Halifax, there was a regular urging to people not to leave their houses during a snowstorm because if they got stuck, emergency services would have to be allocated away from potentially more serious situations. The legal compulsion and threats in fines close to the average yearly income had not yet darkened policy.

In health services today, it could be "no skiing or ice skating during peak flu season" to avoid ER crowding. In law enforcement, "no large gatherings in certain areas" because police are tied up at a major event. In utilities, "no non-essential travel in rural areas" during an energy shortage, to avoid strain on fuel supply and emergency heating.

At every step, the premise is the same: government

See 'Restricting Citizens' p.10

Advertisement

September **SALE**

PARASITE CLEANSING KIT | **\$299** REG **\$495!**

FEATURING IverMed-12, MebenMed-100, PZQ-50, Pinebark-400

SHOP NOW



FREEDOM HEALTH | **ELEVATED HEALING**

THE HIGHEST QUALITY AND MOST TRUSTED IVERMECTIN IN CANADA SINCE 2021

VISIT US AT www.healthfreedom.is

DRUTHERS' READERS CAN USE **COUPON CODE: DRUTHERS10** TO RECEIVE A \$10 DISCOUNT ON YOUR FIRST ORDER!

**cannot be used in combination with other discounts

Urgent Call for Action to Address Nursing Home Abuse and Protect Vulnerable Seniors

Dear BC Ministry of Health and fellow Canadians,
I am writing to bring to your attention a deeply troubling case of elder abuse involving my 77-year-old mother, who has Alzheimer’s disease and has lived in a BC nursing home for the past 10 years. Despite repeated complaints to management since 2017, I have

witnessed ongoing neglect and mistreatment by one specific care aide, some of which appeared deliberate and targeted. Most disturbingly, on June 19, 2025, a gynecologist removed a long, cylindrical object—a purple plastic tampon applicator—from my mother’s vagina. This object had been causing her significant pain, bleeding, and foul, bloody discharge since August 2023, when I first filed an official complaint against the care aide. The nursing home has refused to take responsibility, claiming instead that my mother inserted the object herself.

This explanation is implausible. She is fully incontinent, wears adult diapers, and lacks both the cognitive and physical ability to perform such an action. In her 10 years at this facility, she has never inserted any object into her body—not her nose, ears, anus, or vagina.

This is not an isolated incident. Since December 2023, my mother’s room has been stripped of all personal belongings—family photos, cherished childhood items, and familiar objects—leaving her in a bare room with only a bed. This has caused considerable disorientation and emotional distress, yet the facility again blamed her, despite her long-standing habit of resisting even routine cleaning.

Between December 2024 and February 2025, unexplained bruises appeared all over her body and forehead. The facility attributed these to falls caused by “loss of coordination.” Yet in 10 years at the home, my mother has never had mobility or balance issues. Notably, after I raised these concerns in February 2025, no further bruising occurred.

Following the removal of the tampon applicator, I requested an internal investigation from senior nursing home officials. I was told “too much time had passed” and no investigation would be conducted. I later submitted an eight-page summary of the incidents, but the only responses I received came after contacting other agen-



cies:
SAIL (Seniors Abuse and Information Line): Declined to assist because my mother resides in a nursing home.

Fraser Health Community Care Licensing: The officer agreed to review safety concerns only, stating she could not investigate abuse or criminal activity. After three weeks, she reported her investigation was inconclusive. When I requested a written summary, she said she was only authorized to give a verbal report.

Patient Care Quality Office (PCQO): Staff stated they only forward compliments and complaints and do not investigate.

RCMP Non-Emergency Line (July 8, 2025): Created a file but refused to act due to “lack of evidence.” No investigation will be conducted.

MLA: Initially appeared receptive and offered assistance, but ceased all communication after the first contact.

Each agency has cited limitations in jurisdiction or capacity, leaving no path to accountability.

I am reaching out because I am struggling to secure justice for residents like my mother. What happened to her is nothing short of criminal. Yet without cameras in nursing homes, staff can act with impunity, getting away with what can only be described as murder.

Because my mother has Alzheimer’s, every serious concern is dismissed as self-inflicted or a symptom of her condition. This is unacceptable. I know without a doubt she did not insert a foreign object into her body and is not prone to falls. The explanations provided are not credible.

This case highlights a dangerous loophole in our healthcare system—one that enables individuals with malicious intent to exploit vulnerable seniors for personal gain. Without urgent government intervention, many others will continue to suffer in silence.

I implore the Canadian Ministry of Health to take immediate action to address this critical issue. The ability of nursing home staff to abuse residents without consequence must end, and the protection of vulnerable individuals must be a national priority. Closing this accountability gap will save lives.

Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter. I am hopeful you will advocate for meaningful change to protect our elderly and prevent further harm.

Sincerely,
DT

- **RFK Jr., US Health Secretary, announced that the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA) is pulling the plug on 22 federal mRNA projects worth half a billion dollars.** He says mRNA shots don’t work against fast-mutating respiratory viruses and may actually fuel mutations, making vaccines useless while dragging out epidemics.
- **US President Trump signed an executive order to purge “woke AI” from the US government.** Agencies are now required to use only AI systems that are ideologically neutral, and free from race- or sex-based discrimination, blocking federal adoption of tools that push critical race theory, transgender ideology, unconscious bias, or systemic racism narratives.
- **Federal US employees who refused the COVID vaccine settle their case with the government.** After nearly four years in court, a group of federal employees who refused Biden’s COVID shot mandate, with the help of *Feds For Freedom*, just won a historic settlement with the DOJ. As part of the settlement, the federal government must expunge COVID-19 vaccine status records, prohibit discrimination based on vaccine status, and reimburse a portion of Feds for Freedom’s legal fees. This settlement represents a tacit admission that the mandate was unconstitutional, immoral, and an outrageous abuse of power.
- **Germany’s Federal Administrative Court has agreed to hear a landmark case challenging whether state-funded broadcasters are failing their constitutional duty to ensure true diversity of opinion.** The case began when a citizen refused to pay the mandatory broadcasting fee, arguing the system silences dissenting voices. Now, after 160 weeks of rallies and vigils across the country, a new forum has formed to demand transparent, trustworthy, and balanced public broadcasting. A major step forward in holding taxpayer-funded media accountable and restoring freedom of thought and expression.
- In a critical step toward breaking conflicts of interest and restoring integrity to vaccine recommendations, **eight powerful medical associations, including the American Academy of Pediatrics and the AMA, have been removed from advising the CDC on vaccine policy.** These groups—long entangled with pharma interests—are “special interest” organizations whose

FREEDOM WINS!

input carries inherent bias. From now on, only experts will be included for their knowledge and experience, not their institutional ties.

- The Department of the Interior just dealt a serious blow to the green energy land grab. **Interior Secretary Burgum has signed an order halting “environmentally damaging” wind and solar projects on federal land.** The agency made it clear: sprawling solar fields and bird-killing wind farms are an “*inefficient and harmful use of public land*” when coal, gas, and nuclear can generate far more energy with far less land.
- Health Secretary Kennedy and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services administrator Dr. Mehmet Oz just **scrapped a federal rule that pressured hospitals into enforcing COVID-19 jab mandates on healthcare workers.** By rolling back regulatory coercion, this decision restores choice, ethics, and integrity to frontline medicine.
- New Hampshire Governor Kelly Ayotte signed into law two bills last week banning “gender transition” procedures for minor children. With her signature, **New Hampshire has become the first New England state to outlaw transgender mutilation for children and teens.**
- Corporate money is pulling out of Pride. In **Oklahoma, activists postponed their entire “pride” schedule after losing corporate donor support,** while earlier this summer, groups in Texas and New York faced the same struggle. New York City’s Pride alone lost 25% of its major sponsors this year—including Pepsi, Target, Nissan, and Mastercard—leaving a \$750,000 shortfall. With corporate backing drying up, the manufactured, profit-driven version of “pride” is losing steam.
- **The Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms is launching lawsuits against municipalities that cancelled Sean Feucht’s concerts over his conservative Christian views.** Challenging these unconstitutional bans is a crucial step toward restoring Canadians’ fundamental rights to free expression and

peaceful assembly—sending a clear message that the government cannot silence dissenting voices.

- In a victory for religious liberty and family rights, the 9th Circuit Court of **Appeals ruled in favour of Jessica Bates, a widowed Christian mother, affirming her right to adopt in Oregon after she was previously barred because of her faith-based views on gender identity.**
- **Nova Scotia trucker Guy Meister, who peacefully took part in the 2022 Freedom Convoy, has been spared jail on mischief charges.** After having his truck’s windows smashed and being brutally arrested at gunpoint simply for parking his truck where police disapproved, his charges have been dropped, and he has now been given a conditional discharge with probation.
- For the first time in decades, **Congress is moving to strip Big Pharma of its liability shield.** Representative Paul Gosar’s End the Vaccine Carveout Act (HR 4668) would finally allow Americans injured by vaccines to sue manufacturers in civil court—just like with any other drug. The bill ends blanket immunity for COVID-19 shots, closes loopholes from the 1986 NCVIA and 2005 PREP Act, and restores a fair statute of limitations for victims to seek justice. Alongside Representative Thomas Massie’s PREP Repeal Act, this marks the strongest push yet to hold vaccine makers accountable and end pharmaceutical immunity.
- **Kaiser Permanente, one of America’s largest health systems, announced plans to stop performing transgender surgeries on patients under 19.** The decision comes amid lawsuits from detransitioners who say hospitals ignored their mental health struggles and failed to warn of the risks. With growing legal and public pressure, this marks a major step in protecting minors from irreversible medical harm and holding institutions accountable.
- The foundation of climate alarmism just took a massive hit. **MIT’s Richard Lindzen and Princeton’s William Happer published a groundbreaking paper proving that rising CO₂ cannot cause dangerous warming or extreme weather.** Their research shows the real threat isn’t CO₂—it’s destructive net-zero policies. A powerful win for truth, science, and freedom from the climate grift.

Geoengineering and Flights of Fancy

By David Bell | Brownstone.org

Geoengineering in the form of modifying the weather happens. It can save decimation of farmers’ livelihoods by mitigating droughts, and it can risk the global food supply by reducing crop growth. Like nuclear fission, it’s useful in the hands of sane people working with the knowledge and assent of the wider community, or it has the potential to destroy much of what humankind has built if left in the hands of psychopaths. If we can approach it in a calm and rational manner, we may yet stop the psychopaths.

During the Second World War, bomber crews were said to hate the contrails their planes produced, providing white fingers across the sky that pointed enemy fighters directly at them. These have seemingly exploded across our skies as commercial air travel has multiplied, but like boiling frogs, surprisingly few really noticed until others pointed out the heat.

Living in Geneva, Switzerland, during the weeklong grounding of aircraft due to the eruption of the Eyjafjallajökull volcano in Iceland in 2010, we had clear spring skies for the first time. Not a trail, not a wisp of residual cloud. Normal, it turned out, was not natural.

Condensation trails, or contrails, are clouds formed from condensation and from the water and particles that are emitted from aircraft engine exhaust. Fine particles released in the right conditions form a nidus on which droplets can form in humid, cold air. Jet engines also emit water as a combustion product. The very low pressure on the upper wing surface, the reason airplanes stay up in the air, also allows water vapour to precipitate. This is well-documented, almost as old as high-flying aircraft, and annoying when you want to photograph a good sunset in much of Europe or North America.

Contrails can contribute to cirrus cloud formation, while aircraft changing altitude can also create some really otherworldly holes in cloud layers, such as on airport approaches. Clouds come and go naturally, from the ground to the upper atmosphere, if the conditions are right. Aircraft just help the process. And as clouds have sharp edges (when viewed from a distance), contrails can seem to turn on and off for the same reason (temperature and humidity at various altitudes).

There is a school of thought that streaks across the sky, or most of them, only began to appear recently and are the result of nefarious intent—geoengineering. The theory is that a whole hidden industry exists to make chemicals, transport them to airports, install them on commercial (i.e., passenger) aircraft or place them in fuel, and then release them at certain times or in certain regions. This, performed at scale, would require thousands of willing people, who all remain silent on the issue. This is possible, but people talk, including pilots, fuelers, man-

ufacturing workers, truck drivers, and airport security guards, so it is a little hard to imagine at scale.

Part of an air force may follow some stupid government agenda, and perhaps do. But that is a tiny minority of flights.

However, airborne geoengineering does happen. It has for nearly a century, particularly with the intent to provide rain during droughts or more routinely in arid inhabited regions. In Australia and the United States, for instance, government agencies have for many decades sprayed compounds such as silver iodide from an air-



craft to precipitate water vapour and cause rain during droughts. The hope is to save cattle farmers from ruin or augment a city’s failing water supply. These are not bad things, while mass cattle death and bankruptcy often are.

Geoengineering can also be unbelievably stupid. The British government is planning to fund high-altitude geoengineering to block sunlight. This is a pet project of some very rich people who consider themselves geniuses, and it is a real thing—I have directly heard their discussions on similar projects from people who can pay for them.

It is based on the interesting conviction that while all previous episodes of global warming were due to some natural phenomena, the current one is solely due to the work of man, and that somehow dimming sunlight by setting up a reflective layer in the upper atmosphere is therefore a good thing (i.e., not interfering with nature, but saving it...).

There is irony here. We now have 9 billion people on Earth, and have defied all previous predictions of Malthusian catastrophe and starvation, partly because vegetation (i.e., our crops) grows faster and uses water more efficiently than it did 50 or 100 years ago. The reason for this is higher carbon dioxide (CO2). Plants need mainly CO2, sunlight, and water to grow.

Whether CO2 is the main cause of global warming is irrelevant here. It is a reasonable theory, but anthropo-

genic emissions do not explain past warming periods. CO2 has doubled from previously very low levels, but it was much lower in the Middle Ages when the Norse grew crops in Greenland.

So, whether or not sun-blocking will reduce global temperatures, it will certainly reduce sunlight and plant growth. This will reduce harvests, both in yield and in some places in frequency. Mass starvation will be much more likely—all apparently for the greater good. It may even be better for investors in failing fake meat companies and other factory foods, which may explain some interest in sun dimming. A clever commercial approach, but likely to be fairly widely fatal. While the British government is embracing this, it is almost certainly happening already, at least experimentally. There are no rules, just stupidity.

For those who support geoengineering, especially of the particularly stupid sun-dimming type, sidelining opposition by letting them appear foolish and readily ‘debunked’ is a good tactic. Claims that every contrail and unusual cloud formation suggests nefarious intent will help the cause. Clouds do come in the weirdest shapes and even colours. Nature is amazing, even if ignorant psychopathic rich people who wish to control it are not.

We should stop idiots from promoting sun blocking and putting the global population’s food security at risk. We should consider carefully the cloud seeding that keeps many farmers in business, quite a different issue where intervention is very short-term and local.

We should stop flying if we really want to eliminate contrails (they can be as ugly as wind farms) and want to reduce high-altitude cirrus on summer days. But most people I know want to keep flying, and most farmers don’t want to go bankrupt or bury their cattle.

So, perhaps we should concentrate on the industrial and political stupidity that is endangering us all through stuff that is proven and readily demonstrable. Labeling nature or air travel as evidence of evil will gain likes on social media, but also help the cause of those who would own and control our atmosphere and food supply.

There is a real problem out there that we should deal with, if only we can focus.

David Bell, Senior Scholar at Brownstone Institute, is a public health physician and biotech consultant in global health. David is a former medical officer and scientist at the World Health Organization (WHO), Programme Head for malaria and febrile diseases at the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND) in Geneva, Switzerland, and Director of Global Health Technologies at Intellectual Ventures Global Good Fund in Bellevue, WA, USA.

Originally published at brownstone.org

WHO’s Your Daddy Now?

By Bryan Moir | BryanMoir.Substack.com

When a foreign power lands troops, we call it an invasion. When it rewrites your laws from abroad, we call it “policy alignment.”

Reflexivity 101:

[George] Soros’s model is simple—shape perceptions until they reshape reality. Sell the public a story, lock it into law, then point to the law as proof the story was true all along.

That’s exactly what’s happening with the June 1, 2024, amendments to the **International Health Regulations (IHR)**—and Canada just let the clock run out on rejecting them.

The sales pitch? *“Global cooperation for health emergencies.”*

The reality? A self-reinforcing loop of **unelected control** that Ottawa has now baked into our system—with direct hits to your wallet, your laws, your privacy, and your freedom to move.

1. Kitchen Table Taxes – From Geneva

A brand new **Article 44bis** sets up a WHO-run *Coordinating Financial Mechanism*. That’s not a grant fund—it’s a pipeline. It can “mobilize” money from member states and “leverage voluntary monetary contributions for organizations and other entities.”

And when U.S. funding dried up, the WHO “suggested” Canada raise taxes on alcohol, sugar, and tobacco to

fill the gap.

In plain English: **foreign-drafted tax policy aimed at Canadian households.**

Under our system, only Parliament can tax Canadians—but this structure puts foreign policy pressure on Ottawa to do exactly that.

2. Your Laws, Rewritten to Fit

The amendments require every country to set up a **National IHR Authority** and “adjust domestic legislative and/or administrative arrangements” to comply.

That means Ottawa—and possibly your province—rewriting laws, not because Canadians debated and voted for it, but because Geneva wrote it down.

3. Border Control Without You in Control

If Ottawa (or the WHO) declares an “imminent public-health risk,” Canada can compel exams, vaccination, or quarantine **at the border**—even if you’re healthy.

That’s not theory. That’s whether you can come back from a Florida trip without being detained in Pearson arrivals.

4. Privacy on the Block

The amendments let Canada “process and disclose personal data” if deemed “essential” to manage a risk. There’s no guarantee your provincial health privacy laws or Charter protections stop that. Your vaccination status, travel history, or medical data could be shared internationally without your consent.

5. The Sovereignty Double Standard

When Donald Trump jokes about making us the 51st state, Canadians puff up with righteous outrage, the media runs anti-American op-eds for a week, and politicians fall over themselves to look pro-Canada.

When the WHO quietly gets **binding** influence over Canadian laws, taxes, and border policy? Silence. Not a peep from the same crowd.

Final Thought:

This isn’t about health. It’s about control infrastructure—the kind you don’t roll back once it’s built. It’s the perfect reflexivity loop:

- Create fear with a vague “pandemic emergency” trigger.
- Use that fear to justify new powers.
- Embed those powers into law and budgets.
- Point to the law as proof that the threat was real, and repeat.

No jackboots. No midnight raids. Just a quiet, efficient neutering of the Charter by people you didn’t elect, working in departments you’ve never heard of, passing rules you never voted on. And you’ll pay for it—in cash, in rights, and in the kind of sovereignty you only notice once it’s gone.

Originally published at bryanmoir.substack.com

The Biggest Psyop Against Humanity Should Not Be Forgotten

By Laura Aboli via Telegram

I want to take a step back and return to the reason I started this channel: to warn people about the COVID vaccine.

Back in 2020, I had already been researching Gates, GAVI, the WHO, and the whole rotten web of “philanthropy” and global health. I knew what kind of man Gates was: a eugenicist hiding behind a smile and a checkbook. I knew his obsession with vaccines wasn’t about saving lives but about control, surveillance, profit and depopulation. So when COVID hit and the drumbeat began for a new, fast-tracked, experimental mRNA shot backed by Gates and his network, I knew it was dangerous, and I felt it was my duty to try to save people from taking the jab.

Five years later, I think it’s important that we don’t forget how the greatest psyop ever unleashed on humanity took place. Because I see many people have moved on. They’ve tried to bury the memory of lockdowns, mandates, gaslighting, and lies. But we can’t afford to forget.

What happened was not a mistake; it was a crime against humanity, coordinated, deliberate, and devastating.

I’ll walk through this nightmare in chronological order. To document it and to help us remember the most painful and most valuable lesson we’ve been taught: how easy it is to manipulate the masses. How fear, repetition, and fake “science” can push people to surrender their rights, inject poison into their veins, and demonize those who dare to think for themselves.

We need to remember not to dwell on the past but to make sure it never happens again.

The Real Origins of COVID

So what was COVID, really?

We were told it came from a bat in a market. Then we were told it was a leak from a lab in Wuhan. Most recently, the media and former Trump officials are finally admitting what many of us already knew—that this virus came from a lab. But that’s only part of the story.

If you’ve been following the work of Dr. David Martin, you’ll know that the Wuhan Institute of Virology was not a Chinese operation. It was built, funded, and supplied by the US Deep State: Anthony Fauci, the National Institutes of Health (NIH), Pentagon, CIA contractors, Big Pharma, and Ralph Baric’s bioweapons team at the University of North Carolina (UNC), Chapel Hill.

Martin showed that with patent records as early as 2015, and even in amendments in 2019, Moderna and others were preparing for the “accidental or intentional release of a respiratory pathogen.” You read that right: before COVID “existed,” they were already preparing to monetize the so-called cure. The patents don’t lie.

Even the Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) that allowed the “vaccines” to be deployed hinged on a lie: there had to be “no other viable treatment” to justify it. So what did they do? They suppressed hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin, let people die, censored doctors, and silenced the truth. All to get their bioweapon into your body.

And I say bioweapon because this was never about stopping a pandemic. COVID was the setup, the pretext. The goal was always the jab.

But here’s the question I find myself asking more and more: Was there ever even a real virus? We now know SARS-CoV-2 was never isolated in pure form. What they called a virus was just digital modeling—fragments and code on a computer.

No real proof, no purified isolate, just fear, hype, and a PCR test that could be cranked up to produce positives out of thin air.

Symptoms were real, and people got sick, but what caused it? A novel coronavirus? Or a toxic combination of 5G rollout, fear, media-induced mass psychosis, and deliberate medical malpractice?

Some of us started asking early on whether this was a real pandemic, or just the illusion of one, built through data manipulation, rigged diagnostics, and media hysteria. And when you look at what followed: the vaccine push, the censorship, the financial consolidation, the depopulation agenda, it becomes painfully clear that this was a global operation years in the making.

Engineered by the same criminal network that’s been steering our world into hell.

The ‘virus,’ if it even existed as such, was never the weapon. The vaccine was.

The Rollout and the Coercion

Once the fear had been seeded, the operation kicked into full gear.

The world was locked down. Businesses shuttered, travel stopped, families torn apart, elderly left to die alone, and through it all, a single message pounded into our heads day and night: there is no way out of this unless you take the shot.

The “vaccine” was pushed as the only solution. Safe and effective, they said. Repeated over and over like a ritualistic chant. Safe and effective, safe and effective, safe and effective. It didn’t matter that no long-term studies had been done, that traditional “safety protocols” had been bypassed, or that this was a brand-new experimental mRNA technology. You had to believe. And if you didn’t, you were labeled dangerous.



We were told we couldn’t work, couldn’t travel, couldn’t enter a restaurant or a theatre unless we complied. People lost their livelihoods. Some lost custody of their children. We were vilified, ridiculed, banned from social media, called conspiracy theorists, selfish and unscientific.

They even managed to get people to proudly post their injection status online as though obedience were a virtue. A psychological operation wrapped in virtue signaling and false solidarity, and the worst part is that it worked.

It was never about health; it was about compliance, about programming the population to accept top-down control disguised as science. The message was clear: think for yourself and you’ll be punished.

It was blackmail disguised as public health.

The Aftermath: Deaths, Injuries, and the Cost

And then came the silence.

The media fell quiet on COVID just as the vaccine rollout was declared a success, but something was clearly wrong.

People were collapsing on live TV. Athletes dropping on the field. Young, fit, healthy men and women dying of heart attacks and strokes. Reporters fainting mid-broadcast. Children suffering sudden cardiac arrest. Pregnant women are losing their babies. Cancer diagnoses that used to take months or years appearing and metastasizing in weeks—turbo cancers, they called them. A surge in stillbirths. Birthrates plummeting in heavily vaccinated countries. A spike in all-cause mortality. Sudden Adult Death Syndrome (SADS) became a thing. And all we got was the medical establishment being ‘baffled’ by what was evidently the intended effects of a bioweapon dressed up as a vaccine.

What we witnessed was a mass betrayal of trust by governments, doctors, the media and the so-called ‘experts.’ The very people who were supposed to protect us served us up as test subjects to a pharmaceutical cartel with criminal immunity and no liability.

Lives have been destroyed, and yet most of the world continues to look the other way.

What Was in the Vials?

One of the most disturbing chapters in this whole nightmare was when independent researchers began examining the actual contents of the vials.

Pfizer tried to hide their data for 75 years! A judge forced them to release it. What came out was staggering:

over 1,200 known adverse effects, including neurological damage, autoimmune diseases, myocarditis, and death! All documented in the first few months. They knew, but they kept injecting.

Then, independent labs stepped in. What they found was beyond shocking: graphene oxide, metal particles, self-assembling structures, and in some cases, SV40—a known cancer-causing virus.

And here’s where it gets worse: not all batches were the same. Some were hundreds of times more toxic than others. Researchers built a database linking batch numbers to adverse events.¹ The pattern was clear—this wasn’t just sloppy manufacturing, it was intentional.

They said it was “safe and effective.” It was neither.

The Aftermath: What This Was Really About

Now, years later, we’re left staring at the wreckage, trying to process what was done to us. The people who lined up for these injections were not careless; they were manipulated, coerced, lied to, and, in many cases, forced. The media cheered it on, the experts gaslit us, and the governments punished dissent. In the meantime, we are witnessing the devastating consequences:

- Sudden deaths in children and young adults
- A massive increase in turbo cancers—aggressive, fast-moving, often fatal
- Collapsing birth rates in the West
- Unexplained infertility in vaccinated women
- Newborns dying at higher-than-normal rates
- A surge in strokes, myocarditis, and neurological disorders

And yet, silence. No official recognition, no accountability. They’re already gearing up for the next round—mRNA for cancer, RSV, flu, even food.

Final Reflections—We Must Never Forget

The world has moved on, or at least pretends it has. People have gone back to their routines. The media doesn’t talk about it anymore, but it is our duty to make sure the perpetrators of this crime do not go unpunished. We owe it to those no longer with us, to those still suffering the consequences, and to the future safety of our children.

Because what happened was not an accident, it was not a misstep, it was not ignorance or inefficiency; it was a coordinated, well-funded, multi-layered operation designed to condition the masses into submission, to test the limits of compliance, and to roll out a system of control under the guise of public health.

We were lied to, manipulated, coerced, threatened, shamed, censored, and punished—all in the name of “science.” A science that turned out to be nothing more than a religion—scientism—where questioning was heresy, and obedience was salvation. Every mind control technique was unleashed upon us.

If we don’t learn to recognize the mechanisms of psychological warfare, we are doomed to fall for it again.

Here’s how they did it:

Fear—Create mass panic and urgency. Keep people in a state of permanent emergency.

Repetition—Repeat mantras like “safe and effective,” “trust the science,” “we’re all in this together” until the critical mind shuts down.

Censorship—Silence dissenting voices so that people think there is only one acceptable view.

Shaming—Make anyone who asks questions feel stupid, selfish, or dangerous.

Confusion—Bombard the public with conflicting data, contradictory advice, and shifting narratives until they surrender their thinking to “the experts.”

Division—Pit neighbour against neighbour, friend against friend, vaccinated against unvaccinated, so that people turn on each other instead of those who created the crisis.

Authority worship—Elevate TV doctors and bureaucrats into priest-like figures who speak absolute truth.

Incentives and punishments—Offer privileges for compliance and remove freedoms for disobedience.

This was how they programmed the population. And it worked.

So this is my plea: Don’t forget!

1. howbad.info

Originally published at t.me/LauraAbolichannel

Cloward–Piven Strategy

As it Relates Directly to Canada’s Recent Election “Win” by Globalist Mark Carney

By FreeNZ | FreeNZ.Substack.com

What is unfolding in Canada is not politics. It is not reform. It is a hostile takeover by global forces using one of the most sophisticated and destructive plans ever devised to collapse a free society from within.

The plan is referred to as the Cloward–Piven Strategy.¹ The man they have chosen to complete this agenda is Mark Carney.

Mark Carney was not elected. He was selected. His loyalty is not to the Canadian people. It is to the World Economic Forum (WEF), the United Nations (UN), the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), and other unelected global institutions that aim to erase national sovereignty and individual freedom.

By definition, the Cloward–Piven Strategy aims to utilize “*militant anti-poverty groups*” to facilitate a “*political crisis*” by overloading the welfare system through an increase in welfare claims, forcing the creation of a system of guaranteed minimum income and “*redistributing income through the federal government.*”

In 1966, Columbia University professors Richard Cloward and Frances Fox Piven developed an eight-point strategy to intentionally collapse a free nation from within. Their idea was to overwhelm the system through massive economic and social destabilization so that citizens would beg for authoritarian control in exchange for survival. This strategy has now been adapted and weaponized by global financial elites and is being applied to Canada right now.

If you have never heard of the Cloward–Piven Strategy, it does not matter. It is already being implemented for you. You are not imagining the chaos. It is designed to demoralize you, to confuse you, and to make you submit.

Mark Carney is the chosen executor. Below are the eight points of the Cloward–Piven Strategy, along with concrete actions Carney has taken to implement each one.

1. Overload and bankrupt the welfare system

In 2020, during the COVID-19 lockdowns, Carney publicly supported massive government stimulus spending, including the Canada Emergency Response Benefit (CERB), which gave more than eight million Canadians free money with no strings attached. This program cost over 81 billion Canadian dollars. Carney, in a July 2020 speech to the London School of Economics, called these types of stimulus packages “*essential tools for transition*” and praised the role of public finance in “*accelerating the shift to stakeholder capitalism.*”

The Canadian COVID-19 lockdowns were not about public health. They were all about creating permanent dependency. The more individuals rely on government support, the more control the government has. Canada’s debt has now reached more than 1.5 trillion dollars. The system is being driven toward bankruptcy by design.

2. Raise the cost of living until survival becomes the only focus

In February 2021, Carney co-authored a report for the Glasgow Financial Alliance for Net Zero, where he pushed for removing capital from carbon-based industries and redirecting it into climate-compliant investments. The direct outcome of this has been a reduction in oil and gas supply, spiking prices across the board.

In July 2022, Carney’s climate agenda began affecting Canadian energy producers when major banks stopped issuing loans to oil and gas firms unless they complied with net-zero targets. With fuel prices soaring, Canadians now face historic inflation. Food banks across the coun-

try report record demand. In Canada, the cost of groceries increased by approximately 30.2 percent between March 2020 and March 2025.

Carney knows these policies crush the middle class. That is the point. When individuals struggle to feed their families or fill their gas tanks, they stop resisting.

3. Defund and destabilize law enforcement

On June 8, 2020, just days after the death of George Floyd in the United States, Carney wrote an op-ed in *The Globe and Mail* endorsing social movements that called for defunding police. In that article, he promoted the idea that institutions need to be reformed to serve “*social jus-*

tice” rather than law and order. His exact words were that the economy must become more “*inclusive*” and must reflect new social priorities.

In Canada, this narrative led to police budget reductions in major cities, including Toronto, Montreal, and Vancouver. The result has been a significant rise in violent crime, carjackings, and open drug use. As cities become less safe, public demand increases for technological surveillance, biometric security, and government monitoring. Carney has supported the digital ID infrastructure that will replace traditional policing with algorithmic control.

4. Control education to indoctrinate the next generation

In October 2020, Carney became head of the Brookfield ESG advisory group, which has since partnered with the United Nations to fund youth education programs across North America. These programs are designed to train children and university students to accept climate compliance, equity mandates, and global governance as normal.

In March 2023, the University of Toronto received millions in funding tied to a Brookfield-backed ESG curriculum. These classes redefine economic freedom as dangerous and promote submission to centralized digital control.

The youth of Canada are no longer being educated. They are being conditioned.

5. Eliminate private property through regulation, taxation, and debt traps

Carney supports net-zero home compliance. In April 2023, the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation proposed mandatory energy audits for homes before sale. This policy was developed in part by the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures, which Carney chairs.

These audits will be used to justify carbon taxes on homeowners, making it impossible for many families to sell or afford upgrades. At the same time, interest rate hikes driven by central banks have doubled mortgage payments since early 2022. Carney supports these hikes as necessary to curb inflation. The result is mass foreclosure. This is not mismanagement. This is extraction.

6. Promote division through identity politics

Carney has repeatedly made public statements aligning with social justice narratives. In May 2021, he gave a speech at the Public Policy Forum where he called for economic systems that serve “*racial justice*,” “*climate justice*,” and “*gender equity*.” These are not neutral goals. They are designed to divide individuals and pit them against one another based on race, gender, and ideology.

While Canadians fight over perceived slights and identity labels, the real theft is happening quietly. Carney’s controllers benefit from chaos.

The more fractured society becomes, the easier it is to dominate.

7. Undermine faith and family to replace them with state loyalty

In 2019, Carney spoke at the Vatican in support of the Council for Inclusive Capitalism, a group designed to unite global corporations, financial institutions, and the Catholic Church under a single moral-economic framework. The message was clear: loyalty must shift from traditional institutions to a centralized system where moral authority is dictated by economic compliance.

At the same time, Canadian government programs now incentivize children to bypass parental consent on gender decisions, vaccines, and personal health records. Carney sup-

ports these policies. They remove the parent and insert the state.

8. Create permanent dependence on the state through programmable money

Carney is one of the most vocal international advocates for Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs). In a December 2019 speech at the Bank for International Settlements, Carney said that “*the future of money will be programmable and trackable and must support sustainable development.*”

In October 2023, the Bank of Canada began pilot testing a central bank digital currency. Carney is advising that effort. Once implemented, this programmable currency will allow the government to freeze accounts, limit purchases, and control every financial transaction.

There will be *no cash!*

No privacy!

No freedom!

This is the full Cloward–Piven Strategy.

These are not theories. These are documented facts. And Mark Carney was placed into the Canadian federal election scheduled for April 28, 2025, to finish the job.

Also, the CBDC is only one part of the National Digital ID that Mona Fortier, former President of the Treasury, described in her manifesto *Digital Ambition*,² published in August 2022. Find it on the gov.ca website for all to see. She announced this document, which also specifies that the Bank of Canada is the key to delivering all things digital.

By the way, also in August 2022, a secret survey was implemented in Nova Scotia (still not sure if it was federally or provincially financed), costing 2.4 million dollars. Strategic Pollster out of Toronto was hired to determine the willingness of Nova Scotians to accept digital everything and how much those surveyed knew about digital currency and identification. It has been impossible to find the outcome.

1. en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cloward%E2%80%93Piven_strategy

2. canada.ca/en/government/system/digital-government/canada-digital-ambition/canada-digital-ambition-2022-23.html

Originally published at freenz.substack.com



Is Your Voice Being Heard?

By Stan McDonald

Across Ontario and throughout Canada, communities are grappling with development proposals that carry significant consequences for residents, the environment, and local heritage. The recent debate over the Black Bear Ridge Golf Course expansion in the City of Belleville brings these issues into sharp focus—and serves as a case study for anyone facing similar challenges in their own backyard.

When Consultation Lacks Transparency

The heart of the controversy is a familiar one: local residents have raised serious concerns about a project’s impact, only to feel left out of critical discussions. As outlined in public submissions, community members flagged the absence of up-to-date, unbiased environmental impact studies; a lack of full disclosure and transparent process; and the risk of flooding if development proceeds on designated floodplain land. These fears are compounded by the perception that local voices are being disregarded, despite legislation guaranteeing participation and procedural fairness.

Know Your Legal Rights

- What can citizens do when it seems decisions are made behind closed doors?
- **Ontario Regulation 322/12** states that no new infrastructure dubbed “local improvements” can occur on private property without direct, written consent of the owner.
 - The **Conservation Authorities Act** and **Ontario**

- Regulation 97/04** strictly prohibit building in floodplains, unless exceptional, highly regulated circumstances apply.
- Case law—including the Peel (Regional Municipality)

Land Tribunal—to demand answers and accountability.

What Should YOU Do If You Face the Same Situation?

1. **Organize and Document:** Work collectively with neighbours and keep a detailed, written record of all concerns and communications.
2. **Demand Transparency:** Formally request all environmental and planning documents, and ask for third-party studies—not just those paid for by developers.
3. **Exercise Your Legal Rights:** Respond in writing to public notices, attend hearings, and remind officials of their obligations under the relevant Acts and case law.
4. **Leverage the Media & Allies:** Local news outlets, social media, and advocacy groups can amplify your message and ensure your concerns reach a wider audience.
5. **Persist and Escalate:** If necessary, escalate your concerns to the Land Tribunal, Ombudsman, or, in extreme cases, the courts.

A Call for Stronger Democracy

The Black Bear Ridge example is a cautionary tale: when process falters, everyone loses—residents, governments, and the environment. But it also shows the power citizens have when they stand up together and insist on transparency, due process, and respect for the law.

If you’re fighting to protect your community or property rights, know you’re not alone. The solution: stay informed, get organized, use the legal tools at your disposal, and never let your voice be sidelined. Your community—and the principles of fair government—depend on it.



v. St. Lukes Court Apartments Ltd. decision—confirms that meaningful public consultation and environmental diligence are legal duties, not just best practices.

The Power of “Audi Alteram Partem”

This legal principle—“let the other side be heard”—is foundational. When governments or developers bypass full and open consultation, they risk not only legal challenges but eroding public trust.

In Belleville, failure to properly address these duties has galvanized residents, who are using every official channel—from conservation authorities to the Ontario

Food Freedom: Late Season Actions and Beyond

By Hugh Mannas Espiritus

The best time to prepare for a healthy future is now. The second-best time is past, so let it go and be present.

Across this land, and all of North America and beyond, we have so much potential to be healthier and reach “Food Freedom.” Starting some portion of it is easier than we’ve been led to believe!

Food sovereignty is the most important factor of life sovereignty. Think about it: if on paper you and I stand for and eventually achieve all the laws protecting our rights to be free, yet big corporations continue poisoning our food and medicine, or charging ever-increasing amounts, are we truly sovereign?

Yes, we must unite in community, demand and create better laws, save what’s left of nature, ban poisons and extortionist food monopolies, and work toward a better world in all areas of life, community, and planet. At the same time, do you realize your health, your budget, and your life overall will radically improve by simply improving your food sovereignty as much as possible?

If you ever think it’s too late in the season to grow, I assure you—it’s never too late to start. It’s well worth taking steps of any size toward food sovereignty today, this week, or any day you can make time for what’s important. To help you help yourself, your family, and your friends faster, we’ve compiled this simple list to start now:

Simple Action Steps

1. Give thanks for what you have and ask or pray for what you feel you still need.
2. Observe and prepare—write, journal, plan potentials, make lists, and draw fun garden designs with flowing,

- non-linear pathways and diverse plantings.
3. Shop local farms—organic, regenerative, permacultural if possible. Buy food, plants, seeds, and advice. Maybe volunteer?
 4. Seeds are life—collect, save, sort, store, label, plant, share, swap, sell, library, bank. Gather lots of seeds (more than just for you and your family).
 5. Build soil—add organic matter, compost, leaf mulch, castings, bark mulch, wood chips, logs, branches, rocks, minerals, etc.



6. Keep soil structure—little to no tilling. Remove only invasive plants, necessary transplants, and root crops. Chop and drop, let some go to seed. Grow cover crops.
7. Grow what you can, anytime—even before and after snow, there are seeds and bulbs you can try. Buy starter plants if necessary.
8. Preserve food—as much as possible, using different methods. Especially do this with community, like in the “good old days.”

9. Grow sprouts and microgreens—experiment with different techniques and see how quickly you can grow healthy food.
10. Plant natives—medicines, fruit and nut trees, berry bushes, and other perennials suited to your climate and region.
11. Use season extenders—cold frames, greenhouses, solariums, extra mulch, blankets, even “Christmas” lights for delicate perennials if needed.
12. Water is life—stay hydrated, but not drowned. Keep learning sustainable water-retention strategies.

13. Learn local herbal medicines—and start planting some.
14. Eat and share—enjoy lots of fresh fruits and vegetables!
15. Have a garden party—share food, knowledge, and fun!

Now you have some guidance. Try doing as much as you can right away with your own instinct and awareness. Then consider evolving faster with the help of friends, family, neighbors, local experts, books, magazines, videos, and more. The more you learn and experience (sometimes from mistakes—no problemo) today, the more you can help others tomorrow.

The more we practice regenerative gardening, permaculture, lifeculture, biodynamics, and similar approaches, the more we can integrate them into all areas of life to make everything more sovereign, efficient, sustainable, and

regenerative.

When you feel you’ve learned something of value, please consider sharing it with community for the benefit of all. My favourite way is with a community potluck, workshop, and hands-on work party.

Community conversation circles can change everything!

And as time goes on, the need to grow grows. So—are we best to grow more too?

THEY**LIED**.ca

Will you be
the last to know?

USE**CASH**.ca


Say **NO** to
Central Bank Digital Currency
and
Social Credit System

Protecting Informed Consent



VaccineChoiceCanada.com

The decision of whether or not to vaccinate your child is **one of the most important decisions you will be asked to make as a parent.** Our purpose in writing this guide is to assist you in making an informed decision you are comfortable and confident in.



A New Parents Guide To
**UNDERSTANDING
VACCINATION**

Informing Choice Through Education



Purchase or Download Today!
vaccinechoicecanada.com/new-parents-guide

Discover a proven path
to good health through nourishing traditional diets.

Members of the **Weston A. Price Foundation** receive our quarterly *Wise Traditions* journal with accurate information on nutrition and health, always aiming to provide the scientific validation of traditional foodways. Find local small farms through your chapter leader, and request a free booklet.

(703) 820-3333 westonaprice.org

Become a member: ~~\$50~~ \$40/year
for our quarterly journal and more.
Use code **wapf30**

"My doctor recommended I try this."



I have used other ionic liquid magnesium supplements before, as well as magnesium orotate and magnesium taurate (and other forms). Simply put, ReMag magnesium is the number one best magnesium supplement ever."

AMAZON CUSTOMER REVIEW



ReMag

Qualified Support:
8.1 or 16.2 OZ Bottles
Starter Package Special

236-301-6444
Shipped within Canada

HTTPS://
SHORTTRUEHEALTHCANADA.CA

FREE to Travel?

Frontliners
Travel Group

Andrea@FTGTravel.com | 416 318 3634

Druthers Community Classifieds

P.S. I Think of You Often:
A JOURNEY INTO
UNDERSTANDING
A Jungian tale
of transformation
www.brendalaface.com

Clinical Counselling for the
Spiritually Inclined
www.soulwardbound.com

Selling in Ontario?
Looking for a like-minded
Realtor? Let's connect:
Rachel (647) 973-1814

Discover the Power of Light
and support your body's
natural healing. LifeWave
patches activate stem cells,
improve sleep, & decrease
pain. Patented & backed by
science.

Curious how it works?
Let's chat [info@
luminescencehealing.com](mailto:info@luminescencehealing.com)

The Healing Trees: The
Edible and Herbal Qualities
of Northeastern Woodland Trees
healingtreesbook.com

Dental problems? Weight
gain? Prevent illness &
aging? Doctors not trained in
advanced nutrition analysis.
Get a FREE cell nutritional
assessment
@nutrirebel.com

In a world changed so
profoundly, seeking support
is okay. I offer Canada-wide
online/in person Art-Based
Therapy. Feel better today!
info@alikusartinmind.com

Melancthon Against
Quarries, You can
Stop The First Blast Quarry In
Dufferin County,
It will be a Huge Crater, Up
to 200 Feet Below the Water
Table,
At the Heart of Our Water
Supply.
For More Details Visit
<https://www.juststopit.ca/>.
Contact Us
info@juststopit.ca

Great business sites.
[https://ultimatelifebiz.
blogspot.com](https://ultimatelifebiz.blogspot.com)

New Book

by Todd Hayen



"...the book serves as a
valuable reflection on the
social and psychological toll
that recent events have
taken on us all."

—James Corbett,
The Corbett Report

"...it's a rallying cry for integrity
, insight, and the fight to stay
human in an upside-down
world."

—Scott McRae,
producer, Follow the Science

amazon

books.by

(www.books.by/ToddHayen)

Have your ad printed in 200,000 or more copies
and distributed all over Canada. druthers.ca/ads

Free trial month of Druthers,
delivered to your door?

www.druthers.ca/free

Canada at the Crossroads from Dark to Light: Awakening to Sovereignty

By Lani Gelera

The Woodland Ban in Nova Scotia, now being contested as unconstitutional, is not just about forests—it’s about freedom. It’s about the rights of Canadians to live without arbitrary restrictions imposed by a government that claims to represent us, but time and again proves it serves control and corporate interests instead.

The ban is a symbol of a greater truth emerging across our country: we are witnessing a disturbing pattern of government overreach. From surveillance bills to silenced unions, from Indigenous rights ignored to communities stripped of their say, the evidence is clear—our freedoms are under attack. And yet, these very violations are waking Canadians up to a powerful realization: the people are the true authority in this land.

Exposing the Pattern of Overreach

1. The Woodland Ban — Restricting Rights in Nova Scotia

This sweeping ban strips away community and property rights under the guise of “protection,” but in reality, it bypasses due process and violates constitutional principles. If the state can impose this without consent, then no Canadian’s rights are secure.

2. Bill C-2 (“Strong Borders Act”) — Warrantless Surveillance

Bill C-2 grants law enforcement the power to pull private data from banks, ISPs, and healthcare providers without a warrant. Canadians are being told this is for “urgent” cases, but in truth, it is an open door to constant monitoring and unchecked intrusion into our private lives.

3. First Nations Challenge — Fast-Track Projects

Nine First Nations in Ontario are taking the government to court over laws that fast-track mining and pipeline projects while cutting consultation. If Indigenous sovereignty can be ignored so easily, then the principle of consent and self-determination is being eroded for everyone.

4. Air Canada Strike — Back-to-Work Order

When 10,000 Air Canada flight attendants went on strike, the government crushed their right to collective bargaining by forcing them back to work. Their constitutional right to strike was trampled in the name of corporate profit. This is not democracy—it’s coercion.

The Illusion of Control

For too long, Canadians have lived under the illusion that government exists to protect us. But what we are seeing is not protection—it is control. Statutes and codes are wielded like chains, while the people are led to believe they are powerless.

Yet here lies the paradox: every act of government overreach does not strengthen their authority—it weakens it. Each overstep cracks the illusion, and more people begin to see that sovereignty was never something to be given or taken. It has always been ours.

Reclaiming Our Sovereignty



Sovereignty is not granted by government—it is inherent. It is the birth-right of every human being. To reclaim it, we must first recognize the truth: we have unknowingly handed away our power, our authority, and our consent.

The path forward is clear:

- **Withdraw consent from corruption.** Stop complying blindly with statutes and policies that violate natural law and common sense.
- **Stand in your authority.** Know your rights, speak them, and act from them. A sovereign individual cannot be controlled by unjust systems.
- **Rebuild community.** True power rests in people uniting—neighbours, families, and communities standing together in self-determination.
- **Return to Natural and Common Law.** These timeless principles remind us that justice comes not from bureaucrats, but from truth, fairness, and accountability.

The Call to Canadians

The Woodland Ban is not just a provincial policy—it is a mirror, showing us how far we have strayed from freedom, and how urgent it is to return.

The question is before us now: will we allow government overreach to dictate the future of our lives, or will we rise to reclaim the sovereignty that is ours by birth-right?

The systems of control are weakening, but they will not collapse on their own. They will fall when Canadians stand up, withdraw consent, and embody the authority we have always held within us.

This is not just political. It is spiritual. It is the crossing point between dark and light, fear and freedom.

The time to reclaim our sovereignty is now.

Lani Gelera is the co-author and producer of The Brave book series—an inspiring collection of true stories from freedom fighters, truth seekers, and sovereign Canadians who rose to speak truth to power during a pivotal time in our nation’s history. Follow her journey and join the conversation on Facebook: facebook.com/LadyBravenofthePride

Money for Movies, Not Firefighters

By Liam DeBoer | BlendrNews.com

Nova Scotia’s government says wildfires are such a serious threat that they’ve taken the extreme measure of imposing climate lockdowns. They’ve banned hiking, camping, fishing, and, in some cases, even walking on your own private land with friends. All in the name of public safety, of course.

But when you look at the budget, it’s hard not to see the hypocrisy. For the 2025-2026 year, the province has allocated just 1.1 million dollars for direct wildfire suppression. That’s equipment and training in partnership with Natural Resources Canada. At the same time, they’ve poured 37 times more, over 40 million dollars, into broad climate change and net-zero initiatives.

Things like net-zero housing projects, community solar programs, and advancing clean technologies, whatever that means. These projects do nothing to get more firefighters in the field or more water on flames.

And it doesn’t stop there. The same budget hands out 1.3 million dollars for a Mi’kmaq Language Revitalization Strategy, and 39 million dollars to support the film industry, including 14 million dollars to complete a Hulu TV series called *Washington Black*. To put that into perspective, the TV show alone costs over 12 times more than the wildfire suppression budget. The full film industry allocation is more than 35 times greater!

So we have a government that claims the fire risk is so severe it must override basic property rights and personal freedoms, yet when it comes to funding the very resources that could stop these fires, they choose vanity projects, cultural initiatives, and DEI entertainment instead.

If they truly believed wildfires were the existential threat they say they are, the budget would show it. Instead, it shows a political class more interested in symbolic climate branding and media productions than in protecting lives and property.

The next time Nova Scotians are told there’s no money for more crews, more trucks, or better equipment, remember, it’s not that the money doesn’t exist. It’s that your safety simply isn’t the actual priority.

Originally published on Instagram @liam.out.loud



Government Debt Interest Payments Will Cost Each Canadian up to \$3,432

By Jake Fuss, Tegan Hill and William Dunstan | fraserinstitute.org

Combined government debt interest payments for both the federal and provincial governments in Canada will cost \$92.5 billion in 2024/25—or between \$1,937 and \$3,432 per Canadian depending on the province, finds a new study published by the Fraser Institute, an independent, non-partisan Canadian public policy think-tank.

“Interest must be paid on government debt, and the more money governments spend on interest payments, the less money is available for the programs and services that matter to Canadians,” said Jake Fuss, director of fiscal studies at the Fraser Institute and co-author of *Federal and Provincial Debt Interest Costs for Canadians, 2025 edition*.

The study finds that taxpayers across Canada will pay a total of \$92.5 billion on interest payments for the federal and provincial government debts in 2024/25 alone.

The federal government will spend \$53.8 billion on debt servicing charges in 2024/25, which is significantly more than the government expects to spend on the Canada Child Benefit and Canada-wide Early Learning and Child Care benefit (\$35.1 billion) and more than the Canada Health Transfer payments to the provinces (\$52.1 billion).

Nationally, Newfoundland and Labrador’s combined federal and provincial interest costs is the highest in the country at \$3,432 per person. Manitoba is the next highest at

\$2,868 per person. Alberta’s combined federal and provincial interest costs are the lowest in the country at \$1,937 per person.

Meanwhile, total expenditures on interest costs for Ontario (\$38.4 billion), Quebec (\$23.0 billion), and Alberta (\$9.5 billion) are roughly equivalent to expected spending on K-12 education in their respective provinces this year.

“Governments across Canada continue to rack up large debts, which impose real costs on Canadians,” said Tegan Hill, study co-author and director of Alberta Policy Studies for the Institute.

“Interest payments across the country are substantial, and money that goes to creditors is money that is not available for other important priorities.”

The Fraser Institute is an independent Canadian public policy research and educational organization. Its mission is to improve the quality of life for Canadians, their families and future generations by studying, measuring and broadly communicating the effects of government policies, entrepreneurship and choice on their well-being. To protect the Institute’s independence, it does not accept grants from governments or contracts for research.

Originally published at fraserinstitute.org

Toward a Bright Future

Philosophical Basis of a New Society

By Allison Azulay

Hierarchical systems have proven throughout history to inevitably become tyrannical regardless of original intent. It therefore behooves us to establish a new society based on a radically different philosophy.

A worthwhile society, one that lasts in peace, must be based on values that enhance life and living for all. To that end, inspired by and expanding upon the pioneering reformation introduced by the king of Bhutan, the following is suggested.

- Let us set our social order on the four equal pillars of:
- Universal Health, Happiness, and Harmony
 - Environmental Preservation, Enhancement, and Enjoyment
 - Economic Prosperity, Sustainability, and Utility
 - Equality, True Law and Justice, and Morality

These pillars are both goal and the measure by which to gauge success, with each pillar of value equal to the others so that balance can be maintained.

Pillar One: Universal Health, Happiness, and Harmony. The purpose of life is not merely survival or outward success and acquisition, but inner spiritual growth and balance, cultivated through deep self-awareness and expressed in kindness, courtesy, gratitude, wisdom, and creativity. True spirituality thus expands to manifest in the wider society as peace, prosperity, and progress of family, clan, and community.

Happiness is not just physical pleasures but comprises contentment, gratitude, inner peace, and emotional balance, guided by a strong moral compass rooted in adherence to Natural Law, and which may manifest outwardly in mental, emotional, and/or physical pleasure as well as in harmonious interaction with others and in material prosperity and creativity.

Pillar Two: Environmental preservation and enhancement go hand in hand with lasting economic prosperity and utility in a synergy that facilitates both. And enjoyment of nature offers benefits to the mind, body, and spirit.

Pillar Three: Economies can thrive only by using resources wisely, with a view to sustaining and even increasing them. Reliance on local production enables

accountability in terms of resource use, production efficiency and safety, healthfulness of products, and environmental protection. Local economic independence encourages development of cultural diversity, allowing the members of the populace to explore their creativity, while ensuring sovereignty by preventing dependence on parasitical organizations that create war, planned obsolescence and deliberate shortages, slavery, and poverty for their profit while denying the majority of people the means even to survive.



The parasitical mindset of greed has no place in a healthy society, for it encourages all the ills from pollution and environmental destruction to poverty and slavery to conflict and crime to moral turpitude and outright atrocity. No sustainable economy or just society can tolerate greed and its attendant focus on status, elitism, and unbridled power. Nor do false claims of overpopulation, lack of resources, and the other fake emergencies and prophecies of doom promoted as science excuse the greed of those who openly proclaim their intention to depopulate (murder) us for their profit and control.

Pillar Four: Natural Law is based on the energy flows of the universe as established by the One Creator, and ensures that the other three pillars stand in strength and stability guided by the compass of Morality, which is defined as actions that cause no harm to others. Thus, a society grounded in Natural Law and aligned with Morality enables all to flourish in peace, equality, prosperity, and balance.

True Morality exists in harmony with Natural Law and exalts the natural traits of humanity: the true Human nature, which directly opposes the false propaganda of humanity as an aggressive, warlike, selfish, greedy, vicious, immoral species exhibiting all the negative traits imposed upon us without our knowledge or valid consent.

This proposed philosophy and policy is a radical rethink of how to organize our world from the ground up. Given the chaos around us as old systems collapse under the weight of unsustainability and inefficiency, and as the parasites who call themselves elites in politics, finance, media, and more become ever more tyrannical in their attempts to maintain control, it is high time we the people considered new options.

Are you ready for a new way forward?

Are you ready for a better world for us all?

If so, consider not only the Four Pillars concept, but also how to reach the goal of a new society. A recommended starting point is *The New Blueprint for Humanity*, written by a group of people worldwide to address the problems we all face. The original book, *Version Canada*, and the *European Version* are all available on [Lulu.com/shop](https://lulu.com/shop), with online reading and downloadable PDFs at the project's website.¹

For further research into these ideas, see additional sources that inspired or support this work, including a talk on YouTube,² coverage by *CNN* on Bhutan's Gross National Happiness initiative,³ and the discussions at *This Show*.⁴

1. thenewblueprintforhumanity.org
2. youtube.com/watch?v=hPIRmUv7qzo
3. cnn.com/2019/09/13/health/bhutan-gross-national-happiness-wellness
4. thi-show.com

Survey Finds Most U.S. Parents, Pregnant Women Reject CDC's Vaccine Schedule

By Natasha Hobley | [TheVaccineReaction.org](https://thevaccinereaction.org)

A survey from researchers at Emory University and the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) found that only 35 to 40 percent of pregnant women and parents of young children in the United States intend to accept all government-recommended vaccines.

The survey was published as a research letter in the *JAMA Network Open* in mid-July. Researchers recruited 174 pregnant women and 1,765 parents from a nationally representative panel to answer questions about their intentions to have their child receive all recommended vaccines by age 18 months. Of those interviewed, 37.6 percent of pregnancies were first-time pregnancies, and about half of respondents held a college bachelor's degree or higher.

Rejection of Vaccine Recommendations Since COVID Pandemic Response

Other data has affirmed similar findings that more individuals are questioning the safety, effectiveness and necessity of vaccines, presenting a notable shift in public opinion in the US, largely since the push for everyone to get mRNA COVID shots. One study found that in the 2022 to 2023 school year, nonmedical exemptions in the US reached a historic high, while other data showed lower flu vaccine uptake and more frequent conversations questioning vaccination.

"Given the high decisional uncertainty during pregnancy about vaccinating children after birth, there may be value in intervening during pregnancy to proactively support families with childhood vaccination decisions," the researchers behind the survey wrote.

The World Health Organization (WHO) calls vaccine hesitancy a significant global issue and one of the most pressing threats to public health. Researchers studying vaccine hesitancy state that the vaccine hesitancy "epidemic" is complex and fueled by misinformation, distrust in healthcare, cultural beliefs, and access barriers.

Department of Health and Human Resources

Secretary Robert F. Kennedy recently removed members of the CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) and appointed new members, creating work groups to review the federal childhood vaccine schedule, as well as to study vaccines that haven't been fully scientifically evaluated.

Study Links Genetic Factors Raising Risks for Vaccine Reactions in Infants



A recent peer-reviewed study published in the *International Journal of Medical Sciences* validated some parents' hesitancy to vaccinate. Researchers found that genetic variability in terms of how infants metabolize various vaccine ingredients may play a critical role in unexplained deaths of otherwise healthy infants after vaccination, whose deaths are often misclassified as being caused by sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS).

Authors of the study call for pharmacogenetic screening prior to infant vaccination to help identify those who may be at higher risk for sudden death after vaccination. The researchers conducted the study to better understand and highlight how underdeveloped liver enzymes in infants are immature, potentially impairing an infant's ability to detoxify vaccine ingredients

like aluminum, polysorbate 80 and formaldehyde. The researchers say that the underdeveloped liver function, along with inflammation triggered by vaccinations, could increase the risk of adverse outcomes in genetically or developmentally vulnerable infants.

A recent opinion piece from *The Atlantic* stated that doubts and concerns about vaccines were once largely fueled by friends and family or "unreliable" online information, but that now the doubt about vaccines "has the weight of the federal government behind it."

Michigan pediatrician Molly O'Shea told *The Atlantic* author:

"Families have really been shaken in their confidence in what we've been telling them all this time. We're already seeing in my practices a decrease in people taking vaccines on schedule."

Behavioural Science Weaponized to Influence Vaccine Decisions

The answer to vaccine hesitancy for many health organizations and professionals is not to listen to patients' concerns and respect their decisions or the informed consent process, but rather to use behavioural psychology to coerce and change their opinions, beliefs and behaviour.

The American Health Care Association website outlines the science of effecting behaviour change when having conversations about vaccines, instructing health care professionals on how to use the Transtheoretical Model of Behaviour Change framework to intervene in and manipulate the decision-making process.

"By tailoring messages and interventions to each individual's stage [of decision making], you can improve vaccine uptake and support staff and residents throughout their vaccination journey," the website states. "Remember that each conversation is an opportunity to build trust and empower individuals to make informed health decisions."

Originally published with references at thevaccinereaction.org

When the CRA Comes for Your Pension

A Canadian’s Story of Hardship and Hope

By Peter Last


For most Canadians, the Canada Pension Plan (CPP) and Old Age Security (OAS) are lifelines. They’re not luxuries—they’re the difference between paying rent and facing eviction, between buying groceries and going hungry.

But what many don’t realize is this: the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) has the power to take those benefits **before they ever reach your bank account**. They don’t need to ask a judge. They don’t need to prove that you’ll still be able to eat or pay your bills. They simply send a **Requirement to Pay** under Section 224 of the Income Tax Act—and your money is gone.

I know this because I’m living it. The CRA claims I owe **\$247,652.35** in taxes. I have disputed this and requested proof of how they arrived at that number. On July 14, 2025, I sent them a **registered letter** formally asking for a breakdown of the alleged debt. Canada Post records confirm the CRA received it on July 17, 2025. Yet they verbally told me they hadn’t received my letter—and without providing the requested proof, they still moved forward.

On **July 31, 2025**, the CRA issued a **Requirement to Pay** to my bank and other financial institutions, freezing my accounts. Only later, on **August 8, 2025**, in a time-stamped envelope, did they mail out these documents to me, which I received on August 11, 2025. The documents they had mailed to me were two weeks after they had already cut me off from my own money. And my initial request on the validation of this amount is still outstanding.

In the meantime, I’ve been doing everything in my



power to get my taxes in order. Like many Canadians, I’ve been dealing with significant mental health challenges in the wake of the COVID fallout—struggles that made it hard to focus on anything beyond day-to-day survival. It’s a reminder that one must take care of themselves before they can take care of their obligations to others. Prior to this, I’ve been filing for multiple years, now I find myself gathering every document I can, chasing missing

rent receipts and RRSP information from 2021–2024.

I’m repairing my van so I can take on jobs. I’m not hiding from my responsibilities. I’m actively working to resolve this. Now this is on borrowed money from friends. And the irony of it all is, if I’m successful in securing work, I can’t utilize my bank account, and my bank has advised me that if I open an account somewhere

else, CRA will find it and do the same thing. This leaves me to question: Is our system designed to force us underground? Is cash really king, or are they just using their overreaching power to control us?

But here’s what Canadians need to understand: if the CRA decides to garnish your income, they can direct **Service Canada** to send your CPP and OAS straight to them. Or they can order your bank—in my case, the National Bank of Canada—to freeze and remit your RRSP funds. Private creditors can’t touch these benefits without going to court, but the CRA can bypass that entirely.

And here’s the kicker: They can take it all.

Yes, they sometimes leave you with enough to “live on,” but there’s no law requiring them to do so. Unless you successfully plead hardship under the **Taxpayer Relief provisions**, they could leave you with nothing.

I’ve already filed a hardship claim, but there’s no guarantee it will be respected, after all they have ignored my registered letter. That means my ability to pay rent, keep the lights on, and buy food could be decided in an office far from where I live—by someone named J. Tchuangou # (1231) who’s never met me, never seen my situation, and never walked in my shoes.

This isn’t just about me. It’s about every Canadian who’s worked their whole life, paid into the system, and then finds themselves facing a government agency that can take back what was promised—without a court order, without transparency, and without fair warning.

We need a national conversation about whether that’s right. Because if it can happen to me, it can happen to you.

Restricting Citizens

Continued from p.1

services are always scarce, so liberty must be managed to protect them. Scarcity is addressed not by increasing capacity, but by reducing your freedom to create demand.

The problem is not that governments sometimes need to impose emergency measures. If there is a fire in a forest, deal with the fire and its causes. The problem is that the default reflex now is to manage emergencies by restricting citizens, rather than by improving efficiency and making the state more resilient.

This is not a license for government to spend more. It is a call for governments to fix the problem. In this case, it is a call to address the real issues of these forest fires, instead of inventing fantasies about climate. Tackle forest management and deal with the arsonists.

What Holt’s statement—and Houston’s precedent—reveal is the depth to which this reflex to restrict liberties has sunk into mundane political thinking. The maternal tone, the grounding in “what if” rather than “what is,” the casual assumption that the public should adapt to the state’s needs, not the other way around—these are the marks of a government that has dangerously inverted its purpose.

In the traditional view, the government exists to safeguard liberty and to provide services that allow people to live as freely as possible despite risk. In Holt’s version,

borrowed from Houston’s, people must live cautiously and quietly to safeguard the government’s ability to function without strain. The state becomes the primary subject, and the citizen is now in the supporting role.

This inversion matters because it sets no limits. The rationale that you might need help when the state is busy elsewhere can be applied to anything. It is not about fires or viruses; it is about conditioning the public to accept liberty as a revocable privilege at the state’s demand.

Some will argue that these measures are temporary, tied to specific crises, and will be lifted when the strain eases. That is what governments said about lockdowns, and yet the logic that justified them is now applied to wildfires. From there, it will be applied to snowstorms, flood seasons, cyberattacks, water shortages, and any other event that can be framed as an “extraordinary” strain on public services. The extraordinary quickly becomes the ordinary.

Most insidious is that it is done in the language of care. You are told you are being protected—from fires, from injury, from overburdened hospitals—and that the only price is a little less freedom for a little while. But the net effect is not protection; it is dependency. It is the cultivation of a citizenry that expects to be managed, not empowered.

The truth is that life in a free society entails risk, and that the role of public services is not to eliminate that risk, but to respond when needed. If those services are strained (leaving aside arson and the changes in forestry management), the honest solution is to build more capacity, not to shrink the space in which people may

live their lives. The public can be told the truth: “Enter the woods at your own risk. We may not be able to reach you quickly.” That would preserve liberty and respect the citizen as an adult capable of making informed choices.

But that is not the route chosen. Instead, the state adopts the role of a parent, keeping the children indoors “until it’s safe,” with no discussion of what safety means or when it will be achieved. That role is easier for the state, because it demands nothing of their system—only of the public.

What Holt said out loud, following Houston’s lead, is what many in government now think privately: that your rights exist at the discretion of the system’s convenience, and that you should accept this as normal. The only way to resist that normalization is not to be afraid to name it, to expose the logic, and to refuse to treat it as harmless.

Because once you accept that you may not walk in the woods in case you twist your ankle, while the province is fighting a fire at the other end, you have already accepted that you may not walk anywhere, for any reason, whenever the state decides it has better things to do.

The government learned from COVID that it could train the public to obey in the name of protecting capacity. Houston and Holt’s decrees show that the lesson has been learned and is now being applied elsewhere. Unless citizens unlearn that lesson and teach the politicians a new one, the subsequent ban will be easier to impose, and the one after that easier still, until the idea of unrestricted movement is a quaint memory.

Originally published at mnghaultain.substack.com



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
September 2025 circulation: 175,000 copies Canada-wide
Original content DRUTHERS 2025®
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Administrator: Christie-Lynn
Editor: Michelle
Layout & Design: Michael Choo-Chong
Thanks to: Kristy, Bob, Jessica, Len, Darlene, Emily & more...
In loving memory of Dave Bolton
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net
Advertise in Druthers: druthers.ca/ads
“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on.” – Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS. All source links and references can be found at druthers.ca/articles

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

Please give what you can: druthers.ca/donate Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531 Six Points Plaza
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

Four Glaring Loopholes In Canada’s Foreign Buyer Ban

By Riley Donovan | [DominionReview.ca](#)

In January 2023, the Trudeau government passed the *Prohibition on the Purchase of Residential Property by Non-Canadians Act*—a two-year foreign buyer ban. In February 2024, the ban was extended for another two years and is now in place until January 2027.

The ban has proved popular, with a poll by Research Co. released in April revealing that 76% of Canadians support the legislation. Given this strong support from the Canadian public, it will likely be extended again, despite a recent lobbying effort from BC developers demanding that the ban be weakened to prop up declining housing construction amid the crash in Canada’s micro condo market.

Far from being too harsh, Canada’s foreign buyer ban could actually afford to be strengthened by patching notable loopholes to further limit the price-inflating effects of foreign capital flows into our domestic housing market.

Here are four major loopholes that the federal government should patch to make housing cheaper for Canadians.

1. Inexplicably, international students and foreign workers can buy homes

International students and foreign workers are, by definition, non-Canadians. They are foreign nationals who are here in Canada on temporary visas—hence why they are classified as “temporary residents” rather than “permanent residents” or “citizens.” Nevertheless, both international students and foreign workers are exempt from the foreign buyer ban as long as they meet certain criteria.

A post on *kelownarealestate.com* titled How Foreign Buyers Can Purchase Homes in Canada Despite the Foreign Buyer Ban explains that international students can purchase residential property in Canada if they have filed five consecutive tax returns, and “have been physically present in Canada for 67% of each year for the five years prior to purchasing a property.”

The blog post goes on to explain that foreign workers can buy residential property provided their work permit has “at least 183 days of validity remaining on the date of purchase.”

2. The ban only applies to urban centres

According to the Canada Mortgage Housing

Corporation, the foreign buyer ban does not apply to areas outside of Census Metropolitan Areas (CMAs) and Census Agglomerations (CAs). CMAs “must have a total population of at least 100,000, with 50,000 or more living in the core,” while CAs “must have a population of at least 10,000.”

You can use this CMHC map¹ to check if your community falls under either designation, or if it does not and is thus exempt from the foreign buyer ban.

There are a number of startling exemptions, such as the Southern Gulf Islands, where I live. These islands are nearby the major metropolis of Vancouver, and have a fair number of high-value properties, which foreign nationals could easily purchase to park large amounts of cash.



The real estate industry seems to be highly aware of this geographic loophole, with a post on the Victoria Luxury Group blog helpfully pointing out² the “plenty of properties on Vancouver Island and around Victoria that are exempt” from the foreign buyer ban.

3. Apartments are exempt

The CMHC explains that Canada’s foreign buyer ban legislation defines residential property³ as “buildings with 3 dwelling units or less.” Thus, the ban “doesn’t prohibit the purchase of larger buildings with 4 or more dwelling units,” such as apartments.

4. Vacant land is not covered

An August 17th post on CRD Watch exposed a “giant

Elephant in the Room loophole” in Canada’s foreign buyer ban: vacant land is not included.

The post specifically points to an expensive bare lot listing in Oak Bay, Victoria, described as “Foreign Buyer Ban Exempt.” After a bit of digging, Sasha Izard of CRD Watch found that vacant land is indeed exempt from the ban.

Izard goes on to outline the potential consequences of this major loophole:

“A number of countries prohibit non-citizens from buying up land in the country, Indonesia being one such example.

The massive threat to ecology, including coastal natural habitat loss, by such purchases if made, is well evinced by the listing in this article.

The loophole could potentially also incentivize developers to tear down existing buildings, in order to make properties eligible for being exempt...”

Don’t weaken the foreign buyer ban; strengthen it by closing loopholes

There are other loopholes to Canada’s foreign buyer ban besides the handful outlined above. For instance, “recreational properties” such as cabins and cottages are exempt—surely foreign cash can just as easily be parked in a nice cottage as a nice house, and surely we want cabins and cottages to one day be affordable again for young Canadian families. Going beyond buildings, the only limit on foreign purchases of farmland is a loose patchwork of widely varying provincial regulations—despite calls from farmers⁴ for federal protections.

That being said, closing these four loopholes alone would be a major step in the right direction, with the ultimate goal being to shut foreign capital out of Canada’s housing market. Far from weakening the foreign buyer ban, as BC real estate developers are demanding, it should be strengthened.

1. cmhc.maps.arcgis.com/apps/instant/lookup/index.html?appid=84481a24c11e46108b6c34602e707b0d
2. victorialuxurygroup.com/foreign_buyers
3. cmhc-schl.gc.ca/professionals/housing-markets-data-and-research/housing-research/consultations/prohibition-purchase-residential-property-non-canadians-act
4. countrylifeinbc.com/bc-delegation-urges-review-of-foreign-ownership/

Originally published at [dominionreview.ca](#)

Rainmakers Revealed

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Admits to Weather Manipulation

By Jonathan Harvey | [BlendrNews.com](#)

“He who controls the weather will control the world.”
- Lyndon B. Johnson, 1962

For years, they called it a conspiracy. They mocked, censored, and gaslit anyone who dared to ask questions about geoengineering or weather modification. But now, after catastrophic floods across Texas, New Mexico, and North Carolina, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or the EPA, has finally cracked the door open. And guess what? It was never a conspiracy. It was just an inconvenient truth.

The EPA just dropped new online resources acknowledging geoengineering, cloud seeding, and solar radiation management—things they’ve spent decades pretending didn’t exist. So why now? Well, maybe because a Texas-based weather modification company, Rainwater Tech, received death threats after cloud seeding just days before the floods. The CEO says it’s all just a coincidence, and maybe it is. But after decades of denial, people have the right to be suspicious.

Even the EPA’s own administrator, Lee Zeldin, went on X and said, *“Flat out. Americans have questions about geoengineering and contrails. They expect honesty and transparency from their government ... This ends today.”*

So what exactly does the EPA now admit? For starters,

solar engineering is real. This is where they inject sulfur into the stratosphere to block the sun. They also admit to cloud seeding, which involves spraying silver iodide in the clouds to force rain. This, of course, has been hap-



pening for 50 years and already occurs in over 50 countries. In the U.S., it’s sold as a drought-fighting miracle, but there’s almost no transparency, no oversight, and no informed public consent.

They also addressed so-called chemtrails, dismissing them as harmless contrails. But at the same time, they admit they’re now tracking private actors involved

in geoengineering. So is it harmless, or is it worth investigating?

In any case, one thing I can appreciate is that the EPA didn’t sugarcoat the potential dangers of geoengineering.

According to their own materials, it could destroy the ozone layer, disrupt global and regional weather patterns, harm or wipe out entire crops, trigger acid rain—that’s a fun one—and deepen global inequality if deployed unevenly. In other words, steal rain from one region to dump it on another, which starts to sound a lot like warfare.

You could either starve your enemies by limiting their crop yield or flush them out by absolutely drenching their most populated floodplains. If that sounds familiar, it’s because the U.S. did something similar during the Vietnam War to extend the monsoon season over the Ho Chi Minh Trail.

So yes, geoengineering and weather modifications are very real. I guess that’s another check mark for the conspiracy theorists. But here’s the thing—you don’t get to play God with the sky and expect zero repercussions. There’s no free lunch, folks. We’re tampering with systems we barely understand and pretending we can

fix climate change by hacking the atmosphere and blocking the sun.

This is a very stupid game. And you know what they say about stupid games: play stupid games, win stupid prizes.

Originally published on Instagram @itsjonathanharvey


Absurdity Observer

Some of the Most Absurd Things That Have Happened in Recent Weeks

- A Nova Scotia man was hit with a \$28,872.50 fine (Victim Surcharge and HST included!) for simply taking a walk in the woods. The province has banned all entry into the forests (including forests on your personal property)— for activities such as hiking, fishing, and camping—until October 15 under the guise of “preventing wildfires.” Officials fined Jeff Evely after he posted a video of his stroll to Facebook.
- Nova Scotia has approved spraying glyphosate—a chemical linked to cancer—on 3,577 acres of drought-stricken, fire-prone forest. The move will dry out and kill vast stretches of forest vegetation, leaving yet more fuel for wildfires in its wake.
- Prime Minister Mark Carney, claims he “owns nothing but cash and real estate,” yet a new report by the Conflict of Interest and Ethics Commissioner has revealed he has stock options valued at \$9.4 million between 103 companies, including investments in Stripe, Brookfield, Westinghouse nuclear, clean energy funds, Pfizer, Moderna, Amazon, Apple, Blackrock, Coca-Cola, and Lockheed Martin. His so-called “blind trust” isn’t blind at all—he picked the trustee, knows what’s inside, and still gets updates. With our Prime Minister’s personal fortune tangled in nearly every sector his government regulates, critics warn Carney may have to recuse himself multiple times per cabinet meeting to avoid conflicts.
- Following an intense year of anti-tourism protests in Western Europe, Greece restricts the utilization of 198 beaches to “protect the environment.” While visitors can still take walks and swim in the water, a number of restrictions are now in place to deter gas-guzzling tourists from visiting, including banning music, umbrellas, sun loungers, refreshment stands, and groups of more than 10 people.
- Hamilton, Ontario, is rolling out a new “rain tax” in 2025, charging residents either by dwelling type or the amount of roof and pavement on their property. The city claims the fee will fund stormwater management to fight flooding and sewage spills “caused by climate change.”
- Hamilton mayor orders LetKidsBe.ca billboard opposing medical gender transition for minors taken down, claiming it as hate speech.
- So-called “experts” are now rebranding nature as a trigger for despair. A first-of-its-kind University of Columbia study has reported that some children actually find spending time in nature “distressing” because it reminds them of climate change.
- Spotify is now scanning faces for age verification. In compliance with the UK Online Safety Act, Spotify, along with other online platforms, is now requiring facial verification scans or a government-issued ID for UK users.
- Federal court denies appeal from ostrich farm

to prevent culling of flock. Roughly 400 ostriches at Universal Ostrich in Edgewood, BC are set to be culled to prevent the spread of avian flu—despite the fact that none are currently infected and they are now believed to have natural immunity against the virus. The order follows the discovery of avian flu in two dead birds last December and falls under Canada’s strict “stamping out” policy, which mandates the destruction of all domestic birds on affected farms.

• A University of Saskatchewan report says beef prices spiked 9.2% from 2023 to 2024 because Canada’s cattle herd has shrunk to its lowest level since the 1980s—back when the country had barely 60% of today’s population.



Jeff Evely with his \$28,872.50 fine for walking in the woods in Nova Scotia. x.com/JeffEvely/status/1953971918312251707

- A Bill Gates-funded company, Savor, has launched “butter” made from carbon dioxide and hydrogen—no animal products, no plants, just lab goo marketed as food.
- Bioethics journal article by Western Michigan University titled “Beneficial Bloodsucking” (Crutchfield et al.) argues that intentionally promoting the spread of lone star ticks that transmit alpha-gal syndrome (AGS) is a morally obligatory act. AGS causes a permanent red meat allergy, and the authors posit this as a “moral bioenhancer” to reduce meat consumption.
- Mad scientists turn dental floss into a needle-free vaccine. In a proof-of-concept study published to Nature (Ingrole et al.), scientists gave flu vaccines to mice by flossing their tiny teeth.
- Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) report finds systemic disregard for sanctity of life in organ transplant system. After a Kentucky man declared brain dead suddenly woke up just as his organs were about to be harvested, HRSA launched a review exposing a disturbing pattern in the US transplant system. Out of 351 cases where organ donation was author-

ized but not completed, investigators found 73 patients who had neurological signs incompatible with organ donation at the time approval was given. This was particularly prominent in cases of overdose patients.

• Biological males identifying as women have received 2,000 medals in female athletic programs since the 1980s, according to a report from Concerned Women for America.

• Nurse Amy Hamm has been slapped with nearly \$100,000 in fines and a one-month suspension by the British Columbia College of Nurses and Midwives for the “crime” of publicly stating that gender is defined by biology.

- In Canada’s brave new world of “science,” it’s not your research that determines funding—it’s your identity checklist. In a submission to the Commons Science Committee, University of Quebec professor Maryse Beaumier noted that federal agencies are asking applicants about their sexual orientation, ethnicity, and disabilities as part of grant criteria. She noted that scientific excellence is being sidelined in favour of diversity quotas. Imagine needing to disclose your bedroom life just to qualify for a research grant.
- A lawsuit alleges that a California hospital deliberately hid a spike in stillbirths that coincided with COVID shots for pregnant women. Nurse Michelle Spencer says Community Regional Medical Center in Fresno buried data showing almost all the deaths were among vaccinated mothers, while retaliating against her for speaking out.
- At a Commons science committee, Trent University professor Christopher Dummitt pointed out the glaring hole in Ottawa’s obsession with “diversity” in research funding: there’s no room for diversity of thought. While federal agencies demand endless diversity mandates and statements, surveys show 88% of professors lean left—hardly a recipe for unbiased peer review. Dummitt warns that without viewpoint diversity, the few who think differently are silenced, leaving “truth-seeking” in higher education an echo chamber of the same political ideology.
- Canada is on track to welcome more than half a million new permanent residents by year-end if current trends hold, according to Immigration Department figures.
- In Lindsay, Ontario, a man was charged with aggravated assault—not for breaking in with a crossbow, but for defending himself against the intruder who did. Jeremy McDonald, 44, is the latest victim of a troubling reality in Canadian law. While citizens are told they have the right to self-defense, the definition of “reasonable force” is so vague that it leaves homeowners vulnerable to prosecution even in the most clear-cut situations of survival.

Advertisement

RADICAL
DIGITAL AGENCY

WEB DESIGN - DIGITAL MARKETING

United by a shared belief in liberty, freedom, and individual sovereignty, we stand together, supporting one another to strengthen our businesses, our communities, and the lives we cherish.

Maximize Impact, Minimize Effort

- Website Design & Development
- “DIY Do-It-Yourself” Marketing Tools
- “Done-for-you” Marketing Experts
- CRM Customer & Prospecting Database

- Graphic Design Print/Digital
- e-blast, e-Newsletter, e-mail campaign
- SEO Management
- Google Ads

- Social Media Management
- Graphic Design & Print
- Trade Show Exhibits & Pop-Ups
- Printing

33% OFF DRUTHERS DISCOUNT

We specialize in crafting tailored strategies that not only increase your online presence but also convert clicks into customers. Our team of digital experts combine creativity with data-driven insights, ensuring that your on-line presence is not just seen, but converts into new clients for your business.

416 695 0575 x330
www.radicaldigitalagency.com