

Why Did USrael Attack Iran?

By James Corbett | Substack.com/@CorbettReport

The Spanish-American War had “Remember the Maine!”

WWI had babies on bayonets.

WWII had Pearl Harbor.

Vietnam had the Gulf of Tonkin.

Gulf War I had babies thrown from incubators.

Gulf War II had weapons of mass destruction.

Libya had a “spontaneous people’s uprising” against Gaddafi’s Viagra-fueled rape squads.

Syria had madman Assad gassing his own people.

Every single one of these *casus belli* was a deception, of course—a **false flag or a provoked incident or a completely fictitious non-event** designed to whip up the masses’ lust for blood and desire for revenge. But note that in each and every one of these cases, the puppeteers of the American Empire had to at least **try to deceive the public** in order to win their support for war in some faraway land.

So, **what justification have we been given for the Iran war?** Why did the US and Israel pull the trigger on this war *now*?

As usual, there are three answers: the **slop given to the masses**; the **slop given to the middlebrow** who believe themselves to be better than the masses; and **the truth**.

Let’s **dig through the lies and find the kernel of truth** at the bottom of the smoking crater hole left by the Iran war.

Why Did America Attack?

We’re expected to believe that **Donald Trump became so fearful of the “imminent threat”** of an attack by Iran (whose nuclear program he **COMPLETELY OBLITERATED** last year) that he had to suddenly **start bombing them in the midst of peace negotiations**—negotiations that even insiders admit Iran was approaching in good faith?

And **he is WINNING this war** so thoroughly that he has to **beg his NATO allies for help** in securing the Strait of Hormuz and then **lambaste those self-same allies** as unnecessary when they refuse?

And the same **room temperature IQ statist**s who insisted Trump would be the “Peace President” and who bleated that he’d be “better than Kamala” are

now telling us that this is all part of some “**16D backgammon manoeuvre**” to undermine the deep state or reverse judo flip Netanyahu or set the Iranian people free or whatever else the QAnonsense people and

Kent resigned his post as Director of the National Counterterrorism Center this past week, stating that Iran posed “**no imminent threat**” to the US.

So, **why did the US go to war?**

Powell didn’t mince words about what he saw during the negotiations in Geneva: “*We regarded [US negotiators] Witkoff and Kushner as Israeli assets that dragged a president into a war he wants to get out of.*”

Nor did Kent mince words about his own read on the situation: “*The Israelis drove the decision to take this action, which we knew would set off a series of events because the Iranians would retaliate.*”

And if all *that* isn’t enough for you, how about this: as **Stephen McIntyre** points out, the White House’s March 2 press release on “The Iranian Regime’s Decades of Terrorism Against American Citizens” was **plagiarized**

nearly word-for-word from a June 2025 document produced by a former American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) employee working for the **Foundation for Defense of Democracies, a Zionist-funded, pro-war, anti-Iran lobby group** founded to “enhance Israel’s image in North America.”

But we don’t have to take it from those well-known, high-ranking conspiracy wackadoodles. Why don’t we take it from arch-neocon (and Larry Ellison-vetted) deep state stooge **Marco Rubio**? He caused a brouhaha earlier this month when **he said the quiet part out loud**:

“It was abundantly clear that if Iran came under attack by anyone—the United States or Israel or anyone—they [the Iranians] were going to respond, and respond against the United States. We knew that there was going to be an Israeli action. We knew that that would precipitate an attack against American forces, and we knew that if we didn’t preemptively go after them before they launched those attacks, we would suffer higher casualties.”

In other words: **Israel was going to attack Iran**, which would cause Iran to retaliate against US targets in the region. Thus, the US *had* to preemptively strike Iran before the Iranians could retaliate against the attacks that hadn’t occurred yet.

Sounds like perfect **Alice-in-Wonderland logic** to me!

See ‘**Cartoon-Level Propaganda**’ p.11



Washington, D.C., USA - September 30 2025: U.S. President Donald Trump and Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu shake hands following a joint press conference. Saku_rata160520 / Shutterstock.com

Trump voters are consoling themselves with these days?

But wait. If all of this were *really* about **annihilating the Big Bad Ayatollah Bogeymen** and securing a free and democratic Iran, then why is it that the Iranian government is now set to **financially benefit from these attacks**?

And **why was it the Western insurance companies—not the Iranians—who closed the Strait of Hormuz?**

And **how is the Strait actually closed anyway?** Hmmmm. If I didn’t know better, I’d say **we’re being lied to about this “war.”** What do you think?

Yes, it doesn’t take a genius to figure out that this war is definitely **not about Iran’s nuclear program** or about **thwarting any perceived Iranian threat**.

In fact, we don’t even have to go out on a limb to come to that conclusion. We can take it from **UK National Security Advisor Jonathan Powell**, who was at the US-Iran talks in Geneva in February and who reports he was “surprised by what the Iranians put on the table” and by **how close they were to a peace deal**.

Then there’s **US Director of National Intelligence Tulsi Gabbard**, who testified at a Senate Intelligence Committee hearing that “*Iran’s nuclear enrichment program was obliterated*” as a result of last year’s strike and that they have made “*no efforts to try to rebuild their enrichment capability.*”

And, lest there be any doubt about the matter, **Joe**

Advertisement



Svetlana Rilkoiff, Founder
Former ER Nurse

JAB injury? Fighting CANCER?

✓ **FREE** Wellness Consultation
Personalized Protocols
REAL ANSWERS



Call or text: (250) 444.3972 • ezrahealing.com



When Thought Becomes Offence

The Growing Tension Between Freedom of Conscience and State-Enforced Belief

By Kellie Gibson

Most Canadians still believe we live in a country where people can **disagree respectfully** about sensitive issues. But a recent **British Columbia Human Rights Tribunal** decision shows that **disagreement itself** may soon be illegal.

In the case of former school trustee **Barry Neufeld**, the Tribunal adopted a passage from the **Supreme Court of Canada's** 2023 decision that should **alarm every Canadian**—regardless of where they stand on gender issues.

Here is the key sentence:

"If a person elects not to *believe* that gender identity is separate from sex assigned at birth, then they do not *believe* in trans people..." This is a form of **existential denial**."

This is not about **conduct, harassment, bullying, or unequal treatment**. It is about what a citizen **believes internally**.


For the first time in Canadian history, the state has used the concept of "**belief**" as a legal test for discrimination. According to the Tribunal, to "accept" a trans person's identity, you must accept the truth of a **metaphysical claim**—that gender overrides biological sex.

Failing to believe this is now considered "**existential harm**." This is a **dangerous shift**.

From Respect to Compulsion

Historically, human-rights law focused on **how people treat one another**. You could hold your own beliefs—**scientific, religious, philosophical**—as long as you treated others with dignity and didn't discriminate in practical ways.

But the Neufeld ruling **moves the goalposts**. It suggests that disagreeing with gender-identity ideology, holding a **scientific or biological view of sex**, or simply refusing to adopt the **state-approved worldview** can be treated as discrimination or hate—**not because**



of your actions, but because of your thoughts.
That crosses a **bright line**.

When the State Regulates Belief

Throughout history, regimes that regulate **internal belief**—not just behaviour—follow a predictable path:

- Disagreement becomes harm
- Dissent becomes bigotry
- Belief becomes mandatory
- Surveillance becomes "protection"
- Punishment becomes "safety"

We are already seeing this pattern. The same week the Tribunal released its decision, BC's Premier suggested that **AI may have influenced** a tragic shooting in **Tumbler Ridge**—and that the government must now investigate "**AI conversations**."

Once again, the justification is "**safety**." But as Canadians know too well, **every new intrusion begins with that word**.

This Isn't About Left or Right

You can support **trans people**, oppose discrimination, and still reject the idea that the government should **enforce belief**. A free society does not punish people for holding **scientific, religious, or philosophical views** that differ from state ideology.

Yet that is where we are drifting—**quietly, quickly, and without public debate**.

A Line Has Been Crossed

Compelled belief is incompatible with a **free democracy**. Disagreement about gender identity is **not hatred**. It is disagreement—and **disagreement is the foundation of democratic life**.

If Canadians do not speak now, we may soon find that **belief itself** has become regulated, **speech is monitored**, and **dissent** is a punishable offence.

The Tribunal's words are **clear**. The implications are **enormous**. And the time to **push back** is now.

We are on a **very dangerous, slippery slope** if the courts uphold the Tribunal's ruling.

Kellie Gibson is a former RCMP communications specialist and HR consultant.

- In a major free speech victory, **the US government has agreed to stop leaning on Big Tech to police lawful speech**. A settlement backed by a United States Supreme Court case bars key agencies—including the CDC and CISA—from threatening social media companies with penalties to compel them to remove content. After years of allegations that the Biden administration pressured platforms to control narratives around COVID-19 and elections, the agreement draws a clear line: the government can't coerce censorship behind the scenes.

- Facing 8,000 lawsuits, **Syngenta will stop producing paraquat—a herbicide linked to Parkinson's disease**. The company says it will end manufacturing by June 2026, citing market competition, as thousands of lawsuits and decades of research continue to tie the chemical to the neurological condition.

- **Air Canada must compensate pilots fired for refusing to take the COVID job**. An arbitrator found that seven Air Canada pilots were wrongfully terminated for refusing the COVID-19 vaccine on religious grounds, ruling their rights were violated and awarding them compensation.

- **Reuters warns of a "downward financial spiral" as vaccine uptake drops**. With RSV shots down 40%, influenza 33%, shingles 19%, and COVID 17% in 2025, declining demand signals a shift towards personal health and freedom. Pharmaceutical giant Merck is already cutting jobs as global demand for the HPV vaccine declines, according to a Bloomberg report.

- In a sign that all things woke are diminishing in at least some aspects of Canadian academia, **the University of Alberta reversed its so-called diversity, equity, and inclusivity (DEI) policies in its hiring department**. University officials admit that the DEI policy only fueled more division.

- A coalition of civil liberties and medical freedom groups has launched the **COVID Justice Resolution, urging the US Senate to confront COVID-era failures and prevent them from happening again**. The proposal rejects emergency overreach, reinforces constitutional protections, and sets limits on future government powers—while safeguarding

FREEDOM WINS!

bodily autonomy, free speech, and religious liberty.

- **University College London has agreed to pay a precedent-setting £21 million to settle a lawsuit brought by 6,500 former students who claimed their education was gutted by COVID closures**—cancelled lectures, substandard Zoom teaching, locked libraries and labs. And this is just the beginning. Lawyers are now pursuing 36 more UK universities on behalf of 194,000 claimants.

- **Charges have been dropped against Jeremy McDonald, the Ontario father who faced serious criminal charges after defending his home from an armed intruder** while his family slept. While the Kawartha Lakes Police Service laid several charges on the crossbow-wielding intruder, they had also charged McDonald for aggravated assault and assault with a weapon.

- **Toronto Public Health backs down: 30,000 students will not be suspended over vaccine records**. Toronto Public Health quietly backed away from enforcing school suspensions tied to vaccine records after the scale of the policy became impossible to ignore, saying the move would allow families more time to update records.

- **Alberta is pushing back against the expansion of assisted suicide by introducing legislation that would protect minors and those with mental illness** as their sole condition. By drawing a clear line that vulnerable people deserve care, treatment, and support—not an expedited path to death—the province is taking a stand to ensure that end-of-life measures are not used as a substitute for real healthcare.

- **Argentina formally completed its withdrawal from the World Health Organization**, making it the second major nation this year to sever ties with the institution that spent the last five years pretending to be the arbiter of what goes into your body and your government's policy decisions. The US walked out that same door earlier in January.

- US representative Chip Roy has introduced

the **LIABLE Act**, a bill aimed at restoring legal accountability by stripping COVID-19 vaccine manufacturers of their federal immunity and allowing injured Americans to pursue civil lawsuits. By reopening the door to the courts—even retroactively—the legislation challenges the idea that powerful pharmaceutical companies should be shielded from consequences.

- **More than 16,000 Australian businesses just received a \$125 million settlement from the government over lockdown-era losses**—demonstrating that even the most sweeping emergency powers are not beyond legal challenge.

- Windsor trucker Csaba Vizi, who was brutally injured by an arresting officer during the 2022 Freedom Convoy and later found not guilty on all charges, has **now launched a lawsuit against the RCMP, the OPP, the Ottawa Police Service, and more**.

- A small Alberta town is drawing a clear line: public spaces belong to everyone—not to any one ideology. **Didsbury, Alberta, has passed a bylaw enforcing political neutrality on municipal property, removing symbolic displays—including Pride flags and crosswalks—from public infrastructure**.

- The International Olympic Committee has announced that, **beginning with the 2028 Summer Olympics in Los Angeles, eligibility for women's events will be limited to biological females**, citing scientific evidence around performance differences.

- One of the largest petitions in Canadian history has now been presented to Parliament. **The petition to enact the Charter of Health Freedom—now the third largest paper petition ever presented in Canada—aims to roll back recent regulatory changes that placed natural health products under stricter pharmaceutical-style oversight**.

- **Fifty-three US medical schools will now require comprehensive nutrition training**, ensuring students complete at least 40 hours of instruction before graduating. The initiative aims to equip tens of thousands of new physicians each year with tools to prevent and manage chronic illness through diet and lifestyle—not just pharmaceuticals.

How Parliament Made It Legal for the Media to Lie to You

Bill C-39 Quietly Erased the Law That Once Made False Reporting a Crime in Canada



By Stan McDonald

Most Canadians have no idea there was once a law making it a criminal offence for the media to knowingly lie to them. Fewer still know that law was quietly removed from the Criminal Code of Canada—and that Parliament made it official in 2019. This is the story of Section 181, the “Spreading False News” provision, and the bill that buried it.

The Law You Were Never Told About

For over a century, Section 181 of Canada’s Criminal Code read:

“Every one who wilfully publishes a statement, tale or news that he knows is false and that causes or is likely to cause injury or mischief to a public interest is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years.”¹

Knowingly publishing false information that harmed the public interest was an indictable offence punishable by up to two years in prison. This was not a dusty technicality. It sat in the Criminal Code (originally as Section 177), rooted in centuries of common law meant to protect the public from deliberate deception by powerful institutions. **Under this law, a journalist, broadcaster, or media outlet that knowingly published false news that harmed the public may have committed a crime.**

The Zundel Case—and What Most Canadians Miss

The fall of Section 181 began not with a reporter, but with one of Canada’s most reviled figures: Ernst Zundel, a neo-Nazi propagandist. Zundel published *Did Six Million Really Die?*, a Holocaust-denial pamphlet that allegedly misrepresented historians, fabricated “evidence,” and cited non-existent authorities.

In 1985, Zundel was charged under Section 181 for willfully spreading false news. He was convicted. He appealed.

In 1992, the Supreme Court of Canada, in a narrow 4-3 decision in *R. v. Zundel*, struck down Section 181 as unconstitutional.² Justice Beverley McLachlin (later Chief Justice) wrote the majority opinion, holding that Section 181 violated Section 2(b) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms—freedom of expression—and that the violation could not be justified.

Three justices dissented. They argued that the objective of Section 181—preventing the willful publication of injurious lies—was pressing and substantial, that it promoted social and racial harmony, and that the burden on free expression was minimal because the Crown had to prove both knowledge of falsity and likely harm to the public interest. The dissent had a point, but the majority carried the day. **Section 181 was declared inoperative.**

Here’s What Most Canadians Miss: Despite the ruling, Section 181 remained printed in the Criminal Code for another 27 years.

Bill C-39: Cleanup—or Quiet Burial?

In March 2017, the Liberal government introduced Bill C-39 in the 42nd Parliament.³ Its stated purpose was to “tidy up” the Criminal Code by repealing provisions already declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court.

Among the provisions targeted:

- Loitering (paragraph 179(1)(b)), struck down in *R. v. Heywood*, 1994
- Spreading false news (Section 181), struck down in *R. v. Zundel*, 1992

- Unlawful object murder (paragraph 229(c)), partially struck down in *R. v. Martineau*, 1990

The Department of Justice framed the bill as a “rule of law” exercise—**simply making the written law match reality.** On June 21, 2019, Bill C-39 received Royal Assent and became law.³ **Section 181 was formally erased from the Criminal Code of Canada.**

The “Spreading False News” Provision Was Gone.

What This Means—and the Questions Worth Asking

The official position is that Section 181 had been effectively dead since 1992, so repealing it was just administrative housekeeping. But is that the whole story?

The law remained on the books for 27 years after Zundel. Only in 2017—amid rising public distrust in mainstream media, the growth of citizen journalism, and the spread of internet access that made media accountability a mainstream concern—did Parliament finally move to remove it.

The three dissenting justices in *R. v. Zundel* believed Section 181 could have been saved with better drafting. **A narrower, precisely worded provision targeting knowing, harmful, malicious disinformation by powerful media institutions might well have survived a Charter challenge.**

Parliament never attempted to re-enact such a law.

If a revised Section 181 were in force now, **any media outlet or broadcaster that knowingly ran a false story harming the public interest could, in theory, face criminal charges.** Even if rarely used, the deterrent effect would be significant.

Instead, the trajectory moved in the opposite direction. The same government that formally repealed Section 181 in 2019 has since provided hundreds of millions of dollars in subsidies to media outlets through programs such as the Local Journalism Initiative and the Canada Periodical Fund. Media that once faced possible criminal penalties for knowingly harming the public interest now receive taxpayer money—with no equivalent criminal accountability provision in sight.

The repeal of Section 181 through Bill C-39 received virtually no public debate, no meaningful media coverage, and no public outcry. **That silence raises questions.** The media that might have informed Canadians about the loss of a law penalizing deliberate falsehoods were the same institutions whose legal exposure disappeared with its repeal.

There is a certain irony in that.

Section 181 descended from English common law going back centuries. Its core purpose was simple: **powerful people and institutions should not be free to use the press to deceive the public for their own benefit.** When they did, there was to be a criminal consequence.

Today, there is none.

You can ask your Member of Parliament why Parliament never tried to replace Section 181 with a narrower, Charter-proof version. You can ask your local newspaper why it never reported on the quiet erasure of a law that once criminalized deliberate, harmful lies. **And you can decide for yourself what that means.**

What You Can Do

You are not powerless in the face of this change. Here are practical steps you can take:

Share this article.

The story of Section 181 and Bill C-39 deserves to be known in every Canadian household.

Write to your MP.

Demand serious accountability legislation that holds major media and other powerful institutions to a criminal standard of truth when they knowingly spread harmful lies—drafted carefully enough to pass Charter scrutiny.

Support independent media.


Back outlets that operate without government subsidies and without the conflicts of interest that flow from them.

Do your own research.

Look up the original law. Read the court ruling. Review the bill. Don’t take anyone’s word for it—including this article. The primary sources are out there, and they’re worth your time.

1. laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-46/section-181-20030101.html
2. scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/904/index.do
3. parl.ca/DocumentViewer/en/42-1/bill/C-39/royal-assent

Stan McDonald is a Canadian entrepreneur and metalworker committed to community advocacy, legal reform, and honest public discourse. He writes on property rights, sovereignty, government accountability, and small business solutions.



Who Are “They”?

By The Dissident

They are not the Jews, “they” are not the Muslims, “they” are not the Christians, “they” are not the Iranians, “they” are not the migrants, “they” are not the boomers, “they” are not white, black or brown, because this was never about race, it was never about religion, **it was never about nationality—it is about power.**

“They” are multi-generational actors, often displaying a **psychopathic disregard for humanity itself.** “They” may wear different masks, speak different languages, or claim different ideologies, but the pattern is the same. **They control the banks and the money supply, manipulate economies, manufacture crises, and use social engineering as a weapon against ordinary people.** Silent weapons for quiet wars.

“They” have been waging World War 3 on humanity for several decades—not with bombs alone, but through **division.** “They” pit brother against brother, father against son, husband against wife, left against right, black against white, Christian against Muslim, young against old—**divide and rule.** As long as we fight each other, we never look up and ask the obvious question: **who benefits? Who rules over us? Why are our lives so painful and unnecessarily difficult?**

“They” use compromise, coercion, and in some documented cases, **exploitation and abuse,** to capture and control their lieutenants—politicians, celebrities, and CEOs. Scandals have surfaced over the years that hint at how influence can be maintained through **leverage, secrecy, and moral compromise.** A **captured class** that implements their agenda while pretending to represent the people. The public sees the actors on the stage, but the directors remain hidden behind the curtain.

“They” operate in the shadows, protected by money, secrecy, and influence, and because of that “they” will most likely never be named—**cowards who rule from the darkness.**

But the moment ordinary people realize that they have been deliberately divided, that they are being poisoned and lied to, and that their sovereignty has been quietly stolen from them, **the spell begins to break.** When enough people refuse to abdicate their personal sovereignty to the pathocracy—the system that depends on obedience, deception, and division—**it begins to crumble.**

Because their greatest weapon was never money, it was never technology, it was never even power—it was our **ignorance** of what was being done to us. And the moment that ignorance disappears, **the quiet war ends.**

The Hidden Cost of “Tap to Pay”

Why Using Cash Can Be One of the Most Powerful Ways to Support Local Business

By Joe Mandigo

In Canada today, paying has become almost effortless. Tap a card. Tap a phone. The transaction takes two seconds, and you walk away without thinking twice about it. For consumers, it feels convenient and harmless. But behind that simple tap is a system that quietly siphons billions of dollars away from small businesses every year.

Most Canadians say they want to support local businesses. They like the idea of keeping money circulating in their communities instead of flowing into large corporate systems. Yet the way we pay often contradicts that goal.

Every time a credit card is used, a percentage of the sale leaves the business and goes into the banking and payment processing systems.

That percentage might seem small. Usually, it's around 2% to 3% per transaction. But when you multiply that across an entire economy, the numbers become enormous.

The Billions Behind a Simple Tap

Credit card spending in Canada is estimated at roughly \$600 billion per year. Every time a card is used, merchants pay a fee to process the transaction. Across the system, the average merchant cost is roughly about 2–2.3% of the sale.

When those percentages are applied to the national total, the result is staggering.

Canadian businesses collectively pay approximately \$12 to \$15 billion per year in credit card processing fees.

That's money that never stays with the business that actually provided the goods or services. Instead, it flows into the payment ecosystem—banks, credit card networks, and payment processors.

To visualize that scale, consider the hourly impact.

Roughly \$1.5 to \$1.8 million per hour, every hour of every day, is flowing out of Canadian businesses through credit card fees.

And that number continues growing as cash becomes less common and contactless payments become the norm.

Where the Money Actually Goes

Many people assume credit card companies collect most of the fees themselves, but the reality is different.

The largest share of each transaction is called interchange, and that money typically goes to the issuing bank—the bank that issued the card to the consumer.

A rough breakdown of the system looks like this:

Banks (interchange fees): roughly \$10–11 billion
Payment processors and acquirers: about \$2–3 billion

Card networks (Visa and Mastercard): around \$500 million

In other words, **the majority of the money goes to the banking system itself.**

What This Means for Everyday Canadians

These fees don't just affect business owners. They affect everyone.

Most businesses cannot simply absorb a 2–3% cost



on every sale. Instead, those costs are usually built into prices. That means the cost of credit card processing is quietly embedded in the prices of groceries, clothing, services, and everyday purchases.

When economists spread the total fees across the population, the result is roughly \$800 to \$1,000 per Canadian household per year.

That's not a bill anyone receives directly. It's simply baked into prices.

Even people who pay with cash or debit cards often end up indirectly paying the costs associated with credit card use.

The Rewards Illusion

Many credit card users feel they are “winning the system” because they earn rewards points or cash back. But those reward programs are funded by the same fees businesses pay.

In other words, **the rewards are not free.** They are financed through merchant fees that are ultimately embedded in the prices everyone pays.

This creates an interesting dynamic. The payment

system encourages consumers to use credit cards by offering rewards, while businesses are forced to accept those cards to remain competitive. **The cost of that system is then distributed across the entire economy.**

The Shift Away from Cash

Canada has become one of the most digital payment economies in the world. Roughly 86% of transactions are now electronic, and contactless “tap” payments dominate in-person purchases.

Cash, once the backbone of everyday commerce, now represents a small minority of transactions.

From a convenience perspective, the change is understandable. But from a local economic perspective, it has consequences.

When a person pays with cash, the business keeps the full value of the sale.

But when a person pays with credit, the business gives up a percentage of the sale.

For a small independent shop operating on thin margins, those percentages matter.

The Local Business Reality

Many small businesses operate with profit margins between 3% and 10%. If a store loses 2–3% of every credit card transaction to fees, that can represent a significant portion of its profit.

Imagine a small café selling a \$5 coffee. If the merchant fee is roughly 2.5%, about 12 to 13 cents of that purchase disappears immediately into the payment system.

Multiply that across thousands of transactions, and it becomes a meaningful drain on revenue.

For independent businesses competing against large corporate chains, **every percentage point matters.**

Supporting Local Means Thinking About Payment
 Many people proudly say they support local businesses. They seek out independent restaurants, family-owned shops, and local service providers.

But true support goes beyond simply choosing where to shop. It also involves how we pay.

If a customer wants to keep as much money as possible inside the business they're supporting, the most effective payment methods are simple: **Cash first. Debit second. Credit last.**

Cash allows the business to keep the full amount of the transaction. Debit keeps the cost minimal. Credit introduces a fee that reduces what the business ultimately receives.

Conscious Spending

There's another benefit to using cash or debit: **it tends to encourage more conscious spending.**

Studies repeatedly show that people spend more when using credit cards than when using cash. The psychological separation between the purchase and the payment makes it easier to spend without thinking about the real impact.

Cash, by contrast, creates a direct awareness of spending. When money physically leaves your wallet, the purchase becomes more tangible.

For many people, this naturally encourages **better budgeting and more intentional spending habits.**

A Small Change With a Big Impact

No single person will transform the payment system overnight. But collective habits matter.

If more consumers choose cash or debit—especially when shopping at small local businesses—**billions of dollars could remain within local economies rather than flowing into large financial institutions.**

Supporting local businesses isn't only about where we shop. It's also about ensuring that the maximum portion of our spending actually reaches those businesses.

The next time you're about to tap a credit card, it might be worth pausing for a moment.

If there's cash in your wallet, consider using it.

If not, debit is usually the next best option.

Because sometimes the simplest actions—like choosing how we pay—can quietly shape where our money ultimately ends up.

And if the goal is to strengthen local businesses and communities, **keeping more of that money in their hands is one of the most direct ways to do it.**

Druthers Community Classifieds

Unlock your brain's full potential with our premium brain food formula. Experience sharper focus, enhanced memory, and peak cognitive performance. Don't let mental fog hold you back—fuel your mind with the nutrition it deserves. Transform your thinking today! Offering True Hope products, with support, with The True Health Care Team shop.truehealthcanada.ca/true-hope-products/ Vegan friendly, Canadian made
 1 - 2 3 6 - 3 0 1 - 6 4 4 4

FIND OUT what officials won't tell you about our history in the Bible & its predictions for our national security now. Read *The Death & Resurrection of the West Foretold in Prophecy*, by independent, Canadian investigator Ralph T. Kenney. Firstpages & blog free at ralph757.com.

Sovereignty Revealed is 43 years of research that exposes how the living are quietly replaced by legal fictions. Unseen contracts and words on paper govern through silence and assumption. What if everything you thought you knew about the legal system, financial institutions, your freedom, your rights, and who you think you are was all a carefully crafted indoctrination and lie. For those who are ready, what you do next will define more than your knowledge; it will define your status and standing. email garrydevelin@prescribe163.com to schedule a Zoom meeting

ReMag[®] liquid Pico Ionic Magnesium 100% Absorbable, zero binders, fillers, or synthetics. No Laxative Effect, does not disrupt digestion. Optimizes Energy: Supports ATP creation for sustained endurance and strength. Aids Hydration & Recovery: prevents cramping, fatigue, and muscle breakdown. QUALIFIEDGUIDANCEINCL shop.truehealthcanada.ca
 1 - 2 3 6 - 3 0 1 - 6 4 4 4

NEW BOOK. Do-it-Yourself HOME CHRISTIANITY: How to Please God and Receive His Help, Without Preachers, Churches, or Money, by independent, Canadian investigator Ralph T. Kenney. Be ready for whatever comes. First chapter & blog free at ralph757.com. Click white tab on upper right of website.

Their greatest secrets are the ones that belittle humanity, keeping you underfoot. In *Waking As Water: The Birth Of Consciousness*, Boris René Buhôt unveils our true genesis: a spiritual Rosetta Stone bridging evolution and creation—an epic incarnation as living water falling to Earth as rain. Obvious and in plain sight. The primordial soup was already alive! Water—the original wet-suit that birthed consciousness, life, you, everything. One drop frees humanity. Reclaim your power. Get *Waking.*
wakingaswater.com

MAN seeking accountability and common sense @ www.Peace-Man.ca

Have your classified printed in 150,000 or more copies and distributed all over Canada. druthers.ca/ads

My Son Should Still Be Alive

Mother Calls for Urgent Overhaul After Son's Death in Government Care

By Chassie Falardeau

In a heartbreaking account that is now fueling renewed calls for **systemic reform**, I am speaking out about the **preventable death of my 15-year-old son, Noah Gitsel**, who died while in a group home provided by Family Services of Barrhead, Alberta. Noah died by suicide in Edmonton while on **suicide watch**.

My story—now detailed in a book that reads like a warning to the entire child welfare system—reveals what I believe to be a **pattern of negligence, miscommunication, lack of oversight, and dangerous gaps in mental health support**.

Noah was a **gifted and sensitive teenager with complex mental health needs**. He spent **two years on a waitlist** for a psychiatric bed at the Royal Alexandra Hospital, and while waiting, his mental health deteriorated dramatically, marked by **suicidal ideation, self-harm, aggression**, and an **online predator who groomed him for over a year**.

Despite emergency room visits, repeated warnings, and documented **high-risk behaviour**, Noah was placed in **Spirit House**, a group home in Edmonton. The safeguards a youth in crisis required were not provided.

Inside the home, Noah was:

- **given unrestricted internet access**, despite a police report
- warning that a child predator was pursuing him
- **never enrolled in school or any educational programming**
- **left unsupervised for extended periods**
- **allowed to purchase harmful items online**, and none of his
- Amazon packages were searched
- **denied proper therapeutic oversight** of his mental health
- **living in a home where I was told there was a second fridge full of food**. That fridge did not exist, and there was



The book "My Son Matters" is available on Amazon and Kindle.

- often not enough
- food in the group home
- **taken to the emergency room five times in twelve days for**
- **suicidal ideation**, without me ever being informed
- **able to abruptly stop taking his psychiatric medications**

without anyone noticing

Most critically, **Noah repeatedly told staff he intended to kill himself and described a detailed plan, yet he was still left alone**.

On May 25, 2024, Noah was found dead in the group home. **Official reports contain inconsistencies** in timelines, dates of death, and the circumstances surrounding the discovery of his body, with records conflicting about whether he was found hanging or on his bed. **A neighbour who allegedly notified staff has never been identified**.

After Noah's death, the group home was **immediately closed to me**. Staff were allegedly warned not to speak with me, and I have **never received a clear explanation** about what happened the night my son died—silence that, to me, **amounts to a cover-up**.

This was preventable. **Every warning sign was there. My son begged for help. He should never have been left alone**.

My Son Matters (available on Amazon) is my book about Noah's death and **how Family Services failed our family**. It also outlines proposals for **immediate systemic reform**, including:

- **a 24-hour live-in therapist in every group home**
- **mandatory mental-health expertise on site**
- **school enrollment for every child**

Sixty children in care have died this past year in Alberta alone.¹

Collapsible bars do not save lives; **feeling heard and understood does**.

Family Services **must do better**, because somewhere along the way **compassion and empathy were lost**. Noah was not just a file number but **a human life—a son, a brother, and a friend**.

Noah mattered.

Information is power, and **I will not stop until changes are implemented—because my son matters**.

1. ocya.alberta.ca/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/InvRev_Calling-for-Change_2025Nov27.pdf

Canadians Look On as America Slashes Climate Regulations

By Gerald Heinrichs

According to the US government, **climate change from man-made greenhouse gases is fake news**. Lee Zeldin, director of the Environmental Protection Agency, brands it as nothing but "climate change religion," while President Trump calls it a hoax and "perhaps the biggest scam in history." **The climate-change debate is dead and over—at least under one roof**.

On this track, the US government is now **shredding a tall stack of greenhouse-gas regulations**. It's being called the **"single largest regulatory action in US history"**.

The army of climate activists in Canada and elsewhere is left reeling by these big changes. The Sierra Club's Joanne Spalding describes it as "reckless," while Al Gore slams it as a **"direct assault on science, knowledge, and public health"**.

On the other hand, Canadian families and businesses might see things differently. Some Canadians might be curious about the benefits of the US program called **"Unleashing American Energy"**, which claims it will **"bring prices down"**. It is a program that, supporters claim, puts the **"economic struggles of working families where they belong, at the absolute center of American policy"**.

Firstly, the US is **eliminating CO2 restrictions on motor vehicles**. Director Zeldin states that such climate regulations **"massively drove up prices for American consumers"**. Cutting these regulations means **"cheaper upfront costs for consumers"**, reports Geneva Young, with vehicle prices expected to fall by an average of \$2,400 US. **"You're gonna get a better car ... for a lot less money,"** says President Trump.

Next, Canadians might notice how the US federal



budget is **cutting funding for so-called green energy**. Large cuts have been made to charging stations (electric vehicle infrastructure), and tax breaks for wind and solar projects have been eliminated. **"Wind and Solar Subsidies Scrapped,"** says one headline. This is big money freed up for better things, say the supporters, with *Reuters* putting the figure at \$7.6 billion.

Next, Canadians might notice changes to the US power supply. The Trump administration has **"pledged to cut the price of electricity in half within 18 months,"** reports *The New York Times*. Key to that is **repealing greenhouse gas standards for coal and natural gas power plants**. One power company manager lauded the revival of two coal plants, stating they would provide local communities with **"low-cost power"** using **"beautiful, clean coal"**.

But the biggest result of deregulation might be the **growth of the US oil and gas industry**. Under the slogan **"Drill Baby, Drill,"** the administration reports

"record-high levels of output." And in March, a giant **\$300 billion refinery** was announced for Texas.

The United Association of Union Plumbers and Pipe Fitters praised the elimination of climate regulations, saying, **"too often government red tape and environmental activist groups stand in the way of these good-paying and family-sustaining jobs"**. Like other supporters, they recognize the spin-off effect of **job growth up the ladder**, from labourers to skilled professionals. It is, they claim, about **creating new wealth for families and good jobs for young people**.

Meanwhile, back in Canada, the **net-zero war on carbon continues** with little sign that the Carney government will **"unleash" Canada's energy**. In March, Canada joined the

Advance Carbon Removal Coalition, and the Liberal government pledged **\$100 million for "carbon removal projects"**. At about the same time, an **\$8.25 billion oil sands project in Alberta was suspended**. The cause, reported in *The Globe and Mail*, was **"uncertainty over government policies as well as calling for the end of carbon pricing"**.

There are growing differences between Canada and the USA. One of them is **wealth**. In February, *The Globe and Mail* reported that Canada is **"poorer than Alabama"**. But if the Unleashing American Energy program is half as successful as it promises, **that gap will get even bigger**.

Are Canadians steering to become America's poor cousins? In the near future, we will see if that label sticks.

Gerald Heinrichs is a lawyer in Regina, Saskatchewan.

Fired for Choice

The Story Behind Ezra Healing

By Suzanne Soto-Davies

There is a quiet intensity about Svetlana Rilkoff that becomes apparent within minutes of speaking with her; not the kind that demands attention, but the kind that holds it. She listens carefully, answers deliberately, and speaks with a conviction shaped not by theory, but by experience.

For over 25 years, Svetlana worked as a Registered Nurse in a variety of settings—and as such, a front-line witness to the fractures within conventional health “care.” When I ask her what those years taught her, she doesn’t hesitate.

“You see everything,” she says. “Not just trauma or acute illness, but patterns. You start to notice where the system helps... and where it doesn’t.”

It’s a measured answer, but it carries weight. Because for Svetlana, those decades weren’t just a career—they were the foundation for a turning point that would redefine her life. **That turning point came in 2021.**

Like many healthcare professionals at the time, she was presented with a mandate: comply with the COVID-19 vaccination policy or lose her position. For Svetlana, the decision was deeply personal. She describes it not as a medical debate, but as a matter of autonomy.

“My body is my own,” she explains. “That choice should always belong to the individual.”

She refused, and with that refusal, her career in the hospital system came to an abrupt end.

There is no bitterness in how she recounts this—but there is clarity. **The experience, she says, didn’t break her: it redirected her.**

“It forced me to ask a bigger question,” she tells me. “If people don’t feel supported in making their own health choices... where do they go?”

That question became the seed for Ezra Healing.

Founded the same year she left her nursing position, Ezra Healing began not as a business in the traditional sense, but as a response—a space intended for those who felt overlooked, dismissed, or constrained by conventional systems. Svetlana describes it as “a place for people to be heard first, before anything else.”

People with complex diagnoses, chronic conditions, or unanswered questions booked their own telephone call consultations, and what they found was support—something she believes is often missing in modern healthcare.

“We found that our clients—because we refuse to call them patients—just need someone to actually listen; to not be treated as another number or a part of a cookie-cutter approach,” she says. “That alone can change everything when it comes to healing!”

As word spread, the demand grew. Today, the organization includes a network of Wellness Coaches, many of whom come from medical or nursing backgrounds but have chosen to step outside of regulated systems. Some refer to themselves, as Svetlana explains, as “UNregistered nurses”—not to dismiss their training, but to reflect their decision to operate independently of institutional constraints.

Their approach centres on guidance rather than prescription. Clients are offered consultations—including a free initial session—where they can explore alternative perspectives on their health and learn about different pathways that may be available to them.

What stands out in speaking with Svetlana is her

unwavering emphasis on choice:

“People should have the right to decide what goes into and onto their bodies,” she says. “That’s a fundamental principle for me.”



Svetlana Rilkoff, founder of Ezra Healing
— EzraHealing.com

She often refers back to the healthcare oath of “Do No Harm,” interpreting it through a broader lens—one that includes not only physical interventions, but also the act of limiting choice itself.

Her views on vaccinations are strong, and she is open about them. She believes they are unnecessary and harmful, and that individuals—including parents making decisions for their children—should be fully informed of the consequences before they are robotically given to a newborn or school-aged child, and free to choose otherwise.

“These are deeply personal decisions,” she says. “And they should never be forced.”

It is clear that this perspective is central to Svetlana’s work and the ethos of Ezra Healing. It informs not only how the organization operates, but why it exists.

That clarity of purpose has also brought scrutiny.

In 2025, Ezra Healing was raided by Health Canada. The organization had been offering life-saving products proven to be beneficial to their clients—products she says had shown meaningful and healing improvements for many individuals.

Following the raid, Ezra Healing was forced to stop selling these products entirely—including ivermectin, fenbendazole, mebendazole, and some THC-based healing products.

“It was challenging,” she admits. “But it didn’t change why we’re here. It actually fueled our energy, as the raid was published in numerous papers, websites and aired on television. To this day we are thankful for the publicity! We also understand that everything happens for a reason, and as such, we were able to regroup and strengthen our connection with our clients.”

Today, Ezra Healing continues its work through

headcount. It’s modelling—estimates layered on estimates.

Once you understand that, you start asking a different question. Not, is the number real? But **who benefits from you believing there are too many of us?**

Because **scarcity justifies control.** Over land, over food, over energy, over movement.

If you believe the planet is bursting, you’ll accept limits.

But if the numbers aren’t as solid as they sound, then the story changes.

I’m not telling you what the population is. I’m asking how certain are you that the number you repeat was never independently verified?

Originally posted to
Instagram @nashoba_wakerupper

consultations and support services, focusing on education, guidance, and community. The product side of the business may have been removed, but **the core mission remains intact—and stronger than ever.**

“We’re still here,” she says simply. “And people still need support. People are getting sick, and it’s imperative they know there are choices to truly support them.”

Throughout our conversation, there is a recurring theme—not just freedom, but **responsibility.** Svetlana speaks about both in equal measure, emphasizing that personal choice in health also comes with a need for awareness and understanding.

“It’s not about rejecting everything,” she explains. “It’s about asking questions. It’s about being informed and taking ownership of your own health.”

It’s a perspective shaped by years inside a system, and now years outside of it.

As an interviewer, what strikes me most is not the controversy that might surround her views, but the consistency with which she holds them. There is no sense of performance here, no attempt to persuade for the sake of persuasion. Instead, there is a steady alignment between what she believes and what she does. **And that alignment is what has drawn thousands of people to Ezra Healing.**

Finally, I ask Svetlana what she hopes the future looks like for the organization. Her answer is immediate:

“More access,” she says. “More people feeling like they have somewhere to go.”

It may seem like a simple vision, yet it’s one that reflects the path she has taken—to be the founder of a wellness movement built on autonomy, dialogue, and alternative, supportive perspectives.

As the conversation ends, I’m left with the sense that Ezra Healing is less about a single methodology and more about a shift in mindset: **a move toward redefining, toward personal agency, and toward redefining what support in healthcare should look like.**

“We are constantly evolving,” she explains. “We are seeing the rewards of actually being able to help people—and that’s huge! That’s what I’ve always wanted: To help our children first, to teach people their bodies are in constant healing motion, and that prevention is everything.”

For more information and a free consultation, please visit ezrahealing.com and book a date & time to get your wellness path conversation started.

From Lab To Lunchbox

By Dr. Randy Michaux

You think the fake meat experiment failed? It didn’t. They just changed strategy.

They realized people won’t choose synthetic lab food. So now, they’re not asking. **They’re sneaking it into everything you can afford.**

Cheap protein isn’t meat anymore. It’s soy paste, pea powder, fillers, seed oils, insect protein—mixed just enough with real protein so your body doesn’t recognize it as foreign.

You’ll never buy a cricket burger. But your kid might eat nuggets with insect protein buried in the breading.

You won’t buy lab-grown beef. But you’ll buy a cheap ground blend that’s 40% something else.

Why?

Because your grocery bill is bleeding you dry. **They don’t need to ban real food—they just need to price you out of it.**

This is food warfare.

I see patients doing everything right. Clean supplements. Proper drainage. Addressing parasites. But if their food is contaminated with lab proteins and seed oils their body doesn’t recognize, **they can’t heal.**

Your body isn’t broken. It’s being poisoned by things you thought were safe.

Originally posted to Instagram @dr.randymichaux

Numbers or Narrative?

By Nashoba

They tell us that there are eight billion people on this planet—eight billion. And because of that, we’re told resources are scarce. Land is limited. Food is running out. Carbon must be reduced. Movement must be controlled. **Too many people, not enough planet.**

But here’s something interesting.

Have you ever actually seen 8 billion people?

Have you travelled? Driven for hours through open land? Flown over empty landscapes? Walked through cities that feel half-full? And then we’re told we’re overflowing.

If you start looking at country-by-country figures, some numbers feel strangely tidy. Census data isn’t a

Lockdown 2.0

War, Oil, and the Coming Financial Reset

By Fabio Vighi | [Substack.com/@SavageMinds](https://substack.com/@SavageMinds)

The conditions for another global systemic emergency are being engineered into place.

The latest escalation in the Middle East began with an Israeli strike on Iranian energy infrastructure carried out with the strategic backing of the United States—an attack that violates fundamental principles of international law on the targeting of civilian infrastructure.

This is of a piece with a broader pattern of violence that the Western media prefers to obscure. For instance, on February 28, the self-styled “axis of good” slaughtered 165 girls aged between 7 and 12, and staff, by bombing a school in Minab, in southern Iran. The Western press reported in whispers, dissolving the atrocity into a discourse where such deaths are rendered irrelevant.

The much-vaunted rhetoric of “aggressor versus victim” is rolled out when it serves a purpose; it is never applied to the Anglo-Zionist coalition and the collective West.

The same coalition that carried out the Minab massacre is now waging war by other means. By striking directly at the physical foundations of the global energy system, the operation has caused a shock whose consequences extend far beyond the battlefield.

The violence of war and the resulting oil crisis are already triggering a macro-financial tsunami that clears the path for what increasingly appears as an attempt to reset the debt-based system, while intensifying a dog-eat-dog competition among drowning geopolitical actors.

A crisis of this magnitude functions as a moment of heavily manipulated transition—a criminal act cloaked in market logic—attempting to sweep away the current financial dysfunction while preparing the institutional foundations of the next iteration. Those who are better positioned stand to gain from it—at least in the short term—while others will bear the consequences.

The Gulf Ignites

On March 18, a coordinated Israeli strike reportedly hit Iran’s South Pars gas field—the largest natural gas reservoir in the world. Within days, Iran retaliated by striking Qatar’s Ras Laffan complex, the primary hub of the global LNG trade, forcing QatarEnergy to declare force majeure.

Saudi and Kuwaiti refining infrastructure were hit soon after, damaging regional processing capacity. At the same time, Tehran announced plans to formalize control over shipping through the Strait of Hormuz. Transit would now be subject to inspection and, in some cases, yuan-denominated payment arrangements.

Whether fully enforceable or not, the signal is clear: the world’s most critical energy chokepoint is being weaponized.

Oil as Strategic Shock

The surge in oil prices, along with its volatility, functions as a strategic weapon. It is comparable to a silent pandemic—a Covid encore—that incapacitates the world’s economies and, crucially, justifies massive monetary interventions aimed at rescuing the over-inflated, credit-addicted markets, and sustain the increasingly fragile dollar-based architecture.

The bill for this rescue, as always, will not be presented to the markets themselves. It will be paid by the average person through the erosion of wages and savings, the devaluation of pensions, and the steady

transfer of wealth upward dressed in the language of stability. It is comparable to a silent pandemic—a Covid encore—that incapacitates the world’s economies and, crucially, justifies massive monetary interventions aimed at rescuing the over-inflated, credit-addicted markets, and sustain the increasingly fragile dollar-based architecture.

The Return of Demand Suppression

One of the clearest signals of how quickly this crisis could reshape policy comes from recent recommendations² by the International Energy Agency. In response to escalating supply risks, the agency has proposed measures that would have been familiar during the Covid lockdowns: working from home where possible, cutting highway speed limits, limiting air travel, increasing car-sharing, and restricting car access in major cities.

The justification is energy security rather than public health, yet the logic is strikingly similar to pandemic-era policies. Faced with a shock to the energy system, authorities may again be turning to behavioural constraints on mobility and consumption as tools of macroeconomic control.

In fact, a pandemic-without-virus logic is already being operationalised.

Overall, the conditions for a systemic reset are falling into place. **An escalating energy shock, an unwinding “everything bubble,” and a mounting global credit crisis are converging into a single destabilizing moment.**

Europe’s Structural Vulnerability

Europe may prove to be the most exposed region. After abandoning cheap Russian pipeline gas, the continent replaced much of its supply with more expensive LNG imports from the United States and Qatar.

The current crisis now threatens both sources simultaneously. Washington has already signalled that LNG exports could be curtailed if domestic shortages emerge, while damage to Qatari infrastructure threatens another pillar of European energy supply.

The result is a structural vulnerability that leaves European industry and financial markets particularly sensitive to sustained energy price shocks.

This stranglehold is wrapped in a broader design: the weaponization of oil and gas prices is likely to clear the path for a US-led monetary and financial reset that would leave Europe politically divided and facing a forced march toward further debt and deficit—with the European financial system itself already in the crosshairs of speculative attacks.

A Financial Reset in the Making

These pressures intersect with deeper fault lines. Markets are reacting not simply to geopolitical instability but to the inherent precariousness of a system built on unprecedented levels of debt—a system that for more than a decade has depended on permanently low interest rates, continuous liquidity injections, and the steady inflation of asset prices.

Rising fuel costs now act as a tax on the entire economy, compressing margins, eroding purchasing power, and making already unsustainable debt

See ‘Systemic Implosion’ p.8



transfer of wealth upward dressed in the language of stability.

In this sense, the emerging energy crisis resembles a macroeconomic shock comparable to that of the pandemic era since the policy responses may ultimately follow a similar trajectory.

Monetary Intervention: the Pattern

During the Covid emergency, large-scale monetary intervention began even before lockdowns were implemented. In September 2019, the US repo market crisis forced the Federal Reserve to inject massive liquidity through daily auctions.

When the “pandemic” arrived months later, the emergency provided political justification for expanding those interventions dramatically. **The crisis did not cause the intervention—the intervention was already primed, and the crisis provided the cover.**

Energy shocks can play a similar role. By destabilizing debt and equity markets, and threatening recession, they create the conditions under which large monetary responses become both politically acceptable and economically unavoidable.

As with Covid, this is not merely crisis management—it is another case of crisis deployment.

The War Behind the Narrative

In my earlier essay, The Programmable Crisis,¹ I suggested that the United States and Israel initiated this war precisely to produce an energy shock large enough to cascade into a global financial crisis—one that would clear the path for a systemic reset aimed at prolonging the life of an exhausted, debt-saturated system.

Seen from this perspective, the war is a trigger mechanism for that reset. To read the conflict through the familiar narrative of Iran’s nuclear programme means mistaking the decoy for the target.

The Middle East war has already impacted on global markets. European gas prices spiked 25–30% in a single session, Brent crude surged above \$110, and a his-

Advertisements

CHP
CANADA
CHRISTIAN HERITAGE
PARTY OF CANADA

A political party
dedicated to:

- LIFE!
- FAMILY!
- FREEDOM!



Time for ACTION
Raise Awareness
Share the Truth
THEY LIED.ca

The only civil liberties organization
to call for an end to harmful and
unscientific Covid lockdowns.



Justice Centre
for Constitutional Freedoms

[@jccfcanada](https://twitter.com/jccfcanada)

www.jccf.ca

The Veil Has Been Lifted

By Tish Conlin

Like many others, I have been attempting to keep up with the **massive volume of information coming in lately**, while sorting through the conflicting responses and reactions. As **horrific truths emerge about the Big Pharma cartel, Covid, government, political and police corruption, and the evil being perpetrated on children**, people continue fighting over “what is true” and “who is to blame” instead of uniting in disgust.

A few years ago, if I told people that the **world is controlled by a powerful network of elites involved in horrific crimes against children**, they would call me a crazy Conspiracy Theorist and say I should stay off social media and get professional help. Now, you hear people in regular office settings discussing this same horrific truth. **The veil has been lifted on a system of repression and death** that has been operating for many years **below the surface**.

The truth can be a **hard pill to swallow**. Many people still **refuse to accept well-supported facts**. Years of **propaganda and media lies** have built defences in people’s minds, such as, for example, **TDS (Trump Derangement Syndrome)**, which causes **irrational and superficial reactions** to unfolding real-life situations. We see this in the **ridiculous response to US tariffs**. As our **largest trading partner and closest ally**, it’s hard to believe that some suggest **cutting ties with the US and shifting focus to China instead**. Like him or not, what Trump is doing is bringing manufacturing back to the US, **challenging the globalist agenda** that has **de-industrialized and impoverished Western countries** over decades under the pretense of free trade.

Many experts have also discussed the real psychological issue of **Stockholm Syndrome**, which is a mental phenomenon where a **victim develops an emotional bond with their abuser**, often **defending them or resisting rescue**. Victims may experience **cognitive dissonance**, holding false, conflicting beliefs like **“They hurt me, but they also care for me,”** which prevent them from accepting darker truths.

Since the release of the **Epstein files**,¹ I had hoped that humanity would finally be **outraged by the extent of evil and demand arrests**, ultimately uniting to protect children. However, instead, **fighting among groups is more intense than ever**. Old battles persist on every front, including Left versus Right, religious versus New Agers, Climate Alarmists versus Climate Skeptics, Palestine versus Israel, pro-ICE versus anti-ICE, and more. We are constantly urged to **TAKE A SIDE** instead of **looking up to see the puppet masters pulling the strings**, as they continue to use fear and division as tools of conquest.

Over the past six years, many of us have **awakened to incredible deceptions**. We have learned that a **large part of our history is false, cures have been deliberately withheld in favour of treatments, banking practices rely on fraud and lies**, and even the **current tax system is based on falsehoods**—read *The Creature from Jekyll Island* by G. Edward Griffin.²

Recently, I came across an excellent article via the *Epoch Times* by **Jeffrey Tucker**, President and Founder of the **Brownstone Institute**, entitled “What Have You Learned in 6 Hard Years?”³ He emphasizes some **evidence-based truths that are now indisputable**, including:

- **Elections are largely meaningless** as hidden hands direct policy in Western nations
- **Academia is driven by woke ideology** and the censorship of diverse opinions
- **Major media outlets frequently spread lies** and have been funded to produce government-backed propaganda
- **Covid deliberately targeted small businesses** to destroy the independent-minded middle-class entrepreneurs
- **Science and scientific journals do not always publish truth**, allowing fraudulent studies that appear to support Big Pharma agendas

- **Food and water have been deliberately poisoned** to lower IQ and harm human health

Most importantly, Tucker’s article discusses the hard truth that **genuine courage is rare**. The **shocking apathy towards totalitarianism and censorship during Covid** is partly why **Canada has declined so significantly in recent years**. Without **peaceful civil resistance**, government bureaucracy has been **creeping forward with an agenda** that fosters **death, destruction, and immorality throughout institutions**, hid-



den behind the guise of public safety.

Life was **much simpler when I was growing up**. There were only two genders: male and female. The internet wasn’t a thing, so young people read books and spent more time together in person. Debates happened organically and were generally friendly. People weren’t **unfriending each other over gender ideology, personal medical choices, their stance on distant conflicts or climate issues**. Now, people can’t even agree whether the Earth is round anymore. Things have gotten so crazy that recently, someone told me

Systemic Implosion

Continued from p.7

burdens even harder to service.

What makes this moment potentially unmanageable is that the underlying condition is not merely cyclical but structural: the slow disintegration of capitalism’s capacity to reproduce itself on its own terms.

Systemic Implosion

Since Covid, I have described this ongoing process as the controlled demolition of the existing socio-economic order. But what is disintegrating beneath these crises is the substance of capitalism itself: a system ultimately dependent on value extracted from commodity-producing human labour—the elementary social fiction that sustains it.

Yet accelerating technological innovation now increasingly displaces that labour, progressively eroding the link between work and value creation that historically sustained capitalism as a profit-driven social order.

This is why the destruction of money-capital as a store of value is today both historically inevitable and politically managed.

The Rewiring of Money

Simultaneously with the attack on Iran, the Trump administration is rushing artificial intelligence into a new legislative framework³ promising to “unleash American ingenuity.”

As the underlying foundations weaken, the system increasingly relies on the digitalisation of money itself. Digital currencies and blockchain-based financial instruments promise faster settlement, programmable transactions, and, crucially, expanded oversight over how money circulates.

With total market cap surpassing \$300 billion as of March 2026, stablecoins—cryptocurrencies designed to maintain a fixed value, typically pegged to the dollar—illustrate this transition particularly well.

This is financial engineering in its purest form:

the only source they now watch for information is the Galactic Federation channel, which they say is the positive alien group watching over and protecting Earth. Who knows?

With **AI now capable of “deep fakes,” determining what is real has become increasingly difficult**. The algorithms also **reinforce confirmation bias** and only show posts from those with similar views. When people spend **too much time on social media**, they tend to become **angry, depressed, and upset**.

When opinions clash online, **anger is common**, followed by **shaming and/or censorship**, which leads to **isolation** and a continued **denial** of evidence-based truths or deeper discussions. **Things are really messed up.**

How can we remedy the situation? **Two words: courage and humility**. We need the **courage to speak** what we believe is true, but also the **humility to question** our own beliefs and thoughts. When we **accept others’ different views** not with hostility but with curiosity, we can move **beyond polarization into genuine conversation** and exchange of ideas that benefits everyone. When we aim for **meaningful dialogue**, we **build bridges** rather than walls. We **uplift and educate** instead of shaming and censoring, driven by our ego’s need to be right.

It’s not just about examining **information on world events**, but also **our thoughts about self-worth, our hurts, and the grudges we hold** that get in the way of the personal truths that can liberate our lives.

Recent **declassified CIA documents**⁴ reveal plans from **1952 to influence mass behaviour** (induce depression, anxiety, and lethargy) through chemicals delivered via **vaccinations, food, and water**. If you delve into the **dark transhumanism rabbit hole about**

See ‘So Why Are We Still Divided?’ p.9

using crypto enthusiasm to prop up the very fiat system crypto was supposed to escape.

But the point is that no amount of digitalisation can repeal the logic of capital. **Money remains money-capital—the surface expression of an implosive mechanism that no algorithm can outrun.**

The Technology Paradox

This confirms the central paradox of our moment. Technology is simultaneously undermining the economic structure on which capitalism rests while being deployed to obscure that breakdown.

At the very moment the traditional logic of value creation is collapsing, a new digital financial architecture is being assembled. Artificial intelligence, digital assets, and programmable currencies are presented as engines of innovation, yet they enable unprecedented levels of socioeconomic monitoring and control.

In essence, beneath the hype lies the controlled impoverishment of the masses—a transfer of wealth and divestment of autonomy dressed as progress.

The Question Ahead

This transition is already underway, yet its success remains uncertain. What should now be clear to everyone is that global crises and emergencies enable structural adjustments within the existing architecture of power that consolidate wealth in the hands of a small minority while proving detrimental for most.

The question is whether societies continue to passively absorb these transformations, or recognize and resist them while there is still time to shape a different outcome.

1. propagandainfocus.com/the-programmable-crisis-iran-and-the-financial-regime-change
2. iea.org/news/new-iea-report-highlights-options-to-ease-oil-price-p pressures-on-consumers-in-response-to-middle-east-supply-disruptions
3. cnbc.com/2026/03/20/trump-ai-policy-framework.html

Originally published at substack.com/@savageminds

The Criminal Court Illusion

Where Justice Ends, and the System Begins

By White Wolf

Most people believe they understand the criminal court system. They have seen it portrayed on television, dramatized in movies, and discussed in news reports with the confident tone of official certainty. In that world, investigators uncover facts, prosecutors fight for truth, judges maintain fairness, and juries weigh evidence in calm deliberation. **The story always ends with justice delivered in a tidy package.**

But the deeper I looked into how the system actually functions, the more I realized that **this public narrative is largely a carefully constructed illusion.** The criminal court system, as it exists in practice, operates in ways that differ profoundly from how the public imagines it. My goal here is not merely to criticize it, but to **explain how it really works beneath the surface** and why so many people misunderstand it.

The first step in understanding the system is recognizing that **perception is deliberately shaped.** Most people encounter the justice system indirectly, through stories. They watch **fictional prosecutors heroically pursue criminals.** They see **fictional forensic experts produce dramatic evidence that appears decisive and scientifically flawless.** They absorb a narrative where good intentions guide the entire process.

These portrayals create a mental model of the justice system long before a person ever sets foot in a courtroom. By the time someone encounters the system directly, the expectations are already set. **People assume fairness is automatic, truth naturally emerges, and those involved are primarily motivated by justice itself.**

However, the real machinery of criminal courts is driven by **incentives, pressures, and institutional habits that rarely appear on screen.**

To begin with, the **criminal court system is not primarily structured around discovering the truth in every case.** Instead, it is structured around **processing cases efficiently—it is a machine.** More criminal justice work is created every day than the system can process. Therefore, **something is sacrificed to keep the machine running** without being disturbed. The system must **appear to be functioning properly** for the public to have faith in it. **A logjam is unacceptable to the bureaucratic overlords.** Courts must handle **enormous volumes of charges every year.**

Prosecutors carry **heavy caseloads,** judges manage **packed schedules,** and the system functions more like an **administrative engine** than a **philosophical quest for justice.**

This reality surprises many people because the word justice suggests moral clarity and careful investigation. Yet **the system often prioritizes closure, efficiency, and maintaining appearances over truth.**

When people first encounter the courts, they often assume every case will be carefully examined in a full trial. In reality, **trials are rare.** The public imagines a courtroom filled with witnesses and evidence, but **most criminal cases never reach that stage.** Instead, they are resolved quietly through **negotiated agreements, procedural shortcuts, and administrative processes.**

This **difference between expectation and reality** is one of the most important aspects of the criminal justice system that few outsiders understand.

Another misunderstanding arises from how people view prosecutors. In the **popular imagination,** prosecutors are **guardians of the public interest** whose sole mission is to pursue justice wherever it leads. While many individuals working within the system genuinely believe they are doing the right thing, the **institutional structure shapes their behaviour** in ways the public rarely sees.

Prosecutors are evaluated by **measurable outcomes,** particularly **conviction rates** and **case completion.** Their offices operate under **political and bureaucratic pressures.** The system rewards **efficien-**

cy and success statistics—not truth-seeking—and so the practical goal often becomes **securing convictions or closing cases** rather than exploring every possibility with **neutral curiosity.**

This dynamic influences how cases are charged, negotiated, and resolved.

In fact, the criminal court system operates through a **complex behind-the-scenes interaction** among law enforcement, prosecutors, judges, and defence attorneys, each playing a role that is partly cooperative and partly adversarial. While the courtroom appears to be

but a **violation against the authority of the state.**

Once that shift occurred, the criminal court system began to serve multiple purposes simultaneously. It punished wrongdoing, but it also **reinforced the authority of governing institutions.** It created a visible structure that demonstrated the state's **power to investigate, accuse, and judge.**

This dual role remains at the heart of the system today.

What many people never realize is how much of the criminal court process depends on **maintaining appearances to preserve public confidence.** Courts must **appear fair,** prosecutors must **appear diligent,** and investigations must **appear thorough.** Even when outcomes are complicated or uncertain, the system relies on the **perception that it functions correctly.**

Without that perception, the legitimacy of the entire structure would weaken.

For this reason, the public narrative surrounding criminal justice often emphasizes **heroic investigators, decisive evidence, and courtroom drama.** These stories reinforce the idea that **justice inevitably prevails.** Yet those who study the system closely see something quite different: a **bureaucratic structure bal-**

ancing efficiency, authority, and legal procedure—not truth.

The gap between these two realities is what this essay seeks to explore.

The criminal court system actually operates beneath its public image. The reality is that **95 out of 100 criminal cases are concluded through plea deals, which are lies agreed upon by the powerful,** said by someone in personal jeopardy, **under duress,** directly to a court. **Everyone knows it is a lie, but it is treated as truth so that the machine may smoothly move on to another task.**

Only **five people out of 100 get their due process.** What about everyone else? Did they experience justice, or are they simply **blood sacrificed to the criminal court machine?** Would the public support this if they knew the truth? And more importantly, **could they stop the machine if they tried?**

In truth, there are **many complex mechanisms that move cases through the system,** the visible and invisible incentives shaping prosecutors and law enforcement, the historical origins of modern criminal courts, and the procedures that influence outcomes long before a jury ever hears a case.

By understanding these dynamics, the reader can begin to see the criminal justice system not as a simple moral drama, but as a **complex institution shaped by history, power, and human decision-making.**

And once you see it that way, the system looks very different from what most people were taught to expect.

Read more by White Wolf on prosepma.ca/forum



a **battleground between opposing sides, many decisions happen long before any trial begins.**

These decisions can **determine the outcome of a case before the public ever hears about it.**

Another key point that many people overlook is that the criminal court system functions as a **mechanism of power within society.** It defines **who can be punished, how punishment occurs, and which disputes become matters for the state** rather than private resolution. Because of this, the system naturally intersects with **politics, economics, and social structures.**

Understanding the criminal courts requires acknowledging that they are not simply **neutral venues where truth emerges.** They are **institutions shaped by history, incentives, and authority—not neutral arbiters of truth.**

When we examine the **origins of formal legal systems,** we find that **earlier societies often resolved disputes very differently.** Long before centralized states developed modern criminal courts, communities managed conflicts through **local customs, restitution, or social consequences** such as exile or banishment.

These systems were not perfect, but they illustrate that organized criminal courts are a **relatively recent invention in human history.**

As states grew more powerful, they **gradually took control over how crimes were defined and punished.** Instead of disputes being handled primarily between individuals or communities, the **state declared** certain actions to be **offences against the public order** itself. This transformation fundamentally changed the nature of justice.

Crime became not merely a harm between people,

So Why Are We Still Divided?

Continued from p.8

using 5G for mind control, it becomes crucial to **regularly examine our own thoughts and opinions.**

The book *The Indoctrinated Brain: How to Successfully Fend Off the Global Attack on Your Mental Freedom*,⁵ by **Michael Nehls, MD, and PhD,** argues that **declining mental capacity and rising depression** result from an **externally induced neurobiological attack on human individuality and independent thinking.** He provides **evidence for this “global attack”** and offers **practical countermeasures** to protect and restore mental freedom and independent thought.

In Loren Allred's song “You Say,” the opening lyrics are *“I keep fighting voices in my mind that say I’m not enough. Every single lie that tells me that I will never measure up.”* Perhaps the **deepest lies are the ones we tell ourselves about our worth and ability to make a**

positive difference in the world. Every silent voice is a victory for those who oppress. Every independent thinker who challenges the narrative is a victory for We the People. Our children's future depends on our courage to speak the truth and demand accountability.

Please don't believe everything you think.

1. justice.gov/epstein
2. archive.org/details/creaturefromjekyll0000grif
3. financialbooklet.com/news/what-have-you-learned-in-the-last-6-hard-years
4. substack.com/@nichulscher/p-189031480
5. michael-nehls.com/the-indoctrinated-brain

Patricia “Tish” Conlin is an author, speaker and business owner. She is a Holistic Nutritionist, resilience coach, black-belt martial artist, and political candidate and activist. Her Podcast TishTalk, can be found at tishconlin.com

From Census to Surveillance

By Sandra Finley

Canada's next census will take place in May 2026, as part of the country's regular five-year census cycle. Statistics Canada arrived in my community in early March. They are currently hiring workers to carry out census work.

For most Canadians, the census appears routine. A form arrives. Questions are answered. Life moves on.

But over the past two decades, the operation behind that form has changed dramatically.

The word *census* no longer tells the whole story.

The Expanding Data Collection System

The traditional census conducted every five years is now only one part of a much larger system. Statistics Canada conducts **continuous surveys and ongoing data collection throughout the year**, gathering information about employment, finances, housing, health, education, and spending habits.

At the same time, Canada participates in the Five Eyes intelligence alliance (FVEY) with the United States, the United Kingdom, Australia, and New Zealand.

These realities raise an obvious question: **How much personal information is the modern state capable of collecting—and what happens to that information once it is collected?**

Canadians Have Raised the Alarm Before

Public concern about government data collection is not new.

In 2018, Statistics Canada proposed obtaining detailed banking records from approximately **500,000 Canadian households** in order to analyze spending patterns.

The proposal triggered widespread public backlash.

A poll reported by *The Globe and Mail* found that **a strong majority of Canadians opposed the plan to collect banking data from financial institutions.**¹

The controversy quickly reached Parliament. The Senate of Canada launched a committee investigation into Statistics Canada's request for access to Canadians' banking data.²

One senator reportedly said he was **"repelled"** by the proposal to obtain detailed spending records from banks.³

Although the plan was eventually abandoned, the episode left many Canadians wondering **how far government data collection might expand in the future.**

The Role of Private Contractors

Another aspect of the census that receives little public attention is the role played by private contractors.

For several census cycles, Statistics Canada contracted the defence giant Lockheed Martin to build components of the census data-processing system.

Government procurement records show that Lockheed Martin developed **optical scanning and data-capture technology used to digitize census questionnaires** beginning in the early 2000s.⁴ The company's systems were used to convert millions of paper census responses into digital databases used by Statistics Canada.⁵

The involvement of one of the **world's largest**

defence and surveillance contractors in census infrastructure raised uncomfortable questions about **data security and oversight.**

Lockheed Martin has long been involved in intelligence and surveillance systems worldwide, supplying technology and infrastructure used by government customers including the U.S. **National Security Agency (NSA)**, the United Kingdom's **Government Communications Headquarters (GCHQ)**, Canada's **Communications Security Establishment (CSE)**, Australia's **Australian Signals Directorate (ASD)**, and New Zealand's **Government Communications Security Bureau (GCSB).**



Whether such contractors should have any role at all in systems that process information about millions of citizens remains a matter of debate.

Personal Information Banks

The scale of modern data collection becomes clearer when looking at government systems known as **Personal Information Banks (PIBs).**

These are large databases designed to store and organize information about individuals.

Government documentation lists numerous categories of personal data that may be stored in these systems, including:

- Biographical information such as family history, employment history, hobbies and interests
- Biometric information, including fingerprints, facial scans, blood type, and DNA
- Contact information such as addresses, phone numbers, and email accounts
- Financial information and credit history
- Criminal record checks or criminal history
- Medical information
- Employment records and disciplinary history
- Photographs and physical attributes
- Identification numbers such as Social Insurance Numbers

Even **"opinions or views of, or about, individuals."**

Taken together, these categories describe a remarkably detailed profile of a person's life.

The Risk of Massively Organized Information (MOI)

Large centralized databases create what some critics describe as *massively organized information*; systems capable of storing extensive files on entire populations.

History offers uncomfortable reminders of how such systems can be used.

In his book *IBM and the Holocaust*, historian Edwin Black documented how census data and mechanized record-keeping systems were used by authoritarian regimes in the twentieth century to identify and track populations.⁶

Privacy researchers warn that surveillance systems do more than simply collect information—they **change how people behave.**

Security technologist Bruce Schneier writes in *Data and Goliath* that **surveillance has a chilling effect on freedom** because people modify their behaviour when they know they are being watched.⁷

When people believe their actions are constantly recorded, **self-censorship becomes the norm.**

Privacy and the Charter

Canada's legal framework recognizes the importance of protecting personal information.

The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms has been interpreted by courts as protecting what they call the **"biographical core of personal information."**

In the Supreme Court decision *R v Plant*, the Court ruled that Section 8 protects information revealing **intimate details of a person's lifestyle.**⁸

Government limits on Charter rights must meet the strict constitutional standard established in *R v Oakes*, commonly known as the *Oakes Test.*⁹

For critics of expanding data collection, the question is simple: **How much personal information should citizens be compelled to disclose to the state?**

A Debate That Isn't Going Away

For many Canadians, the census is simply a practical tool used to guide public policy and allocate resources.

But others see the rapid expansion of data collection as a warning sign.

The concern is straightforward.

The more information about individuals that is stored in centralized databases, the more vulnerable those individuals may become to pressure, persuasion, or coercion.

You do not necessarily have to do anything wrong. Sometimes simply asking questions—or falling on the wrong side of political authority—is enough.

Rights that are **not defended** have a way of *disappearing.*

And once surrendered, they are rarely easy to reclaim.

1. globalnews.ca/news/4599953/exclusive-stats-canada-requesting-banking-information-of-500000-canadians-without-their-knowledge
2. sencanada.ca/en/newsroom/banc-senate-committee-to-probe-statistics-canada-request-for-canadians-banking-data
3. publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2019/sen/yc11/YC11-421-48.pdf
4. straight.com/article-160168/lockheed-deals-buoy-census-holdouts
5. www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/en/pub/11-522-x/2003001/session2/7597-eng.pdf?st=foFA1cxB
6. Edwin Black—*IBM and the Holocaust*
7. Bruce Schneier—*Data and Goliath*
8. Supreme Court of Canada—*R v Plant*
9. Supreme Court of Canada—*R v Oakes*

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS. All source links and references can be found at druthers.ca/articles

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.



Please donate kindly:
druthers.ca/donate

Or send an e-transfer to:
admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to:
Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531 Six Points Plaza
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

Distributed independently & completely free of charge.

April 2026 circulation: 150,000 copies Canada-wide

Original content DRUTHERS 2026®

Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason

Administrator: Christie-Lynn

Editor: Michelle

Layout & Design: Michael Choo-Chong

Ads Manager: Dr. Jeffrey Overall | ads@druthers.net

Extra Special Thanks to: Kristy, Bob, and many more...

Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net

News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

"Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on."

— Shawn Jason

Cartoon-Level Propaganda

Continued from p. 1

At any rate, it seems we found the answer: **the US attacked because Israel decided to attack.**

So, now we've arrived at the *next* question: **why did Israel attack Iran?**

Why did Israel Attack?

Once we get past the **crude, cartoon-level propaganda** that's doled out for the Fox News viewers, Trump voters and other assorted rubes, we get to the *second* level of this propaganda pyramid: **Israel did it!**

And, once we acknowledge the **Israeli puppeteer pulling the strings of the American Empire**, we can start examining the motivations of that puppeteer. What reason does Israel have for steering the US into another war in the Middle East?

Once again, we can examine (and promptly dismiss) the propaganda being proffered by the Israeli government to explain these strikes to the masses. In fact, we need only ask **Israeli Prime Minister (and unconvicted war criminal) Benjamin Netanyahu** why he's so intent on bombing Iran, and he'll be more than happy to tell you. The only question is **which decade of Netanyahu's never-ending Iran warmongering rhetoric we should listen to.**

There was **2025 Netanyahu**: *"If not stopped, Iran could produce a nuclear weapon in a very short time."*

And **2010s Netanyahu**: *"By next spring, at most by next summer at current enrichment rates, they [the Iranians] will have finished the medium enrichment and moved on to the final stage. From there, it's only a few months, possibly a few weeks, before they get enough enriched uranium for the first bomb."*

And **2000s Netanyahu**: *"I believe the IAEA, the International Atomic Energy Agency, just found traces of plutonium and uranium for the production of atomic bombs. These bombs are, in the first instance, aimed at Israel. Make no mistake about it. But they don't intend to just hit Israel. Iran is gearing up to have—to produce 25 bombs—atomic bombs—a year. 250 bombs in a decade."*

And who could forget **1990s Netanyahu**: *"Iran will be capable of producing alone—without importing anything—nuclear bombs within three to five years."*

You heard it here first, folks! **The Ayatollahs have been weeks away from nuking the entire world for the past 30 years!** Thus, Israel *had* to launch a war against Iran (through their US proxy, of course).

Naturally, fears over Iran's non-existent **nuclear program** are and always have been **pro wrestling theatrics**, complete with **Looney Tunes-level cartoon bombs** and other cheap gimmicks to gain attention and bamboozle the easily misled.

In reality, Israel's geopolitical position on Iran has been evolving since the **Israeli-Iranian alliance that held sway under the Pahlavi dynasty**, which ruled Iran from 1925 until the Shah's ouster in 1979. Iran was in fact the **second Muslim-majority country to recognize the State of Israel**. The two nations enjoyed a close alliance during this period, with Israel's Mossad helping to train the Shah's fearsome SAVAK secret police. The countries even **exchanged ambassadors in the 1970s**.

With the **Islamic revolution in 1979**, however, Israel's position on Iran turned. Israel *did* act as middleman for the US in the **Iran-Contra Affair**, passing arms to Iran on behalf of Uncle Sam, but there was an ulterior motive for this action. As former Israeli Defense Minister **Moshe Arens** later admitted, Israel's real objective in forwarding arms to Iran *"was to see if we could not find some areas of contact with the Iranian military, to bring down the Khomeini regime."*

By **1996**, Israel's Iran strategy had shifted again. That was the year proto-neocons **Richard Perle, Douglas Feith and David Wurmser** helped draft **"A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm,"** a foreign policy planning document for then-incoming Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu. In this document, Israeli planning focused on **destabilizing Iranian allies** in the region, including Syria and Lebanon, as a way of **reducing Iranian influence** over the Middle East.

By the **2000s**, Israel—empowered by its success **steering the US into a war in Iraq**—became emboldened in its **secret war on Iran**.

In his 2007 article, **"The Redirection,"** journalist **Seymour Hersh** outlined how the Bush Administration, guided by Israeli intelligence, was shifting its Middle East policy in order to undermine Iran. Hersh followed up in 2012 with **"Our Men in Iran,"** a report detailing

how the US Joint Special Operations Command was working with Israel's Mossad to train the **Mujahideen-e-Khalq**, a "dissident Iranian opposition group" that enjoyed the twin privilege of being on the US State Department's foreign terrorist organization list and serving as the Zionist neocons' **favourite terror cult**.

But the **USrael deep state's machinations** in Iran during this period did not end there. This is the same time frame in which the **CIA** was confirmed to be working with **Jundullah**, a Sunni Salafi militant organization in southeastern Iran that the agency considered employing **"as a piece in a covert-action campaign against Iran"** before allegedly deciding that the group



was **"uncontrollable and too close to al-Qaeda."**

This was also the time that US and Israeli cyber warriors were developing **Stuxnet**—the **first offensive cyberweapon designed to spy on and subvert industrial systems**—as part of an even more widespread cyberattack against Iran.

And this was also the period when Israel was **assassinating Iranian scientists with impunity**.

It wasn't until Trump moved back into the Oval Office in **January 2025**, however, that Netanyahu and his fellow Zionist warmongers realized they were finally going to achieve their **decades-long dream: getting the US to bomb Iran**.

We know the machinations that led to the bombings of Iran's nuclear sites last June, the so-called **"twelve-day war"** that supposedly **"obliterated" Iran's nuclear program**.

We know, for instance, that **Palantir** had a role in kicking off the strange set of events that led to the IAEA suddenly voting to **censure Iran** over its noncompliance with inspectors, reversing its long-standing position that Iran had **not diverted its nuclear material to a weapons program**.

And we know that it was Israel who initiated that war by launching its **"Operation Rising Lion,"** a wave of attacks against Iran that Netanyahu called a **"targeted military operation to roll back the Iranian threat to Israel's very survival"** and that ended with the US using **bunker buster bombs** on Iran's heavily fortified **Fordow nuclear site**.

After that, it was only a question of when Netanyahu would once again bid his **faithful servant, Trump**, to go back and finish the job with an **all-out invasion of Iran**. Along the way, we've experienced some truly remarkable Israeli war crimes: not only the **ongoing, unfolding Gaza genocide**—which Trump has attempted to lend legitimacy with his laughably named **"Board of Peace"**—but the **bombing of Qatar**, a neutral third party that was hosting ongoing negotiations between Hamas and Israel.

And now the pieces on the chessboard have all lined up to Israel's satisfaction. The order has been given, and, according to **Rubio, Kent and other knowledgeable sources**, Israel has decided to **launch the US war on Iran**.

So, there you have it: **Israel attacked Iran through its US proxy. Case closed!**

And this is where those who have managed to see through the first level of deception—the **"they were going to attack us!" canard**—will be content to stop. **Israel did it. 'Nuff said.**

But there are *other* pieces of this puzzle that point to something **even grander at play** in these events.

What's The 3D Reality?

Alright, then. It all seems pretty clear now: Netanyahu used his leverage over Trump to get the US to join his war against Iran in order to **secure Israel's regional dominance**.

But if that is the narrative, then we have to **hold off a minute here**. There are some pieces of this puzzle that don't quite seem to fit.

You see, rather than displaying the **irresistible,**

awesome, unstoppable power of the USrael juggernaut, this conflict is instead demonstrating **the opposite**: USrael can't even **secure the Strait of Hormuz**, let alone topple the Iranian government. In fact, Iran is not only in a position to declare that *they* are the ones who are in fact "winning" this conflict, they're revealing that their **military hardware is more advanced than previously known**. And the resulting oil crisis has led the US to actually **lift sanctions on Iranian oil**, directly enabling the very "regime" Washington is so intent on changing.

As **Kit Knightly** points out over at *Off-Guardian*, perhaps the most telling part of this **stage-managed war narrative** is the very strange tug-of-war over the **Strait of Hormuz**. As Knightly correctly observes, the clearly manipulated stage play of the closing of the Strait—with the Iranians closing the Strait before denying they closed the Strait before the insurance companies actually closed the Strait.

The clearly manipulated stage play of the mining of the Strait—with anonymous "trust me, bro" sources telling Rothschild *Reuters* that the Strait had been mined before Iran officially denied mining the Strait before Trump demanded Iran remove the mines from the Strait before US Secretary of War Pete Hegseth confirmed there was **no evidence of mines in the Strait** shortly after the US Navy **abruptly decommissioned four minesweepers** in the region after 40 years of service—has led to the next narrative being

propounded by the establishment press: the squabble over the Strait will lead to a **never-ending state of perma-war** between the West and whoever is in control of Iran.

"It is not in Trump's power to reopen this vital sea passage by declaring victory and walking away. Instead his war with Iran—and the particular issue of the Strait of Hormuz—will define the rest of his presidency and may haunt his successors.

"That is because the strait's closure creates both an immediate crisis and a long-term strategic quandary. The current problem is that the longer it is closed, the greater the threat of a global recession. The future dilemma is that Iran now knows that control of the Strait of Hormuz gives it a stranglehold over the world economy. Even if it relaxes its grip in the short term, it can tighten it again in future."

Oh, goodie. So, even in the absolute best-case scenario—if **Trump were to TACO his way out of this**, declare victory, pack up the troops and come home—the deep state has already assured that the war will **never truly be over**. At any time they like, they can use their anonymous sources to plant another scare story about **non-existent sea mines** (or whatever other fictitious threat they choose to gin up), and the **world economy will be on its knees again**.

No, this war is not about **"freeing the people of Iran"** or **"stopping the Iranian nuclear program."** But anyone with two brain cells to rub together already knew that.

Neither is this war taking place because after thirty years of trying to get the US to bomb Iran, Israel simply decided **it was time**.

Rather, like every other event of world-historical significance, this event is taking place because it **checks the boxes for a number of players in the global power structure**. Ultimately, this battle isn't about fulfilling the **geopolitical interests of an individual nation-state**. It's about progressing the narrative of the **same banking interests that puppeteer all of the players on the global chessboard**.

Yes, my readers will not be surprised to discover that this conflict is part of the **3D chess match** that defines our global conspiracy reality.

Nor will they be surprised to discover that, in broad terms, the Iran war is being waged in fulfillment of **The Great (Global) Reset™ to Build Back Better™** with a shinier, happier, newer **New World Order!**

But they *may* be surprised to learn **what specific part of the Great Reset agenda is being advanced here**.

And what part is that, precisely? You'll have to stay tuned for the next episode of **The Corbett Report podcast** to find out the answer to *that* question!

In the meantime, I'll just leave with you this **hint**: a headline that floated through the news wires recently, and that was largely lost amid the heady tumult of war news.

"Iran mulls allowing tankers through Strait of Hormuz if trade conducted in yuan."

Stay safe, everyone!

Originally published at substack.com/@corbettreport

Absurdity Observer

Some of the Most Absurd Happenings in Recent Weeks

- Figure 3, an AI-powered robot, marched into the White House alongside the First Lady to announce that what American children really need are robot teachers. According to whistleblower testimony from Figure AI's now-fired product safety division head, the robot supposedly has "superhuman speed" and enough strength "to crush a human skull."

- A new report ranks Vancouver as the fourth most expensive city in the world, just behind Hong Kong, Sydney, and San Jose. Shockingly, both Toronto and Vancouver were found to be more "unaffordable" than New York City. The study from Chapman University compared median home prices to median incomes and referred to Vancouver as "impossibly unaffordable"—a term that refers to markets with median prices triple the level of "affordable." The study's authors say that unaffordability at this scale did not exist 30 years ago.

- A single exposure to a toxic fungicide during pregnancy may ripple across 20 generations, with inherited health problems worsening over time. That's the finding of a new Washington State University study (Korolenko et al.) in rats, showing that toxic exposure can alter reproductive cells in ways that are passed down long after the original contact. The research deepens our understanding of long-term, multigenerational harm—and suggests that some diseases seen today, including cancer, could trace back to an ancestor's exposure to toxins.

- In a move that effectively shields the existing vaccine schedule from scrutiny, a US federal judge has blocked US Health Secretary Robert F. Kennedy Jr. from revising childhood vaccine recommendations and restructuring the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP).

- The Canadian Food Inspection Agency continues its mass culling response, with over 124,000 turkeys reportedly killed this past winter in Western Ontario following an avian flu outbreak.

- After governments spent over \$300 billion during the pandemic, there appears to be no meaningful expansion in healthcare capacity to show for it. According to a SecondStreet.Org report, over 23,000 Canadians died last year while waiting for surgeries or diagnostic scans. MRI wait times averaged 16 weeks, while Neurosurgery and Orthopedic surgery wait times were nearly 50 weeks!

- Canada's House of Commons passed an "anti-Christian" bill that would criminalize quoting some religious texts. MPs from the Liberal Party and the Bloc Québécois, in a 186-137 vote, passed Bill C-9, known as the "Combatting Hate Act," which included removing the protection for good faith religious text. The bill now heads to Canada's rubber-stamp Senate for review.

- According to Pew Research, Americans' trust in government has collapsed from 77% in 1964 to roughly 17% today—one of the lowest levels ever recorded.

- Canada is set to pass a grim milestone in its medically-assisted suicide program (MAiD), with a

basic verification. Angela Lipps, 50, was locked up for nearly 6 months due to an algorithm—and since she was unable to pay bills—she lost everything she owned.

- Starting April 14, 2026, Lotto Max is changing, making it harder to win the jackpot. To add insult to injury, the Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation (OLG) is framing the changes as a player benefit, citing increased "overall wins"—a statistic driven by improved odds of smaller, non-jackpot prizes.

- The Ontario Court of Appeals has ruled that Ontario cities can reject political ads stating "Woman: An Adult Female" because it might offend people—and that this is a valid reason to limit speech in a public space. The ruling comes after the city of Hamilton rejected an ad placed by the Christian Heritage Party of Canada, alleging that the ad, which simply contained the definition of "woman," was somehow discriminatory and denied transgender persons' identity and experience.


- The Carney government's Heritage Minister, Marc Miller, just claimed that independent media outlets are somehow "illegitimate" and should be avoided in Canada. His remarks

followed a briefing note stating that the cabinet is relying on the CBC and its \$1.6 billion annual grant to promote "social cohesion."

- An explosive new investigation links declassified US government documents to the spread of modern Lyme disease. The findings, reported by physician-scientist Dr. Robert Malone, point to Cold War biological weapons research, where a large-scale tick experiment that included the release of 282,800 lone star ticks along major bird migration routes in the late 60s, appears to have helped Lyme disease spread and become one of today's fastest-growing vector-borne illnesses.

- Since the 1920s, farmers have been spreading "biosludge"—treated sewage—on food crops as a "cost-saving fertilizer." Now, new research from the University at Albany is finding that biosludge fertilizer can load crops like corn and lettuce with "forever chemicals" that don't break down and can linger in the human body for years. PFAS are now found in the vast majority of human blood samples and have been linked to cancer, fertility issues, and immune disruption.

- According to the Canadian Taxpayers Federation, "The CBC costs taxpayers more than \$1.4 billion per year. That money could pay the full-time salaries of about 7,000 police officers plus 7,000 paramedics instead of going to a state broadcaster with abysmal ratings. In its latest ratings report, CBC News Network posted an audience of 1.7%. That means about 98.3% of Canadians are choosing to watch something other than CBC's national news channel."



total of 100,000 citizens projected to be euthanized by the government before its 10th anniversary in June—more than double Canada's entire WWII death toll, according to *The National Post*. About 45 Canucks per day are being euthanized, accounting for about 1 in 20 deaths.

- The UN is "extremely concerned" that Canada is offering death by MAiD faster than it offers adequate support to live. The United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities stepped in to urge Canada to scrap "Track 2" MAiD, which allows euthanasia for people whose death isn't reasonably foreseeable. Track 2 MAiD was legalized in 2021 for people with chronic illnesses or disabilities and includes the planned 2027 expansion to people whose sole condition is a mental illness.

- As fuel prices surge amid geopolitical conflict, the International Energy Agency has stepped in—not to question fragile supply chains or energy policy—but to tell everyday people to stay home, while urging governments to ration road access through number plate restrictions that alternate driving days by odd- or even-numbered license plates.

- Despite dipping into emergency fuel reserves, fuel shortages have caused over 600 service stations in Australia to run dry.

- A Tennessee grandmother spent 108 days in jail—lost her home, car, and dog—because a facial recognition algorithm said she looked like someone committing fraud 1,200 miles away. Police relied solely on the software and arrested her without

SUPPORT CANADIAN INDEPENDENT MEDIA WITH A

POSTAL SUBSCRIPTION TO DRUTHERS NEWSPAPER

SIGN UP ONLINE:

DRUTHERS.CA/SUBSCRIBE

Starts at \$8 a month. Papers are free, fee is for shipping and handling.
Or, send e-transfer: admin@druthers.net

TO SIGN UP BY MAIL, CUT OUT THIS FORM >

\$96 / year for 1 copy a month
\$119.40 / year for 3 copies a month
\$179.40 / year for 12 copies a month
\$479.40 / year for 100 copies a month

Druthers receives no government funding and has no corporate sponsors. We have printed over 14 million papers since we began in 2020 and continue each month only because 1000s of concerned Canadians like you understand the value & importance of keeping independent media going strong.

- YES! Please mail ___ copies of Druthers to my mailbox each month.
- NO papers needed. Accept this as a donation to print more papers.

FULL NAME _____

EMAIL _____

SHIPPING ADDRESS _____

MAIL CASH OR CHEQUE S/H FEE TO:

Shawn Jason Laplante
PO Box 40531 Six Points Plaza,
Toronto, Ontario, M9B 6K8

*please make cheques payable to:
Shawn Jason Laplante