

DRUTHERS

TRUTH • LOVE • FREEDOM

Issue #50 | January 2025

Covering news & information that mainstream media won't. Please read and then pass this paper along to someone else when done. Find more to explore on our website: DRUTHERS.CA

druthers /n. ...Informal... one's own way, preference, or choice: eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

FREE

The End of "WOKE" Ideology

By Christie Pritchard

The end of 2024 saw a huge shift in the fight against identity politics—many of the world's most influential businesses and academic institutions moved away from Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) initiatives in droves. In the biggest score of the year, one of the most recent companies to drop out of DEI "wokeness" was Walmart—the world's largest private employer with over 1.7 million employees across the United States (US) and Canada. They announced the following sweeping changes to their DEI policies and practices:

- Erasing DEI-related terminology, such as gender-neutral language, from official communications.
- Replacing the term "DEI" with "belonging" in its corporate language.
- Ending DEI training programs previously offered to employees through the *Racial Equity Institute*.
- Shifting away from prioritizing underrepresented groups in hiring practices.
- Ceasing the prioritization of underrepresented groups in supplier selection.
- Establishing stricter guidelines for involvement in community events, such as drag shows and Pride celebrations.
- Stopping data sharing with the *Human Rights Campaign*, a nonprofit organization that tracks corporate LGBTQ+ policies.
- Discontinuing the sale of Pride-themed products marketed to children.
- Opting not to renew the *Center for Racial Equity*, a Walmart-created organization backed by a \$100 million philanthropic commitment to address systemic inequalities affecting Black communities.

"We've been on a journey and know we aren't perfect, but every decision comes from a place of wanting to foster a sense of belonging, to open doors to opportunities for all our associates, customers and suppliers and to be a Walmart for everyone," the company announced in a statement delivered at the start of the 2024 Christmas shopping season.

A GROWING TREND

While Walmart is the most significant example, it is far from the only company purging DEI practices from its policies. Other major corporations making similar moves include Starbucks, Boeing, Toyota, Molson Coors, Deere & Company, Salesforce, Duolingo, Tractor Supply, John Deere, Lowe's, Brown-Forman (Jack Daniel's), Jet Blue, Bud Light, Target, and Ford Motor Company.

This is a drastic change considering how much corporate America has embraced DEI in recent years. A 2022 report by *ReportLinker* estimated global spending on

DEI at \$7.5 billion in 2020 and projected it to reach \$17.2 billion by 2027. That projection now seems increasingly unlikely.

This corporate shift follows a Supreme Court decision in June 2023 that struck down affirmative action in college admissions, sparking legal and cultural challenges



to race and gender-based programs. Dozens of lawsuits have been filed against employers for DEI initiatives, targeting diversity hiring practices and mentorship programs that plaintiffs argue prioritize specific demographics while excluding others.

Since 2023, 81 anti-DEI bills that target programs at colleges have been introduced in 28 states and in Congress, according to a tally by the Chronicle of Higher Education. Eight have been signed into law.

THE RESEARCH

Critics of DEI initiatives have long argued that such programs inadvertently foster division rather than inclusion. A 2024 study by Ontario academic David Haskell, which examined hundreds of experiments, found that DEI training often exacerbates workplace tensions, creating more hostility rather than reducing it. Similarly, a 2021 article in the *Annual Review of Psychology* expressed disappointment over the lack of evidence supporting the effectiveness of diversity training.

However, perhaps the most groundbreaking research is the one that was published by the *Network Contagion Research Institute* (NCRI) and Rutgers University's Social Perception Lab. They found that when they exposed participants to DEI practices, it "engendered a hostile attribution bias...and heightened racial suspicion... Amplifying perceptions of prejudicial hostility where none was present." (Jagdeep et al.)

This is huge considering, according to PEW Research

and *Express Employment Professionals*, 40% of Canadian and 52% of American workers undergo some form of DEI training.

Here's an example from the study: The researchers presented people with a race-neutral scenario about a student applying to an elite university. The student was interviewed and subsequently rejected. Despite having no evidence for it, participants primed with DEI materials perceived more discrimination and microaggressions. In fact, they were also 12% more willing to suspend the admission officer for a semester, 16% more willing to demand a public apology to the applicant, and 12% more willing to require additional DEI training to correct the officer. This study found similar results for content about Islamophobia and Hindu caste discrimination. In one shocking scenario, DEI training material made people 27%-35% more willing to agree with Hitler's quotes that substituted "Jew" with "Brahmin" (the highest rung in the Indian caste system.) Overall, participants who read material from *Equality Labs* training were more than 30% more likely to perceive bias & harm where none existed.

SILENCE IN THE NEWS

Despite the provocative findings, the study's coverage has been polarizing. Independent and right-leaning media outlets have widely reported on it, while mainstream outlets have largely ignored or suppressed the story. As an NCRI researcher told *National Review*, "Unfortunately, both publications [New York Times and Bloomberg News] jumped on the story enthusiastically only for it to be inexplicably pulled at the highest editorial levels. This has never happened to the NCRI in its 5-year history."

This silence is notable given NCRI's reputation as a respected organization dedicated to combating hate.

While it's doubtful that these companies experienced a genuine change of heart—and more likely, they are scaling back DEI initiatives to broaden their consumer appeal and sidestep legal or social backlash—the widespread rollback of these programs marks a positive shift. DEI initiatives have been built on unproven theories, lacked meaningful oversight, and appear to unintentionally widen divides by perpetuating stereotypes and fostering resentment instead of fostering genuine inclusivity.

Ultimately, any approach rooted in discrimination—whether based on race, gender, or other factors—cannot be a solution to the very problems of division and discrimination it seeks to address. True progress will come by uniting us all as humans rather than dividing us by our demographics. As we welcome the arrival of 2025, it seems pretty clear that "woke" ideology is on its way out.

Advertisement

Druthers' readers can use **COUPON CODE: DRUTHERS10** to receive a \$10 discount on your first order!

Peoples Pharmacy.

Make Peoples Pharmacy your choice for high quality life saving & enhancing products with zero gatekeepers. True FREEDOM!

VISIT US TODAY AT www.peoplespharmacy.is

SALE
NEW YEAR SPECIAL
BUY 3 IVERMED-12
& GET FREE BOTTLE OF VIT D!

IVERMED-12
Ivermectin Tablets

- Human Grade
- 12mgs tablets
- Made in Canada



THE HIGHEST QUALITY AND MOST TRUSTED IVERMECTIN IN CANADA SINCE 2021

Shoppers Drug Mart to Pay \$10,000 in COVID Mask Discrimination Case

By [TheCanadianIndependent.substack.com](https://www.thecanadianindependent.substack.com)

The Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario (HRTO) has ruled that Mohamed Elsbakhawi Drugs Ltd., operating a Shoppers Drug Mart pharmacy in Mississauga, discriminated against Kimberly Clarke, a Canadian woman with asthma, on the basis of her disability.

The Tribunal awarded Clarke \$10,000 in compensation for injury to dignity, feelings, and self-respect following an incident in September 2021.

Clarke, who suffers from asthma, visited the pharmacy while experiencing breathing difficulties and sought to fill a prescription for a Ventolin inhaler. She lowered her mask due to her symptoms, which led to complaints from other customers. Clarke informed staff that she was struggling to breathe and requested expedited service.

Despite the pharmacy's policy to accommodate individuals with medical conditions that prevent mask use, the HRTO found that staff failed to follow their own guidelines.

According to evidence presented at the Tribunal, the

pharmacy assistant did not expedite Clarke's prescription or inform the pharmacy manager about her breathing difficulties. The manager later confronted Clarke, dismissing her explanation and insisting she wear a mask.

The encounter escalated into a heated exchange, during which Clarke alleged she was treated unfairly due to

her disability. She was escorted out of the store and told she was banned.

Adjudicator Karen Mason released her decision on December 5, 2024, and found that the respondent's failure to accept Clarke's explanation for not wearing a mask, combined with their dismissal of her need for accommodation, constituted discrimination under the Ontario Human Rights Code.

In assessing damages, the Tribunal considered Clarke's experience during the incident and the lack of lasting harm. The decision referenced similar cases, with adjustments for inflation, in determining the \$10,000 award.

Clarke did not request non-monetary remedies, and none were ordered.

The pharmacy has been ordered to pay the compensation within 30 days.

Originally published at [thecanadianindependent.substack.com](https://www.thecanadianindependent.substack.com)



Photo credit: JHVEPhoto / Shutterstock.com

Challenging the Status Quo of Municipal Governance

By [Dr. Mark Trozzi](https://www.drtrozzi.news)

Laura Chambers, a resident of Calgary's Ward 9, delivered a bold and impassioned deputation to Calgary City Council on November 18, 2024. Her speech focused on issues of governance, accountability, and the influence of international agreements on local policy. Chambers' presentation posed critical questions about the city's authority, transparency, and commitment to its electorate.

The Roots of Municipal Influence

In her address, Chambers traced the origins of Calgary's current governance practices to Canada's 1992 signing of the United Nations' Partners for Climate Protection, spearheaded by former Prime Minister Brian Mulroney. She highlighted how this agreement aligned municipa-

lities like Calgary with global organizations, such as the World Economic Forum and ICLEI (International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives). Chambers argued that these affiliations have restructured local governance in ways that undermine democratic accountability and public trust.

Questioning Oaths and Allegiances

A central theme of Chambers' presentation was the lack of traditional oaths to public office by municipal officials. Instead, she stated, elected representatives sign the Municipal Act Declaration of Office, which she described as a contract binding them to a corporate entity rather than the citizens of Calgary. Chambers challenged the council to produce their oaths of office, asserting that their failure to do so would signal an abdication of their

responsibilities as public trustees.

A Call to Revisit Contracts and Policies

Chambers urged the council to publicly review and rescind international contracts and public-private partnerships that she claimed compromise the city's sovereignty. She emphasized that no government level has the legal authority to implement foreign mandates without the explicit consent of its constituents. Her conclusion called for transparency, legal accountability, and the reassertion of democratic governance within 30 days.

Chambers' speech serves as a powerful reminder of the importance of accountability and the enduring vigilance required to uphold democratic principles.

Originally published at [drtrozzi.news](https://www.drtrozzi.news)

- Ty and Charlene Bollinger, founders of *The Truth About Cancer* and *The Truth About Vaccines*, have **filed a multi-million dollar lawsuit against the US government, Big Tech companies, NGOs, and the United States District Court in Nashville, Tennessee.** The lawsuit reveals an alleged "Censorship Industrial Complex" that systematically suppressed dissenting voices under the guise of combating "misinformation." The US government is accused of pressuring social media platforms into censorship, targeting individuals and organizations that challenged official narratives on COVID-19, vaccines, and other public health issues.
- **Conservatives have introduced Bill C-411, the Anti-Arson Act, aimed at significantly increasing penalties for those who commit arson against places of worship.** The legislation seeks to address, in part, the troubling rise in attacks on churches across Canada fueled by the residential schools' unmarked graves story perpetuated by the mainstream media. From June 2021 (when the unmarked graves story broke) to September 2024, 112 Christian churches across Canada were vandalized, including 57 church fires—an 8-fold increase in vandalism compared to the preceding three years.
- **Ontario viral immunologist Dr. Byram Bridle is back in his office at the University of Guelph after being locked out for more than three years over a controversial COVID interview.** As Dr. Bridle explained, "I was banished from my workspaces almost 3.5 years ago following a radio interview in which I expressed concerns about the systemic biodistribution of COVID-19 shots throughout the body... My 'controversial statements' are now well-accepted facts in the scientific literature." Watch the highly viewed interview Druthers conducted with Dr. Bridle, recorded shortly after being locked out, on Bitchute here: tinyurl.com/mrxrn9yz
- **After over 20 years of protests, and despite more than \$8 million in government funding, biotechnology company AquaBounty has announced it will stop production of all genetically modified salmon and is closing its last working facility in Prince Edward Island.** The company sold the world's first commercialized genetically modified food ani-

FREEDOM WINS!

mal—Atlantic salmon. The salmon were genetically engineered with a growth hormone gene from Chinook salmon and genetic material from ocean pout.

- **Three new signs indicate that Trudeau may be on his way out soon.** 1) A new House of Commons petition is calling for an immediate election. The petition started on December 19th and garnered 340,000 signatures on the first day alone. 2) Finance Minister Chrystia Freeland's resignation letter showed a clear loss of confidence in Trudeau's leadership, making mention that Trudeau is not putting Canada first. 3) NDP leader Jagmeet Singh said he'll propose a no-confidence vote when parliament resumes, writing: "Justin Trudeau failed in the biggest job a Prime Minister has: to work for people, not the powerful... The NDP will vote to bring this government down, and give Canadians a chance to vote for a government who will work for them."
- **Ex-CDC Director Robert Redfield called on Congress to end the liability shield for vaccine makers,** known as the *National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986*. Redfield stated: "These companies have to be able to be held liable for their products like any other company." Redfield also criticized the over-selling of COVID vaccines, claiming their safety and efficacy were exaggerated to compel public compliance, adding that they never should have been mandated. Senator Ron Johnson described the situation as even more "sinister" than what Dr. Redfield described, accusing health agencies of sabotaging early treatments like hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin to protect Pfizer and Moderna's profits.
- **Alberta's United Conservative government has passed a bill that bans gender-confused men from competing in women's sports.** This is the first legislation of its kind in Canada. The proposed law will apply to all school boards and universities as well as provincial sports organizations.
- **A recent government report has confirmed what many in the freedom movement have been saying all along.** In its final report published on the US

government's website, the *Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Pandemic* concluded the following: COVID-19 most likely emerged from a laboratory in Wuhan, China; The World Health Organization caved to pressure from the Chinese Communist Party; Six-foot social distancing was arbitrary and not based on science; There was no evidence that masks effectively protected Americans from COVID-19; The COVID-19 vaccine did not stop the spread or transmission of the virus; The FDA rushed approval of the COVID-19 vaccine; Vaccine mandates were not supported by science and did more harm than good; The "science" never justified prolonged school closures.

- **The transgender tide might go out as swiftly as it came in.** Just one month after the United Kingdom banned the prescription of puberty blockers for children, National Health Service (NHS) England has announced, in the wake of *The Cass Review*, it will be scaling back gender care for minors, and will instead encourage more psychiatric care for these children. The NHS also noted that gender ideological terminology, like "chestfeeding" and "people with ovaries," will be removed from their nomenclature. *The Cass Review*—a comprehensive 366-page analysis commissioned by NHS England—concluded that, overall, there was "remarkably weak evidence" supporting gender medicine.
- **The United Kingdom's vaccine advisory committee is no longer recommending pregnant women take the COVID-19 vaccine.** The Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunization (JCVI) made the decision during its most recent meeting, citing the low risk of serious COVID-19 illness in pregnant women and infants and the vaccine's cost.
- One month after the Florida Surgeon General advised communities against adding fluoride to their drinking water, citing studies that found a correlation between high levels of the chemical and lower IQ scores in children, **Naples, Florida, voted to remove fluoride from its tap water,** marking a major milestone in the growing movement to end this controversial public health practice. This victory, driven by grassroots advocacy and scientific evidence, sets the stage for broader international reform.

The NATO War Against Russia

By Michael Bay

From 1945 to 2020, the United States (US) has carried out military and clandestine operations in no less than 66 independent countries.¹ According to research authors Christopher Kelly and Stuart Laycock, the US “...has invaded 84 out of the 194 countries recognized by the United Nations and has been militarily involved with 191 of those.”²

The excuse used to justify most of these military interventions is that they were done to protect US national security interests. But in truth, none of the countries the US invaded ever posed a potential threat to their security. More accurately, they were to protect the supremacy of the American dollar and promote US corporate dominance in the world.

In the last few decades, many countries have questioned their support of a US-controlled unipolar world. They have observed the unjust manner in which America has bullied other nations through never-ending economic sanctions, asset seizures, political manipulation and military interventions.

The current conflict in Ukraine is no different. When the Soviet Union dissolved in 1991, former President Gorbachev secured a promise from NATO that it would **not** advance eastward toward Russia. This commitment was not honoured, and NATO continued to expand, absorbing most former Soviet countries into its military alliance. Russian leaders repeatedly warned that this expansion posed a real threat to their national security. Unlike the historically false US claims, Russia’s fears were/are based in reality.

Regardless, US and European Union (EU) leaders ignored these concerns and continued to expand their military dominance. Russia drew the line with Ukraine and vowed that it would never accept a hostile country on its doorstep. The reason was logical. They didn’t want US/EU offensive weaponry on their borders. And who can blame them? Would England allow China to patrol the English Channel with their warships? What would the US do if a hostile foreign power tried to install nuclear weapons on a Caribbean island? Oh, wait! That actually happened in 1962 with the Cuban missile crisis. And what was the US response? Kennedy threatened nuclear war if they were not removed, and Soviet leader Khrushchev wisely complied.

Now, the scenario is reversed, but no one in the US or Europe seems to be listening. In fact, US/EU leaders continue to insist that Ukraine **will** eventually join NATO, basically dismissing Russia’s main concern regarding their national security.

There’s no logical reason why Ukraine can’t continue to serve as a neutral buffer zone between the superpowers. In fact, for decades, Moscow had a friendly neighbour, and Russia supplied much of Europe with cheap natural gas, which passed through Ukraine.

But things changed radically in 2014 when the US State Department, under the direction of Victoria Nuland (former US Under-Secretary of State), crafted a plan to turn Ukraine into an enemy of Russia. A CIA-supported coup overthrew the neutral government of President Viktor Yanukovich and replaced him with Petrovych Yatsenyuk. He was Nuland’s choice, as confirmed in the 2014 audio-taped conversation,^{3,4} between her and US Ambassador Jeffery Pyatt. In it, she clearly expresses her preference for “Yats” (Yatsenyuk), and it was he who was given the job as Prime Minister from February 2014 to April 2016. After Yatsenyuk, each subsequent Ukrainian leader has been pro-western, with Zelenskyy becoming President in 2019.

Soon after the coup, to oust Ukrainian President Yanukovich, the Kiev government started bombing the ethnic Russians living in the eastern regions of Donetsk and Luhansk. Russia tried to diffuse the situation with the Minsk Accords, signed in 2014. This Agreement was supposed to guarantee the protection of the Russian minorities living in the Donbas Region. However, the Ukrainian government did not respect it and continued the bombing, killing an estimated 30,000 ethnic Russians.

There are many reasons why the US has wanted to control Ukraine, including using it for money laundering, tax evasion, human trafficking and bio-weapons research. Victoria Nuland admitted to the US Senate under oath that they **were** supporting bio-labs there, and even Joe Biden’s son (Hunter) was convicted of operating shady business deals in Ukraine.

But the biggest reason for their intervention in Ukraine is tied to the delusional neocon idea that they can weaken Russia militarily and economically to the point where they can conduct a regime change similar to what they have done in so many other countries. Even though they have utterly failed in this effort, NATO has not been deterred.

And while they carry on this endeavour, the US military-industrial complex (MIC) is making a fortune. All the weapons destroyed on the battlefield need to be replaced, and US arms manufacturers are the biggest contractors. In fact, the US government vastly outspends all other nations in the world when it comes to the military. According to the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI), the US spent \$877 billion on military defense in 2022. That’s more than the next 10



countries combined. Russia only spent \$86 billion. The top five arms producers in the world are American companies.

The US economy has become dependent on the arms industry and actually needs ongoing global wars to create a never-ending demand for its weapons. The presence of 750 military bases spread across 80 different countries ensures that the US can go to war anytime and anywhere.⁵ By contrast, both China and Russia each have only about eight military bases abroad, most of which are located in neighbouring nations.

However, over the last 20 years, US dominance and the global supremacy of the US dollar have been threatened. In 2009-10, countries like China, Russia, India, Brazil, and South Africa started an economic block called the BRICS. This alternative economic partnership is designed to free countries from dependence on the US dollar and shield them from punishing economic sanctions.

Currently, there are 10 nations that are BRICS members, with 13 more accepted as partners at its Summit in October 2024. This growing economic block now poses a direct threat to US global economic dominance, which explains why they are so obsessed with the Ukraine conflict. They desperately need to militarily and economically undermine Russia and are using the Ukrainian army in this effort. They care little about how much the war costs the US taxpayers (almost \$200 billion thus far). In the words of US Senator Lindsay Graham, “Killing Russians is the best money we ever spent”.

The reporting on this conflict by the Western corporate media has been both hysterical and deceptive. They have demonized Putin (Americans always need a villain) and claim that Russia wants to take over Europe. They continuously repeat that in February 2022, Russia invaded Ukraine in an **unprovoked** attack, intentionally neglecting to provide any historical information and refusing to mention that in 2014, the US government provoked the conflict by conducting regime change in Kiev.

In the seven years following the coup, NATO spent seven years building up a 600,000-strong Ukrainian army in preparation for war. The former German chancellor, Angela Merkel, revealed this truth in December 2022. She admitted that NATO had only signed the Minsk Accords to buy time so the Ukrainian army could become stronger.

And contrary to the false media narrative, Russia did not conduct a full-scale invasion in February 2022. They sent a limited number of 60,000 soldiers into Ukraine, hoping the West would understand the seriousness with which they viewed the NATO threat. There was an expectation that peace would be discussed.

Shortly after, in March 2022, Turkey almost secured

a peace agreement between Ukraine and Russia. The Agreement included a promise that Ukraine would **not** join NATO and that the ethnic Russians in the eastern provinces would be protected. Unfortunately, it became apparent that the US did not want peace, and NATO dispatched Boris Johnson to Kiev to tell President Zelenskyy that under no circumstances should he sign any peace deal. Instead, NATO promised to give him all the weapons he needed. The puppet-president Zelenskyy agreed, and the prospects for peace were destroyed.

Tragically, this whole war could have easily been settled if the US had just agreed to two simple requests: One, that Ukraine remain neutral and not become a member of NATO, and two, that the Ukrainian government stop the aggression against the ethnic Russians living in the Donbas.

But Washington refused to agree to these requests and instead has doubled down on sending increasingly lethal weapons to Ukraine. Starting with ammunition, they quickly escalated to Bradley fighting vehicles, tanks, anti-tank missiles, anti-missile defense systems, shells with depleted uranium, illegal cluster bombs, F-16 fighter jets, and finally, long-range missiles. In addition to the weapons, there are many NATO technicians along with about 30,000 US/EU mercenaries fighting against the Russian army in Ukraine.

The ultimate goal of the delusional US neocons and EU globalists is to bring Russia to its knees and install a pro-western government in Moscow. This will allow them to gain access to trillions of dollars in resources and use this economic power as leverage against China. Many US Senators and EU politicians have openly admitted that they are **at war with Russia**. The

destruction of the Nord-Stream gas pipelines by the US was designed to isolate Europe from Russia thus forcing them into greater dependence on the US for energy supplies.

Once it became apparent that there was no negotiating with the West, Russia started to mobilize a 300,000-strong army designed to de-militarize Ukraine and push their army out of the eastern regions, which they annexed in September 2022.

It seems that NATO miscalculated Russia’s resolve and effectiveness in both weathering the economic sanctions and defending their national security interests. In spite of the hundreds of billions in military equipment that the US and Europe have sent to the war effort, the Ukrainian army is losing. It matters little to the US/EU leaders that an entire generation of Ukrainian men have been sacrificed for their delusional goals. They continue to encourage them to fight “to the last Ukrainian.” Even worse, they are willing to bring the world to the brink of nuclear war in order to expand or prevent the demise of the American empire.

Since this conflict began, NATO has never considered an off-ramp. Indeed, they don’t seem to understand what is at stake and have sabotaged every effort for de-escalation. Russia will never accept the hostile takeover of their country or the presence of NATO on their borders. The West is risking nuclear war over Ukraine—a country that most Americans can’t even find on a map.

Let’s not pretend that this war has anything to do with democracy in Ukraine, as some pro-US journalists would have us believe. Ukraine is not a democracy. It is a country where money is laundered, sex trafficking is rampant, and bio-warfare is researched. Corporate America wants control, and in April 2023, the state-owned Ukrainian gas company Naftogaz held talks with US oil giants Exxon Mobil, Halliburton and Chevron, inviting them to come in and run its oil and gas industry. This year, Zelenskyy signed agreements with BlackRock to further facilitate US corporate control of Ukraine.

Looking ahead, it’s almost certain that NATO will continue funding the war. Recently, the US and UK have conducted long-range strikes into Russia in spite of the ongoing warnings from Moscow. The latest warning came on November 21, 2024, in the form of a new hypersonic intermediate-range ballistic missile that Russia launched. It successfully hit (and apparently destroyed) an underground weapons manufacturing plant in the Ukrainian city of Dnipro. This missile can be equipped with nuclear warheads and travels at Mach 10, making it impossible to defend against. Russia launched it as a warning to the West to stop the attacks on their country.

See ‘How the West Was Lost’ p.5

Drone Wars: You Are Not Prepared

By James Corbett | corbettreport.com

Do you wanna see the scariest sight in the world? No, I'm not talking about terrorists or ninjas (or terrorist ninjas). I'm not talking about ghosts and goblins. I'm not even talking about Winnie the Pooh and Popeye.

I'm talking about the drone show put on by the Chicoms (Chinese Communist Party) to mark the beginning of the Dragon Boat Festival in Shenzhen in June 2023.¹

And your reaction to that scene says more about you than you may realize.

If you just had a shiver down your spine and you can taste that tang of existential dread in the back of your throat, then congratulations! You have your head screwed on straight.

But if you thought, "Oh, how pretty!" then it seems you are nowhere near prepared for what is coming.

Either way, you need to read about the coming drone wars and what they mean for the future of humanity.

THE TECH

By this point, we all know about Spot, the cute, dancing "agile, mobile robot" from Boston Dynamics.

And we've all witnessed Atlas—the slightly menacing, backflipping, bipedal "dynamic humanoid robot" (also from Boston Dynamics)—doing its gymnastics routine.

And we've all been filled with joy at the sight of ONYX's amphibious-capable Ghost Robotics V60 robotic dog paddling around in the water.

And we've all marvelled at Unitree Go2, the LiDAR-equipped 30-pound robot dog that can be yours for the low, low price of \$1,600!

But these robots by themselves are *not* the future of warfare.

To be sure, they certainly *can* be used as tools of warfare. Witness "Spot's Rampage" for a demonstration of what that would look like, or witness real-life robot take-downs of suspected criminals to know where this technology is going.

But robots are *not* going to be a mainstay of warfare in the near future. At least for now, these robots are too big, slow, clumsy and unwieldy—not to mention battery-dependent—to pose a sci-fi-style robot apocalypse danger.

The *real* threat is something altogether less intimidating. The humble drone swarm. Yes, that fun technology that makes those spectacular dragon-in-the-skies formations possible is (surprise, surprise!) being weaponized by the military-industrial complex as we speak.

WHO'S AFRAID OF A LITTLE DRONE?

The utility of drones for making mischief is hardly breaking news. For years, criminals have been taking advantage of the relative stealth and maneuverability of drones to do everything from harassing people on the street to smuggling drugs across the border.

This very real problem is then used as a justification for a power grab by law enforcement, who argue that they not only require new legislation but a drone army of their own to adequately deal with the threat. And thus, we have a problem-reaction-solution situation where drone crimes lead to the empowerment of the police state.

Even more worryingly, the same tactic is being used by militaries the world over to justify their development of *literal* drone armies. Observe:

The problem: Unidentified Drone Swarm over US Military Base Sparks Alarm.²



The reaction: The US Navy wants swarms of thousands of small drones.³

The solution: Anduril Is Building Out the Pentagon's Dream of Deadly Drone Swarms.⁴

And—*wouldn't you know it?*—it just so happens that Anduril is run by Thielverse minion (and proud Trump bootlicker) Palmer Luckey and is looking forward to a boon in business now that Thielverse minion J.D. Vance is in the VP office! It looks like military drone tech is in for a good four years.

In fact, military drone technology is a mini-industry unto itself at the moment, being touted by the Modern War Institute at West Point and hyped by *Forbes* and pimped by yahoo/finance.

And that's just the American side of the equation.

Naturally, the Chicoms are prepping their drone swarms for the next Great War.

As for the Russians, they're not only under Ukrainian

drone attack but are developing and unleashing drone swarms of their own to wreak havoc on Ukraine's energy grid.

And if there's a deadly new technology that makes the wholesale slaughter of civilians a little easier, you better believe Israel is deploying it against the Palestinians (and the Lebanese).

Heck, even Azerbaijan is in on the act, deploying Turkish-made TB2 drones (bearing Israeli-made ammunition) in their 2021 battle with the Armenians over Nagorno-Karabakh. Azerbaijani President Ilham Aliyev went so far as to credit the drones with destroying more than \$1 billion worth of Armenian equipment during the conflict.

No, the drone wars of the future are *not* some sci-fi fantasy. They are very real, and they are happening *right now*.

THE FUTURE OF WARFARE

Again, the spectre of thousands of mechanized death drones filling the skies during the next major war is not some far-off, hypothetical threat. Country after country is *already* developing military drone swarms.

But, as crazy as things are—and as crazy as they're about to get with yet more "mystery drone swarm" stories being hyped up in the establishment media—we haven't seen anything yet.

I've said it before, but it bears repeating: WWII will look NOTHING like previous warfare.

To begin wrapping your head around the concept of what future warfare will look like, you'll want to check out my podcast on 5th-Generation Warfare.⁵

Once you are up to speed on the 5th-generation war on everyone, you'll understand that warfare is no longer about armies squaring off

to shoot it out over a barren battlefield. Gone are the days when onlookers could enjoy a picnic while overlooking the battle, much to the chagrin of those hoping for some "Robot Jox"-style future where wars are decided by gladiatorial contests between giant, human-piloted robots.

So, what might drone warfare of the future *actually* look like?

You don't need to be a visionary to see how a drone swarm could be used to change the nature of warfare overnight, but in case you truly lack an imagination, please watch Sci-Fi Short Film "Slaughterbots" | DUST.⁶

In short, the public's inability to imagine such a scenario leaves them open to the most effective form of psychological manipulation: terrorism.

I don't mean the 9/11-style Al "CIA-da" terrorism that kickstarted the 21st century, although that's always

See 'These Are Not the Drones...' p.11

Top 10 Reasons to Avoid ALL Vaccines

By S.D. Wells | dangerousmedicine.com

Millions of natural health advocates around the globe have avoided getting vaccinated for decades, as the horror stories of vaccine damage and vaccine violence seem to be increasing exponentially every year, especially since the rollout of the Covid-19 jabs. Common sense alone would tell you not to have known neurotoxins and carcinogens injected into your body in the name of "preventing" disease.

Still, the fear has been instilled in the masses for decades that if you don't get all the CDC-recommended stabs, you're almost sure to die from an infectious disease. In other words, it's all fear-based medicine without the science to back it up. Ever since the polio vaccine was invented, people believed that if you caught polio, the chances were very high you would end up crippled for life, but that was a massive propaganda campaign.

Here are the top 10 rational reasons to avoid all vaccines, including mRNA:

#1. There's no real science proving they are safe or effective, so in reality, vaccines are just faith-based medicine for people who trust Big Pharma with their health

and lives.

#2. Bill Gates, one of the most insidious billionaires on the planet, pushes them heavily and is being sued right now for promoting lies and propaganda about mRNA Covid jabs.

#3. The risk far outweighs the benefit, if any, especially with the mRNA gene therapy injections, as millions of spike protein prions clog the vascular system, straining the heart, driving chronic inflammation, and polluting vital cleansing organs.

#4. Vaccines contain neurotoxins that can travel into the brain by crossing the blood-brain barrier, thanks to adjuvants like aluminum and preservatives like mercury (check the multi-dose flu stabs).

#5. Ever since the 1990s, when the CDC more than tripled how many vaccines are "recommended" on the childhood vaccine schedule, autism rates have skyrocketed from 1 in 10,000 kids to 1 in every 54 kids by age 8, according to a CDC press release.

#6. Toxin-laced vaccines shock the immune system unnaturally by bypassing the body's normal filters, including the skin, lungs and digestive tract. This can cause autoimmune disorders, permanent CNS damage, and irreversible brain damage.

#7. Vaccines, much like antibiotics, are known to decimate good gut bacteria, including bifidobacteria, that make up 90% of your flora, which means vaccines do the opposite of what everyone is told, as they wreck your immune function instead of boosting it (some vaccines even contain antibiotics).

#8. Vaccines are known to spread disease rather than prevent it, so the whole "herd theory" flies out the window, as the vaccinated masses get each other sick by a well-researched process called "shedding" (note: this is

not a conspiracy theory).

#9. The Vaccine Industrial Complex, with its key mouthpiece being the CDC, has been caught flat-out lying about the Covid jabs, saying they would prevent contraction of Covid, stop transmission and the vaccinated if they did get sick, would get a minor case.

#10. Nearly all infectious diseases were already phasing out and almost non-existent when vaccines were first invented and credited with saving millions of lives because plumbing and good hygiene, especially in doctor's offices, clinics and hospitals, saw massive improvements.

Bill Gates is getting hauled into a Dutch court by seven plaintiffs with vaccine-induced injuries, blaming him for pushing propaganda by making false claims.

The vaccine manufacturers may be enjoying their complete "immunity" to being sued for the vaccine violence caused by their products, but Mr. Microsoft himself, Bill "Genocide" Gates, isn't so fortunate. He will face trial at a Dutch court on charges that he misled the public about the safety of Covid-19 vaccines.

According to Dutch newspaper *De Telegraaf*, Gates is one of the self-proclaimed "experts" who said the vaccines would stop transmission of the virus, that the vaccinated would not get sick, and that they would not die from Covid. The plaintiffs said they were deceived into getting these dangerous, experimental injections and that the consequences have been devastating, including physical and mental injuries that have plagued them ever since getting injected. One thing is for sure: they are not alone in this world.

Originally published at dangerousmedicine.com

The Difference Between Conventional and Holistic Healthcare in Canada

By Dave Stach

"If I could live my life over again, I would devote it to proving that germs seek their natural habitat—diseased tissue—rather than being the cause of dead tissue. In other words, mosquitoes seek the stagnant water, but do not cause the pool to become stagnant" - Dr. Rudolph Virchow, the Father of Modern Pathology

I was among the billions of people confused by the difference between Western or "conventional" medicine and wide-ranging "alternative medicine."

Here, I explain what no one, for over thirty years, was able to tell me in the overrepresented "holistic," pseudo-scientific marketing arena.

Virtually all modalities termed "healthcare" have become degraded, perverted, and rendered almost into gibberish in the minds of many, thus spurring confusion, apathy, and even anger at the mere thought of anything related to "health." Even the word "natural" has been diluted and distorted, largely due to dishonest marketing strategies.

It has been shown that the food, agricultural, and pharmaceutical industries hire "influencer" dietitians and other practitioners, even in the "conventional" medical system, to shift people's perceptions of healthcare. This confusion fits very well with what big pharma calls "illness shaping"—giving new labels to existing collections of cellular dysfunction—using vague buzzwords and phrases such as so-called "metabolic syndrome," "mental illness," and many others that lack concrete systems or reductionist science definitions so that they can push their latest drug as a treatment.

Western "conventional" healthcare should be called "sick care"

There are two significant branches or philosophies of medical science: reductionism (to reduce or separate) and systems science (holism.) The Western medical model is based chiefly on reductionism, the philosophy that the human body and mind comprise separate components. At the same time, holism views them as a whole—all systems working together.

For example, the modern medical industry treats factors such as high cholesterol and high blood pressure as individual maladies in coronary artery disease. This approach is also used for other conditions like hypothyroidism and diabetes. Each illness is treated separately, with the belief that treatments work independently. However, this approach ignores the complex connections between diseases and treatments, assuming their effects just add up.

Germ Theory emphasizes the need to focus on germs and find ways to eliminate them. In contrast, the terrain model suggests that a healthy and balanced body can naturally resist germs and stay healthy. Both views are important. Today, our bodies struggle because of bad soil, harmful substances in our food, air, and water, daily stress, poor diets, fast food, and exposure to radiation and EMFs. This makes it crucial to have medications available to fight germs when our bodies are not functioning well.

"Germs seek their natural habitat, diseased tissue, rather than being the cause of diseased tissue."

- Dr. Antoine Bechamp

When considering the human body as a system of interconnected parts, Western medicine tends to pinpoint the specific element that most significantly influences the observed condition. Doctors often approach illness by identifying distinct irregularities, like a mechanic diagnosing a malfunctioning vehicle by finding the faulty component. This method is underpinned by a fundamental assumption that each disease can be traced back to a singular focus for treatment.

In the case of infections, the focus is on the pathogen; for cancer, it's the tumour; and for gastrointestinal bleeding, it's the source of the bleeding, whether a vessel or an ulcer. In the case of common depression and cognitive problems, the focus is often on anti-depressants, anti-psychotics and other singular medications that may or may not provide relief.

The effectiveness of this method is apparent, yet it often overlooks the importance of individual context. For instance, a young man with a weakened immune system suffering from pneumococcal pneumonia typically receives the same antibiotic regimen as an elderly woman with the same condition. In this scenario, the ill-

ness takes precedence over the patient's unique characteristics.

Unfortunately, our current analytical tools cannot tackle more intricate inquiries. As a result, questions like "In what ways do a person's sleep patterns, dietary choices, living environment, existing health issues, and stress levels collectively influence and develop their heart disease?" often go unanswered.

Confusion and apathy lead us to the never-ending medical bureaucratic maze



Since my early years, I've encountered a significant issue: family, friends, and the media seem fixated on the latest trends in wellness. From chiropractors and massage therapists to celebrity healers and various spiritual practices, it feels like everyone is chasing the next big remedy fad concept. Unfortunately, most of these practitioners fail to deliver verifiable, evidence-based outcomes, often leading to endless appointments without truly addressing acute problems or offering preventive care. They rarely take a holistic approach, neglecting to analyze the interconnectedness of the body and mind like a botanist would analyze the terrain of a plant.

I was so confused that I didn't know which doctor to turn to for help. Ultimately, I went to a Western medical doctor and got a prescription. Tired of not seeing tangible results and dealing with new symptoms, I stopped taking the medication, only to find my symptoms got worse years later. I was then sent to specialists who didn't talk to my general practitioner, which made me even more frustrated. Eventually, I got an appointment with my mother's long-time "functional" doctor, but I didn't understand what that meant. He gave me some treatments that worked, but I later realized he wasn't sharing everything with me. It took me years to uncover the complete picture on my own, and I discovered that nutrition is far more than the trivial concept of merely "food."

How can you help the body's cells and prevent and treat health problems?

Most people don't associate habits like social drinking and coffee consumption with wrinkles, black marks under the eyes, white marks on nails, and hundreds of other subtle health indicators. Instead, they associate those indicators with the gradual symptoms of aging or even as a more acute occurrence. They consider those symptoms merely "normal" and don't correlate them with the "snapshot in time" blood serum results and other measurements doctors utilize in a typical Western medical practice.

For instance, X-rays can reveal physical damage to an organ, but an organ that looks normal on an X-ray might still be underperforming due to nutritional deficiencies. A blood test may show "normal" results from that specific blood lab (they all have their own parameters based on demographics), but they may not be typical for your situation, especially when compared with other countries.

Researchers have discovered distinct changes in the body that signal a lack or excess of certain nutrients. By analyzing this "body language," they can accurately identify deficiencies or surpluses of specific vitamins, minerals, proteins, and other essential elements. This technique is called "nutritional symptomatology," which

focuses on interpreting physical indicators to reveal the underlying causes of these imbalances.

In the early 1950s, Dr. Abram Hoffer conducted important double-blind studies on using nutrients to treat schizophrenia. He collaborated with a team of 30 researchers, including Dr. Linus Pauling and Dr. Humphry Osmond, across four mental hospitals and three psychiatric wards in Saskatchewan, Canada. During this time, Tommy Douglas, the Premier of Saskatchewan, backed this significant nutritional research and secured funding from the Rockefeller Foundation.

Much like a Botanist, we need to analyze the "terrain"

What approaches should the Western medical model take but doesn't?

1. **Internal Environment: The Terrain Model** indicates that the body's internal environment, especially pH levels, is crucial for good health. A balanced internal state is proven to improve the body's ability to resist illness.
2. **Microorganisms:** Unlike the Germ Theory, which considers microorganisms the leading cause of disease, the Terrain Model considers them secondary factors. It suggests these organisms can only cause illness when the internal environment is unbalanced.
3. **Immune System:** This model emphasizes the importance of a strong immune system and overall health in maintaining well-being. A healthy immune system can protect against diseases, even in the presence of microorganisms.
4. **Intake and Lifestyle:** The Terrain Model stresses that personalized nutrition, lifestyle choices, and stress management are essential for shaping the internal environment. A tailored approach to nutrition and healthy living can help maintain a balanced internal state.

Every person has a distinct biochemical profile. Just like our fingerprints, voices, and physical traits differ, so do our nutritional needs. Each body reacts uniquely to the same biochemical choices we all make daily.

Our bodies are suffering because of nutrient neglect. We know that. We want to change. We want to claim back our health. But the overwhelming question is, "How?" With the dramatic rise in the supplement and herbal market, we are bombarded with products, packages and, yes, often, gimmicks. How often have you stood in the "Health Food Section" of your local grocery store knowing you should be taking SOMETHING but not knowing WHAT? Before you invest any more money in a product, not knowing if you even need it, invest in a personalized symptomatology assessment.

Dave Stach, BA(H), OHP, is starting his orthomolecular nutrition practice and is open to networking opportunities. If you're seeking a personalized approach based primarily on the terrain model, you're invited to use his Free Symptom Measure Tool available at nutrirebel.com/symptom-measure-tool.html

How the West Was Lost

Continued from p.3

The collective West leaders appear not to be heeding the warning. Indeed, French President Macron just authorized the use of long-range strikes against Russia, and the UK is discussing the formation of a coalition of European countries willing to enter Ukraine and engage the Russian army directly.

As the Ukrainian frontline collapses, it is obvious that the psychopaths in Washington and the delusional European globalists have no reverse gear. They will not go down without a fight. And the corporate-owned, mainstream media and all their pro-war apologists will do everything in their power to convince the world that we must have war in order to have peace. It is only by the grace of God that this war has not gone nuclear. Yet.

1. archive.globalpolicy.org/us-westward-expansion/26024-us-interventions.html
2. amazon.com/America-Invades-Invaded-Militarily-Involved/dp/1940598427
3. youtube.com/watch?v=JoW75J5bnnE&ab_channel=SCMPArchive
4. youtube.com/watch?v=ROTwyP5no08 (provides context for reference 3)
5. aljazeera.com/news/2021/9/10/infographic-us-military-presence-around-the-world-interactive

Top Freedom Wins of 2024

Rollbacks of corporate DEI, “diversity, equity, and inclusion,” gained momentum. After a widely publicized study conducted by Rutgers University found that the practice actually promotes, rather than decreases, discrimination, and amid growing legal, social and political backlash, corporations across North America rolled back their DEI initiatives. Walmart, Starbucks, Boeing, Toyota, Brown-Forman, Molson Coors, Deere & Company, Salesforce, Jet Blue, Bud Light, Target, and Ford Motor Company are among the growing list of companies that have removed their DEI practices. These rollbacks include ceasing race- and gender-based hiring or supplier preferences, ending participation in Pride events, reducing promotion and donations to LGBTQ+ groups, and discontinuing diversity, equity, and inclusion training for employees.



Canadians’ trust in mainstream news media continued to wane. According to recent research by the CRTC (Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission), only one-third of Canadians consider mainstream media trustworthy and balanced. This is being reflected in a decrease in watch-time, as CTV News Vice-President Richard Gray admitted during a parliamentary committee that the broadcaster is now losing \$40 million a year and that viewership is declining year over year.

Bye-Bye Fluoride. Just three months after a Federal Court ruled that fluoridation chemicals pose an “unreasonable risk” to human health and mandated EPA regulation, the Trump administration announced plans to recommend the removal of fluoride from all US tap water starting January 20th. In the announcement, Robert Kennedy Jr. stated: *“Fluoride is an industrial byproduct linked to arthritis, bone fractures, bone cancer, IQ loss, neurodevelopmental disorders, and thyroid disease. Fluoridation is an outdated practice that violates medical ethics.”*

Puberty blockers for children with “gender dysphoria” were banned in the UK. The High Court ruled that the previous government’s ban is lawful, given the evidence against the safety of puberty blockers. Similar bans also took place in Texas and South Carolina.



The FDA finally released the final batch of Pfizer Documents, only two years after they declared it would take a staggering 75 years to release all the data. We can thank a group of scientists and medical researchers for having sued the FDA under FOIA to force the release of hundreds of thousands of documents related to the licensing of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 “vaccine.” The good news is that independent scientists and researchers can now see everything the FDA saw when they decided that this shot was “safe and effective.”



The majority of Canadians said “No” to the shots. According to Health Canada’s latest data, only 18.2% of the adult population in Canada had received an updated COVID-19 vaccine despite a relentless multi-billion-dollar promotional campaign.

Newfoundland fisheries won their battle for freedom! After protesting for weeks outside the Confederation Building in St. John’s for a free and open fishery market (i.e. to not be restricted to selling only to the large corporations in the area), fishermen reached a deal with the NL government that allows catch to be sold to outside buyers.



Newfoundland fisheries won their battle for freedom! After protesting for weeks outside the Confederation Building in St. John’s for a free and open fishery market (i.e. to not be restricted to selling only to the large corporations in the area), fishermen reached a deal with the NL government that allows catch to be sold to outside buyers.

More than four times more parents are against vaccinating their children compared to pre-pandemic times, a new Canadian survey by the Angus Reid Institute shows. Additionally, nearly 30% of parents expressed skepticism surrounding the science of vaccines.

The Supreme Court of New York ordered all New York City employees who were fired for not being vaccinated to be reinstated with back pay. The court found that “being vaccinated does not prevent an individual from contracting or transmitting COVID-19.” New York City fired roughly 1,700 employees (including many police officers and firefighters) for being unvaccinated after it adopted a vaccine mandate.



In its updated guidance for COVID-19 boosters for the fall and winter 2024–2025 season, the **Florida Department of Health warned the public about safety and efficacy concerns of the mRNA COVID-19 vaccine.** *“The mRNA COVID-19 vaccines present a risk of subclinical and clinical myocarditis... postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome... autoimmune diseases including systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis, and psoriasis. ... As efficacy waned, studies showed that COVID-19 vaccinated individuals developed an increased risk for infection...”*


The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued an emergency ban on DCPA, a herbicide commonly used on broccoli and cabbage farms. The EPA cited concerns that the chemical appears to cause damage to unborn children.

The Bank of Canada dropped its plan to issue a digital Canadian dollar. A statement on the Bank of Canada’s website announced that it *“is scaling down its work on a retail central bank digital currency and shifting its focus to broader payments system research and policy development.”*

Vaccination rates significantly decreased around the world compared to pre-COVID-19 pandemic levels. According to a 2023 immunization coverage report released by the World Health Organization (WHO), Dr. Katherine O’Brien, director of the Department of Immunization, Vaccines, and Biologicals at the WHO, noted that vaccine skepticism, which surged during the pandemic, is partly to blame for the global decline.

The US Court of Appeals ruled in favour of doctors who alleged that medical boards violated First Amendment Rights (to Freedom of Speech of the US Constitution).

The court agreed with the *Association of American Physicians and Surgeons* claim that medical certification boards colluded with the federal government to silence doctors who voiced dissenting opinions on COVID-19 policies and vaccines.



Ireland drops plans for hate speech law. Earlier this year, the Irish government had announced plans to curb “hate speech” through legislation that would criminalize possessing vaguely defined hateful material, allowing officials to raid homes, demand passwords, seize devices, and impose one-year prison sentences for non-compliance.

The march against Monsanto is winning! As of late 2024, glyphosate levels, once detected at alarmingly high concentrations, are now at their lowest in recent history, according to tests conducted by the American nonprofit



Environmental Working Group. Glyphosate, the active ingredient in *RoundUp*—produced by Bayer (formerly Monsanto)—has been linked to serious health risks, including a rare form of lymphoma. Following massive court losses resulting in over \$12 billion USD in settlements and a staggering \$8.3 billion USD loss in market value, Bayer’s acquisition of Monsanto is now widely regarded as one of the worst corporate deals in history.



Testimony from Former VP at Pfizer

By Melvin Frohike

The former Pfizer vice-president's testimony, delivered to Northern Ireland's parliament, has stark and disturbing implications for many worldwide.

Dr. Michael Yeadon, a former vice-president at Pfizer who made a career in the pharmaceuticals and biotech research industry, recently gave testimony to Northern Ireland's parliament, which is currently debating a new Bill of Health for that country. What follows is a synopsis of that testimony.

Dr. Yeadon's career is as a long-time research scientist specializing in designing molecules used in developing new medicines. Yeadon started working in the pharmaceutical industry in 1988, focusing on developing new medicines for allergic and respiratory diseases. He has formal training in toxicology, which he gained as part of his first degree. Toxicology studies the relationship between the structure of harmful molecules and their toxicity and how such materials can injure humans at the molecular level.

Yeadon also has a PhD in respiratory pharmacology, which studies the control of breathing and respiratory reflexes and how pharmaceuticals impact them. During his corporate career at Pfizer, he was responsible for allergic and respiratory disease research. Yeadon also started a biotech company, which was eventually acquired by Novartis, the then-largest pharmaceutical company in the world.

Dr. Yeadon's background makes it clear that he is infinitely qualified to testify to the Northern Ireland parliament in these matters.

Dr. Yeadon's testimony begins with him painting a decidedly sad global health portrait spanning the last four years. He opens with an astonishing (for some) statement as he asks rhetorically, "What happened in 2020?" Dr. Yeadon then says that there was no pandemic health emergency in 2020. He states that there were lies, propaganda, fake diagnostic testing (PCR)—and, importantly, the misattribution of real diseases to an imaginary construct called "Covid." On the back of this pervasive Covid propaganda, the World Health Organization (WHO) chairman declared a pandemic—leading rapidly to many of the world's countries radically altering their long-established medical protocols in hospitals and elder care homes.

In hospitals, especially in the United Kingdom (UK), the elderly were sedated, had a plastic tube inserted into their airways and, while unconscious, placed on ven-

tilators. Dr. Yeadon argues this was never an appropriate protocol for a patient with "influenza-like symptoms" (Covid). When used on the frail and elderly, this protocol will (and did) cause large numbers of deaths.

No mistakes were made, asserts Yeadon. After the first few days, medical caregivers would have seen firsthand that their actions were causing death—they continued regardless. Dr. Yeadon testified that this was "the first crime." He also argued that these orders came from supranational organizations because they happened in many countries, all at once—suggesting an overarching authority pushed these medical protocol changes for the elderly.

In elder care homes, the situation was equally ugly. Especially in the UK, the aged were prescribed a lethal mix of a benzodiazepine called Midazolam (an injectable valium-like drug) and powerful pain-relieving drugs like morphine.¹

From his background, Dr. Yeadon knows that an opioid drug like morphine acts to suppress respiratory function. An elderly patient would become sleepy from the

protein (spike) that does not belong in the human body. Since it is foreign, your immune system—described by Yeadon as "a wonderful work of God and nature," will detect and kill any cell that expresses (produces) the protein. This, as most people now know, is a serious problem because the injection does not stay at the injection site (deltoid muscle) but travels throughout the body and into every cell of every organ—heart, lungs, liver, brain, kidneys, ovaries, etc. Wherever it was taken in and expressed by those organ cells, the body saw that as a foreign invasion and attacked and killed those cells. That was the design.

The developers of the injection selected a particular protein known from previously published and peer-reviewed medical studies to damage nerves and heart tissue. According to Dr. Yeadon, the spike protein is known to be "inherently toxic" based on past research.

The mRNA products are wrapped in lipid nanoparticles (LNPs)—fat globules. The LNPs allow the injection contents to travel easily throughout the body and cross all biological barriers, penetrating cellular walls; walls

would normally not permit foreign bodies access to the cell.²

Dr. Yeadon added that previous peer-reviewed research from 10 years ago demonstrated that the payload of lipid nanoparticles tended to accumulate and gather in the ovaries. Japanese medical studies showed that the Pfizer product accumulates in the ovaries of test animals. So, designers of the so-called vaccine selected lipid as the delivery system, knowing that whatever they loaded the lipid with (in this case, spike protein) would gather in the ovaries.³

As I listened to this alarming testimony, I kept reminding myself that Dr. Yeadon is a highly qualified professional with decades of experience in the pharma-

ceutical industry, developing experimental medicines to address respiratory diseases. When he testifies that his experience indicates that "there are multiple, independent, unnecessary and obvious mechanisms of toxicity built into these injections," he is worth listening to.

Dr. Yeadon concludes that he does not blame those who believed the lies they were told and took the injections. He thinks they are victims as much as everyone else. However, he also believes those in authority will attempt to deliver the same again. This belief could be supported because many Western countries are now constructing mRNA manufacturing facilities (including in Canada) in anticipation of a wide range of new vaccines rolling out to the public.

Dr. Yeadon's sympathy does not extend to those who fall for the lies again, particularly in light of all the evidence accumulated over the last four years. If enough people stand up to refuse any more of these substances being put into their bodies, the powers that be will back off, he says.

Dr. Yeadon ends by warning Northern Irish parliamentarians that although they may be under intense pressure from shadowy supranational figures to pass the new legislation—with its odious measures—they may discover that the public's reaction to them passing this Bill of Health may be even more unpleasant.

1. odysee.com/@MaajidNawaz:d/Ep6-Radical:9
2. childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/pfizer-jj-covid-vaccine-animal-trials-reveal-shots-potential-impact-major-organs
3. thelastamericanvagabond.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Pfizer-mRNA-goes-to-Ovaries.pdf

For additional reading on Northern Ireland's Bill of Health and the ongoing public consultations, refer to health-ni.gov.uk/news/public-health-bill-consultation-extended



Advertisement

Thinking of leaving Canada? Looking for a safe place for your family? El Paraiso-Verde, Paraguay, South America could be the place for you.

For 8 years now El Paraiso-Verde is a gated community of unvaxed settlers. EPV has almost 3,600 acres which sits on a huge aquifer of fresh water.

El Paraiso-Verde is a growing community, independent and self-sufficient from its surroundings with a lot of space for new settlers. This growth creates a need for all kinds of people to join us here.

We want to encourage farmers, builders, skilled workers, teachers, animal caretakers, technical professionals, engineers, to join us at EPV and work with us to bring our ideal community to a reality. There is much work to do here.

We are building a community based on contribution, shared profits and prosperity for everyone.

Curious? Could this be something for you?

Contact me

<https://epv.one/2NE>

wendy.robinson@paraiso-verde.com

Midazolam, and the morphine injection would slow their breathing drastically.

According to Dr. Yeadon, the practice of mixing these two classes of drugs is forbidden because patients are likely to fall asleep and stop breathing. This is what happened on a large scale in UK elder care homes. These deaths were then misattributed to Covid and a so-called global health pandemic—which in turn led to control freakouts, where people were locked down, forced to don medical masks, and needless, ineffective, draconian curfews were imposed in functional democracies (Quebec, we are looking at you). The engine for this panic arose from government propaganda, media and politician lies, and social media influencers taking government contracts to push similar fear-based messaging.

Dr. Yeadon reserves a special place in his censure for his industry. He reminds his parliamentary audience that people were told that the so-called vaccines were developed in ten months, something Yeadon knows is impossible. Any other vaccine development has taken between six and twelve years. Given that impossibility, Yeadon testifies that pharma developed something else entirely, creating materials that were "essentially injected poisons."

Dr. Yeadon explained that medicines are built by scientists and researchers who know what combination of materials to use to achieve a desired molecular outcome or therapeutic goal—without unacceptable side effects. The term for his approach is called "Rational Design."

Since Yeadon used to design medicines in this way, he can examine the Covid injection and discern the designer's objective. In the case of these injections, it is Yeadon's studied opinion (and his parliamentary testimony) that the designers sought to bring about toxicity that would injure, kill and reduce fertility. According to Dr. Yeadon, no other conclusion can be reached based on the materials used in the injections.

As an aside, Dr. Naomi Wolf's recently released book *The Pfizer Papers* (available on Amazon) proves that by early 2021, Pfizer was aware that its gene-based therapies injure those who receive them and accumulate in the testes and ovaries (among many other organs). Pfizer investigators themselves authored the internal documents reporting these findings.

To support his position that these products were designed to cause harm, Dr. Yeadon provides three concrete illustrations to parliamentarians:

The Covid product injects a genetic sequence for a

Advertisement

LET THY WATER, BE THY MEDICINE

Only Acilis can detox your body from Aluminium

This silica-rich water is your natural defense against everyday toxins

VISIT WWW.ACILIS.CA

Vancouver Police Board Vice-Chair Forced To Resign After Criticizing Mass Immigration




Photo of Comfort Sakoma via her Instagram profile

By Riley Donovan | [dominionreview.ca](https://www.dominionreview.ca)

“I am going to get very vocal about things happening in Canada, and I’m okay if that upsets some people.”

That’s how former Vancouver, British Columbia (BC) Police Board vice-chair Comfort Sakoma began a series of Instagram posts describing her concerns about the effects of mass immigration and state-imposed multiculturalism. She didn’t pull any punches:

“Years ago, I warned that Canadians were losing the ability to define what it truly means to be Canadian. Take ten random people off the street, and we can’t identify a common food, sport, or pastime that unites us. The implications of an immigration system that allowed for mass immigration without considering cultural integration, combined with a growing aversion to assimilation, are slowly transforming Canada into a place where a shared identity is disappearing. I don’t recognize my country anymore. The friendly smiles, courteous service, and even the simple ‘sorry’ when someone bumps into you—traits that used to define our culture—are now fading away.”

She went on to argue that the ideology of multiculturalism now dominant in Canadian schools masks itself in a cloak of secularism but, in reality, regularly promotes religious beliefs—as long as those beliefs are not Christian:

“Recently, my son was invited to participate in a Diwali celebration at school, and it took me some time to realize that the push for secular education isn’t about religion—it’s about erasing Christian values from the lives of our children. Now, those values are being replaced by those of a new dominant group. First, they’re participating in Diwali celebrations; next, they’re drawing parallels between Hindu values and Canadian ones. Before we know it, those values will be the new norm, and Hinduism could become the dominant cultural influence.”

Canadians will have differing views on the likelihood of Sakoma’s prediction that a foreign religion could become a new dominant cultural influence. That notwithstanding, her central question is an important concern: are Canada’s public schools more focused on promoting non-Christian religions than preserving secularism in public institutions?

When I was growing up in Vancouver, my class was taken on a field trip to learn about religion in the city. We were taken to a mosque, a Sikh gurdwara, and a Buddhist temple; a church was not on the itinerary.

This is not an outlying example; it’s the new norm. It is hard to believe that Canada’s institutions are genuinely committed to secularism when only one religion is sidelined, and others are put on display as exotic and enriching.

Sakoma clarifies that she has *“nothing against Hinduism”* and that she actually studied it for three years—an experience she found valuable and gave her a new perspective on her own religious beliefs. She is not opposed to foreign worldviews in general but rather is specifically concerned about the shoving aside and

replacement of Canadian values and identity:

“However, while I respect Hinduism and its rich traditions, if I want an Indian experience, I’ll go to India. What I want for Canada is for it to remain true to its own roots – rooted in its unique values and identity, which is what has always made us who we are.”

Sakoma, who is of Nigerian descent and came to this country as a young child, goes on to argue that every culture on the face of the earth has a right and a duty to preserve its own unique identity and values to pass on to future generations:

“If I took my two-year-old son to Nigeria and the food, the people, the music, the languages all seemed like I was in Ethiopia, I would be deeply saddened. Not because I don’t love Ethiopian food, music, or its beautiful people, but because it would mean an equally beautiful tradition had been replaced. This is why the preservation of culture matters so much. Every country owes it to its ancestors and to the history books to fight for the preservation of its heritage. If that is lost, one day, all the lives sacrificed for the people and the efforts made to build that nation will fade into obscurity. Soon after, everyone will forget that they ever existed.”

I have quoted extensively in this article because when the mainstream media outlets reported on what Sakoma had written, they only condescended to give their readers small sentence fragments. Neglecting to provide statements in their full con-

text is a common practice when a public figure is cancelled for saying something controversial—a tactic that is lazy at best, dishonest at worst, and leaves Canadians with a skewed perspective of what was actually said.

Sakoma’s posts became the subject of discussion on Vancouver and BC subreddits, and on November 22nd, a statement posted to the Vancouver Police Board website explained that she had been asked to resign:

“Recent statements posted on social media by the vice chair of the Vancouver Police Board do not reflect the values of the Board and are inconsistent with our code of conduct. While I value the many contributions Comfort Sakoma-Fadugba has made as a member of this Board since 2022, these statements have made her continued service on the Board untenable. This afternoon, I requested and received Comfort’s resignation from the Vancouver Police Board.”

Whether one agrees or disagrees with Sakoma’s statements, forcing a government employee to resign over political views expressed outside of work is deeply troubling. I encourage her to seek legal advice on the viability of a lawsuit against the Vancouver Police Board.

According to the BC government, police boards *“govern and oversee the police department in the best interest of the community.”* Provided that board members are fulfilling that role, what does it matter if—in their spare time—they happen to have outspoken views on issues of the day? Forcing government employees to resign over deeply held personal views that do not impact their job performance is a direct assault on free speech.

Freedom of expression is a treasure that very few countries possess and one we should not take lightly. The persecution of minority-held beliefs has been a constant throughout history—morally wrong, to be sure, but not surprising. What is particularly bizarre about contemporary Canada is that this situation is inverted: majority-held beliefs are driven out of the public square by a very small minority of ideologues who hold disproportionate power in our institutions.

Sakoma pointed to the drawbacks of mass immigration—she is hardly the only one. In an Abacus poll conducted in October, no fewer than **72% of Canadians**—a statistical supermajority—**said immigration levels are too high**. Sakoma expressed concern over *“a growing aversion to assimilation.”*

According to a survey conducted by Leger for Canada’s Immigration Department, 63% of Canadians think that *“immigrants need to do more to integrate into Canadian society.”*

The statement released by the Vancouver Police Board claims that Sakoma’s statements *“do not reflect the values of the Board.”* Judging from the opinion polls, it seems more accurate to say that the views held by the Vancouver Police Board do not reflect the values of the majority of Canadians.

Originally published at [dominionreview.ca](https://www.dominionreview.ca)

Corruption in London, Ontario

By Carl Robinson

The homelessness crisis in London, Ontario, has reached catastrophic levels, exposing systemic corruption, neglect, and a blatant disregard for human life. The actions of the municipality, Mayor Josh Morgan, London Cares, the Coordinated Informed Response (CIR) team, and law enforcement have left countless individuals abandoned, vulnerable, and in life-threatening conditions.

A Brutal Winter and Heartless Actions

London, Ontario, has been pummeled by a harsh winter this year, with its location in the snowbelt leading to relentless storms and dangerously low temperatures. Despite this, CIR and London Cares continue to displace homeless individuals by dismantling their camps, confiscating their belongings—including tents, sleeping bags, and warm clothing—and leaving them to face the brutal cold with nowhere to go.

These actions are not only inhumane but also lethal. People are dying on the streets as temperatures plummet, their survival tools ripped away under the guise of *“clean-up”* efforts. I have personally witnessed CIR workers laughing and joking as they destroy camps and throw belongings into garbage trucks, their smiles belying the devastation they cause. This is a human rights crisis.

Violating Rights and Ignoring Solutions

The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees every person the right to security and shelter, yet these actions blatantly disregard those rights. Homeless individuals are treated as disposable, thrown under the rug as if their lives hold no value.

The municipality has access to resources, funding, and countless potential solutions to address homelessness, yet they choose to do nothing meaningful. Instead, they prioritize eradication over compassion and displacement over solutions. This behaviour amounts to a systematic effort to depopulate the homeless community, leaving them to die in the cold.

The Tragic Case of Tom

The actions of the city are not only inhumane but also neglectful of those with the greatest needs. One such case is Tom, a 61-year-old double amputee who is also missing half of his fingers. Tom lives in a tent placed in a park by Mission Services, and despite having 8 to 10 support workers assigned to his case, none of them—except for his PSW—have provided him with meaningful assistance or worked to secure him a proper place to live.

When the Knuckle Draggers, a grassroots organization dedicated to helping the homeless, visited Tom, they found him sitting in his own urine, unassisted for two days. The team immediately demanded action from London Cares, but they were told it was *“too late in the day”* to address the situation and that they might help him the next day.

Unwilling to leave Tom in such dire conditions, the Knuckle Draggers took matters into their own hands. They sought help from another organization, The Ark Aid Mission, which generously donated a coat, bedding, blankets, and other supplies. The Knuckle Draggers sanitized Tom’s tent, replaced his bedding with insulated foam and memory foam, and provided him with clean clothes and a more comfortable environment.

Despite these efforts, Tom remains in the park as of December 11, 2024, with no hope of securing stable housing or shelter. This is not just neglect—it is a death sentence.

Indigenous Communities Targeted

The neglect and cruelty extend to Indigenous communities as well. I witnessed CIR and London Cares dismantle an Indigenous encampment despite our team presenting legal documentation affirming their rights. CIR workers dismissed the papers, claiming they were not *“stamped”* by a judge, and ignored the Canadian Charter of Rights, which we tried to invoke.

This violation of Indigenous rights is a stark reminder of the systemic racism embedded in the city’s approach to people experiencing homelessness. These actions demonstrate that the municipality operates with impunity, disregarding legal and moral obligations.

Where Is the Funding?

The City of London has received significant federal and provincial funding to address homelessness, including \$74 million before the new year and an additional \$24 million from a private donor. This money was intended to fund affordable housing and resources for the homeless,

See *‘Neglect, Injustice, and the Fight...’* p. 9

The Shocking Alberta Bill of Rights Bait-and-Switch

By Shawn Buckley

Albertans have just been subjected to a shocking bait-and-switch on their *Bill of Rights*. Albertans were told by their government that the *Alberta Bill of Rights* was being strengthened to protect the citizen from the government. The truth was the opposite. The *Bill of Rights* was being amended to protect the government from the citizen.

This political bait-and-switch provides us with the useful lesson that in Canada, we do not have “rights.” Rather, we have “privileges.”

A right is something that belongs to the citizen. Because a right belongs to the citizen, it cannot be taken away by the government. A privilege is something granted to the citizen by the government. A privilege belongs to the government. Because a privilege belongs to the government, the government can take a privilege away. The *Alberta Bill of Rights* is a perfect example. It is a statute of the Legislature. If we had rights, we would not need the government to pass a statute saying we have rights. Indeed, because we need governments to grant us “rights” by passing a *Bill of Rights* or having the United Kingdom (UK) Parliament pass our *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, we know that we have no rights. Rather, we have privileges.

I refer to the UK Parliament because the Canadian Constitution is a collection of statutes passed by the UK Parliament, including our *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*. Canadians have no representation in the UK Parliament. In other words, we have no representation in front of the body that has determined our government structure and has determined which privileges we are to be afforded in our Constitution.

Because we have privileges granted to us by the government, the privileges can be taken away. This is what just happened with the *Alberta Bill of Rights*. Our privileges were taken away.

The Alberta government was telling us we were being protected. We were told that the government was giving us the right to refuse medical treatment. We were told the *Bill of Rights* was being strengthened to protect Albertans from the government. This was political messaging that was untrue.

In reality, Albertans have lost privileges. The biggest loss is to our former privilege to be able to refuse medical treatment. Under the common law, all Canadians have the privilege to refuse medical treatment, even if refusal would result in their death. Courts have also read this privilege into the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*. The privilege to refuse medical treatment in our common law and in our Charter has no restrictions.

One of my grandmothers, who was a nurse, came down with cancer. She reasoned she was not going to survive. She reasoned that she would suffer the least if she refused treatment. And she was allowed to refuse treatment because of her privilege to be able to refuse medical treatment.

If the Alberta government meant to protect us by adding the privilege to be able to refuse medical treatment into the *Bill of Rights*, the government would just add the existing privilege. But that is not what happened. Rather, the Alberta government created a new restriction to our existing privilege to refuse medical treatment. Now, in Alberta, medical treatments can be forced on us without our consent if refusing the treatment is “likely to cause substantial harm” to us or to others. The only treatment that now cannot be forced on us in Alberta is vaccination. If my grandmother was alive today in Alberta, she could be forced under the *Bill of Rights* to suffer through cancer treatments she did not want.

Now, whenever anyone is arguing anywhere in Canada that they have the privilege to refuse a medical treatment, the government lawyers will bring up this new Alberta restriction. They will introduce into the minds of the Courts that our previously unlimited privilege to refuse medical treatment is actually limited. The government lawyers will now argue that we can be forced to take medical treatments to protect ourselves and others. I am sick to my stomach that this restriction was introduced into the *Bill of Rights*. This is straight out of George Orwell’s book, *1984*. Bills of rights are meant to protect us from the government. Now, the *Alberta Bill of Rights* takes away a fundamental protection. Now, the government has power over our bodies it did not have before.

The most effective way to make privileges in a bill of

rights meaningless is to add a justification clause. The best example of a justification clause that takes rights away is section 1 of the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*. If a person manages to convince a Court that one of their Charter “rights” has been violated, then section 1 enables the government to argue that the violation was justified in the circumstances. Lawyer James Kitchen testified at the National Citizens Inquiry that section 1 has rendered our Charter “rights” meaningless. I agree with Mr. Kitchen. Section 1 has made the privileges in the Charter largely meaningless.

at law, your right is deemed not to have been violated in the first place. This means that there is not even a Court precedent to say your right was violated. These justification clauses render the *Bill of Rights* worse than the Charter.

Prior to these amendments, the *Bill of Rights* had no justification clauses. This made the *Bill of Rights* stronger than the Charter. Now, the *Bill of Rights* is weaker than the Charter. The new amendments make the rights that were already in the *Bill of Rights* meaningless. We have lost rights.

To make matters worse, the amendments add clauses that block the Courts from awarding damages for violations of our rights. If the government takes your property or severely interferes with all use of your property, you can get damages. But this is already the law in Alberta. What is new is that for all other violations of our “rights,” now there is no remedy in Alberta. Courts can no longer order the government to pay compensation for violating our “rights.”

A right without a remedy is not a right. This also creates a huge double standard. Alberta citizens have to comply with the *Alberta Human Rights Act*, which applies to citizens. Alberta citizens are personally liable for any damages they cause for violating the *Alberta Human Rights Act*. It is strange indeed that if citizens violate the rights of others, the citizens are personally liable. However, if the government violates the rights of citizens in the *Bill of Rights*, the government is not liable to

the citizen.

The government of Danielle Smith will likely go down in Canadian History as the government that has undermined the privileges of its citizens more than any other government. Almost no one in Alberta understands that they have been subject to a bait-and-switch. The amendments to the *Bill of Rights* are being celebrated.

I am always amazed when a government gains political popularity for hurting its citizens.

This is a sad day for all Canadians.

Shawn Buckley is a constitutional lawyer and President of the Natural Health Products Protection Association.

Bait and Switch

In some cases, the Feder
promulgated specific rules
of its state government
Alberta. The bill

If the Alberta government wanted to make the “rights” in the *Bill of Rights* meaningless, the best way to do so would be to introduce a justification clause similar to section 1 of the Charter. This is exactly what the Alberta government has done. They have introduced a justification clause almost identical to section 1 of the Charter. But the Alberta government did not stop there; they went further. Under the Charter, if the government justifies violating your right, at least there is a Court precedent that your right was violated. You have only lost the ability to get a remedy when a government argues the violation was justified under section 1 of the Charter.

Under the amended *Alberta Bill of Rights*, if the government succeeds in justifying the violation of your right,

Neglect, Injustice, and the Fight for the Homeless

Continued from p.8

yet it has seemingly vanished without a trace.

From the streets, it is clear that this money has not gone to those who need it most. Instead, it appears to have been absorbed into the pockets of politicians and municipal leaders while the homeless community is left to suffer and die.

How Does This Continue?

Each municipality across Canada is responsible for its homeless prevention programs, allowing local governments to act with little oversight. This decentralized system has given London, Middlesex County, and other regions the freedom to implement policies that violate human rights with no accountability.

How does the City of London get away with murdering homeless people through neglect and displacement? How does a system allow a double amputee to remain in a tent, with no help or hope, in freezing temperatures? How does a municipality justify stealing the belongings of the homeless, leaving them with nothing but the clothes on their backs?

The Fight for Change

The Knuckle Draggers and other grassroots organizations continue to fight for the homeless, stepping in where the city has failed. We provide food, clothing,

bedding, and support to those who have been abandoned. We document these injustices, share the stories of the homeless, and raise public awareness of the corruption and neglect perpetrated by the city.

The public must demand accountability. Share these stories, contact local representatives, and call for an independent investigation into the actions of London Cares, CIR, and the municipality.

Homelessness is not a crime. Being poor is not a reason to strip someone of their dignity, belongings, or life. Together, we can hold those in power accountable and fight for a system that values all lives equally.



The Lies About Grid-Scale Storage Batteries



By Lloyd Leugner

The fallacies and lies going unchallenged about the wonderful environmental advantages of wind, solar and other so-called “green” energy production technologies continually astound me. Canadians, including our own naïve politicians and media, blindly believe the climate crisis cult crowd without any investigation of the actual advantages and disadvantages of these technologies.

I have researched these green energy techniques for many years, including research from the Friends of Science Society, the Association of Professional Engineers and Geo-scientists of Alberta, and the Centre of Climate and Energy Solutions, all of whose knowledge I have much respect for.

Research into deceptive propaganda promoted by environmentalists was obtained from international scientific sources, all of whom continue to report that for years to come, hydrocarbon energy consumption will continue to be necessary at approximately 79.7%, while so-called green energy will provide only about 20.3% made up of nuclear at 2.2%, biomass at 7.5%, with wind, solar, hydro and geothermal at around 10.6% each, all generating their own set of environmental problems and all at unacceptable and unnecessary costs to consumers. Witness these excessive costs in the UK, the European countries, and soon-to-be in North and South America.

To have your eyes opened about immoral, excessively costly, inefficient green energy, read Michael Shellenberger’s book; *“Apocalypse Never, why environmental alarmism hurts us all,”* Norman Roger’s; *“A Critique of Wind and Solar,”* Mark Mills’; *“The New Energy Economy: An Exercise in Magical Thinking”* and review Michael Moore’s documentary, *Planet of the Humans*.

Environmentalists declare that the excess power generated by wind and solar can be stored in grid-scale storage batteries to provide power when the “wind doesn’t blow” and the “sun doesn’t shine.” This is bald-faced environmental propaganda and a marketing lie; there aren’t enough batteries in the world to accomplish this. The Tesla Giga battery factory would require 1000 years of production to manufacture enough batteries to meet just two days of the United States electrical demand, and it requires between 50-100 pounds of mining production and processing of lithium for every pound of battery produced!

Trans Alta Utilities recently turned the switch on its newly installed **\$16 million** grid-storage battery plant at its Summerview wind farm near Pincher Creek in southern Alberta. The Operations Manager proudly reported that this Tesla-manufactured lithium-ion battery storage

facility could power the town of Pincher Creek for “90 minutes.”

Extending these numbers with simple math, grid battery storage would provide approximately enough electricity to supply the entire province of Alberta for **one hour at a cost of \$8 billion** and supply the entire province for **one cold winter day at a cost of approximately \$69 billion!** How will Alberta’s energy consumers ever pay for this green energy scam? There isn’t a country in the world that can afford this obscene expense to replace hydro-carbon energy, all in the

name of environmentalism.

No business will invest in these monstrosities if there is no financial or economic advantage. The space required for grid storage battery facilities near Pincher Creek was almost the size of a soccer pitch. Other considerations never discussed are where the lithium is mined and the safety and environmental challenges of the mining process: How does the hydrocarbon-fueled and lubricated machinery required for mining operations reduce greenhouse gases or carbon emissions? How are lithium-ion batteries disposed of? Do they end up in a landfill alongside worn-out wind turbine blades?

Green energy promoters, the brainwashed, technologically illiterate Greta Thunberg crowd, engineers who support and promote renewable energy, politicians and the propagandist media never discuss grid storage battery safety. In October 2019, a grid storage battery facility near Phoenix, Arizona, unexpectedly exploded, causing a massive fire. The facility had only been in operation since 2017. Fortunately, no one was killed, but it could have been a disaster. A number of first responders were injured when they opened the building door, and the battery gases exploded.

Finally, a comment on the outright marketing propaganda presented by corporate customers of these technologies who claim that their manufacturing processes use nothing but renewable, zero emissions green energy. An example is your everyday lunch box Ziploc sandwich bag. The American manufacturer claims that it uses nothing but green renewable energy in its manufacturing process. The wind turbine label appears on each plastic bag to convince the customer of “honesty in marketing.” This is a blatant and fraudulent lie.

When the spokesman was questioned, he admitted that coal-fired energy production was used when the renewable wind energy supply could not satisfy the plant’s needs. What is even more remarkable is that every plastics manufacturing process, whether making bottles or bags, uses one or more of the elements polyethylene, polypropylene or polystyrene in the process. All of these elements are derived from, you guessed it, oil and natural gas, but environmentalists will never admit this and may not even be aware of it while spreading their lies about the advantages of green renewable energy and the evils of “fossil” fuels and lubricants.

Every thinking individual should be demanding that politicians, the mainstream media, public broadcasters, university professors, engineering professionals and environmentalists themselves tell the truth about the disadvantages of these so-called green renewable energy technologies that are NEVER discussed and why no one is challenging the fraudulent untruths and outright lies presented by the purveyors and propagandists of the cli-

mate crisis brainwashers.

As David Solway noted in his remarkable editorial, *“Global Warmists Go Disney”* in *The Pipeline*, the climate crisis crowd continues to perpetuate man-made global warming. There are three defining characteristics of the climate change movement.

1. Data falsification (to support the lie that climate change is occurring).
2. Profit (by wealthy industrialists who accept public money for the initial investment).
3. Ostracism of dissenting voices (dispute, disparage or discredit climate change deniers).

Winston Churchill said, *“A lie gets halfway around the world before the truth has a chance to get its pants on.”* It’s time that climate change lies are questioned by everyone.

Lloyd “Tex” Leugner, CD, Warrant Officer (Ret’d), is a member of Royal Canadian Electrical Mechanical Engineers and Veterans4Freedom, an author, and recipient of the Governor General’s Sovereign’s Medal for Volunteers in helping improve the quality of life for Alberta Veterans suffering from PTSD. Lloyd is also the meeting facilitator for the Cochrane Freedom Alliance, formed in 2019.

Standing Up For Canada



By Right Blend

In early December 2024, Canadian Armed Forces (CAF) veteran Jeff Evely was sentenced for his participation in the Freedom Convoy.

The judge apparently remarked that they were going easy on Jeff because of his exemplary 20 years of service to Canada and his extensive volunteer work in the community.

Jeff was given 1 year of probation, ordered not to protest in Ottawa, and sentenced to 50 hours of community service.

Jeff was convicted of Mischief and Obstruction for attempting to stand sentry at the National War Memorial during the police clearing operation of peaceful Freedom Convoy protesters. The police were violent at times, during the clearing, despite protesters being absolutely non-violent.

Jeff separately pled guilty to a breach, and that sentencing was part of the decision.

Thank you, Jeff Evely, for your honour, service, sacrifice and integrity. Thank you for your many years of service, standing up for Canada abroad and right here at home when it mattered most!

Originally published at: x.com/rightblend

DRUTHERS

Distributed independently & completely free of charge.

January 2025 circulation: 200,000 copies Canada-wide

Original content DRUTHERS 2025®

Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason

Administrator: Christie-Lynn

Editor: Michelle

Layout & Design: Michael Choo-Chong & David Bolton

Extra big thanks to: Kristy, Bob, Jessica, Geraldine, Stacey, Len, Cathy, Lynne & so many more...

Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net

News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on.”

– Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS.

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

Please give what you can: druthers.ca/donate Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante

P.O. Box 40531

Six Points Plaza

Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

Democracy: A Farce Called Freedom

By Gabriel Verveniotis

Democracy is touted as a holy institution requiring one to reject any other form of government as a reasonable alternative. Ironically, the very system of government that our society wants to celebrate is not our current political system, given that our government operates on the basis of parliamentary representation rather than the direct enfranchisement of each citizen to vote directly for the policies they want.

Instead, we as citizens are required to vote for shady charlatans whose political campaigns are financed by major donors, corporations, and the political parties they belong to. That said, if citizens of this country could participate in direct democracy, the entire apparatus would very likely collapse.

This is because direct democracy presents a definite conflict for those politicians who yearn for war, unregulated foreign aid, arms dealing, and mass immigration. Comically enough, “democracy” has been reviled throughout the majority of history and was often used as a pejorative term. Philosophers like Plato, Aristotle, and Thomas Hobbes, as well as the Founding Fathers and Presidents; Thomas Jefferson, John Adams and James Madison, all have rejected direct democracy or ochlocracy (mob rule).

The reason? Direct democracy avails itself to the mob, which can, at the instigation of any swindler or demagogue, be persuaded to mobilize their efforts to commit tyrannical and unjust acts on those who have been identified as a threat to democracy and an enemy of the system.

Take, for example, the case of COVID-19 and the fear that was generated over the unvaccinated. Prime Minister Justin Trudeau considered anyone who defended their bodily autonomy as not only a threat to the democratic majority that “agreed” with “the science” but also a danger to the lives of the vaccinated.

Further, if we examine history, specifically the ancient Greek historian Thucydides, we find that the democratic citizens of Athens during the Peloponnesian War were so enraged that the island of Melos did not wish to participate in the conflict against Sparta that they besieged the neutral island; slaughtered the entire male population, and enslaved the women and children—all because the citizens were persuaded to vote on an issue that was inflamed by manipulating temperamental emotions and public opinion.


Moreover, another example of the “virtues” of mob rule at work can be found in the pages of the philosopher Plato and his dialogue, *The Apology*. In his work, Plato regales the tragic tale of the most enlightened and wise philosopher of ancient Athens: the famous Socrates. Unfortunately, due to the exploitation of public opinion, Socrates was accused of corrupting the youth, which was regarded as a threat to Athenian democracy.

In 399 BC, the majority of Athenian citizens in the assembly voted to execute Socrates, which they promptly succeeded in doing by forcing him to drink poisonous hemlock. Democracy certainly begets freedom, but if the mob is cajoled or deceived, it can just as easily censor and deprive the world of an innocent sage who sought to better humanity.

Democracy cannot effectively function if public opinion, the media, and education are usurped by those who head governmental institutions and control the flow of information. Examples of this can be shown in the recent

COVID-19 scandal wherein the media, government and education system enforced a fraudulent consensus that the majority democratically agreed that the crisis warranted a dystopian response.

The public was easily deceived into believing that 6-foot distancing, double masks, and toxic experimental vaccines were the solutions to an invisible disease with a less than 1% lethality rate. All of this was accomplished by controlling public information.



No amount of democratic freedom could surmount unelected officials like Dr. Anthony Fauci, who convinced the public of the infallibility of “the scientific consensus,” which was imposed upon the masses via monopolization of information, appeals to authority, and censorship. Even if the public was given the chance to vote on their response to the “pandemic,” the fact that powerful elites govern what is true eliminates the possibility that a majority would side against “the science.”

The COVID-19 scare was just one example of a situation in which the democratic public has been steered in the wrong direction by “representative” politicians whose aims are to limit freedom rather than expand it. Furthermore, these politicians dare to claim that they were elected to enact these exact policies of oppression with the consent of the majority, thereby making them blameless and irreproachable because you, the citizens, voted for it.

Therefore, even if our representational government was run like Athenian direct democracy, we would still face the issue of not being able to make informed decisions, given that those who control said information can be, and in the case of COVID-19 were duplicitous. How can we freely vote on problems such as international health scares when we are denied alternative choices or misled by outright lies?

These Are Not the Drones You're Looking For

Continued from p.4

an option on the table. It should be noted, after all, that the moderate, “diversity-friendly” rebels in Syria (aka the child-beheading I-CIA-SIS scum backed by NATO, Turkey, the US and Israel) were using drone swarms to attack Russian forces as far back as 2018.

No, I’m not talking about *those* terrorists. I’m talking about the *real* terrorists in positions of power in Washington and London and Tel Aviv and Brussels, the ones who use their media mockingbirds to amplify their terror campaigns and keep the public afraid. For them, a Slaughterbots scenario could be the spectacular event they need to launch the next phase of their “war of terror” agenda and win the next fight in their 5th generation war on everyone.

So, what do we do about this threat? I think there is not one answer to this question, but many. For my American readers, the 2nd Amendment is a wonderful thing, and the ability to own and wield firearms as an explicit defence against government overreach should not be taken for granted. But, while ghost guns and 3D-printed guns are great ways of ensuring those 2nd Amendment rights against any would-be gun grabbers in political office, the future of drone warfare renders con-

ventional firearms insufficient for the purpose of protecting one’s family from government forces.

What is the answer, then? Employing drone tech of our own to defend against the military drones? Developing drone defence tech for jamming or otherwise hindering government drone technology?

Such moves are doubtless necessary, but a simple escalation of the drone war on a personal level won’t fundamentally solve the problem that this incredibly powerful and destructive technology creates.

I won’t pretend to solve the problem of drone warfare in an article like this, but there’s one thing I do know: if we aren’t thinking about these matters, we’re going to be caught completely off guard when the next war arrives at our doorstep...or hovers a few feet over our heads.

1. youtu.be/3G1KBu6H6BM
2. newsweek.com/drones-langley-air-force-base-us-military-mystery-1968605
3. archive.is/A7Nkl
4. wired.com/story/anduril-is-building-out-the-pentagons-dream-of-deadly-drone-swarms
5. corbetteport.com/5thgen/
6. youtu.be/O-2tpwW0kmU

Originally published at corbetteport.com

Even if a stable democratic government emerged with citizens actively in control of their policies, legislation, information, and administration, the conundrum of cyclical historical patterns of corruption would still exist. History demonstrates conclusively that human nature is constant and that civilizations ebb and flow between granting liberty and crushing it.

The ancient Greek historian Polybius coined the term anacyclosis. This is a pattern and cycle of governmental changes that occur in nearly all recorded civilizations throughout history. He argues that historically speaking, tribes congregate, ossify and elect a monarch to rule. Eventually, the king becomes despotic, which results in a tyrannical government predicated on heinous acts of cruelty and oppression.

This tyranny is eventually overthrown, and an aristocracy steps in, which begins to rule as a noble caste under the pretext of protecting the misfortunate public. These aristocrats manage to stabilize the civilization for a time following the trauma of tyranny that took place before. However, according to the anacyclosis cycle of Polybius, the protective nobles become themselves corrupt, resulting in the political system transitioning into an oligarchy.

The oligarchy (rule by wealthy elitists) replicates and expands the injustices of the former tyrant, engendering a revolution in which the rich oligarchs are overthrown and democracy is finally ushered in after much bloodshed, violence, and terror. Unfortunately, this rule by the many requires the popular opinion of the public to maintain its legitimacy, so democratic leaders appeal to the mob’s basest drives and desires, which are again manipulated by the institutions that control public opinion, entertainment, and social services.

The democratic system devolves into a state of fear-mongering, moral panic, prejudicial influences and the manufacturing of extreme and volatile emotions. Democracy makes its final metamorphosis into an ochlocracy (mob rule), which is precisely the kind of state that killed Socrates and had the Athenians vote to slaughter and enslave their neighbours on the island of Melos.

Finally, a king-like figure emerges to rectify the chaos and enthrones himself as the leader. And so we are back where we started: the king becomes or is replaced by a new tyrant who is eventually overthrown by aristocrats. The whole cycle begins anew, resulting in democracy. This unstable historical pattern can be found in nearly every civilization or city-state that has had democracy, most especially in ancient Greece and Rome.

So was Winston Churchill right when he infamously said, “Democracy is the worst form of government, except for all the others that have been tried.” Or is it more reasonable to maintain a certain level of skepticism towards an unstable system that lends itself to tyranny and corruption and which can champion nearly any injustice on the basis that you voted for it?

Sources include:

- Plato, *The Apology*
- Thucydides, *History of The Peloponnesian War*
- Polybius, *The Histories*

A Metaphor About Life



By Khalil Gibran

They say that before entering the sea, the river trembles with fear. He looks back at the entire journey, the peaks and mountains, the long and winding road that crossed between jungles and towns, and sees in front of him an ocean so large that entering it can only mean disappearing forever. But there is no other way. The river cannot return. Nobody can come back. Going back is impossible in existence. There is no other way; the river cannot return. The river needs to accept its nature and enter the ocean. Only by entering the ocean will the fear dissipate. Because only then will the river know that it is not about disappearing into the ocean, but about becoming an ocean.

Absurdity Observer

Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- **Study suggests DEI (diversity, equity, and inclusion) initiatives may escalate workplace hostility and racial bias**, rather than decrease it. The study primarily focused on diversity training interventions—training that 52% of American workers undergo, according to a 2023 PEW Research study. “Across all groupings, instead of reducing bias, [DEI training] engendered a hostile attribution bias... Amplifying perceptions of prejudicial hostility where none was present,” the study (Jagdeep et al.) by the Network Contagion Research Institute (NCRI) and Rutgers University concluded. Results of the study have not been widely published by mainstream news outlets. An NCRI researcher told National Review, “Unfortunately, both publications [New York Times and Bloomberg News] jumped on the story enthusiastically only for it to be inexplicably pulled at the highest editorial levels. This has never happened to the NCRI in its 5-year history.”

- In highway robbery fashion, **Highway 407 ETR more than quadruples their rates**. The new rate schedule that came into effect on January 1st, increases toll costs for light vehicles “from 3 to 14 cents per kilometre, depending on the time of day and zone travelled,” according to a press release. In 2023, the road generated \$1.5 billion in revenue (with a net income of \$567 million). When it first opened in 1997, the 407 was intended to pay for itself and become a free public highway in ~30 years. However, in 1999, the Ontario Tory government passed legislation allowing its privatization, selling it for \$3.1 billion—the biggest privatization deal ever made in North America at the time. The terms of the sale granted the new owners the right to set whatever toll rates it wanted to until 2098.

- In a satisfying example of biting the hand that feeds you, **the Ontario government has won its case against an Ontario doctor, who improperly (or fraudulently) billed OHIP over \$600,000 for administering the COVID-19 vaccine to patients**. According to evidence the Ministry of Health presented during the proceedings, the doctor used volunteer labour to administer the shots in public parking lots but then billed taxpayers under her own name instead of using a “delegated services” billing code that would have paid her less.

- **A study from the University of South Florida, published in the journal Gut, found that seed oils may promote inflammation, suppress the immune system, and promote cancer**. In an analysis of colorectal cancer patients, researchers discovered that those with higher levels of bioactive lipids—lipids produced when seed oils break down in the body—had more aggressive cancer. The average American consumes almost 100 pounds of seed oils per year, according to some estimates, which is up about 1,000-fold compared to the 1950s! This may help explain why the global incidence of colon cancer in young people is rising, with a 266% increase in incidence rates among adolescents and young adults over the past three decades.

- **The United States Centers for Disease Control (CDC) accidentally admits that the COVID vaccine**

has no benefits for children. A recent “bombshell” CDC-backed study published in the *Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society* (Feldstein et al.), found that COVID-naïve children under four who received Pfizer’s COVID-19 vaccine found a 257% higher likelihood of symptomatic infection among vaccinated children compared to their unvaccinated, COVID-naïve peers.

- **Canada Post reported a \$315 million loss in the**

2023, an increase from 18.4% the year before, according to data from Statistics Canada. Indigenous populations were identified as one of the most affected groups.

- **The outgoing Biden Administration has quietly extended a pandemic-era measure that protects COVID vaccine makers from being sued for injuries or deaths until 2029**. Officials at Health and Human Services say it’s necessary because there is still “a credible risk” that the pandemic will spiral out of control in the next four years, even though COVID deaths and hospital admissions are at historic lows. The measure, covered by the *Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness (PREP) Act*, was initially authorized in 2020, in part to encourage vaccine manufacturers to speed up their research of COVID vaccines without fear of being held legally responsible for things like side effects and to protect healthcare workers and hospitals who provide the shots from being sued.

- **A Vermont Court has ruled that schools can vaccinate children against their parents’ wishes without consequences**. The court ruled that, in the case of a 6-year-old boy who was vaccinated with the Covid-19 shot against the family’s wishes, the *Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness (PREP) Act*, that grants immunity to vaccine manufacturers, healthcare workers, schools, and government personnel when a vaccination is mandated—prohibits the family from taking legal action against the school.

- **The Liberal government of Canada has announced a ban on 324 types of firearms and has proposed donating them to Ukraine**. Canada’s crack-down on weapons builds on a sweeping May 2020 prohibition of 1,500 firearm makes and models, a number that has since ballooned to over 2,000 as additional variants have been identified.

- **The Canadian Taxpayer Federation (CTF) testified to Parliament that it is in citizens’ best interests to defund the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation (CBC)**. CTF Alberta director Kris Sims revealed that CBC is receiving \$1.4 billion from taxpayers annually and argued that propping up the media outlet is not only a waste of money but also creates a conflict of interest for journalists since, “You cannot hold the government to account when you’re counting on the government for your paycheck.”

- **A Northern Ontario mayor’s bank account has been garnished by a pride group over an unpaid fine**. *Borderland Pride*, an LGBT group serving the Rainy River District in Northwestern Ontario, has garnished the bank account of a Northwestern Ontario mayor following his refusal to pay a \$5,000 fine imposed for voting against a motion to recognize Pride month in his community. The Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario had deemed his 2020 decision as “discriminatory,” and fined the town \$10,000 and Mayor Harold McQuaker \$5,000. The town was also cited for failing to raise a Pride flag, even though the town doesn’t have an official flag pole.



Graphic by Ben Garrison at GrrrGraphics.com

third quarter of 2024, adding to more than \$3 billion in losses since 2018 as declining letter volumes and growing competition in the parcel market take their toll. While announcing a “time-out” for striking Canada Post workers last month, Labour Minister Steven MacKinnon declared that, despite the growing losses, and despite the government’s own reliance on the service, parliament has determined that Canada Post should be “self-sustaining,” suggesting no government funding will be forthcoming. This was a surprising conclusion, given that a *Fraser Institute* study found that \$52 billion of taxpayer money was given to other Canadian corporations in 2022 alone. The postal worker strike last month illustrated just how essential a service Canada Post is for remote communities, where Canada Post is the sole provider. Additionally, Canada Post’s monopoly on lettermail, enforced by the *Canada Post Corporation Act*, means no other postal services can deliver “lettermail,” a service that publishers, such as *Druthers*, and other small businesses that mail envelopes, rely on.

- **Scurvy—a disease often thought to only affect 18th-century sailors—is reemerging in Canada**. Last month, doctors identified 27 cases of scurvy, caused by prolonged and severe vitamin C deficiency, in northern Saskatchewan. Experts say the confirmed diagnoses highlight a broader issue with poverty and food insecurity in rural and remote communities across the country. Food insecurity across Canada rose to 23% in

SUPPORT INDEPENDENT MEDIA ~ NEVER MISS ANOTHER ISSUE ~ SUBSCRIBE TO DRUTHERS TODAY

Druthers is Canada’s Biggest, Independent Newspaper. Now printing **250,000 copies each month** on average.

The papers are FREE. We just ask for a shipping & handling fee to get them in your mailbox each month. Be sure to get some extra copies to give to friends.

\$9.95 = 3 copies, billed monthly or \$119.40/year
\$14.95 = 12 copies, billed monthly or \$179.40/year
\$39.95 = 100 copies, billed monthly or \$479.40/year

SIGN UP HERE > www.druthers.ca/subscribe
Or clip out the form, fill it out and send it along with cash or cheque to place your order.

Druthers receives no government funding and has no corporate sponsors. We can continue each month only because 1000’s of concerned Canadians like you understand the value & importance of keeping independent media going strong. Please subscribe. Donations are also welcomed. See www.druthers.ca/donate

✂

YES! Please mail copies of Druthers to my mailbox each month.

NO papers needed. Please just accept this as a donation to print more papers.

Your Full Name: _____

Street Address: _____

City & Province: _____

Postal Code: _____

Email Address: _____

*Make cheques payable to Shawn Jason Laplante. Cash is welcomed too. Many safely mail us cash. Thank you for your continued love & support. Over 12 MILLION papers since we began in 2020.

Mail to:
Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531, Six Points Plaza
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

✂