

An Inconvenient Study

Vaccinated Kids are 2.5X More Likely to Develop a Chronic Condition

By Christie Lynn

In 2016, journalist Del Bigtree issued a challenge to one of the world's most prestigious medical institutions: to conduct the most thorough study comparing vaccinated versus unvaccinated individuals ever. The head of infectious disease at Henry Ford Health accepted the challenge, determined to prove Bigtree wrong.

The study was completed in 2020, but the results were not what the authors expected. Far from reinforcing the safety of vaccines, the findings were so alarming that Henry Ford Health's leadership allegedly buried them—locking the data away with no intention of ever letting the public see. Until now.

The Study

Titled *“Impact of Childhood Vaccination on Short and Long-Term Chronic Health Outcomes in Children: A Birth Cohort Study,”* the research examined the medical records of 18,468 children born between 2000 and 2016, all insured through Henry Ford's Health Alliance Plan.¹

The study was led by Dr. Marcus Zervos, a highly respected physician, pro-vaccine advocate, and head of infectious diseases at Henry Ford Health. The team supplemented clinical and insurance data with information from Michigan's state immunization registry.

This wasn't just any analysis. It was the first-ever large-scale, non-survey-style, non-independently run study comparing vaccinated vs. unvaccinated individuals. The first of its kind ever conducted by a major medical institution using electronic health records—the gold standard for real-world outcomes. Unlike the largest prior thorough American study before this one (an independently done study by Hooker & Miller that showed vaccinated children were sicker), this study was not funded by any so-called “anti-vax” groups, nor were its authors considered to be vaccine-sceptics –the funder and the authors were quite the opposite. If any study could settle the vaccine debate once and for all, it should have been this one.

The Results

The findings were stark:
57% of vaccinated children developed at least one chronic health condition within ten years.
By contrast, only 17% of unvaccinated children were diagnosed with a chronic condition.

The Backstory

Attorney Aaron Siri of the Informed Consent Action Network says he and Bigtree first met with Zervos in 2017 to propose the study. Siri recalls:
“Dr. Zervos looked us right in the eyes and assured us that he was a man of integrity and would publish the results, whatever the finding.”

However, when Siri finally received a copy of the study in 2020, Zervos told him Henry Ford Health had blocked its publication. According to Siri, Zervos and one of the co-authors admitted that they feared losing their jobs if they submitted it.

The Senate Hearing

The suppressed study first reached the public record during sworn testimony before the U.S. Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations on September 9, 2025. Chairman Senator Ron Johnson (R-Wis.) opened with a blistering statement:
“It is simply no longer possible to believe much of the clinical research that is published, or to rely on the judgment of trusted physicians or authoritative medical guidelines,” Johnson said, quoting Dr. Marcia Angell, former editor of the *New England Journal of Medicine*.

Johnson called the Henry Ford study “high-quality” and “suspiciously withheld.”



The authors wrote:
“Exposure to vaccination was independently associated with an overall 2.5-fold increase in the likelihood of developing a chronic health condition, when compared to children unexposed to vaccination. This association was primarily driven by asthma, atopic disease, eczema, autoimmune disease, and neurodevelopmental disorders.”

Key Findings

Compared to unvaccinated peers, vaccinated children had:

- 329% more asthma
- 203% more atopic disease
- 496% more autoimmune disease
- 453% more neurodevelopmental disorders
- 228% more developmental delays
- 347% more speech disorders

Siri testified:
“The only real problem with this study—and why it wasn't submitted for publication—is that its findings did not fit the belief and the policy that ‘vaccines are safe.’ Had it shown vaccinated children were healthier, it would have been published immediately. But because it found the opposite, it was shoved in a drawer.”

When Senator Richard Blumenthal (D-Conn.) asked why it took five years for the study to surface, Siri replied:
“My hope has always been that the scientists would publish it. We've tried to persuade them many, many times, so it could go through peer review.”

The Response

See 'Legitimate Findings' p.10

Advertisement

FREEDOM
HEALTH

The highest quality & most trusted
Ivermectin in canada since 2021

SHOP NOW www.healthfreedom.is

OCTOBER

Safe

3 PACK
IVERMECTIN

\$289 REG \$309

DRUTHERS' READERS CAN USE **COUPON CODE: DRUTHERS10** TO RECEIVE A \$10 DISCOUNT ON YOUR FIRST ORDER!
**cannot be used in combination with other discounts

B.C. Ostriches: Victims, Not Vectors

By Pam Killeen |PamKilleen.com

Katie Pasitney, spokeswoman for Universal Ostrich Farms in Edgewood, British Columbia, says the **Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA)** told her that **migratory birds likely contaminated her farm’s drinking pond**—now the basis for ordering her **entire flock of ostriches to be destroyed**.

On December 31, 2024, lab tests on **two ostrich carcasses** came back positive for H5, later confirmed H5N1. The CFIA then invoked its **stamping-out policy** and ordered the flock depopulated. In all, **69 birds died** during the December-January outbreak; since mid-January 2025, there have been no reported deaths and the surviving flock has been described in court materials as **healthy for months**. Under CFIA’s approach, once a premises is declared infected or exposed, all birds are slated for depopulation—the policy does not provide for **re-testing survivors** to spare individuals.

The question is obvious: if virus-carrying wild birds contaminated the pond, why is the hammer falling on the ostriches? They’re **victims, not vectors**—and aiming at them lets upstream environmental sources off the hook.

What Recent Fieldwork Shows

New field research from California’s dairy hot spots should be a **wake-up call**. On 14 H5N1-affected dairies, scientists found **infectious H5N1 in milking-parlour air** during milking and **viral RNA throughout the wastewater stream**, with infectious virus in some wastewater samples—including **manure lagoons that migratory birds visit**.

Industrial processes can aerosolize and concentrate virus, and lagoons can feed it back to wildlife, creating a plausible bridge from factory-farm operations to small-holdings like Universal Ostrich Farms.

It’s a System Problem, Not a One-Off

Industrial livestock systems generate **enormous volumes of untreated waste**, stored in open lagoons or spread on fields. *Factory Farm Nation 2024* estimates **1.7**

billion confined animals in the U.S. producing **~941 billion pounds of manure each year**. Storms and floods routinely overwhelm lagoons, flushing pollution—and potentially pathogens—into waterways. If regulators are serious about stopping environmental transmission, this



is where they should focus first.

British Columbia—Reacting, Not Solving

B.C.’s own records show repeated avian-flu clusters in the Fraser Valley. The usual response—**“cull everything nearby”**—makes headlines, not progress. CFIA’s public AI (Avian Influenza) guidance **does not require upstream environmental controls** like lagoon covers, wastewater treatment, or air monitoring in milking parlours. That policy gap leaves the main environmental res-

ervoirs largely unaddressed while small farms face culls. **Killing healthy ostriches without first testing and fixing upstream sources** isn’t just shortsighted. It’s **scientifically reckless**.

Culling Survivors Defies Common Sense

Even more misguided is the notion of **culling animals that survive an illness**. When a person recovers from a bad cold or flu, we see their recovery as resilience, not a biohazard. The same principle should apply to animals. In fact, a **University of British Columbia expert** provided an affidavit confirming that these ostriches have developed **immunity to avian flu**.

You, the reader, have probably had a bad cold or flu—should that mean you should be culled too? The **absurdity of the question** itself exposes how irrational blanket culls of healthy survivors truly are.

Scale Magnifies Risk—Small Farms Pay the Price

The biggest danger of factory farming isn’t just pollution—it’s the **overwhelming scale** of these operations. When outbreaks hit huge complexes, big firms usually survive the shock. Small family farms don’t. The trend is stark: **733,000 farms in 1941** has fallen to **189,874 farms in 2021**. Heavy-handed stamping-out policies accelerate that decline, pushing out the very farms trying to do things right.

A Source-First Plan

- **Test the environment and publish the data.** Sample ponds, sump pits, fields irrigated with wastewater, and manure lagoons. Publish results transparently.
- **Monitor the air where exposure occurs.** Use validated samplers in milking parlors and downwind sites. If virus is present, focus on **respiratory protection, disinfection, and wastewater treatment**.
- **Limit wildlife access to contaminated sites.** Require

See ‘*Stop the Cull*’ p.5

FREEDOM WINS!

- In the UK, **skepticism about climate alarmism is on the rise**. New research shows a **50% increase in Brits** who believe the dangers of global warming have been exaggerated. The research, conducted for *The Times* by YouGov, found that 1 in 4 Brits are skeptical of the climate change narrative.
- **The UN Expert on Violence Against Women and Girls, Reem Alsalem, issued a moving appeal to governments to end the vilification of parents who protect their children from “gender transition” procedures.** Alsalem warned against the “dangerous narrative” that children can make fully informed adult-level decisions about their health.
- **US President Trump’s new executive order forces Big Pharma ads to disclose all side effects, ending decades of deceptive marketing.** Kennedy Jr. praised the move, calling it a step toward “radical transparency” to help break America’s cycle of overmedicalization.
- **The Alberta government has pledged to defend its pronoun law, which requires parental consent for children under 16 to change their name or pronoun,** after two advocacy groups filed a constitutional challenge against it.
- **Matt and Nicole Alexander, two Ontario teachers fired for quietly declining to celebrate LGBTQ+ pride at their school, will have their case heard before the provincial labour relations board.** The Ontario Labour Relations Board rejected attempts by both the Elementary Teachers’ Federation of Ontario and the Renfrew County District School Board to have their cases dismissed.
- **Costa Rica’s Supreme Court has ruled that its Ministry of Health violated constitutional rights by withholding critical information about the COVID-19 vaccines.** The case, brought by Interest of Justice, ensures that government institutions cannot conceal data they are legally required to disclose. This is a major victory for transparency and constitutional rights in Costa Rica that will have rippling effects for populations worldwide who were coerced into taking experimental shots under false pretenses.

- **Despite city officials across Canada attempting to shut down Christian musician Sean Feucht’s “Let Us Worship” tour—revoking permits, slapping fines on churches, and smearing his events as “against inclusion”—freedom prevailed.** When West Kelowna officials canceled his concert at Memorial Park’s Amphitheatre, a private property owner stepped up, and nearly 2,000 people gathered peacefully to worship together. The event unfolded without a single safety incident, proving the government’s claims of “danger” were baseless.
- **President Donald J. Trump has finally signaled a reversal on Operation Warp Speed.** In a new statement, he revealed that Pfizer and other drug makers showed him “extraordinary” internal numbers on their COVID products—yet never released them to the public. Trump is now demanding that Big Pharma hand over the hidden data immediately to the CDC and the American people.
- **Paul Offit—prominent pro-vaccine advocate, vaccine developer, and long-time member of the FDA’s vaccine advisory committee—was recently informed by the Department of Health and Human Services that his services are no longer required.** In May, when the FDA announced that all new vaccines must undergo placebo-controlled trials before approval, Offit responded, *“It’s just anti-vaccine activism come to the policy side.”*
- The White House has unveiled its *Make Our Children Healthy Again* strategy, tackling long-ignored issues like **vaccine injuries, toxin exposure, conflicts of interest, and over-medication of kids**. The plan calls for safer farming practices, real nutrition, mental health reform, and holding public health agencies accountable—a sweeping move to put children’s health before corporate profits.
- Under Jay Bhattacharya’s leadership at the National Institutes of Health, US **taxpayer-funded research must now be published openly online, free from paywalls and open-source fees.** A major blow to the science publishing cartel, and a big win for transparency and public accountability, this ends the long-standing practice of locking vital scientific findings behind costly barriers and gives everyday people—not just industry insiders and academia—full access to the studies their tax dollars paid for.

Bill C-9 Hands Ottawa the Power to Police Speech

By Jonathan Harvey | BlendrNews.com

The Real Problem

Now here's the problem. Everyone agrees we don't want swastikas waved outside synagogues or churches burned to the ground. But by writing a new government definition of hatred into law, you're handing politicians and police enormous power to decide what Canadians can and cannot say.

ing about gender ideology in classrooms? Could that be reasonably confused with an anti-LGBT stance? What then?

You see, all it takes is one overzealous officer to decide your sign, your chant, or your tweet is promoting hate or genocide, and suddenly you're facing prison time. **So this is not about protecting communities—it's about stifling dissent.**

Four New Offences

On the surface, Bill C-9 looks like Canada is taking a strong stand against hate crimes, with four new offences being added to the Criminal Code.


Number one is obstructing or intimidating people trying to access faith-based institutions—churches, synagogues, temples—or cultural centers or community spaces like schools.

Number two, the same offence but broadened to include facilities designated for specific groups.

Number three, a new hate-motivated crime category where if you commit a crime because someone belongs to a group, then the penalties go up. But don't we all belong to a group in some way?

And number four, the intentional promotion of hate, including through symbols. This includes Nazi insignias, Hamas and Hezbollah flags, and anything the government says could be "reasonably confused" for any of these. The penalties start with up to two years in jail. However, for serious offences, you will get anywhere from five years to life in prison.

On top of that, the Liberals are making it easier to prosecute by adding this new definition of "hatred" into the Criminal Code and removing the attorney general sign-off requirement. **In other words, charges can now be laid faster, subjectively, and without oversight.**



The government insists this is not a blanket ban on speech or symbols. However, it is subjectively done on a case-by-case basis. **So what's the standard? Who decides what counts as "promotion of hate"?** A police officer? A prosecutor? Maybe a judge? Well, according to this bill, all of the above.

And what happens to someone carrying a Palestinian flag at a protest? Could that be reasonably confused with Hamas? What about satire, art, or even historical plays? Or what about a concerned parent who is protest-

Expanding Government Overreach

And here's how you know this is nothing more than expanding government overreach: Canada already has laws against harassment, vandalism, threats, and violence. We don't need more. The Liberals claim this bill respects the Charter, but let's not kid ourselves. In Canada, Section 1 of the Charter allows for "reasonable limits" on rights. **In other words, you don't really have any.**

The moment Ottawa frames censorship as public safety, we're in real trouble. **And once these laws are in the books, they never shrink; they always expand.**

The Bigger Picture

So here's the truth: Bill C-9 is being sold as a shield against hate, but the real effect is that it gives government broader powers and extended reach to subjectively

police symbols, language, and even motives. **Today it's Nazis and Hamas. Tomorrow it could be you—criticizing immigration policy, questioning gender ideology, or challenging faulty climate science.**

Once Ottawa defines "hate," this is no longer about hate speech; it's about speech that they hate. And when that happens, free speech in this country will come with a very heavy price tag.

Originally published on Instagram @itsjonathanharvey

Sudden Deaths Skyrocket Among Covid-Vaxxed Pilots

By Frank Bergman | slaynews.com

A chilling new analysis has revealed that **sudden deaths among younger airline pilots** continue to surge years after Covid "vaccine" mandates were imposed across the aviation industry. The findings are raising alarm about a **growing pilot health crisis** with direct implications for passenger safety.

Dr. Kevin Stillwagon, a retired airline captain with 33 years of experience and an immunology educator, is warning that the data cannot be ignored.

He points to a **spike in early pilot deaths, a wave of incapacitations, and a disturbing rise in near-miss incidents** as evidence that the industry is facing a crisis unlike anything it has ever seen.

Since 2021, early pilot deaths—those occurring before retirement age—have jumped by a staggering 40 percent. Alarming, the sudden death crisis is still raging among "vaccinated" pilots through 2025.

At the same time, **long-term disabilities among pilots have tripled**, sidelining aviators who once passed rigorous health screenings without issue.

Even more alarming is the **surge in near-miss aviation events**. What was once a statistical rarity of just one per year at Washington National Airport before 2021 has skyrocketed to 28 near-misses annually.

Yet instead of addressing the crisis, federal officials have made it harder to track.

The Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) **Incapacitation Data Registry, which had provided transparency into pilot health emergencies, was quietly discontinued in 2022.** That decision left the industry without any centralized system for monitoring or investigating incapacitations, effectively burying critical safety data that could prevent disaster.

Stillwagon argues that the **mandates themselves**

broke FAA rules from the outset. Federal medical standards explicitly bar pilots from using experimental products, yet Covid mRNA shots, which were rushed through under emergency authorizations, were forced on flight crews under threat of job loss.

The consequences, he warns, have been **devastat-**



ing.

Studies have confirmed that mRNA injections damage vascular and neurological systems, leading to myocarditis, seizures, brain fog, and sudden cardiac events.¹

For pilots, **even a split-second lapse can have catastrophic consequences.** Modern aircraft may track every mechanical fluctuation in real time, but the pilot, the most important component in the cockpit, remains the least monitored and the most at risk.

Stillwagon alerted the public about the "crisis" during a new interview with McCullough Foundation epidemiologist Nicolas Hulscher.²

To restore aviation safety, Stillwagon insists on **urgent**

reforms.

He calls for an **outright ban on mRNA shots** for pilots, air traffic controllers, and flight crews.

Stillwagon also argues for the adoption of **cardiac risk stratification protocols** tailored to aviation, which he has adapted from established cardiology research to detect hidden vulnerabilities before they become fatal.

Crucially, the FAA must reinstate a **centralized incapacitation registry** and create **protected reporting channels**, so that pilots can speak up about health concerns without fear of career-ending reprisals. The evidence shows that illegal "vaccine" mandates have unleashed a crisis of sudden deaths and dangerous health issues among pilots.

Early deaths are up, Hulscher warns.³ **Disabilities** are also soaring, **and near-misses are piling up.**

And the very database meant to monitor incapacitations has been shut down.

"Pilots are not expendable," Stillwagon warns. *"They are the backbone of global transportation."* Treating pilots like *"black boxes,"* who are only studied after disaster strikes, is reckless and unsustainable, he argues.

As the evidence continues to pile

up, the time to act is now.

The integrity of global air travel depends on protecting the health of those at the controls. Experts are calling for immediate action, including banning mRNA shots in aviation, reinstating real-time safety tracking, and protecting whistleblowers.

Without swift reforms, the world is gambling with lives at 30,000 feet.

1. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11886387
2. x.com/NicHulscher/status/1956386582048686131
3. thefocalpoints.com/p/airline-pilot-incapacitation-crisis

Originally published at slaynews.com

Ontario’s Forests: Why Are We Still Spraying Glyphosate?

By Stan McDonald

Ontario forests are being sprayed with glyphosate—a chemical linked to cancer and environmental harm. From Crown land to bush lots, this widespread practice affects not only the forest ecosystem but also our farms, water, wildlife, and communities. Overspray threatens private lands, streams, lakes, ponds, livestock, vegetable gardens, local hunting, and traditional food sources. Why not plant trees by hand and create meaningful, sustainable jobs instead?

Why Spray?

Forestry companies and government agencies spray glyphosate mainly to suppress fast-growing deciduous species—like poplar and birch—that compete with the commercially valuable conifers we plant for timber. The goal is a uniform stand of pines and spruces to meet long-term forest management and timber supply goals. Brushing and mechanical thinning are alternatives, but are far more labour-intensive.

What Chemical is in the Spray—And What Does it Do?

Glyphosate, the world’s most widely used herbicide (famous as Roundup®), is a weed killer designed to eliminate unwanted plants. It is a non-selective herbicide—meaning it kills most vegetation it contacts. Since the 1970s, glyphosate’s use has increased dramatically in agriculture and forestry. Now, thousands of hectares of Canadian forests are sprayed by helicopter every year, even though the International Agency for Research on Cancer classifies glyphosate as “probably carcinogenic to humans.” Canadian regulators maintain it’s safe when used properly, but recent court decisions have called for more up-to-date scientific review—much like the post-market evaluation debates over COVID vaccines.

Overspray poses real risks: reduced biodiversity, harm to insect and wildlife food sources, and possible entry into surface and groundwater. For nearby farms, hunting grounds, berry patches, and fishing spots, this means direct exposure for people, wild game, and fish. Think about moose and deer organs, local fishing and spawning, and even family vegetable gardens—do we really want chemicals here? Remember Agent Orange in Vietnam? Ontario has enough chemicals—a precautionary approach is overdue.

Who’s Speaking Out?

Indigenous communities, local governments, and

many citizens have objected to the continued use of glyphosate. The municipality of West Nipissing and groups like Nipissing First Nation call for an immediate moratorium—citing uncertain health risks, environmental impacts, and insufficient independent research. In Quebec, glyphosate use on Crown land was banned in 2001. Thanks to public pushback, some forestry companies in Ontario have halted glyphosate spraying this year.



There’s a Better Way: Plant By Hand, Create Jobs

Manual planting and thinning can support a healthier, more diverse forest while creating meaningful work for Ontarians. Tree planting crews are already in high demand for restoration and reforestation projects, and jobs abound for those willing to plant or maintain woodlots the right way. Why not invest in people, not chemicals?

Public Health & Environmental Violations

It is further noted that approving, enabling, or failing to regulate development, which exposes local residents to the application of toxic chemical sprays—commonly used on golf courses and associated landscaping—constitutes a flagrant violation of international, federal, and provincial laws protecting human health and the environment.

Under international law, states have an explicit duty “to prevent exposure to pollution, toxic industrial chemicals, pesticides, wastes and other hazardous substances” in order to protect the rights to health, life, and access to a clean environment (United Nations Human

Rights Council Report, A/HRC/33/41, 2016; OHCHR Press Release, 21 Sept 2020).

In Canada, it is a violation of both the federal Pest Control Products Act and Ontario’s Safe Drinking Water Act, 2002, to allow chemicals—including herbicides such as glyphosate and 2,4-D—to contaminate public air and water supplies without full risk assessment and regular independent testing. Ontario Regulation 169/03 sets strict limits on pesticides in drinking water, and failure to comply can result in fines, license revocation, and legal action.

Exposing the community to harmful chemical spray constitutes “unnecessary health risk” through inhalation and “potential contamination of the local water supply” via runoff and groundwater infiltration (Canadian Water Compliance, 2025). Such conduct breaches the state’s and municipality’s duty to minimize public health risks, ensure water safety, and safeguard the community from toxic exposure.

Take Action

Ask Minister Mike Harris, MPP, and Ontario’s Ministry of Natural Resources to reconsider glyphosate spraying in our forests. Demand alternatives that support both healthy ecosystems and good jobs—like planting seedlings by hand, brush cutting, and sustainable forest management.

Why Spray Glyphosate? The environment, our health, and our best values say: we shouldn’t—there is a better way.

Learn more and demand change. Contact Minister Mike Harris, MPP, today.

Mike Harris MPP, Minister of Natural Resources
Whitney Block 99 Wellesley Street West Toronto, ON M7A 1W3 (416) 314-2301
mike.harris@pc.ola.org

Constituency Office, Kitchener–Conestoga, Elmira
Unit 3 & 4, 63 Arthur Street South Elmira, ON N3B 2M6 (519)669-2090
mike.harrisco@pc.ola.org

Stan McDonald is a Canadian entrepreneur and metalworker dedicated to community advocacy, legal reform, and honest public discourse. He challenges authority when it fails everyday Canadians, valuing honesty and integrity while writing on property rights, sovereignty, government accountability, and small business solutions.

References for this article available at druthers.ca

Unspoken Rules of the Courtroom

A Self-Represented Litigant’s Perspective on the Duty of Candour



By White Wolf

Navigating the Legal System When One Side Plays by Different Rules

As a self-represented litigant, I’ve learned that the legal system operates on a set of assumptions that aren’t always true. One of the most critical is the “duty of candour,” a sworn obligation that lawyers have to the court, their clients, and the opposing party.

While self-represented litigants have a similar duty to be honest, a lawyer’s duty of candour is meant to be a formal commitment to truthfulness in all things. However, this

duty is not always upheld, and when it isn’t, the consequences can be severe—particularly for those of us navigating the legal system without a lawyer.

It’s a situation where the presumption of a lawyer’s honesty is a powerful tool that can be used against us, and it’s something we must be prepared to challenge.

The Duty of Candour and Its Repercussions

A lawyer’s duty of candour is a sworn duty to be truthful and honest with their client, the court, and the opposing party. When a lawyer breaches this duty by lying, there can be various consequences.

The repercussions depend on where the lie manifests. If a lawyer lies to their client, the client must figure out how to pursue a remedy. However, if a lawyer misleads the court with facts, evidence, or jurisprudence, it can lead to a miscarriage of justice.

In such cases, the court hearing’s results can be overturned on appeal, or even by the judge who heard the matter if it’s pointed out while they still have jurisdiction. A lawyer can also face contempt of court proceedings if they mislead the court, which can allow the court to reopen a case that was previously closed.

Reopening a Closed Case

The legal concepts of *res judicata* and *functus officio* generally prevent a case from being reopened once it has been resolved on its merits. *Res judicata* means a matter that is finally resolved cannot be reopened, while *functus officio* means a court has fulfilled its function.

However, if a lawyer lies or misleads the court, it can provide grounds for reopening a case. The opposing party can initiate contempt of court proceedings, which allows the court to re-examine all the material, including any new evidence that was previously left out.

If the judge was misled by a limited showing of facts or law, they have the opportunity to rehear the matter and issue a new decision.

See ‘Misrepresentation has Consequences’ p.5

How Google Quietly Shapes Human Behaviour and Thought

By Dr. Trozzi | [DrTrozzi.news](#)

Let's explore the revelations of Dr. Robert Epstein, a Harvard-trained psychologist trained by B.F. Skinner and published in *Nature*. Once respected in academic circles, he drew the ire of powerful actors when he began asking difficult questions about freedom and technology.

His research, whistleblower testimony, and leaked documents expose how Google evolved from a search engine into a system of mental manipulation: filtering results, harvesting DNA data, and quietly undermining free choice.

After publishing these findings, Dr. Epstein reported six incidents of threats and intimidation, a sign of just how dangerous his work had become to entrenched power.

The Search Engine Manipulation Effect

In 2015, Dr. Epstein documented what he called the “search engine manipulation effect” (SEME). His experiments showed that simply reordering search results, placing favourable links higher and critical ones lower, could dramatically shift opinions. Among undecided voters, preferences moved by about 20 percent, with some controlled trials reaching 80 percent. The same effect appeared even on apolitical subjects, proving that it could influence judgment across a wide range of issues.

Nearly nine out of ten participants never realized their choices had been shaped. Replications across multiple countries confirmed the effect, demonstrating that whoever controls search rankings controls much of the political landscape. Later, Google whistleblower Zach Voorhies revealed that engineers had tools to apply or remove ranking bias with a single command, allowing the company immense control over the political landscape.

DNA, Listening Devices, and Data Harvesting

Dr. Epstein's warnings extend beyond search manipulation. Google invested in the DNA testing company 23andMe and launched Project Baseline, a nationwide health data initiative. The system combined genetic

information with behavioural patterns, while framing it as a service to improve health: mapping predispositions to illness, ancestry, and family ties. The darker side, however, is that whoever controls this data holds predictive power over entire populations.

A similar pattern appeared in consumer devices. In 2019, it was revealed that Google's Nest products contained hidden microphones. These were never disclosed to buyers, yet the hardware sat silently in people's homes, always on and always listening. When the discovery



became public, Google insisted it was an oversight in their product specifications—merely an error in documentation.

Surveillance Origins and Blacklists

The roots of the Google framework stretch back to the 1990s, when intelligence agencies like DARPA and the NSA funded early internet search tools. Their stated goal was tracking potential bomb-makers, as a matter of public safety, but it also laid the foundation for mass surveillance. Out of these early surveillance initiatives, Google's PageRank algorithm was born. It was designed not only to rank websites by importance, but also to anticipate user intentions and predict what they were likely to click next.

In 2019, documents leaked by Zach Voorhies confirmed the existence of “blacklists,” “fringe ranking classifiers,” and other tools designed to control visibility.

party accountable.

A lawyer's fraud upon the court involves using the legal process to achieve something they couldn't otherwise. For instance, a party might use a convoluted litigation process to convince a court to order that they have the right to take your belongings.

If you discover that the court was misled by misrepresentation to make such an order, there should be serious repercussions. In addition to bringing it to the judge's attention, you can also file a complaint against the lawyer with the law society.

While lawyers are not often held accountable, it's a path worth pursuing to fire a “shot across the bow” and let them know there will be repercussions for their actions. If the judge doesn't rule in your favor, you can appeal to a higher court to correct the error.

Conclusion

The duty of candour is a cornerstone of the legal system, but it's a duty that is not always upheld, and the very people who should enforce it often look the other way.

For self-represented litigants, understanding this duty and the potential for its breach is essential. We have the ability to identify when we are being misled and to hold lawyers and their parties accountable through contempt of court proceedings and complaints to the law society.

By doing so, we not only fight for our own cause but also work to ensure the integrity of the justice system itself. We may not be on “team lawyer,” but we have a crucial role to play in holding that team to its own sworn standards.

Read more by White Wolf on [prosepma.ca/forum](#)

Instead of banning content outright, Google buries it—technically online but practically unseen. Employees are instructed to rely on their own “judgment” when deciding what content to suppress, with no clear standards or oversight. These individual choices are then used to train algorithms, embedding human bias and interests into systems that govern billions of searches.

Monitoring Google for Manipulation

Although Google faces antitrust lawsuits, Dr. Epstein argues that these cases are designed to distract from its deeper operations. Courts avoid confronting surveillance, psychological manipulation, and DNA profiling, leaving untouched the most consequential areas and the tools most likely to be used for control.

To address this oversight, or intentional deceit, Dr. Epstein created the “monitoring project.” It deploys a network of software agents that mimic human browsing, recording what Google displays in real time. The system generates verifiable evidence of bias as it happens, revealing whether certain viewpoints are promoted, buried, or erased.

He warns that Google's influence is no longer limited to advertising or web browsers. Its true power lies in shaping thought itself. By determining what information people encounter first, or whether they encounter it at all, the company

places itself directly inside the process of decision-making. The real struggle is over what he calls “consciousness dominance”: the power to guide how individuals form opinions, how societies make choices, and ultimately, how reality is perceived.

Awareness of the Mechanisms of Control

Dr. Epstein warns that what began as a search engine has evolved into a behavioural weapon. Google not only has the power to organize information but to engineer perception, quietly rewriting beliefs. His warnings remind us that freedom demands vigilance. If we do not question what we are shown, we will continue to see our political landscape, our health choices, and even our grasp of truth rewritten, unaware that it is even happening.

Originally published at [drtrozzi.news](#).

Stop the Cull

Continued from p.2

deterrents or covers for lagoons, upgrade waste handling, and set measurable treatment standards.

Do the Right Things—in the Right Order

This isn't a plea to do nothing. It's a plea to **do the right things in the right order**. If CFIA can prove the ostriches are infected through proper testing, it has a case. But if evidence points to **industrial air and wastewater as the drivers**, then culling a pond-drinking flock is both cruel and counterproductive.

Keep Your Eye on the Source

Until we confront **open manure lagoons** and **airborne contamination** from industrial systems, culling ostriches will do nothing to protect public health. What it will do is **destroy one more small, independent farm** while the true sources remain untouched.

We don't need more scapegoats. We need **smarter policy**.

It's time for the CFIA to **stop the cull, rethink its strategy, and target the real sources of infection**—like open manure lagoons, contaminated air, and industrial waste streams.

*Pam Killeen is a health coach, podcaster, and co-author of the New York Times bestselling book **The Great Bird Flu Hoax** (2006). She writes and speaks extensively on health, nutrition, and systemic corruption in science and public policy.*

Originally published with references at [pamkilleen.com](#)

Misrepresentation has Consequences

Continued from p.4

Sanctions and Special Costs

If a judge has to rehear a trial because a lawyer engaged in negligent or fraudulent misrepresentation, or committed fraud upon the court, the judge can impose sanctions on the lawyer.

One form of sanction is an order for “special costs.” Special costs can require the lawyer's party to pay almost 100% of the other side's costs for the relitigation. This is in stark contrast to the normal litigation process, where a winning party might only be awarded 30% to 40% of their costs.

In a contempt proceeding related to a breach of the duty of candour or fraud upon the court, the successful party can claim up to 90% of their costs.

The Challenge for Self-Represented Litigants

Here's where things get interesting and frustrating. While lawyers are bound by the duty of candour, they often don't hold each other accountable for breaches.

This is because lawyers in the same law society have allegiances to each other and an oath not to embarrass or criticize one another. They are, in essence, “team lawyer.”

This creates a situation where lawyers can get away with misleading the court because it's assumed they are being truthful. However, as a self-represented litigant, we have a unique opportunity to call out lawyers who lie to the court and cause a miscarriage of justice.

Pursuing Accountability

If you catch a lawyer breaching their duty of candour, you can bring it to the judge's attention and pursue contempt of court proceedings to hold them and the other

By Gerald Heinrichs

One of the greatest environmentalists was Henry David Thoreau. His book, *Walden or Life in the Woods*, has been studied by millions. Almost two centuries later, Walden continues to be a valuable guide for simple living and finding harmony with nature.

But Thoreau also believed in individual freedom and limited government. On freedom, Thoreau said, “*Let him step to the music which he hears, however measured or far away.*” Regarding the power of the state, he wrote, “*That government is best which governs least.*”

As for environmental laws, they have always existed. Tribal societies had rules that restricted fishing and hunting during breeding seasons. And ancient Rome had laws that prohibited dumping waste near water sources.

In 2025, however, there are so many environmental laws in Canada—federal, provincial and municipal—that no one knows the exact number.

Today’s stack of environmental laws started growing in the 1960s. Rachel Carson’s 1962 book, *Silent Spring*, had a huge impact. By the end of the 1970s, the United States had federal laws in place that supported clean air, clean water, protected endangered species, and mandated environmental review of major projects. Canada followed suit more slowly, adopting similar laws.

But those laws in the 1970s and 80s targeted big projects and big industry. They had little direct impact on individual households.

Since the 1997 Kyoto Protocol to reduce greenhouse gases, however, things have changed. The stack of environmental laws has grown very fast, particularly in the last decade. And Western governments like Canada’s have become more eager to regulate individual lives and households.

There are many examples. Climate laws tell us what kind of car to buy. Governments now mandate, and ever micro-manage, how we discard our trash. Laws forbid private businesses from giving out plastic bags, forks and

straws. Climate laws control housing construction. Governments decree that “fossil” fuel is bad electricity, but wind and solar are good. And all levels of government throw taxpayer dollars at one climate experiment after another—electric fire trucks, battery factories, multi-million dollar bike paths, and almost any new idea that claims it will help the climate.

Moreover, there are crowds of activists wanting government to go even further: banning gas stoves, creating car-free zones, and mandating people to live in “15-min-



ute” zones. And still it goes on. A recent CBC article proposes that owning a dog, eating meat, and flying on an airplane harm the planet. The Carbon Sense Coalition says we should ban bottled water and vacations by car. And NBC ran a story called “Science proves kids are bad for Earth.” Some other force is at play. One editorial says, “This isn’t about saving the planet. It’s about reshaping your lifestyle, one mandate at a time.” Author Brian Sussman’s book goes even further and labels much of it “Eco-Tyranny.” And an editorial in the Epoch Times claims

there is a dark spectre at work, stating, “Communism has latched onto environmentalism to create a large-scale panic, advocate a warped sense of values, deprive people of their freedom, attempt to expand government, and even impose a world government.” Whatever it is, the US government is now making U-turns and cutting back on environmental laws. Americans, or certainly a lot of them, have simply had enough.

In January, President Trump signed an executive order reversing former President Biden’s laws about electric vehicles. Trump says that his administration would “eliminate the electric vehicle (EV) mandate and promote true consumer choice.” In September, Trump announced a similar about-face on renewable energy. Trump’s message on social media was blunt: “We will not approve wind or farmer-destroying solar. The days of stupidity are over in the USA!!!”

Furthermore, Trump’s Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) director, Lee Zeldin, announced cuts to 31 areas of environmental regulations, saying, “*We are driving a dagger straight into the heart of the climate change religion to drive down cost of living for American families, unleash American energy, bring auto jobs back to the U.S. and more.*”

For some Canadians, these American announcements are hard to believe. Prime Minister Carney has, in the past, praised Greta Thunberg saying, “*I am part of her movement.*” But the US reversal on environmental laws makes it hard for Canada’s government to be friendly with many of today’s eco-defenders.

What’s next for Canadians? Fifty years ago, Canada followed the US policy that expanded environmental laws. In the coming months we will see whether or not Canada follows the US initiatives in cutting them back.

Gerald Heinrichs is a lawyer in Regina Saskatchewan.

Unleashing Inner Strength in a World that Wants to Tame You

By Tarun | futureflash.medium.com

What Does “Power” Truly Mean?

When we think of power, most people imagine money, fame, dominance, or control. But true power goes deeper—it’s the ability to influence, lead, create impact, and stay unshakably grounded no matter the chaos outside.

Becoming the most powerful version of yourself isn’t about crushing others. It’s about rising so high in your values, skills, and vision that people can’t ignore your presence. It’s about mastery—of self, of purpose, of execution.

So how do you become that version—the one people respect in silence and follow without resistance?

Master the Inner Game First

Before conquering the world, conquer yourself. *Discipline is your superpower.* Discipline is doing what must be done, especially when you don’t feel like it. It’s waking up early, saying no to distractions, and staying focused when everyone else gives up. If you master this, you’re already in the top 1%. *Emotional control = true strength.* Powerful people don’t overreact. They respond. They observe. They move in silence. Emotional regulation gives you control over situations others lose their minds in. *Self-belief: ruthless confidence.* Power starts when you refuse to doubt your own value. Believe so hard in your mission that other people start believing too. You must become your biggest supporter.

Build Unmatched Skills

Skill is the modern weapon of power. Whether you want to dominate business, art, politics, or tech—you must be great at what you do. *Pick a field and obsess.* Choose one domain—finance, tech, leadership, design, AI, storytelling—and go so deep into it that you become undeniable. *Learn fast, learn relentlessly.* Read. Watch. Practice. Repeat. The world rewards learners, not complainers. In just 6–12 months, you can transform your career, status, and income if you stay locked in.

Build Influence, Not Just Power

You can be powerful, but if no one knows you, your influence dies in silence. *Create and share ideas.* Start a blog. Make videos. Share your voice. Powerful people create movements by sharing ideas. Don’t stay invisible. *Network with giants.* Surround yourself with people smarter than you. Get mentored. Ask questions. Power compounds when you’re in the right rooms. *Never seek validation.* True power doesn’t chase likes. It builds legacies. Don’t dilute your truth to please the crowd. Speak what’s real.

Build Financial Power



Money doesn’t define power, but it multiplies your impact. If you want freedom and leverage in life, you need money. *Start early, stay smart.* Learn about investing, passive income, and saving. Don’t blow your money on impressing people who don’t matter. *Create, don’t just consume.* Start a brand. Build a product. Sell a service. Power comes from ownership, not just working under someone. *Avoid consumerism traps.* You don’t need luxury to feel powerful. You need vision, purpose, and a plan. Real wealth is quiet.

Build a Mind That Can’t Be Broken

Read ruthlessly. The most powerful minds read. From Sun Tzu’s *The Art of War* to Marcus Aurelius’s *Meditations*, you become what you consume. *Journal daily.* Reflect. Write. Think. Mental clarity is power. A person who knows themselves can’t be manipulated. *Master solitude.* Powerful people are comfortable being alone. They don’t fear silence. They use it to create, heal, and rise. **Look Powerful, Move Powerfully** Your body, style, and energy matter. You are a walking message. The way you show up tells the world who you are. *Train your body like a weapon.* Strong body equals strong mind. Lift weights, eat clean, sleep well. Fitness is not vanity—it’s mental armour. *Speak with precision.* Speak less, but make every word count. People follow clarity, not noise. Command attention with calm. *Dress with purpose.* You don’t need to wear luxury. You need to wear confidence. Your presence should feel expensive even if your clothes aren’t.

Stay Humble but Unstoppable

True power is quiet. You don’t need to prove anything when you’re the real deal. *Never stop growing.* Stay curious. Stay teachable. The moment you think you’ve made it, you fall. *Help others rise.* The strongest people pull others up. If your power doesn’t serve others, it’s weakness dressed in ego.

Your Power Is a Daily Choice

Becoming the most powerful version of yourself is not a one-time event. It’s a daily decision to show up, to push harder, to stay focused, and to lead with purpose. You don’t need anyone’s permission to rise. You just need your own commitment. Remember, **the most powerful person in the room is the one who doesn’t need to prove it.** Be that person. Build that energy. Live that life.

Originally published at futureflash.medium.com

Real Masculinity Terrifies the System

Male Instincts Being Framed as Psychological Disorders

By Neil Bryan

REAL masculinity terrifies the system. Not because it's violent, not because it's cruel, but **because it remembers**. It refuses to be neutered in the name of convenience.

That kind of masculinity—the kind that can hold a crying child and stare down a tyrant without blinking—is **public enemy number one** in a society built on passive obedience and quiet self-erasure.

The system doesn't fear men who posture and pout. **It fears men who see. And real men are starting to see.**

They're seeing how every institution that claims to liberate them is, in fact, an anaesthetic. They're seeing how fatherhood has been reduced to a sitcom punchline. How protectiveness is called toxicity. How strength is labeled dangerous, unless it's directed toward the system's preferred targets.

They're seeing how boyhood is medicated, and how **healthy male instincts are now framed as disorders**.

The crime is not aggression. **The crime is discernment**. Because a man with discernment can't be sold the lie. He doesn't sign up blindly. He doesn't get suckered into the endless performance of productivity.

And he doesn't just protect his family—he **protects the village**. Which means he might notice when the village has been poisoned. He might ask why the food isn't food, why the leaders aren't leading, and why the war is being waged in his name.

This man is ungovernable. And that's why the war on masculinity is not a meme. It's not a culture war side-show. It's central to the entire modern project of demoralization.

We are not witnessing the evolution of men, **we are witnessing their slow administrative castration**.

It begins in the classroom, where little boys are told to sit still and be quiet. Their natural energy is reframed as behavioural disorder. A sense of adventure is recast as disruption. And **the boy who questions authority becomes a candidate for diagnosis**—a future problem to be managed. If they can't control him, they'll sedate him.

Then it moves to culture, where men are given two options: **the buffoon or the brute**. Either soft, self-deprecating and compliant, or cartoonishly violent and broken.

Nowhere in that spectrum is **the man who walks with power and principle**; the man who knows his own shadow but doesn't serve it, the man who feels deeply but isn't governed by his wounds.

Instead, we have the **docile boy-man stuck in a consumer loop** of dopamine, porn, and podcasts—unable to build, protect, or commit. They flood him with content that mocks his instincts. They sell him solutions to problems he never had, **they tell him he is broken when he is whole**.

And at the spiritual level, **they feed him false gods**. Sacred masculinity retreats where men learn to weep on command but never to stand with conviction. Rituals without risk. Talk without truth. A carefully curated vul-



nerability that never threatens the status quo. It's not healing. **It's castration with incense**.

But **the wild man is waking**. He's not perfect. He's bruised, exhausted, and often confused – but he's waking up. **He's noticing that the world doesn't need softer men**.

It needs **stronger, wiser, wilder ones**. Men with backbone. Men who remember the sacred purpose of their presence—not to dominate, but to defend. Not to conquer, but to contain the chaos that threatens the people they love.

This is not about nostalgia. We are not returning to some cartoon version of manhood carved from war films and football coaches.

We are resurrecting something older. **The man who plants trees he will never sit beneath**. The man who watches the skies and feels responsible for what happens below them. The man who cries in private, but never lets despair rot into cynicism.

The **man who calls out bullshit**—in the meeting, in the street, in the mirror.

That man is not a fantasy. **He is real. And he is needed now more than ever.**

Because our world is burning. And **it's not going to be saved by hot takes and hashtags**. It's going to be saved by **human beings who remember how to stand**. Men and women both. But let's not pretend the role is the same.

Masculinity holds. It creates the container. It makes the space for love to grow without being annihilated by entropy. That is not toxic. **That is sacred**. And without it, cultures rot.

So no, you're not imagining it. You're not crazy. **There is a war on men**. Not just the caricature. Not just the bruisers and blow-hards. But on you. The one who still feels the ache to protect. The one who still knows that something is wrong. The one who still wakes in the night, not with fear, but with **the weight of responsibility pressing against your ribs**.

You're not failing. **You're remembering**. And the system can smell it.

That's why you feel the shame rising when you speak your truth. That's why you hesitate before you act with clarity. That's why they're trying to call your instincts obsolete.

But they're not obsolete. **They're ancient. And they are rising**.

So if this world has made you question your worth, your role, your sacred duty, then remember this: **we need you**.

Not the mask. Not the performance. **You**. The man who sees. The man who stays. The man who says no, even when it costs him every-

thing. **You matter**. And it's because they know what you might become. **And they're terrified**.

Originally published in *TheLightPaper.co.uk*

Advertisements

Jimmy Canine's Custom "FREEDOM" Hockey Jersey

Cost: \$90.00 Pre Paid. Taxes Included

ADD \$5.00 for 3XL & 4XL sizes

Includes: Sublimated Twill Name Bar on Back
Screen Printed 4"##s on Sleeves
10"##s on Back of Jersey

NOTE: Shipping added pre delivery

For More Info, Sizing & Order Form:
Email: jimcanie@gmail.com or contact "Jimmy Canine" on Facebook Messenger

Advertisements

"My doctor recommended I try this."

Doctor Created, Doctor Supported, Doctor Recommended

ReMag
The Magnesium Miracle

Amazon Customer Review
★★★★★

ReMag
Qualified Support
8.1 or 16.2 OZ Bottles
Starter Package Special

236-301-6444
Shipped within Canada

HTTPS://SHOP.TRUEHEALTHCANADA.CA

I have used other ionic liquid magnesium supplements before, as well as magnesium orotate and magnesium taurate (and other forms). Simply put, ReMag magnesium is the number one best magnesium supplement ever."

These statements have not been evaluated by the FDA. This product is not intended to treat or cure a disease.

Change These Privacy Settings Now!

5 Must-Change Privacy Settings
For Android & iOS

FREE

Surveillance and AI are invading big tech phones, download our free e-book to fight back.

[Download Now!](#) abovephone.com/5settings

SUPPORT INDEPENDENT MEDIA WITH A

POSTAL SUBSCRIPTION
OF
DRUTHERS
newspapers straight to your mailbox

FOR
\$96
for a 1-year subscription of 1 COPY of Druthers. delivered monthly.
(Papers are free, fee is for s/h.)

SIGN UP AT:
DRUTHERS.CA/SUBSCRIBE

MAIL TO:
PO BOX 40531
SIX POINTS PLAZA
TORONTO, ONTARIO
M9B 6K8

CHEQUES PAYABLE TO:
SHAWN JASON LAPLANTE

ETransfer ADDRESS
[PLEASE MENTION "SUB" IN MEMO]:
ADMIN@DRUTHERS.NET

Advertisements

FREE to Travel?

Frontliners
Travel Group

Andrea@FTGTravel.com | 416 318 3634

Discover a proven path
to good health through nourishing traditional diets.

Members of the **Weston A. Price Foundation** receive our quarterly *Wise Traditions* journal with accurate information on nutrition and health, always aiming to provide the scientific validation of traditional foodways. Find local small farms through your chapter leader, and request a free booklet.

(703) 820-3333 westonaprice.org

Become a member: \$50 \$40/year
for our quarterly journal and more.
Use code **wapf30**

Debunking Four Classic Arguments for Mass Immigration

By Riley Donovan | DominionReview.ca

The Carney government will be responsible for Canada’s next Immigration Levels Plan—three year projections that are typically announced in October or November. Under the current plan, put in place by the Trudeau government last fall, this year’s target of **395,000 will gently decline to 380,000 in 2026 and 365,000 in 2027**. Given the Carney government’s goal of reducing immigration to what it calls “sustainable” levels, we can probably expect another gentle decline—as well as the announcement of promised reforms to the Temporary Foreign Worker program.

While these expected cuts and reforms are welcome, the Carney government is not questioning the central argument made by the immigration lobby, namely, that **Canada needs a continuous and high inflow of newcomers every year**. Before the ’90s, Canada had a “tap-on, tap-off” policy based on domestic economic conditions. If, for instance, we were in a recession, levels were kept low. If we had this mentality now, we would probably be hitting the brakes on immigration much harder than we already are, considering the **unemployment rate is now above 7%**.

Under Brian Mulroney, our traditional “tap-on, tap-off” immigration philosophy was abolished. Under Mulroney’s Immigration Minister, Barbara McDougall, a continuous, high inflow became the new norm.

This became the status quo. The immigration lobby formulated arguments to defend this status quo, and **Canada’s political, media, cultural, and academic elite repeated them to the public**. These arguments have been recited, mantra-like, for the last 35 years. Over time, even those who remembered that the floodgates were not always wide open began to forget. If they voiced nostalgia for the days when levels were kept relatively low and assimilation was encouraged, they were called racist.

The only problem with all of those arguments for mass immigration? **None of them were even remotely accurate**.

1) We need immigration because Canada is ageing

In more than twenty years of studies on this claim,

nothing has substantiated it.

In a 2003 paper, Canadian sociologist Roderic Beaujot concluded: “It is impossible to use immigration to prevent an increase in the population aged 65 and over as a ratio to the population aged 20-64.”

In 2006, the C.D. Howe Institute report *No Elixir of Youth* stated: “**No conceivable amount of immigration with an age profile such as Canada currently experiences can significantly affect the coming shift in the ratio of older to working-age Canadians.**”

A 2025 Migration Policy Institute report found that

reasons—fixing ageing, boosting economic growth, and increasing global influence—collapse under scrutiny.

Immigration does raise total GDP by adding more consumers, but **lowers GDP per capita**, meaning while the economic pie grows, each slice shrinks. The **Parliamentary Budget Officer confirmed** that immigration cuts will lower total GDP but **raise GDP per capita**.

As for global influence: **population does not equal power**. India has far more people than the U.S. but less global clout; the UK (69 million) has more influence than the Philippines (116 million).

3) We need workers to solve “labour shortages”

There is **no general labour shortage in Canada**. Unemployment is **7.1%, and 16.9% for returning students**. That is a vast pool of potential workers.

Sector-specific shortages, like in healthcare and construction, are often exaggerated because **immigration itself fuels the demand**. More people means more doctors, more teachers, more housing.

Where genuine shortages exist, **the onus should be on employers to offer higher wages, benefits, training, and advancement opportunities**—not on government to supply cheap foreign labour. If a business model only works by importing low-wage workers, that model should be allowed to fail.

4) Immigration creates a vibrant inter-change of cultures

The elite claim that immigration enriches Canada with diversity, but **no data or studies support this**. Instead, evidence points to fragmentation: **Eritrean riots in Calgary, Sikh-Hindu clashes in Ontario, Palestine protests through Jewish neighbourhoods in Windsor, caste-based discrimination in Toronto schools**.

Canada increasingly resembles a **Tower of Babel**, not a harmonious “miniature United Nations.” The failure lies not with immigrants themselves, but with politicians who deny that humans are inherently group-oriented and need shared identity to build cohesion.

All available evidence shows that the **arguments for mass immigration are without merit**. No amount of repetition will change that.

Originally published at dominionreview.ca



“even under the highest immigration rates, the old-age dependency ratio would still rise.” The only way to offset ageing would be “continuously increasing the scale of immigration on an indefinite basis”—an obviously absurd policy.

There is no data to support the contention that Canada can use an open-door immigration policy to offset our ageing population. In fact, **an ageing society actually has many benefits**.

2) Canada must grow its population

The argument that Canada needs immigration-driven population growth is often asserted without evidence: “Well, we need more people.”

The Century Initiative has taken this to its extreme, calling for a **population of 100 million by 2100**. Its stated

Break Free with the Health Charter Tour

By Shawn Buckley

Many of us are frustrated with the slow pace of positive change. We see rights being taken away. We see institutions meant to protect us being hostile. We see no accountability. We are troubled. We are lost. Worse, we are tired. We have stopped believing we will be delivered. We have stopped believing things can be made right.

Some of us have fallen back into the spell of fear. And it is a spell. Your fear announces that you have forgotten who and what you are.

Fear and Intimidation

I have witnessed fear in the last couple of weeks. The **Natural Health Product Protection Association (NHPPA)** is preparing for the **World’s Greatest Health Show**. It will be broadcast online for three weeks starting on **October 4th**, when we start to drive the **Charter of Health Freedom** across Canada to Ottawa.

This is no ordinary health show. We are inviting ordinary Canadians to share the forbidden truth that they are only alive or well because of natural remedies. People have been lining up to share their stories. Some of these have backed out because of fear.

I was asked not to use an interview with a doctor who was afraid of retribution from the authorities for a couple of things said during the first interview. I had a manufacturer back out of an interview. He was afraid of Health Canada retribution. The family company has been so terrorized by the government in the past that they are terrified of any attention.

If this wasn’t enough, we have had several health food stores tell us they support what we are doing, but will not participate because they are afraid of being identified. They tell us they are thankful for what we are doing, but they will not help.

They are afraid to stand up. They are afraid to be

counted. I grieve for them. Their biggest regret on their deathbed will be that they didn’t follow their destiny. They cowered. They missed the adventure set out for them.



Stories of Bravery

Fortunately, I am experiencing much more bravery and excitement. The Health Show will be the first time that Canadians will come together to share their incredible health journeys. This will change lives. We need to understand how important our access to natural remedies is.

For example, Marian will be sharing that while she was working at Health Canada, she suffered from Chronic Fatigue Syndrome so severely that she could not do anything outside of work.

Once, she got fed up and decided that she would go

out for dinner with a friend. She could never sleep at night, so she took the day off from work to nap before the dinner. Despite these efforts, she passed out during the meal and fell face-first into her food. That ended any future outings.

She was not safe to drive home after work unless she had a nap at Health Canada first. She was afraid of passing out in her car. She consulted with Canada’s leading expert in treating Chronic Fatigue Syndrome, only to learn that the medical system had no remedy.

She was disabled and depressed. She shared that she would have killed herself if she had not found a remedy.

For Marian, finding a remedy was a matter of life and death. She found her remedy—a natural one. Decades later, she has never looked back.

Marian isn’t afraid to share her story. She understands that we must protect our natural remedies. She understands that we cannot let her former employer, Health Canada, put our lives in danger.

Marian’s story is one of many. Be prepared to be changed by the stories. Be prepared to be made strong.

A Movement That Cannot Be Stopped

We are at the beginning of a change that cannot be stopped. The Health Show is an exciting opportunity to participate in that change.

Participate by sharing your story. Do what you can to encourage your family and friends to watch. Join us at the live events as we travel the land. We will share our stories as the Health Charter is driven across Canada. We will share in the community God gives us along the three-week journey.

When we arrive in Ottawa on October 25th, we will stand together free of fear.

To find out more about the Health Charter Tour and the World’s Greatest Health Show, please visit nhppa.org

The Great Disappearing Observations of a Systematic Decline

By Henry

In recent years, I have found myself increasingly aware of a phenomenon I have come to call the “great disappearing.” This term encapsulates the unsettling decline of both people and physical infrastructure in our communities. As I travel and observe the world around me, I am struck by a persistent quietness—a silence that masks the profound changes taking place.

A World in Quiet Retreat

Once-bustling streets are now desolate, vibrant gatherings reduced to mere memories. This subtle yet pervasive transformation raises questions about the fate of our neighbourhoods, our culture, and ultimately, our very humanity.

The “great disappearing” is not merely an observation; it is a reflection of a broader societal trend. Jobs that were once held by locals are increasingly filled by foreigners. While I hold no animosity towards foreign workers, I cannot help but wonder: where have all the locals gone?

A troubling answer emerges when we consider the impact of government policies and the devastating effects of substance abuse. I see many individuals who have been pushed to the fringes of society, their lives unrecognizably altered by forces beyond their control.

The Psychological Mechanism of Disappearance

What makes this “great disappearing” particularly insidious is its subtlety. Human psychology plays a crucial role in our perception of change. We are naturally wired to notice new stimuli—an unfamiliar object on our daily path, for instance—while the absence of something familiar often goes unnoticed.

This instinctual response to our environment serves a purpose, alerting us to potential threats. However, it also means that the slow erosion of our communities can occur without our conscious awareness.

Reflecting on my own experiences, I recall a time when public spaces thrived, even in smaller towns. Nightlife was vibrant; streets teemed with life until the early hours. Yet, in the wake of what I term the “great reset,” the energy has dissipated. The streets fall silent by nine o’clock, a stark contrast to the bustling evenings of the past.

This shift can be attributed to a fundamental reprogramming of our habits—an alteration that was deceptively introduced through policies masquerading as temporary measures. The narrative of “two weeks to slow the spread” was a calculated tactic aimed at normalizing restrictions on our freedoms. If the truth had been revealed from the outset—if we had understood that these measures were designed to be indefinite—the public outcry would have been monumental.

The Machinery of Control and Compliance

As I delve deeper into these observations, I cannot help but scrutinize the intentions behind these policies. Many individuals within government appear to operate without moral consideration, driven instead by self-interest.

The absence of whistleblowers during the “pandemic” is telling; the culture of fear and coercion silenced those who might have spoken out against the prevailing narrative. This, in essence, is a form of trauma-based mind control, which has left many complicit in a system that serves to oppress rather than uplift.

The psychological operation surrounding the “pandemic” was meticulously crafted to dismantle our way of life. Routines that once defined our existence—visiting family, socializing on weekends—were disrupted, making it increasingly difficult to revert to a pre-pandemic normality.

The agenda was clear: to implement systems that restrict movement and control daily life. The rise of “15-minute cities” and vaccine passport systems exemplifies this shift, where compliance became the price of access to basic needs.

The injection program, portrayed as a singular solution to a fabricated crisis, was just the beginning. The expectation of ongoing boosters, coupled with the threat of losing privileges for non-compliance, reveals a chilling vision of total control. This narrative played out on a global scale, employing various forms of media and social influence to perpetuate the belief in an imminent crisis.

The Rise of Healthy Skepticism

Amidst this overwhelming landscape of manipulation, a glimmer of hope emerged in the form of healthy skepticism. A segment of the population began to question the narrative, recognizing the absurdity of being coerced into accepting something touted as “safe and effective.”

This group, characterized by their critical thinking and refusal to comply, became an anchor against the rising tide of propaganda. Their existence challenged the



prevailing narrative, demonstrating that not everyone could be swayed by fear.

As the ranks of the skeptical grew, the control mechanisms began to falter. The realization that many who resisted the narrative remained healthy and unscathed sparked a collective awakening. This shift in perception was crucial; it demonstrated to the broader population that the reality outside their windows did not reflect the manufactured disaster being broadcast.

The Erosion of Community and History

The “great disappearing” extends beyond the absence of people; it encompasses the physical landscape of our communities. As I traverse familiar routes, I am struck by the stark contrast of empty lots where buildings once stood.

The craftsmanship of historical buildings, rich with stories and memories, has been replaced by soulless architecture that lacks character. The demolition of these spaces often occurs without a second thought, as if their very existence were a burden.

I once rented an office in a beautiful old brick building that served as a microcosm of community life. It was a hub of creativity where diverse individuals gathered, shared ideas, and formed bonds. When the notice of its impending demolition arrived, a wave of sadness washed over us.

The demolition crew was instructed to discard every-

thing, from ornate oak doors to stained-glass windows—each piece a testament to history and craftsmanship. This was not merely a loss of structure but a tragic erasure of culture and connection.

The loss extends to local businesses and community gathering spots. Bars and dance halls that once thrived are now mere echoes of their former selves. This gradual disappearance is not random; it is a deliberate part of a managed decline that unfolds in plain sight. The gradual erosion of our physical infrastructure is facilitated by

government borrowing and the perpetuation of systems that prioritize profit over preservation.

The Digital Disappearing

In addition to the physical loss, I have observed a parallel phenomenon: the “digital disappearing.” Information that was once readily accessible is now obscured or deleted, creating a sanitized narrative that aligns with the overarching agenda. This control over information serves to reinforce the psychological manipulation of the masses, further entrenching compliance.

The implications of these changes are profound. The erosion of community, culture, and history is symptomatic of a larger agenda—one that seeks to reshape society into a controlled, compliant population. The narrative surrounding the “pandemic” was merely a vehicle for broader societal transformation, utilizing fear as a primary motivator.

Protecting Our Future

As I reflect on these observations, I am compelled to advocate for awareness and action. It is crucial for individuals to recognize the patterns of disappearance around them.

We must become modern-day explorers, seeking out the remnants of our history and culture before they vanish entirely.

Engaging with our communities, supporting local businesses, and preserving our historical sites are essential steps in resisting this tide of erasure.

The “great disappearing” and the “great nothingness” are not mere observations; they are urgent calls to action. As we navigate an increasingly controlled and manipulated world, it is imperative to remain vigilant and engaged.

We must confront the patterns of decline and work together to reclaim our communities, our history, and our humanity before it is too late. The future depends on our collective awareness and willingness to act against the forces that seek to erase our existence.

If you'd like to follow Henry, please visit soberchristiangentlemanpodcast.substack.com

Druthers Community Classifieds

| | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| <p>In Surrey, BC? Visit Surrey Natural Foods. Your one-stop shop for supplements and organic foods and your BC lower mainland depot for DRUTHERS info@surreynaturalfoods.com</p> | <p>You have heard it said: “<i>No justice, no peace.</i>” But we say unto you: “<i>No truth, no freedom.</i>” For Truth is the mother of all virtues. <i>IS THAT TRUE? Discerning Truth in an Age of Deception</i>, a book co-authored by Canadians Larry Willard and Chuck Stephens, is now available for purchase on castlequaybooks.com Or you can order on 1-800-665-1468 or at orderdesk@wordalive.ca “<i>He hath loosed the fateful lightning of his terrible swift sword; His truth is marching on.</i>”</p> | <p>Hidden inside the apps we use every day is a vast location-tracking system that logs billions of movements—turning your phone into a surveillance device for advertisers, law enforcement, and beyond. Above Phone is built differently: it shields you from mobile tracking with internet-based communications, open-source operating systems free of corporate control, and privacy-first apps without trackers. Take back your privacy today—visit abovephone.com</p> | <p>NHPPA President Shawn Buckley is calling on Canadians to support the Health Charter Tour by watching and promoting the online Health Show which broadcasts for three weeks from October 4-25. This is a ground breaking event where people will share powerful personal stories about how natural health products have transformed their lives. Since Health Canada dissuades manufacturers and practitioners from sharing these testimonies, this is our chance to change the narrative and protect our access to natural health products. Join us on Parliament Hill on October 25. Visit nhppa.org/health-charter-tour for details.</p> |
| <p>FIND OUT what officials won’t tell you about our history in the Bible & its predictions for our national security now. Read <i>The Death & Resurrection of the West Foretold in Prophecy</i>, by independent, Canadian investigator Ralph T. Kenney. First pages & blog free at ralph757.com.</p> | <p>Man seeking accountability and common sense @ www.Peace-Man.ca</p> | <p>In Calgary? Visit Angels Café — a cozy, freedom-loving hub serving coffee, teas, and delicious meals. Located on Bow River: 4105 Montgomery View NW.</p> | |
| <p>Did you know, Druthers has a phone number? It is 236-742- 2052</p> | | | |

Have your ad printed in 150,000 or more copies and distributed all over Canada. druthers.ca/ads

Time to Put Children’s Health Above Pesticide Industry Profits

By Stacy Malkan | realclearhealth.com

When chemical giant Syngenta hired biologist Tyrone Hayes to study its widely used herbicide atrazine, the company didn’t like the results. Hayes found that atrazine, one of the most common weed killers in America, **disrupted hormones in frogs and altered their sexual development.**

Instead of facing the science, Syngenta went into product-defence mode: pressuring Hayes not to publish, and when he did, launching a full-scale effort to discredit him. Internal company documents later revealed a **coordinated campaign to smear Hayes’s reputation and bury his findings.**

This story is typical of how the world’s largest chemical corporations act when confronted with evidence their products cause harm. Today, just **four multinationals dominate the global pesticide market—Bayer, Syngenta, BASF, and Corteva.** All of them have long histories of suppressing inconvenient science, manipulating regulators, and attacking critics to weaken regulation and keep their profits flowing. Meanwhile, **American children are exposed to many toxic chemicals that are not allowed in other countries.**

Atrazine: A Case Study in Regulatory Failure

Since Hayes’s research, **dozens of studies have raised health concerns about atrazine.** The herbicide, one of the most common contaminants in U.S. drinking water, is linked to hormone disruption, birth defects, low birth weight and fertility problems. Recent studies suggest atrazine may also **age brain cells, possibly leading to neurodegenerative disease.**

The **European Union banned atrazine back in 2004** because of contaminated drinking water. Yet here in [North] America, more than **70 million pounds of atrazine are sprayed each year, mostly on our corn crops.**

Why the difference? Europe follows a **precautionary approach**, shifting the burden of proof to companies and taking action when credible evidence suggests a risk of serious harm—even before every mechanism is understood or harm proven. In the US, by contrast, the burden falls on the public, independent scientists, or regulators to prove a chemical is harmful beyond doubt before restrictions are imposed.

This allows the industry to keep selling harmful products for years or decades. Even then, our politicians have been mostly unwilling to stand up to the pesticide industry.

More Than Just Atrazine

American children are exposed to **dozens of pesticides and chemicals that Europe and other countries have deemed too dangerous.** These include **chlorpyrifos, tied to brain damage in children; paraquat, linked to Parkinson’s disease; and 2,4-D linked to certain cancers.**

Because of our failure to regulate, [North] American families live with **daily exposures to multiple pesticides** in our food, water, and even household dust.

Biomonitoring tests consistently find pesticides in

the bodies of infants and children. And troubling health patterns are emerging: **Iowa, the nation’s largest corn-growing state, is one of only two states where cancer rates continue to rise.**

Meanwhile, farmers and others are seeking justice in the courts. More than **160,000 people filed lawsuits against Monsanto** arguing that its glyphosate-based Roundup herbicides gave them cancer. Bayer, which bought Monsanto in 2018, has paid out **\$11 billion to settle some of these cases, with about 60,000 cases still pending.**

As **Syngenta did with atrazine, Monsanto and Bayer have responded by denying risks** and trying to control the narrative: ghostwriting studies, attacking independent scientists, and funding “farmer-led” front groups to resist regulation. Faced with billions more in potential liability, **Bayer is now lobbying lawmakers for something even more radical: legal immunity from future cancer claims.**

In Washington DC, Bayer-backed allies inserted language into a **2025 House appropriations bill** that would grant pesticide companies, including Bayer, immunity from lawsuits over “failure-to-warn” claims, and would block the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) from requiring cancer warnings on glyphosate products. Several states are also debating bills that would shield Bayer from “failure-to-warn” lawsuits, and **two states—Georgia and North Dakota—have enacted such bills into law.**

These efforts reflect a coordinated strategy: **shift the burden of Bayer’s legal and financial problems onto the public** by closing the courthouse doors to families harmed by glyphosate. If successful, these maneuvers would strip people of their right to seek justice from the courts, and undermine the basic principles of accountability and responsibility.

Voters in farming states are not fooled. A **2024 Accountable Iowa poll found that 87% of registered Republican voters oppose giving Bayer immunity from lawsuits.** Another 94% agreed that it is very concerning that the EPA relies on industry-funded studies to assess chemical safety. They are right to be concerned.

A Bipartisan Failure

The **failure to regulate pesticides belongs to both parties.** For decades, both Democrats and Republicans have looked the other way as chemical lobbyists poured money into Washington. The result is a broken system where public health takes a back seat to corporate profit.

But this should not be a partisan issue. **Protecting children’s health, farmers, and food security should unite Americans across the political spectrum.** Parents don’t want their kids drinking weed killer in tap water or eating food laced with carcinogens. Farmers don’t want to be poisoned by the very tools they need to work their land. And they don’t want that for their families.

It’s time to make farming safe for our children, so that they can grow up healthy and have a full chance to grow, thrive, and flourish.

Originally published at realclearhealth.com

Legitimate Findings

Continued from p. 1

Aaron Siri disclosed the study in his 2025 book *Vaccines, Amen: The Religion of Vaccines*. When *The Epoch Times* asked Zervos why the study wasn’t published, his only reply was: “*Can you tell me what book this appeared in?*” He and his co-authors offered no further comment.

Henry Ford Health confirmed the study was real, but claimed it was rejected because, as they explained in an email to *The Epoch Times*, “*This report was not published because it did not meet the rigorous scientific standards we demand as a premier medical research institution. Data has consistently shown vaccinations are a safe and effective way to protect children against potentially life-altering diseases.*”

The Detroit Free Press also reached out. In an emailed statement, a spokesperson for Henry Ford Health said the health system “*was unaware this long-rejected and unvalidated draft was being talked about or had somehow been shared.*” Henry Ford then proceeded to explain that the study was not published due to the following six flaws:

- Differences in demographics between vaccinated and unvaccinated groups (gender, race, prematurity)
- Smaller sample size for the unvaccinated group.
- Unequal follow-up times (unvaccinated kids visited the doctor less)
- Focus on “any vaccines” versus “specific vaccines.”
- Lack of consideration about the number of vaccines and their timing.
- Shifting vaccine guidelines over the years.

But with a sample size as large as over 18,000 children, most of these issues could have been corrected with standard statistical adjustments—something just about every major epidemiological study does.

For example, one of their points was that there are differences in the gender demographics –more males in the unvaccinated group compared to the vaccinated group. This is a routine issue in observational research:

researchers can simply apply weighting, stratify by sex, or adjust the analysis accordingly. At worst, they might exclude a subset of participants to balance the groups. Far from fatal flaws, these are standard challenges that competent analysts resolve as a matter of course.

Their strongest argument was point three, that vaccinated children might have seemed less healthy simply because they visited doctors more often. Yet even after excluding children with infrequent doctor visits, the Henry Ford team *still* found elevated risks among the vaccinated—results that held steady at one, three, and five years of follow-up.

Why It Matters

The Henry Ford cohort study may be the most consequential vaccine safety study ever conducted. Its sheer size, reliance on electronic health records, and rigorous design set it apart from anything done before.

And yet, it was hidden from the public. For decades, parents have asked for a transparent, large-scale comparison of vaccinated and unvaccinated children. This study finally provided one, and its findings directly challenge the narrative that vaccines are universally safe. The results should have sent shockwaves through public health agencies, policymakers, and the medical community.

That is why it was buried. And that is why it matters.

1. hsgac.senate.gov/wp-content/uploads/Entered-into-hearing-record-Impact-of-Childhood-Vaccination-on-Short-and-Long-Term-Chronic-Health-Outcomes-in-Children-A-Birth-Cohort-Study.pdf

The documentary “An Inconvenient Study” premieres October 12, 2025 at aninconvenientstudy.com.



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
October 2025 circulation: 150,000 copies Canada-wide
Original content *DRUTHERS* 2025®
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Administrator: Christie-Lynn
Editor: Michelle
Layout & Design: Michael Choo-Chong
Thanks to: Kristy, Bob, Jessica, Len, Darlene, Emily and more...
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net
Advertise in Druthers: druthers.ca/ads

“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on.” – Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS. All source links and references can be found at druthers.ca/articles

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute *DRUTHERS*.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

Please give what you can: druthers.ca/donate Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531 Six Points Plaza
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

Canada’s Medical Inquisition

By Vlad Tepes | rairfoundation.com

Dr. Mary O’Connor Speaks Out on Raids, Entrapment, and Her Forced Exile from Medicine

Before a packed audience, Dr. Mary O’Connor—a veteran physician with more than four decades of service—gave an emotional speech recounting how the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario (CPSO) destroyed her career, violated patient privacy, and hounded her into exile from medicine.

For the first time publicly, O’Connor walked through the timeline of intimidation, entrapment, and secret orders that culminated in the suspension of her license and a years-long legal battle that nearly landed her in jail.

From Trusted Physician to Targeted Dissident

Born and trained in Toronto, O’Connor moved to Ottawa in 1977 and built a thriving private practice. For the last 18 years of her career, she focused on mental health and addiction, caring for some of society’s most vulnerable.

“I had wonderful patients, everything was going along fine,” she said. “And then COVID came.”

Like countless physicians worldwide, O’Connor found herself inundated with pleas for medical exemptions—first for masks, then for PCR tests, and finally for what she bluntly calls *“that experimental injection.”*

Hundreds called her office, many reporting that they or loved ones had already suffered strokes, neurological disorders, or sudden death after receiving the shots. *“Right away, I began to hear all the adverse effects,”* she said.

The College’s War Against Exemptions

The turning point came when two employers contacted the CPSO to verify exemptions she had written. The College immediately demanded that O’Connor surrender the names and charts of every patient who had received an exemption. She refused.

On October 8, 2021, the College branded her “dishonourable” and “incompetent,” accusing her of endangering patients and society. By November 5, it issued a secret order forbidding her from writing exemptions—an order

she never received.

Unaware, she continued to practice medicine until she was targeted by a private investigator posing as a woman seeking an exemption to attend the gym. *“Her name was Nicole,”* O’Connor recalled. *“We had a great conversation, but it turned out she was a private eye trying to fool me.”*



The Raid

On December 8, 2021, O’Connor’s office guard accidentally left the door unlocked. Within minutes, four investigators stormed upstairs, trapping him in a boardroom while rifling through file cabinets.

“They were pummeling him with questions—‘Where’s O’Connor? Where are the charts?’” she said. *“By the grace of God, I had already moved them. They didn’t find anything.”*

The investigators left behind calling cards—despite supposedly wishing to remain anonymous—and even a warrant demanding her friend hand over patient files.

The harassment continued as they searched her old neighbourhood and mailed letters to her post office box

in an effort to track her down.

License Revoked at Midnight

On December 23, 2021, at the stroke of midnight, the CPSO suspended her license, stripping her of her livelihood and her patients of their doctor.

Weeks later, on January 7, 2022, she was dragged into Ontario Superior Court. The judge ruled against her, ordering her to turn over her patients’ medical records. O’Connor refused.

That defiance placed her in contempt of court and left her facing possible jail time. *“I started to read law books, and I realized I could actually go to prison,”* she said. *“But a few months later, the College quietly dropped all charges. Because really, I hadn’t done anything wrong.”*

From Physician to Walmart Greeter

Today, O’Connor is banned from practicing medicine. At age 74, she was forced to find new work. *“A friend said, ‘Try Walmart—they hire everybody.’ So I went, and there I am, happily working as a greeter,”* she told the crowd.

Her fall from respected physician to retail greeter is not a story of failure, she insisted—but of defiance and truth-telling. *“Now I get the chance to tell my story to people every day as they come in the door.”*

A Warning to Canada

O’Connor closed with a chilling reminder: *“The College gave themselves permission to take your charts without your permission. They are now complicit in injuries and death.”*

Her testimony underscores the authoritarian overreach of Canadian medical regulators, who weaponized secrecy, surveillance, and entrapment against a doctor whose only “crime” was honouring informed consent and protecting patient privacy.

Yet, despite losing her career, O’Connor refuses to surrender her voice. Her story now stands as a stark warning to all Canadians: medical tyranny does not stop with doctors—it reaches directly into the lives, rights, and bodies of every citizen.

Originally published at rairfoundation.com

Broken Laws, Broken System

Ontario’s Housing Failure

By Negar Khorasani

As a property manager in Grey-Bruce County, Ontario, Canada, I’m sounding the alarm on an urgent and worsening crisis.

Government programs like the Ontario Disability Support Program (ODSP), meant to help vulnerable people, are being grossly abused—and landlords and working taxpayers are paying the price.

ODSP is funded by taxpayers—the hardworking class of this province. No need to mention these taxes are collected by force, and the money is spent on things for which we never gave our consent—yet much of that money ends up in the hands of people who are not truly disabled, but rather long-time drug users, alcoholics, or those unwilling to work. I deal with these individuals as tenants.

Many use ODSP not to stabilize their lives but to avoid responsibility and accountability. A common pattern? They stop paying rent, damage the units, cause daily disruption in the buildings, and then hide behind an overburdened Landlord and Tenant Board (LTB). This is an escalating downward spiral.

In one case I’m involved in, we’ve been waiting 18 months for a non-payment hearing. During that time:

- We’ve lost rent.
- We’ve lost access to the unit.
- We’ve still paid taxes to fund the system that enabled this abuse.

All the while we are legally obliged to maintain the premises, services, and utilities for the rude and entitled non-paying tenants.

The LTB process is so slow and imbalanced that it effectively punishes landlords four times: once by losing rental income, again by being denied timely justice,

then a third time because we, as taxpayers, also fund the ODSP income that’s not being used responsibly, and a fourth time through biased scrutiny of the landlord’s performance. The police often can’t act because of how the laws are written, and landlords are left holding the bag.

The system appears broken—or worse, by design.

I urge others in this region or in other parts of the country who face the same problems to speak out. We’re being taken advantage of. Many of us are reaching the point of considering leaving this province or Canada altogether because of how dysfunctional things have become.

The government must stop enabling abuse, reform the LTB, and restore fairness to taxpayers and landlords who play by the rules.

If the state cannot provide basic justice or safety, then why are we being forced to fund it? What is government’s role, if not this?

Advertisement

RADICAL
DIGITAL AGENCY

- Website Design & Development
- “DIY Do-It-Yourself” Marketing Tools
- “Done-for-you” Marketing Experts
- CRM Customer & Prospecting Database

WEB DESIGN - DIGITAL MARKETING
United by a belief in liberty, freedom, and individual sovereignty.

- Graphic Design Print/Digital
- e-blast, e-Newsletter, e-mail campaign
- SEO Management
- Google Ads

- Social Media Management
- Graphic Design & Print
- Trade Show Exhibits & Pop-Ups
- Printing

33% OFF DRUTHERS
DISCOUNT

We specialize in crafting tailored strategies that not only increase your online presence but also convert clicks into customers. Our team of digital experts combine creativity with data-driven insights, ensuring that your on-line presence is not just seen, but converts into new clients for your business.

416 695 0575 x330
www.radicaldigitalagency.com

Absurdity Observer

Some of the Most Absurd Things That Have Happened in Recent Weeks

- **Researchers from Henry Ford Health found that vaccinated children were more likely to develop a chronic health condition, but never published the findings.** Dr. Marcus Zervos, a renowned infectious disease expert at Henry Ford Health, and colleagues studied 18,468 children who were enrolled in the health system’s insurance plan. After 10 years, 57% of the vaccinated children had a chronic health condition, compared to just 17% of the unvaccinated children. According to lawyer Aaron Siri, who revealed the results in his new book, Zervos—though pro-vaccine—promised to publish regardless of outcome, but later admitted that Henry Ford Health superiors blocked submission.
- **Newly uncovered emails show that Dr. Fauci, the face of “trust the science” during the COVID era, was instructing NIH staff to delete official emails—a direct contradiction of his sworn testimony to Congress.** Emails to the NIH Director even read, *“Please delete this e-mail after you read it.”* These weren’t trivial notes either, but communications tied to the origins of COVID-19 and gain-of-function research.
- **Aspartame—the artificial sweetener found in diet pops and sugar-free chewing gum—may raise the risk of the most common type of stroke by causing inflammation and disrupting blood vessel health and blood flow,** according to new research (*Zhang et al.*)
- Buried in the 2026 US House appropriations bill, **Section 453 quietly hands Big Ag’s chemical giants the same liability shield vaccine makers enjoy**—protecting them from injury claims tied to insecticides, fungicides, and rodenticides. The clause defunds label updates when new toxicity evidence emerges, blocking states from enacting stronger protections while they wait for the EPA to update the label (which is scheduled once every 15 years), and grants the companies liability protection in the meantime.
- A peer-reviewed study in *JAMA* (*Peterson et al.*) **found that children who were exposed to the insecticide chlorpyrifos (CPF) in the womb show long-term structural brain abnormalities and impaired motor function.** The effects were dose-dependent, meaning higher prenatal CPF levels produced greater abnormalities. While Canada banned CPFs in 2023, the US overturned its ban that same year—meaning Canadians can still be exposed through imported foods.
- The Canadian government is considering approving the **first-ever gene-edited food animals for sale in Canada: pigs** altered with CRISPR technology to resist a viral disease that affects pork production.
- **High household radiofrequency electromagnetic field emissions linked to developmental delays in infants,** Indian cohort study (*Setia et al.*) finds. Notably, distance to towers was not associated with outcomes, pointing to meaningful contributions from indoor

sources (e.g., Wi-Fi, cordless devices).

- **Apple just pulled its best security feature from UK customers because the government demanded a “backdoor” into people’s private data.** Under the Investigatory Powers Act, the Home Office insisted on access to encrypted information—data so secure that not even Apple could see it. Instead of protecting citizens’ privacy, the government is effectively outlawing strong encryption, all in the name of “safety.”




Illustration by Ben Garrison at GrrrGraphics.com

- **In Britain’s new speech-police state, as many as 30 people a day are arrested for speech crimes**—petty offenses like retweets, memes, or cartoons. Custody records obtained by *The Times* reveal nearly 12,000 arrests a year under Section 127 of the Communications Act and the Malicious Communications Act, both turbo-charged by 2023’s so-called Online Safety Act.
- Irish comedy writer **Graham Linehan was arrested and jailed for giving bad advice to females on X about what to do if a trans-male enters their female-only space, “make a scene, call the cops and if all else fails, punch him in the balls.”** Linehan was arrested on suspicion of inciting violence.
- A new peer-reviewed study out of Ontario (*Speicher et al.*) has confirmed a shocking public health risk: **All Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna COVID-19 mRNA injections—including the latest bivalent and XBB.1.5 formulations—contain billions of DNA fragments, far exceeding international safety limits—by up to 627 times.** Some of these fragments carry SV40 sequences, known for their potential to disrupt DNA and trigger cancer.
- **A new peer-reviewed study has linked the Pfizer COVID shot to eye damage, showing measurable changes in the cornea**—the clear front of the eye. After the second dose, researchers (*Sumer et al.*) found

corneal thickness increased, while the endothelial cell count—crucial for keeping vision clear—dropped by 8%. Signs of inflammation and cellular stress were also observed.

- **A peer-reviewed post-marketing analysis found nearly 200 safety signals linked to RSV vaccines marketed to older adults and pregnant women.** The study published in the journal *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics* (*Bao et al.*), highlights serious neurological and blood disorders (most notably thrombocytopenia), and, of particular concern, complications for pregnant women and their infants, such as fetal death, hemorrhage in pregnancy, and preterm birth.
- **Freedom advocate, Charlie Kirk, who spent his life urging respectful dialogue and warning that silencing speech breeds violence,** was assassinated mid-sentence while giving a talk on a Utah university campus.
- **In the UK, a mandatory Digital ID will soon be required just to work**—coercing every citizen into a state-controlled system of surveillance and monitoring.
- A new ruling from Brazil’s Supreme Court has gutted a core protection in the country’s 2014 internet law, the Marco Civil da Internet (MCI). By declaring Article 19 partially unconstitutional, the **Brazilian ruling has now opened the door for tech platforms to be held legally responsible for user-generated content, without requiring judicial oversight.**
- In British Columbia, **nurse Amy Hamm has been suspended for one month and slapped with over \$93,000 in legal fees—not for malpractice, but for supporting women’s access to female-only spaces.** Her ordeal began in 2020 after co-sponsoring a Vancouver billboard that simply read, “I ♥ JK Rowling.” Since trans-activists have previously labeled the Harry Potter author “transphobic,” a city councillor labeled the sign as “hate speech” and quickly removed it. This ordeal led regulators to monitor Hamm’s social media and, without giving any concrete examples of hate speech, punish her for her political expression outside of work.
- **Canadian Taxpayers Federation reports that, since 2016, the federal government has added 99,000 bureaucrats,** driving costs up by 77%. Yet, half of Canadians say federal services have gotten worse. At the same time, nearly 40% of federal bureaucrats now collect six-figure salaries.
- **James Bauder, co-organizer of the Ottawa Freedom Convoy, is now facing a Canada-wide arrest warrant** after failing to appear in court. Claiming political persecution, he fled to the United States to seek asylum. Even Justice Charles Hackland acknowledged there is a “legitimate concern” about Bauder’s ability to secure an unbiased jury in Ottawa—yet still refused to move the trial to another venue.

NEW: DRUTHERS MUSIC LIBRARY

We’ve been busy creating some really incredible music—music for the movement. These songs are designed to uplift, inspire and motivate. There are just a handful of songs on our YouTube channel so far, but they are really powerful, and we’ve got a whole lot more on the way. Please have a listen and leave comments on your favourite songs. Also, be sure to subscribe, as many more songs are coming soon. Share this music everywhere you can to help uplift, inspire and motivate more Canadians!

Much love, Shawn Jason - See: [YOUTUBE.COM/DRUTHERS](https://www.youtube.com/druthers)



“I Dance in Freedom”
youtu.be/6y1ZsX9kkWU



“I Don’t Care”
youtu.be/ErEltVrcyGI



“We Stayed Free”
youtu.be/vQNW0pJ2sfg