

The Joy of Defiance

By Todd Hayen, PhD, RP

Remember the song, “Born free, as free as the wind blows. As free as the grass grows. Born free to follow your heart.”¹ Andy Williams sang it and made it famous. John Barry wrote the music, Don Black, the lyrics. It was written for the movie of the same name (“Born Free”) in 1966.

Pretty powerful, eh? And so very true. The movie is about a lion taken into captivity, and then ultimately released again into the wild, because it was “born free.” And must stay free. The movie could have just as well been about human beings. We, too, are born free, and are supposed to “stay free,” but many times throughout human history, we have not been free.

We have, in our memory, the more common bouts with abject slavery in the United States before 1864. But, there has been slavery everywhere in the world throughout time. And it is common knowledge that there are currently more slaves in the world than there were at the height of the intercontinental slave trade of the 18th and 19th centuries.²

There are other forms of stripping freedom from humans, in addition to the common perception of slavery, where human beings are owned by other human beings. They are often chained up so they do not escape, are treated horribly, including regular physical beatings, separating families through slave buying and selling, and an assortment of other atrocities. These other forms include serfdom, a practice seen in a variety of countries where the peasantry is “taken care of” by the gentry in return for hard labor primarily through agriculture. Imperial Russia had such a system, which was not removed until Alexander II’s “Edict of Emancipation” in 1861—preceding US President Abraham Lincoln’s “Emancipation Proclamation” in 1863.

Of course, the history of serfdom, indentured servitude, goes back a very long way in some shape or form. These obvious systems remove individual rights and freedoms. What about more subtle systems? Communism? Socialism? Cults and cult-like religious groups? Dictatorships and Fascist systems? Sure, these are forms of governmental servitude. Can we really call what we are experiencing in Canada, the US, the UK, most of Europe, Australia, and New Zealand (among others), a new form of serfdom? I sure think we can. Maybe not yet to the degree Russian peasants experienced in the 18th century, but it is getting close.



“Oh, please,” I can hear some of you say. “We are freer than people have been for centuries!” In some ways, true. That is why there is such a frenzy now when those “simple freedoms” are being threatened. It is the same reason why so many people think the oppression we are facing in the form of censorship of free speech, the loss of bodi-

not listen. They stop, stand still, and look at me, “Nope, we’re not doing it! We are free dogs! And you can’t make us!” No, I cannot make them—unless I take away their freedom. If I get a leash and manage to get it attached to their collar, I can reel them in. But they have then lost their freedom. The defiance they show me before I catch them—while they are standing there looking at me with the smirk on their faces—is joyous and beautiful. They know I will not hurt them, so they just say, “F— you, master! We ain’t moving.” I have to laugh. I love them for this. I get my way most of the time (after all, they are dogs) but once in a while, I honour their autonomy. I know in the long run, that diminishes their obedience (my wife says), but I don’t care.

Can humans be like my dogs when they are under the firm grip of totalitarianism? Up to a point, they can, indeed, find joy in defiance. I know I do; once I shake off the fear, I find great joy in doing what I am not supposed to do—like writing these articles or not wearing a mask. It is like acting in alignment with truth. When you align with truth, even if your actions defy the “rules,” you are joyful.

ly autonomy, the rape of privacy, the militarization of law enforcement, and the litany of changes to rules and regulations, are just the “price we have to pay for a uniform and decent society.” It simply is nothing to be concerned about. That is what we are tricked into believing—we are told we need these restrictions to stay civilized. Free people seldom conform and comply. That is one of the beauties of a free society—diversity is allowed to flourish. No one wants it the same way, so we are forced to be tolerant of differences.

When we are restricted, we rebel. We become defiant. “Vive le France!” was the war cry during the French Revolution. Long live freedom—we were born to be free, and free we will be!

Freedom is indeed an inalienable right. These are rights that no one can take away. Born to be free implies living as God intended us to live. Free.

So where does “the joy of defiance” come in? Freedom is joyful. I think of my dogs when I think of joy. Much to my wife’s chagrin, my two Golden Retrievers are often not very disciplined. When they are out in the back yard running around like crazy dogs, they are incredibly joyful. You can see it in their body and in their eyes. When I call them to come in, and they are in the midst of having fun, they often do

I believe this is a natural human condition—to be passionate about preserving freedom. I think the sheep-types have lost this; they have succumbed to the “master”—they have become the indentured servant, but more accurately, the serf, or even the slave.

We have all been under the tyranny of the social system for quite some time. I do believe it was not as bad as it was a few hundred years ago. It is worse now. The system itself is tyrannical. “Do this, do that, give up this, give up that, all for the benefit of your fellow man, your neighbour, your neighbour’s neighbour—or simply to be safe yourself.” Yes, all cultural systems require a bit of that, but in order to retain your freedom this sort of “give for the betterment of the community” must always be voluntary.

Follow your bliss, follow your joy in your defiance of the powers that wish to make you a slave—be free, as free as the wind blows, follow your heart.

1. musixmatch.com/lyrics/Matt-Monro/Born-Free
2. a.co/d/bxUb3Ys

Todd Hayen is a registered psychotherapist practicing in Toronto. He holds a PhD in depth psychotherapy and an MA in Consciousness Studies. You can find Todd’s writings at ShrewViews.com

Advertisement

Peoples Pharmacy.

Visit us today at:

www.peoplespharmacy.is

FEATURED PRODUCT

IVERMED-12

12mg Oral Ivermectin Tablets

30 Tablets

Make Peoples Pharmacy your choice for high quality life saving and enhancing products with zero gatekeepers. True FREEDOM!

IVERMED-12 // IVERMECTIN TABLETS

- Rid your body of viruses, parasites & cancer cells
- Human Grade 12mgs tablets
- Made in Canada

DRUTHER’S READERS CAN USE **COUPON CODE: DRUTHERS10** TO RECEIVE A \$10 DISCOUNT ON YOUR FIRST ORDER!

Fluoride Lowers IQ in Children

Long-Delayed U.S. Government Report Concludes

By Derrick Broze

The final version of the report confirms what previous draft versions have concluded—fluoride exposure is associated with lower IQ in children.

The long-delayed and censored final report from the U.S. National Toxicology Program¹ (NTP) has found “*moderate confidence*” that fluoride exposure is “*consistently associated with lower IQ in children.*”

The NTP report has been the source of controversy over the last couple of years as it became clear that elements of the U.S. government were seeking to prevent its release.

The NTP final report, also known as a monograph, reported that 72 studies examined the “*association between fluoride exposure and IQ in children,*” and 64 of those studies found “*an inverse relationship associated between estimated fluoride exposure and IQ in children.*” Of the total 72 studies, the NTP considered 19 to be “*high quality,*” and of those high quality studies, 18 “*reported an inverse association between estimated fluoride exposure and IQ in children.*”

Even among another 53 studies which were considered to be “low-quality,” the NTP found that “*46 of the 53 low-quality studies [88%] in children also found evidence of an inverse association between estimated fluoride exposure and IQ in children.*”

The NTP is run by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to coordinate, evaluate, and report on toxicology within public agencies, and is headquartered at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS).

While these conclusions are breaking news to the vast majority of the public, regular readers of *The Last American Vagabond* (TLAV) have been informed of these conclusions since at least 2020 due to our ongoing

children’s IQ.”

These caveats are already being used by corporate media to downplay the seriousness of the NTP conclusions. One of the most widely distributed articles from the *Associated Press* is headlined, “US government report says fluoride at twice the recommended limit is linked to lower IQ in kids.” The mention of “*twice the recommended limit*” is designed to misinform the public by having them believe the conclusions do not apply to the U.S. government’s currently recommended levels of 0.7 mg/L of fluoride in the water.

However, buried deep within the NTP 324-page report, the scientists make it clear they believe their conclusions apply to the U.S. population. They write (emphasis added): “*However, because people receive fluoride from multiple sources (not just drinking water), individuals living in areas with optimally fluoridated water can have total fluoride exposures higher than the concentration of their drinking water. In addition, there are people living in the United States who live in areas with*

naturally occurring fluoride in drinking water that is higher than 1.5 mg/L.”

The NTP scientists take it one step further and conclude: “*This indicates that the moderate confidence in the inverse association between fluoride exposure and children’s IQ is relevant to some children living in the United States.*”

These additional statements are extremely important because people will likely consume more fluoride

See ‘Dangers’ p.10



ing coverage of this important matter.

Although the conclusion of the final version of the NTP monograph is strongly worded, it is likely to continue to be debated due to certain caveats.

For example, the conclusion mentions “*higher estimated fluoride exposures,*” which the scientists note are at “*drinking water fluoride concentrations that exceed the World Health Organization Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality of 1.5 mg/L of fluoride.*” The NTP scientists call for “*more studies*” to “*fully understand the potential for lower fluoride exposure to affect*

FREEDOM WINS!

sex and escape from the pipeline leading to harmful cross-sex hormones, mutilating surgery, and life-long psychiatric care.”

- The majority of Canadians are saying “No” to the shots. According to Health Canada’s latest data, only 18.2% of the adult population in Canada has received an updated COVID-19 vaccine despite a relentless multi-billion-dollar promotional campaign.
- Vaccination rates have significantly decreased around the world from pre-COVID-19 pandemic levels, according to a 2023 immunization coverage report released by the World Health Organization (WHO). Dr. Katherine O’Brien, director of the Department of Immunization, Vaccines, and Biologicals at the WHO, noted that vaccine skepticism, which surged during the pandemic, is partly to blame for the global decline.
- A new Gallup poll finds that fewer Americans today consider childhood vaccines important, with only 40% saying it is extremely important for parents to have their children vaccinated, down from 58% in 2019.
- “Google is a monopolist,” judge rules in landmark antitrust case. The Justice Department and states sued Google, accusing it of illegally cementing its dominance, in part, by paying other companies, like Apple and Samsung, billions of dollars a year to have Google automatically handle search queries on their smartphones and web browsers. “Google is a monopolist, and it has acted as one to maintain its monopoly,” Judge Mehta wrote in his ruling. As of June 2023, Google controlled 91% of the global search engine market across all computing platforms, according to Statcounter. On mobile, Google’s market share was even higher, at 95%.
- The UK has banned the prescription of puberty blockers for children with “gender dysphoria.” The High Court ruled that the previous government’s ban is lawful, given the evidence against the safety of puberty blockers. Executive Director of the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons, Dr. Orient, applauded the decision, and added that the use of hormone drugs to treat gender dysphoria has the effect of making the recipients “life-long patients for a very lucrative industry.” She said, “Puberty itself is probably the best treatment for ‘gender dysphoria.’ After experiencing normal puberty, the vast majority of teens will accept their biological

- The Ford Motor Company announces a shift away from electric vehicle production as interest declines. According to AutoTrader, for the second year in a row, Canadians’ interest in electric vehicles has declined, with 46% of Canadians now saying they plan to make their next car purchase an electric vehicle (down from 68% in 2022).
- Alberta Premier Danielle Smith announced that new legislation on pronouns in schools will be proposed this fall. The new policy will require parents to be notified when children 15 and under want to change their names or pronouns in school. Smith says she also intends to bring forth legislation to include restricting trans youth access to gender-affirming health care, banning trans participation in female sports, and requiring parental consent on sex education.
- Ontario Crown withdrew charges against a Waterloo Ontario father who attended an anti-lockdown rally. Randal Linton, who attended an anti-lockdown rally in 2021, had been charged with failure to comply under the Reopening Ontario Act, and an additional charge under the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act. Had he been convicted, Mr. Linton could have been jailed for a maximum of 1 year and fined up to \$100,000.
- Harrison Butker, an NFL kicker who delivered a viral speech where he said he’d rather retire than cave into getting the COVID-19 jab, has just become the highest-paid kicker in NFL history. Butker signed a groundbreaking four-year–\$25.6–million contract extension. It seems that success based on merit, not diversity or politics, still exists.
- Louisiana judge rules that Robert F. Kennedy Jr.’s lawsuit, alleging that the Biden administration colluded with social media companies to censor COVID-19 posts, can move forward. In the lawsuit, Kennedy and plaintiffs claim that various government

- entities (including the Biden administration, the Surgeon General’s Office, the FBI, the CDC, and the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency) coerced social media platforms into censoring content related to the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly targeting views that were critical of government policies on mask mandates, vaccine mandates, and lockdowns. In his ruling, Judge Doughty stated, “The evidence presented shows that the Kennedy plaintiffs were directly targeted by government defendants for their views on COVID-19, and there is a substantial risk that such targeting will continue in the future.” Although the case is far from over, the ruling represents a significant victory for those advocating for free speech and against government overreach in the digital age.
- Establishment “experts” finally admit that certain vaccine studies have never been conducted. In a recent interview, Dr. Paul Offit, one of the world’s leading vaccinologists and co-author of what is considered to be the “bible of vaccines,” admitted that studies comparing unvaccinated children to vaccinated children have not been done. He claims they are “impossible” to do for ethical reasons. Meanwhile, lead author of Plotkin’s Vaccines, Dr. Stanley Plotkin aka the “godfather of vaccines,” made a recent statement in a published paper revealing the truth about safety trials on vaccines in the US. He paints a highly disturbing picture of vaccine safety that falls far short of the safety claims our health agencies make.
- After 17 long years of waiting, a new water treatment facility now supplies drinking water to residents of a Saskatchewan reserve. The Star Blanket Cree Nation, an indigenous reserve located northeast of Regina, lifted a boil-water advisory that’s been in effect since 2007. How amazing that we have unlimited funds for vaccines, yet it took 17 years to get clean water to this community.
- New Hampshire Governor, Chris Sununu, signed into law three bills to protect children from the trans agenda: one that keeps girls’ sports exclusive to girls, one that bars children under 18 from receiving “genital gender reassignment surgery,” and one that gives parents more control over how, if at all, their children are taught about sex and gender at school.

Vaccine Advice for Parents

Why You Should Avoid All Vaccines That Include an Aluminum Adjuvant

By Dr. Christopher Exley

I am a biologist. I have a PhD, hence my title as Doctor. I do not have a medical qualification. However, I have studied human exposure to aluminum for forty years and I do feel qualified to advise on this subject.

There are many occasions when I am asked about the safety of vaccines. I always answer such questions, though I limit my advice to those vaccines that include an aluminum adjuvant. For your information, you can find a list of such vaccines in Table 1 of this open access paper.¹ You may recognize some of the listed vaccines. It is not an exhaustive list. If a vaccine of interest is not listed in this table then the patient information leaflet included with all vaccines will tell you if the vaccine includes an aluminum adjuvant. If you are still in doubt, then ask me.

Manufacturers of vaccines that include an aluminum adjuvant are required to tell you how much aluminum is in each dose of the vaccine. Unfortunately, the regulatory bodies charged with policing the content of vaccines, for example, the US FDA (Food and Drug Administration) or the EMA (European Medicines Agency), do not check the aluminum content of a vaccine; they accept the value given by the

manufacturer. I was not prepared to accept data provided by vaccine manufacturers so we measured the aluminum content of all the infant vaccines listed in Table 1.

Please find the results of our measurements in Table 2 of the same paper. In Table 3, you will find a summary of our results comparing the actual amount of aluminum in a particular vaccine with the amount stated by the manufacturer in the patient information leaflet. You will see that the amount indicated by the manufacturer was only accurate (well within 10%) for three vaccines.

We discuss at length the significance of our find-



ings in the Discussion section of the paper, and so I will not repeat that herein. However, I will take this opportunity to pass on my advice to any pregnant women or parents about the safety of vaccines that include an aluminum adjuvant.

These vaccines have NEVER been shown to be safe. These vaccines have NEVER been shown to be effective in preventing any disease. These vaccines have NEVER been adequately regulated by any independent body,² such as the FDA or the EMA. Until the time that all of the above have been adequately addressed to the full satisfaction of both science and the public, it is my advice that you should avoid all vaccines that include an aluminum adjuvant. It is my opinion that neither your life nor the life of your child will be endangered in any way by making this decision.

I do not come to this conclusion lightly. It is based upon a lifetime of research and a genuine fear that aluminum adjuvants underlie much of the chronic disease in children in developed nations.

Vaccine manufacturers, prove me wrong. I would be happy to be wrong.

1. sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0946672X21000523
2. The FDA and EMA, as “independent” regulatory bodies, receive about 45% and 92% of their budget respectively from user fees paid by companies seeking approval for medical devices or drugs.

Originally published at substack.com/@drchristophorexley

The Hidden Dangers of Vegetable Seed Oils

By Dr. Simon Goddek

Today, I am going to red-pill you about vegetable seed oils.

1.Unnatural Extraction Process: Unlike the fruit oils from coconut, avocado, or olive, which can be extracted through pressing, seed oils made from canola, cottonseed, corn, grapeseed, ricebran, sunflower, safflower, and soy require unnatural methods for extraction. They undergo processes involving high heat and chemical solvents, like petroleum, leading to oxidation and the formation of trans fats even before they reach your kitchen.

2.A Modern Dietary Addition: Industrial seed oils are a recent addition to our diet, exploding in consumption from virtually zero in the early 1900s to 70 lbs per year per person today. This dramatic increase corresponds with a surge in chronic health issues, suggesting a link between seed oil consumption and modern diseases.

3.Omega-6 and Inflammation: Vegetable seed oils are high in Omega-6 fatty acids, especially linoleic acid, which is a precursor to pro-inflammatory eicosanoids. These molecules can cause chronic inflammation and contribute to autoimmune diseases. The excessive consumption of Omega-6, without the balance of Omega-3, exacerbates these health issues.

4.Oxidative Stress and Cancer: Studies have shown that diets high in vegetable seed oils, particularly linoleic acid, lead to oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and genetic damage. These factors are instrumental in promoting cancer, with some studies revealing a fourfold increase in metastases in diets high in these oils.

5.Increased Mortality Rate: Over a seven-year study, groups consuming high amounts of vegetable seed oil had a 62% greater all-cause mortality rate compared to those with lower consumption. This risk increase is more significant than that associated with heavy smoking or obesity.

6.Heart Disease Risk: The Minnesota Coronary Experiment¹ found that participants who increased their intake of corn oil and margarine had an 86% higher incidence of heart attacks. Despite lower cholesterol levels, the vegetable seed oil group had a significantly higher mortality rate from heart attacks.

7.Cardiovascular Deaths: The MARGARIN Study² revealed that the group consuming margarine high in linoleic acid from vegetable seed oils had a 700% higher rate of strokes, heart attacks, and cardiovascular deaths compared to a group consuming Omega-3-rich fish oil.

This stark difference underscores the health risks of high vegetable seed oil consumption.

Remember, the key to a healthier life is understanding what you’re putting into your body. Rethink your oil choices and opt for natural, minimally-processed fats, like butter, tallow, ghee, and fruit oils.

1. bmj.com/content/353/bmj.i1246
2. [ajcn.nutrition.org/article/S0002-9165\(23\)06119-1/fulltext](https://ajcn.nutrition.org/article/S0002-9165(23)06119-1/fulltext)

Editor’s Note: Vegetable seed oils are used in many packaged and ultra-processed foods (UPF), so please read every label. Better yet, support your local farmers by choosing whole, unprocessed foods.

Originally published at www.drgoddek.com

The Hateful Eight

Sunflower Oil

Soy Oil

Cottonseed Oil

Safflower Oil

Corn Oil

Ricebran Oil

Grapeseed Oil

Canola Oil

Always ultra-processed and never natural.

These oils are toxic to your health

SUPPORT INDEPENDENT MEDIA WITH A

POSTAL SUBSCRIPTION OF DRUTHERS

newspapers straight to your mailbox

STARTING AT \$119.40

for a 1-year subscription of 3 COPIES of Druthers, delivered monthly. Papers are free, fee is for s/h.

SIGN UP AT: DRUTHERS.CA/ SUBSCRIBE

OR, MAIL YOUR ADDRESS, EMAIL, AND S/H TO: PO BOX 40531 SIX POINTS PLAZA TORONTO, ONTARIO M9B 6K8

PLEASE MAKE CHEQUES PAYABLE TO: SHAWN JASON LAPLANTE

OR, ETRANSFER US (PLEASE MENTION ADDRESS AND "SUBSCRIPTION" IN MEMO SECTION): ADMIN@DRUTHERS.NET

Beware Lease Contracts for Wind or Solar Industrial Sites

By Dean Chesterman, P. Eng.

Wind and solar farms are being erected on both Crown and private lands across Canada. As a landowner, you may be approached by a company wanting to install wind¹ or solar² industrial sites on your land. Here are questions to ask about the lease contract before you sign it.

1. Who is the contract with? Is it the parent corporation or is it a shell company whose only asset will be this specific wind/solar industrial site?

Why is this important? Because the common result of any issue with the wind or solar industrial site is for the parent company to bolt, and to leave the shell company to die, along with any liabilities, lawsuits, court-directed settlements, and demolish/salvage/disposal and clean-up costs. Landowners are left with no recourse except to a defunct company whose only asset is the garbage on their land and a phone number no one will answer.

2. What is the Force Majeure clause? What conditions trigger Force Majeure?

There is always one, and the conditions that trigger Force Majeure for the wind or solar site are broad and deep. Bankruptcy of the shell company, a change in Government Acts or Government Regulation, unusual market conditions, technical challenges, etc., could trigger Force Majeure, thus stopping the payments and breaking the lease contract, leaving the landowner with the project abandoned and the debris on their property. One egregious example is using lightning strikes as a Force Majeure. Electrical Utilities have NOT been able to use lightning to drive Force Majeure events since the founding of the electrical power grids, because lightning is predictable on a large scale, and can be managed with lightning arrestors and good ground practices. Considering wind turbines are electrical generators, tall, and in clear areas, they are known to attract lightning. Thus, a lightning strike to a wind turbine is predictable and manageable, and CAN NOT be a condition driving Force Majeure.

3. What is the Gag Clause?

The wind/solar lease contracts all have a gag clause to stop landowners from discussing or sharing the terms and payments with neighbors and to stop landowners from raising complaints or suing the wind/solar parent



company or the shell company. Their goal is to minimize the lease payouts and to stop the complaint process before it starts.

The gag clause extends to others outside of the lease contract. If a neighbor would like some trees to be planted to mask the view or reduce the noise, the wind or solar industrial site is more than willing to pay for tree planting, provided the neighbor also signs a lease contract with the gag clause. And usually, they plant the trees, but the ongoing maintenance of the trees is now the neighbor’s responsibility, with no warranty for the trees, of course. Again, anything to get the lease contract at minimum payments, an effective gag clause, and no present or future liability for the wind or solar industrial site.

4. Who pays for demolish/salvage/disposal? When?

Is it a demolition fund that has an actual balance of money or is it a performance bond, backed with the shell company assets? IF the latter, there is no asset value, as the value of the asset is the present value of the asset being demolished!

Another issue is the demolition may be scheduled for 25 years out. Meanwhile, the industrial site could be abandoned a few years in when it is not economical or has a technical failure. And then, it will sit defunct until the demolition clause timeline is triggered.

5. What are the expected lifetime and performance characteristics?

These factors are always over blown! Numbers of 25 to 30 years for the life of the turbine are thrown around, but economic operation is often only 15 years. Capacity factors of 40% are quoted, but the Alberta AESO (Alberta Electric System Operator), in their annual power system report, shows that in Alberta wind power capacity factor barely exceeds 30% for the entire fleet, and solar capacity is worse, at less than 10%.

6. Will the wind/solar industrial site management be responsible for controlling ALL of the noxious weeds and other pests that will show up on the site access roads and equipment pads?

The civil, mechanical, electrical, and other contractors are not interested in or trained in biosecurity of agricultural land, and typically, do not clean the weeds, mud, and dirt off their equipment before entering the new wind or solar industrial site. This activity extends from the first surveys through construction and installation into the ongoing maintenance for the life of the facility, and the landowner will never know who is entering their property. It could be at any time of the day or night.

7. Will the wind/solar industrial site management be responsible for cleaning up ALL of the broken fiberglass and hazardous material from the fields to your satisfaction?

When a wind turbine throws a blade (about 0.5% of them do every year), there is shredded fiberglass everywhere. Cattle eat it in the field or in the hay and a type of hardware disease is an obvious result. It really cannot be treated as the cud chewing by the cow grinds it up into exceedingly small pieces that lodge everywhere in the

See ‘Wind’ p.5

Underreported Immigration Amendment Could Flood Canada With Low-Skill Labour

By Riley Donovan

I was recently made aware of a proposed Liberal amendment to the Immigration and Refugees Protection Act (IRPA) that would create a federal path to permanent residency for low-skill foreign workers. This change would apply to foreign workers with experience and training that falls under the Training, Education, Experience, and Responsibilities (TEER) levels 4 and 5.

TEER 4 jobs require a high school diploma or a few weeks of on-the-job training. They include home child-care providers, retail salespersons, front desk clerks at hotels, and security guards. Teer 5 jobs require no formal education. They include cashiers, shelf stockers, kitchen helpers, farm labourers, and landscapers. This is only a small sample of the vast number of jobs¹ covered by these two categories.

Why would the federal government want to increase the proportion of low-skill immigrants admitted to Canada? The reason is simple: to correct for its own bungled handling of the foreign worker file.

The Trudeau government allowed the number of temporary residents—foreign workers, international students, and asylum seekers—to rise to a jaw-dropping 2.8 million. Temporary residents now make up nearly 7% of the Canadian population. As opinion polls show the Canadian public turning against mass immigration, the Liberals are acknowledging that this was a mistake.

At a news conference on April 7, 2024, Prime Minister Trudeau admitted that the number of foreign workers and international students in the country “has grown at a rate far beyond what Canada has been able to absorb.” Immigration Minister Marc Miller has promised to reduce the proportion of temporary residents from 7% to 5%. To accomplish this, the Trudeau government has cut the number of low-wage foreign workers that companies can hire in most sectors from 30% to 20% of their workforces—a straightforward approach (though one that is certainly too little, too late). But, Minister Marc Miller has

also expressed support for another, more surreal strategy: reducing the number of temporary residents by making a bunch of them permanent.

In other words, the Trudeau government admits that the astronomic rise in non-permanent residents on Canadian soil was a mistake, but believes that reclassifying some of them as permanent residents is an accept-



able solution. Hence, the latest decision to create a federal path to permanent residency for low-skill workers in the TEER 4 and 5 categories, contradicting Canada’s longstanding (and long untrue) boast that we have a world-class immigration system that accepts only the cream of the crop.

The Trudeau government has decided to address its own failure to control immigration numbers by lowering standards and allocating permanent residency to low-skill workers who would not qualify for federal economic immigration pathways under any normal scenario.

This flood of cheap labour will have severe consequences for Canadian workers of all backgrounds, who are already being negatively impacted by the current wave of immigration overseen by the Liberals. The unemployment rate stands at 6.4%, as population growth from immigration outpaces job growth. The youth unemployment rate was 13.5% in June. Young Canadians looking for a summer job are forced to compete with foreign workers and international students, who are themselves struggling to find entry-level positions in an economy saturated with labour.

Both the native-born and the foreign-born are suffering the consequences of Trudeau’s open-door immigration policy, which serves the interest of corporations that want cheap labour at the expense of workers who want good paycheques and a dignified standard of living.

The good news is that this amendment has not been finalized yet. Concerned citizens should tell their MPs to oppose this further weakening of our immigration standards. Will the Opposition Conservatives have the courage to stand up for Canadian workers by calling on the government to axe its proposal for a cheap labour immigration pathway?

1. [canada.ca/en/immigration-refugees-citizenship/services/immigrate-canada/express-entry/eligibility/find-national-occupation-code.html#wb-auto-4](https://www.canada.ca/en/immigration-refugees-citizenship/services/immigrate-canada/express-entry/eligibility/find-national-occupation-code.html#wb-auto-4)

Originally published at dominionreview.ca

Germany: Explosive Leaked Government Report Exposes COVID Deception and the Calculated Sacrifice of Innocent Children for Political Gain

By Amy Mek

“The Interior Ministry deliberately induced fear in children and made them think that if they didn’t comply with health regulations, they were responsible for the death of their parents.” – Bastian Barucker

A monumental betrayal has been uncovered. Recently, leaked documents from Germany’s Robert Koch Institute (RKI), akin to America’s CDC (Centers for Disease Control), have revealed that the so-called “*pandemic of the unvaccinated*” was a calculated hoax. This was not a mere policy failure, but a deliberate strategy by a left-wing government more interested in control than the truth. The most chilling aspect of this revelation is the targeted measures against children, who were forced to bear the brunt of these decisions despite being at minimal risk from COVID-19.

A Manufactured Crisis: The Left’s Plot to Control

During a press conference that sent shockwaves through Germany, Bastian Barucker, a prominent critic of the COVID-19 measures, exposed the horrifying details of the RKI’s protocols. According to the documents, the German government knew early on that children were at minimal risk from the virus. Despite this knowledge, brutal measures were enforced—school closures, fear campaigns, and the coerced vaccination of children—measures that were more about control than public health.

Barucker highlighted a particularly sinister aspect of the strategy: Children were manipulated into believing they could kill their grandparents if they



didn’t adhere to strict health measures. This fear-mongering was not based on any real threat, but rather, a calculated effort to ensure compliance. A year later, the same children were pressured into taking experimental mRNA vaccines, even though it was already known that these vaccines did not prevent

vaccinated. The politicians wanted it, but how many children were damaged by the vaccine?”

The leaked protocols reveal that Jens Spahn, Germany’s former Minister of Health, and his successor, Karl Lauterbach, were fully aware that children were not at significant risk from COVID-19.

Yet, they pushed forward with measures that inflicted psychological and physical harm on the most vulnerable. Neurologist Jan Bonte, reflecting on the gravity of the revelations, declared, “*These ten minutes go through marrow and bone. Jens Spahn and Karl Lauterbach are downright criminals. Immoral and ruthless.*”

The RKI’s Deception and the Vaccine Hoax

The RKI, under the direction of left-wing political leaders, played a central role in this massive deception. Even before schools were closed, the RKI knew that children were not significant vectors of the virus. Yet, political pressure led to the enforcement of school closures and other measures that had more to do with control than science. One notable quote from the protocols by German virologist, Christian Drosten, states, “*No more*

events and the closure of schools; that’s something we have to do now.” This decision was based on data related to influenza, not COVID-19, showing a deliberate manipulation of the narrative.

By October 2022, the government had mandated FFP2 (surgical respirator) masks for children as young as six on public transport, despite the RKI’s acknowledgment that these masks were ineffective for children. The documents clearly state, “*It is unfavorable and dangerous when laypersons use masks. Effectiveness likely not possible.*” Yet, the mandates were enforced, causing significant side effects, particularly in children and adolescents.

The most damning revelation surrounds the COVID-19 vaccines. The protocols show that even as the government pushed for the vaccination of children, they knew it was unnecessary. In July 2021, the Standing Committee on Vaccination (STIKO) stated, “*Still does not rate the benefit of a vaccination higher than the risk of the disease.*” Despite this, the government forged ahead with vaccination campaigns, coercing parents into subjecting their children to an experimental vaccine with unknown long-term effects. The push for booster shots was driven more by political pressure and pharmaceutical interests than by any medical necessity, with one document noting, “*Recommendations for boosters present themselves as complex, primarily called for by politics and Pfizer, as yet insufficient data available.*”

The Call for Justice

As these documents come to light, the demand for accountability grows louder. The suffering of countless children was the result of politically-motivated decisions by a government more interested in control than in protecting the public. The RKI knew the truth, yet they allowed the deception to continue, causing untold harm to the most vulnerable in society.

Patrick Savalle, an observer of these developments, predicts that similar revelations will soon surface in other countries, including the United States. He foresees public trials and outrage as the full extent of this deception becomes apparent. “*I can see those tribunals coming,*” he stated, echoing the sentiment of many who are now demanding justice.

This is not just a policy failure; it’s a moral crime against children perpetrated by a government that prioritized its agenda over the lives of the innocent. Those responsible must be held accountable for the lives they’ve damaged. The truth must be exposed, and justice must be served.

The full leaked documents¹ are available for those who want to see the evidence themselves. The implications of these revelations will resonate for years, demanding answers and, ultimately, justice for the harm done to our children. The time for silence is over. The perpetrators of this crime must face the consequences of their actions.

1. rki-transparenzbericht.de

Originally published at [rainfoundation.com](#)

transmission and posed unknown long-term risks. As Barucker put it, “*Children, at the expense of their own health, were obliged to take measures to protect the well-being of others. A historic breaking of a taboo.*”

The Harmful Measures and the Experts’ Outrage

The revelations have sparked outrage among medical professionals and experts. Family physician, Els van Veen, and molecular biologist, Peter Borger, have labeled the content of the documents as “chilling.” Borger pointedly asked, “*Children did not need to be*

the wind turbine blades and screeches from the blade brakes. Many people feel the low frequency infrasound in their chest or head, in addition to the measurable air pressure changes and ground level wind turbulence. There will be blade shadow flickers, blinking red lights on top, and the dramatic visual impact of 90-metre-high towers, carrying three blades with a 125-metre span, spinning at 20 RPM in multiple locations on the wind turbine industrial site.³

At least the solar industrial sites are quiet, though they do sterilize the vast majority of the site area by shading the ground. They are known, though, to heat the area around the site and dark panels do have a dramatic visual impact on acres and acres of land. There will also be a lot of extra dust in the air, thanks to the many access roads and dead areas under the solar panels.

In summary, the wind or solar industrial project developer is aiming to get their wind or solar industrial site installed as cheaply as they can, gagging everyone they are dealing with to eliminate complaints, to eliminate organized resistance, and to eliminate as many present and future liabilities as possible. Their lease contract is 100% in their favour, and all you get is a minimal payment with all the headaches, liability, and costs associated with your now contaminated industrial site covered in garbage.

1. Wind turbines in Canada: open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/79fdad93-9025-49ad-ba16-c26d718cc070
2. Solar Farms in Canada: en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_photovoltaic_power_stations_in_Canada
3. journals.lww.com/endi/fulltext/2023/08040/wind_turbines_vacated_abandoned_homes_exploring.2.aspx

Dean Chesterman, B.Sc.E.E., B.Com., has worked for over 40 years as a Professional Engineer in the electrical power grid in Alberta and across Canada. He was the Engineer of Record for the power system studies, Hydro 1 interconnection process, and the detailed electrical design of the Comber Wind Farm in Lakeshore, Ontario, consisting of 72 wind turbines based on the Siemens model SWT 2.3 MW wind turbine with 80-metre towers and 101-metre blades. Learn more at ca.linkedin.com/in/dean-chesterman-6a249b92

Wind and Solar Scams

Continued from p.4

poor cow’s digestive tract. The fiberglass also catches in farming equipment, like the pinch points and bearings of swathers, combines, augers, and grain dryers.

The gear boxes are designed for a 20-year life, but typically, start failing after 7 years in service, leaking oil down the turbine shaft. The majority of gear boxes have been replaced after 10 years. Hence, when the replaced gear box at the 15 year timeline needs replacement, the economic value of the wind turbine is over.

8. Will the wind/solar industrial site management be responsible for cleaning up ALL of the oil leaks, and fire damage when the wind turbines catch fire?

Wind turbines regularly catch fire, which cannot be fought. The usual practice is to let it burn out while trying to stop the spread of fire on the ground.

9. Will the wind/solar industrial site management be responsible for cleaning up ALL of the broken glass, damaged plastics, light metals, and heavy metals when the solar panels are damaged?

Solar panels are regularly damaged by hail storms and lightning strikes, or due to faulty installation, component defects, and design flaws, any of which can potentially cause a fire. A solar panel fire can be fought, but the panels are still active energy sources, so even at night, the fire itself will generate electricity in the panels, which complicates the fire fighting.

10. How do the developers monitor and record bird strikes, bat strikes, and other animal injuries and deaths?

All developers limit present and future liability. Some do not monitor and record animal impacts. Others only monitor within the span of the blades, and ignore the majority of birds and bats that fall outside of the blade span because the moving blades flip them out. The worst factor is that all the small animal carcasses on the ground attract the big raptors who fly slowly and cannot avoid the blades.

And finally, the critical question!

11. Can you and your family live with the nuisance of the wind or solar industrial site?

There will be regular whop-whop-whop noises from

Vaccines and Returning to School

By Ted Kuntz

September marks the return to school of our children. One of the more important issues that parents need to consider is whether vaccine mandates exist in your province. Ontario and New Brunswick are the only provinces in Canada that mandate vaccination in order for children to attend school. Both of these provinces allow for personal belief and religious exemptions, meaning all vaccines on the childhood vaccine schedule are voluntary in Canada.

While childhood vaccines are voluntary, Health Canada and their public health agents along with the corporate-controlled media consistently declare that your child must be fully vaccinated to attend school. They also declare that vaccination is life-saving and for “the greater good.” **These declarations are false and intentionally dishonest.** The unfortunate reality is that our governments and their public health agencies are committed to coercion. By failing to honour informed consent, they violate legal and medical ethics. Children have the right to bodily sovereignty and the right to benefit from the protection and wise counsel of their parents, who are responsible for making informed medical decisions for their children.

Ontario public health policy is one of the more egregious in its efforts to coerce parents. The policy requires parents who refuse even one of the recommended childhood vaccines to attend an “education session” designed to compel parents to vaccinate. Parents who insist on exercising their right to informed consent are required to sign an affidavit stating that they are “knowingly putting their child’s life at risk.”

No mention is made in their education sessions of the serious, even deadly effects of vaccination, the failure of the vaccine industry to prove safety using a true placebo, the negative impact of vaccination on the natural immune response, or access to the vaccine product monographs that list ingredients, adverse effects, contraindications, and limitations to safety testing. When parents are given only one side of an issue, it is coercion, not consent.

Vaccine Choice Canada has been defending a parent’s right and responsibility to make medical decisions for their children for 42 years. We began in 1982 when the Ontario government introduced legislation mandating vaccination in order for children to receive a public education. Ironically, this was the same year the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms was signed. The original legislation had no provisions for personal belief or religious exemptions. A group of parents lobbied the Ontario government for two years and were successful in having the legislation modified to include exemptions. These parents were the beginning of what today is called Vaccine Choice Canada.

Public health policy in New Brunswick has also been egregious in its coercion of parents. In 2019, the New Brunswick government introduced legislation to remove personal belief and religious exemptions—in response to only 11 cases of measles in the province. Vaccine Choice Canada secured six international experts to address the proposed legislation, including Dr. Bob Sears, Dr. James Lya6ons-Weiler, Dr. Meryl Nass, and lawyer, James Kitchen. I also presented on behalf of Vaccine Choice Canada.

In our discussion with government legislators, the turning point was when the question was asked of the Chief Medical Officer of Health (CMOH), “*How many of the eleven children who contracted measles were vaccinated?*” The energy in the room shifted dramatically when the CMOH refused to disclose this information to legislators. An Access to Information and Privacy request (ATIP) revealed that 9 of the 11 children who

principle of ethical medicine.

In a 2014 paper, the World Health Organization (WHO) announced their new “implied consent” policy, which states, “*The physical presence of the child or adolescent, with or without an accompanying parent at the vaccination session, is considered to imply consent. This means that a child sent to school on the day of a vaccine clinic is now consenting by implication.*”

They also have no regard for individualized medical treatment that provides a risk-benefit analysis for each vaccine and each individual. When the product is vaccines, we are subjected to a one-size-fits-all approach.

The clearest example of this one-size-fits-all approach is the injection of newborns with the Hepatitis B vaccine on their first day of life. Hepatitis B is a virus that is spread through the exchange of bodily fluids during sex and IV drug use. Given that our newborns are not engaged in these behaviours, the risk of contracting Hep B is non-existent. The only legitimate risk to infants is birth from a mother with Hep B. While every mother who receives prenatal care is tested for Hep B, Health Canada recommends Hep B vaccination for our day-old infants. To assist parents of young children in their vaccine decision-making, Vaccine Choice Canada

has just published a new book—*A New Parents Guide to Understanding Vaccination*.² We contend that the vaccine decision is the most important decision a parent will make for their children. Unlike other medical treatments, the effects of vaccination are permanent and cannot be reversed. The artificial stimulation of the immune system with manufactured viruses, bacteria, adjuvants, and toxins including mercury, aluminum, polysorbate 80, SV40, and other ingredients permanently alters the natural immune response. Injected aluminum from vaccines is known to cause inflammation of the brain and is linked to neurodevelopmental disorders, such as ADD (Attention Deficit Disorder), ADHD (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder), learning disabilities, speech delay, and autism. **Autism is the true pandemic with 1 in 25 children diagnosed today.** Vaccination is a decision that should not be taken lightly or without due diligence.

What most parents don’t understand is that many of the products that are called “vaccines” do not prevent infection or transmission and can increase the risk of chronic disease. While Health Canada and vaccine manufacturers refuse to conduct vaccinated vs unvaccinated

See ‘Schooled’ p.10



contracted measles were fully vaccinated with the measles vaccine. One was partially vaccinated, and only one child was unvaccinated.

Vaccine Choice Canada has developed many resources to assist parents in exercising their right to medical choice and how best to deal with the coercive actions of governments and public health agents.¹

Parents need to be especially vigilant with their pre-pubescent children who will be targeted for the HPV (Human Papilloma Virus) vaccine. This vaccine is purported to prevent cervical cancer, although no studies have substantiated that the vaccine reduces the incidence of cervical cancer at all. The vaccine is also given to boys, even though they don’t have a cervix.

Undermining Parental Authority

Intentional messaging is disseminated to undermine parental authority when it comes to vaccination, exacerbated by the presence of “vaccine clinics” in schools. With disregard for the wishes of their parents, our children are herded into a gymnasium or cafeteria and injected. There are even websites on the internet that counsel children about how to get vaccinated without the knowledge or consent of their parents.

Because of the “mature minor doctrine,” which is health policy in all provinces in Canada, our schools and public health officials disregard the right of parents to make medical decisions for our children. This doctrine purports that children as young as nine years of age are mature enough to make the vaccine decision for themselves. Our counsel to parents is to avoid sending your child to school when a vaccine clinic is being held.

Do not assume that school personnel or public health agents delivering the injections will honour your right to informed consent. Vaccine Choice Canada regularly receives stories from parents whose children arrive home from school and declare they were injected with multiple shots. The reality is that our governments, public health agencies, and the doctors and nurses who administer vaccines have no regard for informed consent, even though this is considered a fundamental

Advertisement



STRYDER
LOGISTICS

Canada / USA Full Loads & LTL

Reefer, Dry-van, and Flat-Bed

dispatch@stryderlogistics.com 877-430-4790

Advertisement

Ivermectin
100 tablets - 12mg
\$120



EZRA
HEALING

www.ezrahealing.com
1-250-444-3972 (text)

WANT

DRUTHERS

DELIVERED TO YOUR NEIGHBOURS' MAILBOXES?

NOW YOU CAN WITH DRUTHERS NEIGHBOURHOOD MAIL SERVICE.

IT'S NEVER BEEN EASIER TO MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE IN YOUR COMMUNITY.

NOW YOU CAN HAVE COPIES OF DRUTHERS NEWSPAPERS DELIVERED RIGHT TO MAILBOXES IN YOUR NEIGHBOURHOOD AND WAKE UP YOUR COMMUNITY MORE QUICKLY. WE MAKE ALL THE ARRANGEMENTS SO ALL YOU NEED TO DO IS, DECIDE HOW MANY ADDRESSES YOU WOULD LIKE DELIVERED TO AND WHICH POSTAL CODE AREA YOU WOULD LIKE BLANKETED, AND THAT'S IT... WE'LL TAKE CARE OF THE REST.

DRUTHERS.CA/NEIGHBOURHOOD

Humanity’s Crisis of Imagination

by Joe Martino

Many seem to believe that our existing systems and ways of living are good enough to solve the many challenges we face and that they are also the best we can do.

Sure, maybe people scoff at wealth inequalities and the levels of corruption in politics and big business in every country, but the belief I’m referring to tends to state that government, politics, economies, and what we’ve fleshed out generally beats all other ways of living and we should accept that.

As a result, not very many people are looking deeper at what else is possible for our local and global social design. Part of this is because there isn’t much “viral content” out there doing so. After all, not many of today’s influencers focus on this discussion, but it’s also because people seem to lack the motivation to do so.

Our globally wicked problems seem unsolvable and too big to wrap our heads around, new ideas are probably bad and a scam anyway, so why not just stick to what we know and hope it solves things, right?

This to me, is a crisis of imagination. I believe this to be a big part of the overall Shift we are experiencing.

I don’t typically enjoy the word “crisis” when talking about the state of our world because it can get people more riled up in hopelessness and panic. But in a world riddled with loud drama, it gets people to pay attention.

Where Has Our Insight Gone?

When I was a kid I would ask my parents, *“If humans created our world, our systems, and the problems they produce, (people without food, water, and shelter) can’t we just uncreate these systems and create new ones?”*

“It’s not that simple Joe, that’s just how the world is,” I was told.

This is a fair response as we often don’t think deeply about our societal design. Most of us are working so hard to keep our families safe, sheltered and fed that we don’t have the time or motivation to imagine what else is possible.

So we accept what is and the stories that “explain” what is. We’re then told to participate in illusory democracies to be an “active participant” in the body politic, all while Deep State interests dictate the direction of the politicians we vote for (hence illusory democracy).

There’s good news, though. Pointing out the idea of a Deep State almost two decades ago resulted in people thinking I was nuts. Now, it’s fairly common knowledge that an unelected Deep State controls governments (or whatever hegemony is in power at a given time).

“Behind the ostensible government sits enthroned an invisible government owing no allegiance and acknowledging no responsibility to the people. To destroy this invisible government, to dissolve the unholy alliance between corrupt business and corrupt politics is the first task of the statesmanship of the day.”

—Theodore Roosevelt’s Autobiography, 1913

People are now desensitized to this reality and see it as “the way things are.” Every time we learn a new truth about how our world works, we integrate it into daily life and come up with ways to justify it as being Okay. *“It’s just human nature,”* we ignorantly say.

To be fair, some of us don’t integrate those truths as “Okay,” and spend years being mad and frustrated with the state of the world, often complaining endlessly about it all, while simultaneously believing things can never change because the people in power are too powerful. This is called being blackpilled.

Whether we accept that things “are just the way they are” and end our vision for something better, or become blackpilled, we lose our imagination, our insight, and our birthright of connecting to what is truly possible for humans to thrive.

THAT, is in our DNA, yet we’ve lost sight of it.

Are We Willing?

Is there a willingness to explore something deeper? To become “a new human, a future human” in a sense? To cough up the blackpill and embrace the unknown? Or do we read this and then move on to the next thing on the internet?

For me, the constant content consumption that is prevalent on the internet is useful in small phases—not long term.

As we learn and make sense of what’s going on, we gain motivation to want to change. But then it’s useful to pause our habitual and endless scrolling and content consumption for something different—to go deeper, integrate, practice, and embody something new.

The challenge is sidestepping the useless yet inviting social media content, and breaking free from the mental and nervous habits of *“I need more! What am I missing? Who’s the enemy? What did I miss from THAT influencer?!”*



Just because that is our culture, doesn’t mean it’s a good idea to join.

For example, one of my friends who’s an incredibly talented filmmaker is now just making trendy memes for the internet. Memes that indeed point to the cultural challenges of our time, but also are nothing more than poking fun at real issues and the way people think about them.

What does this poking fun do? It goes viral. But does it help provide a solution? No. It’s just more distracted eyeballs caught up in social media la la land thinking in shallow ways about our challenges, all while thinking something is changing by sharing more memes.

Don’t get me wrong, laugh, have fun, be light—but when poking fun becomes our baseline, we’re in trouble. And it certainly has become a big cultural baseline.

Not connecting to something beautiful and thriving within ourselves has a cost. It’s vital to come back to that.

What Else Is Causing Our Imagination Crisis?

There are likely MANY causes for this, but I want to focus on a few that I’ve thought about deeply. It’s not “cool” to think about these things.

What’s popular is having “a take” and making fun of or complaining about those in power and those who disagree with us. While temporarily useful to have a good laugh about something, this has become the baseline for people and content creators all over. And it’s feeding into a culture of dehumanization and no imagination.

Cynicism is trendy, and having an idea that the world can be better is utopian and idealistic to many and “proven false” because of “communism and socialism!” The same lazy tropes never die.

As stated, this fits right into the crisis of imagination. We are so unconsciously shaped by our past and current culture that we don’t even take the time to think, imagine what else is possible, and converse about it intelligently.

Instead, we attack what is different with more “debunking rigour” than we apply to what we currently believe. Said another way, we aren’t willing to examine the fallacies of our existing beliefs to the same degree we desperately search for fallacies in new ideas.

There is a two-way feedback loop that creates our world and ourselves, and then ourselves and our world—confusing, I know.

But, our environment shapes us, and we also shape our environment. When we are unconscious, we tend to lose sight of how our environment shapes us and how we feed back into shaping our environment.

This is relevant, as our environment and culture are always telling us what is possible and not, and then we act on what we believe is possible and not. We reflexively respond from our past understandings of why something like a new energy technology is a hoax, why extraterrestrials can’t exist, or why humanity isn’t capable of something better.

We are often acting as agents of the past protecting the past, not joy-filled and wondrous humans curious to learn about a possible future.

This is what I have always meant by the idea that Change Starts Within. When we increase our awareness, self-awareness, and what we are conscious of, we have the power to apply ourselves differently and shape the world differently.

When you couple that with transforming from being driven by stress physiology and competitive world-views to being engaged with our true nature, curiosity and wonder are emergent, and we are no longer driven by unconscious evolution. This is what it means to “become a future human” in my mind.

A Way Forward

Space needs to be held for new ideas to emerge. And I see this happening in the great work of many people out there, but it’s not yet popular.

In our existing world and systems, often what becomes popular is well-funded because money is a precious resource that fuels the ability to put enough quality time into something that it can reach the masses. I watched this happen with CE (Collective Evolution) between

2011 and 2017. Funding = impact.

What’s well-funded now is that which generally upholds the old story. My hope is that that continues to change.

Beyond funding is embracing and holding a new story in our being, conversation and actions. I believe there is a massive wave of people (about 1/3 of the population) who are bored of the constant exposing of things and are looking for “What’s next?”

I go back to **four principles** of individual and collective evolution I’ve taught over the years with CE: **Break the Illusion** (awareness and self-awareness), **Awaken Neutrality** (Curiosity), **Awaken Possibility**, and **Live Aligned**.

Breaking the Illusion around something can be spurred by learning that something in our world didn’t happen the way we thought it did or learning that consciousness is likely not a product of the brain. It shifts our worldview and idea of WHAT IS. We WAKE UP to something and break an old illusion.

Space is created and after a while, that process leads to “What’s next?” which looks like exploring our curiosity, possibilities, and how to live aligned to that. This takes practice, community, and the willingness to look and not just fall back into old patterns or fall down a rabbit hole into an ungrounded and unintegrated worldview (a spiritual emergency).

As a practice, see where you reflexively deny or debunk something because of records of your past, is that past record actually true? Sometimes it’s worth checking. Especially when those “debunks” are leading to a cynical view of our future.

In my view, our world has a large wave of people engaging in “What’s next?” in a very big way. All while another major and newer wave is starting to break the illusion. A third wave is still very much invested in the old thinking, old story, and old way of being. And that serves as an evolutionary pressure for the other two—for now.

If we don’t re-ignite our imagination of “what else is possible” we are destined to be unconsciously guided into our future.

Originally published at thepulse.one

What’s Really Happening With Mpox

By David Bell

The World Health Organization (WHO) acted as expected this week and declared Mpox a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC). So, a problem in a small number of African countries that has killed about the same number of people this year as die every four hours from tuberculosis has come to dominate international headlines. This is raising a lot of angst from some circles against the WHO.

While angst is warranted, it is mostly misdirected. The WHO and the IHR (International Health Regulations) emergency committee they convened had little real power—they are simply following a script written by their sponsors. The African CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), which declared an emergency a day earlier, is in a similar position. Mpox is a real disease and needs local and proportionate solutions. But the problem it is highlighting is much bigger than Mpox or the WHO, and understanding this is essential if we are to fix it.

Mpox, previously called Monkeypox, is caused by a virus thought to normally infect African rodents such as rats and squirrels. It fairly frequently passes to, and between, humans. In humans, its effects range from very mild illness to fever and muscle pains to severe illness with its characteristic skin rash, and sometimes death. Different variants, called “clades,” produce slightly different symptoms. It is passed by close body contact including sexual activity, and the WHO declared a PHEIC two years ago for a clade that was mostly passed by men having sex with men.

The current outbreaks involve sexual transmission but also other close contact such as within households, expanding its potential for harm. Children are affected and suffer the most severe outcomes, perhaps due to issues of lower prior immunity and the effects of malnutrition and other illnesses.

Reality in DRC (Democratic Republic of Congo)

The current PHEIC was mainly precipitated by the ongoing outbreak in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), though there are known outbreaks in nearby countries covering a number of clades. About 500 people have died from Mpox in DRC this year, over 80% of them under 15 years of age. In that same period, about 40,000 people in DRC, mostly children under 5 years, died from malaria. The malaria deaths were mainly due to lack of access to very basic commodities, like diagnostic tests, antimalarial drugs, and insecticidal bed nets, as malaria control is chronically underfunded globally. Malaria is nearly always preventable or treatable if sufficiently resourced.

During this same period, in which 500 people died from Mpox in DRC, hundreds of thousands also died in DRC and surrounding African countries from tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS, and the impacts of malnutrition and unsafe water. Tuberculosis alone kills about 1.3 million people globally each year, which is a rate about 1,500 times higher than Mpox in 2024.


The population of DRC is also facing increasing instability characterized by mass rape and massacres, in part due to a scramble by warlords to service the appetite of richer countries for the components of batteries, which are needed to support the Green Agenda of Europe and North America. This is the context in which the people of DRC and nearby populations, who obviously should be the primary decision-makers regarding the Mpox outbreak, currently live.

An Industry Produces What it is Paid For

For the WHO and the international public health industry, Mpox presents a very different picture. They now work for a pandemic industrial complex, built by private and political interests on the ashes of international public health. Forty years ago, Mpox would have been viewed in context, proportional to the diseases that are shortening overall life expectancy and the poverty and civil disorder that allows

them to continue. The media would barely have mentioned the disease, as they were basing much of their coverage on impact and attempting to offer independent analysis.

Now, the public health industry is dependent on emergencies. They have spent the past 20 years building agencies, such as CEPI (Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations), inaugurated at the 2017 World Economic Forum (WEF) meeting and solely focused on developing vaccines for pandemics, and on expanding capacity to detect and distinguish ever more viruses and variants. This is supported by the recently passed amendments to the International



Health Regulations (IHR).

While improving nutrition, sanitation, and living conditions provided the path to longer lifespans in Western countries, such measures sit poorly with a colonial approach to world affairs in which the wealth and dominance of some countries are seen as being dependent on the continued poverty of others. This requires a paradigm in which decision-making is in the hands of distant bureaucratic and corporate masters. Public health has an unfortunate history of supporting this, with restriction of local decision-making and the pushing of commodities as key interventions.

Thus, we now have thousands of public health functionaries, from the WHO to research institutes to non-governmental organizations (NGOs), commercial companies, and private foundations, primarily dedicated to finding targets for Pharma, purloining public funding, and then developing and selling the cure. The entire newly-minted pandemic agenda, demonstrated successfully through the Covid-19 response, is based on this approach. Justification for the salaries involved requires detection of outbreaks, an exaggeration of their likely impact, and the institution of a commodity-heavy and usually vaccine-based response.

The sponsors of this entire process—countries with large Pharma industries, Pharma investors, and Pharma companies themselves—have established power through media and political sponsorship to ensure the approach works. Evidence of the intent of the model and the harms it is wreaking can be effectively hidden from public view by a subservient media and publishing industry. But in DRC, people who have long suffered the exploitation of war and the mineral extractors, who replaced a particularly brutal colonial regime, must now also deal with the wealth extractors of Pharma.

Dealing With the Cause

While Mpox is concentrated in Africa, the effects of corrupted public health are global. Bird flu will likely follow the same course as Mpox in the near future. The army of researchers paid to find more outbreaks will do so. While the risk from pandemics is not significantly different than decades ago, there is an industry dependent on making you think otherwise.

As the Covid-19 playbook showed, this is about money and power on a scale only matched by similar fascist regimes of the past. Current efforts across Western countries to denigrate the concept of free speech, to criminalize dissent, and to institute health passports to control movement are not new and are in no way disconnected from the inevitability of the WHO declaring the Mpox PHEIC. We are not in the world we knew twenty years ago.

Poverty and the external forces that benefit from war, and the diseases these enable, will continue to hammer the people of DRC. If a mass vaccination campaign is instituted, which is highly likely, financial and human resources will be diverted from far greater threats. This is why decision-making must now be centralized far from the communities affected. Local priorities will never match those that expansion of the pandemic industry depends on.

In the West, we must move on from blaming the WHO and address the reality unfolding around us. Censorship is being promoted by journalists, courts are serving political agendas, and the very concept of nationhood, on which democracy depends, is being demonized. A fascist agenda is openly promoted by corporate clubs such as the World Economic Forum and echoed by the international institutions set up after the Second World War specifically to oppose it. If we cannot see this and if we do not refuse to participate, then we will have only ourselves to blame. We are voting for these governments and accepting obvious fraud, and we can choose not to do so.

For the people of DRC, children will continue to tragically die from Mpox, from malaria, and from all the diseases that ensure return on investment for distant companies making pharmaceuticals and batteries. They can ignore the pleading of the servants of the White Men of Davos who will wish to inject them, but they cannot ignore their poverty or the disinterest in their opinions. As with Covid-19, they will now become poorer because Google, *The Guardian*, and the WHO were bought a long time back, and now serve others.

The one real hope is that we ignore lies and empty pronouncements, refusing to bow to unfounded fear. In public health and in society, censorship protects falsehoods and dictates reflect greed for power. Once we refuse to accept either, we can begin to address the problems at the WHO and the inequity it is promoting. Until that time, we will live in this increasingly vicious circus.

David Bell, Senior Scholar at Brownstone Institute, is a public health physician and biotech consultant in global health. He is a former medical officer and scientist at the World Health Organization (WHO), Programme Head for malaria and febrile diseases at the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND) in Geneva, Switzerland, and Director of Global Health Technologies at Intellectual Ventures Global Good Fund in Bellevue, WA, USA.

Originally published at brownstone.org

Websites of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us. You can visit druthers.ca to get in touch.

vaccinechoicecanada.com	action4canada.com
freedomrising.info	theylied.ca
librti.com	americasfrontlinedoctors.com
worlddoctorsalliance.com	evidencenotfear.com
thehighwire.com	activistpost.com
corbettreport.com	biodigcon.com
pressfortruth.ca	standupcanada.solutions
stand4thee.com	libertycoalitioncanada.com
awarriorcalls.com	awakecanada.org
takeactioncanada.ca	vaccineregret.net
brightlightnews.com	canadiancovidcarealliance.org
nationalcitizensinquiry.ca	canadahealthalliance.org
shelaw.ca	childrenshealthdefense.org
internationalman.com	redacted.inc
swprs.org	globalwalkout.com
geoengineeringwatch.org	realnotrare.com
howbad.info	mamabearsproject.com
thepowershift.ca	globalresearch.ca

Last Updated September 2024

Unraveling the Plane Crash in Brazil: Coincidence or Conspiracy?

By The Truth About Cancer

On Friday, August 9, 2024, in a shocking tragedy that has raised more questions than answers, Flight 2283, operated by VoePass Airlines, carrying 62 passengers, crashed 50 miles northwest of São Paulo, Brazil, killing all on board, including six oncologists, two resident doctors, and a prominent attorney who was known for suing airlines. According to *Daily Mail*, there were initially fifteen doctors who had been scheduled to take the two-hour doomed flight, but seven took an earlier plane.

The doctors on board, who dedicated their lives to battling cancer and researching the controversial link between mRNA vaccines and aggressive “turbo cancers,” were en route to an international oncology conference in São Paulo. The group included renowned radiologist, Leano Ferrera, and pediatric cancer experts, Sarah Stella and Silvia Osaki, who were allegedly prepared to present groundbreaking evidence linking the COVID-19 mRNA shots to an increase in autoimmune diseases and aggressive cancers.

Just last week, YouTube’s CEO, Susan Wojcicki, who led the charge in censoring and banning anyone who told the truth about the so-called “vaccine conspiracy theories” and “vaccine disinformation” succumbed to an aggressive form of cancer. She had pushed hard for everyone to take the COVID-19 shots and boosters.

We [The Truth About Cancer] were a target of the Biden White House, and the day Biden called us “killers” for telling the truth about COVID-19 and vaccines, Susan Wojcicki removed our YouTube channel. We had almost 300,000 loyal subscribers and countless millions of views of single episodes, which were very well received by health-minded people and cancer patients, who counted on us to help keep them alive and thriving. We knew that fateful day that our government was colluding with YouTube and social media outlets to censor us. Chilling New World.

Despite the mainstream media’s relentless efforts, driven by Big Pharma, to dismiss any link between COVID-19 injections and adverse health effects—treat-

ing the shots as if they were some kind of magical “cure-all” with no possible side effects—the evidence is overwhelming: The mRNA injections are causing catastrophic harm to many recipients, including “turbo” cancer. Veteran Canadian oncologist, Dr. William Makis, who has diagnosed over 20,000 cancer patients throughout his esteemed career, reports that he has never encountered anything like this.



Back to the plane crash

Videos of the event show that the sky was clear when the plane started spiraling in an unusual circling motion before crashing. Given the perfect weather conditions and the fact that seven other doctors slated to be on the same flight switched to an earlier plane at the last minute, is quite disturbing. The “official story” suggests the plane may have encountered sudden icing on its wings, leading to the crash. And that’s certainly possible.

But the absence of a mayday call from the experienced pilot, Danilo Romano, and the lack of any reported mechanical issues prior to the crash, have fueled theories that this was more than just a tragic accident. Could it be that this crash was deliberately orchestrated to eliminate key figures whose groundbreaking work posed a threat to powerful interests in Big Pharma? After all, companies

like Pfizer, deeply invested in the very injections under scrutiny, have a lot to lose if these professionals were allowed to present their findings.

This looks eerily similar to the mysterious deaths of holistic doctors over the years—a pattern extensively exposed by our friend, Erin Elizabeth.¹ It seems like those who challenge the status quo face consequences that are more than just career-ending.

The aviation industry might be eager to dismiss any allegations of foul play, but the convergence of clear skies, high-profile passengers, and the unexpected decisions of seven other doctors to change their flights, raises significant concerns. Oh yeah, we mentioned this earlier, but it’s worth reiterating. Among the 62 victims was attorney, Laiana Vasatta, known for her work in airline litigation.

Things that make you go “Hmmmmmm”

Considering the high stakes—cancer research, Big Pharma interests, and legal battles against major corporations—it’s not far-fetched to suspect that this crash could be more than just a tragic accident. Could it have been orchestrated to remove these crucial figures? The timing, passenger list, and ideal flying conditions make it hard to dismiss as mere coincidence without a thorough investigation. We’re

not saying everything is a conspiracy, but when it walks like a duck and quacks like a duck—well, you get the idea.

As the inquiry progresses and conclusions are drawn, this incident highlights the risks faced by those who confront powerful entities. Whether or not foul play is ultimately proven, the loss of these doctors is significant—for their families and colleagues and also for the global fight against cancer and ongoing debates about the safety of mRNA shots.

Until the whole truth is uncovered, the plane crash in Brazil will continue to be a source of deep concern and speculation.

1. healthnutnews.com/recap

Originally published at thetruthaboutcancerofficial.substack.com

Driving an Electric Car is Fake Environmentalism

By Mark Keenan

In Sweden, there exists an electrified road for Electric Vehicles (EVs) to charge while driving.¹ The two-kilometre stretch of road is the world’s first of its kind, and an expansion of a further 3,000 km of electric road by 2045 is planned. It all sounds rather cool and futuristic, and I am reminded of a song lyric from the 1980s, the singer Eddie Grant sang “We gonna rock down to Electric Avenue.”

However, let us consider whether these expensive EVs are actually environmentally friendly or are yet another mega-corporate marketing scam.

This article demonstrates that the latter is the case. The reality is that the misled environmentalists buying these cars are suckers for mega-corporate advertising, ignorantly proud of their so-called low-carbon e-cars. Apparently, they are unaware that the manufacture of millions of electric car batteries requires huge mining operations to acquire and refine large quantities of rare earth metals. Lithium, rhodium, and cobalt are metals that have to be mined out of the ground using machinery powered by carbon-emitting vehicles, which are powered by diesel or petrol. Importantly, the mining and refining processes can cause significant and extensive pollution to land, air, and water systems, for example, in rural China and Mongolia.² Unlike the fake climate agenda, these are real environmental problems.

Lithium is extracted from lithium leach fields, which is what your EV batteries are made of. The leach fields are so neuro-toxic that a bird landing on it dies in minutes. Take a guess what it does to your nervous system. Pat yourself on the back for saving the environment.

And the push to end gasoline or diesel transport by

2035 in favour of e-vehicles is based on a lie as the lithium-ion battery-powered vehicles have a total “carbon footprint” when the effects of mining lithium and producing all parts are included, which is worse than diesel autos.

Furthermore, electric cars are still driven by electricity produced from “fossil” fuels and will most likely continue to be. Despite decades of government subsidies, wind power provides less than 5% of the world’s energy, and solar, just 1%. The use of electricity to charge vehicles and devices is also an extremely inefficient use of energy, according to a study by the European Association for Battery Electric Vehicles commissioned by the European Commission (EC): “The ‘Well-to-Tank’ energy efficiency (from the primary energy source to the electrical plug), taking into account the energy consumed by the production and distribution of the electricity, is estimated at around 37%.”

Let us take a look at the deceptive marketing for electric vehicles. The first misleading marketing trick that millions of environmentalists fell for was the “hybrid.” Hybrid cars are actually gasoline-powered cars with a little battery assistance and the little battery has to be charged from the gasoline engine.

If the EPA-certified mileage is 55 mpg, then it is no different from a non-hybrid that achieves 55 mpg. A world 100% full of “hybrid” drivers is still 100% addicted to oil.

Now, consider a cleverly-designed marketing pitch for electric cars by

Elon Musk, Co-Founder and CEO of Tesla Motors. In an article published on the Tesla Motors website,³ he states: “The overarching purpose of Tesla Motors ... is to help expedite the move from a mine-and-burn hydrocarbon economy towards a solar electric economy ... I’d like to address two repeated arguments against electric vehicles—battery disposal and power plant emissions ... the Tesla Motors Lithium-Ion cells are not classified as hazardous and are landfill safe ... the battery pack can be sold to recycling companies (unsubsidized) at the end of its greater than 100,000-mile design life...”

“A common rebuttal to electric vehicles as a solution to carbon emissions is that they simply transfer the CO₂ emissions to the power plant. The obvious counter is that one can develop grid electric power from a variety of means,

See ‘EVs’ p.11



Photo by Tom Hegen via Euronews.com

Dangers of Fluoride Finally Acknowledged

Continued from p.2

ride because of the multiple sources of exposure, including in processed foods or by cooking with fluoridated water. This especially applies for pregnant mothers and people with kidney problems who will consume more water than the average person.

NTP’s Long Battle to Preserve the Science

The release of the NTP report coincides with the ongoing legal battle between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Fluoride Action Network (FAN). The lawsuit began following the EPA 2016 decision to deny the plaintiff’s petition under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). FAN is attempting to prove that fluoride is a neurotoxin and should be regulated or banned under the TSCA.

The second phase of the fluoride lawsuit concluded in February, but Judge Edward Chen has yet to rule on the matter. Now that the final version of the NTP monograph has been released, Chen may soon decide to issue his final ruling.

Michael Connett, the lead attorney representing the FAN, told TLAV the NTP finding confirms what previous data has shown relating to fluoride and IQ.

“NTP landmark report confirms that there is a large, consistent, and reliable body of scientific research linking fluoride to reduced IQ, and that the doses associated with lower IQ are precariously close to what hundreds of millions of Americans consume everyday,” Connett said via text message. *“This isn’t what people signed up for when we started adding fluoride to the water. We didn’t sign up to add a neurotoxicant to our water. We signed up for something that could help our teeth. Now that we know that it can affect our brain, we really need to go back to square one on this.”*

Emails released in early January 2023 as part of the lawsuit, reveal that various elements of the U.S. government appear to have been involved in a concerted effort to block the release of the NTP draft report—a report which concluded fluoride is linked to lower IQ in children.

The emails show that NTP scientists believed their work was completed and set a date for May 2022 for publication. However, leadership at the top levels of the HHS intervened to stop the report from being released.

One email dated April 28, 2022, shows Dr. Mary Wolfe, the Director of NTP Office of Policy, Review and Outreach, emailed Casey Hannan, the Director of CDC (Centers for Disease Control) Division of Oral Health, and stated the NTP *“analysis and conclusions are set.”* Dr. Wolfe also let Hannan know that the NTP had reviewed the CDC previously submitted comments, but still planned to release the review *“mid-late May” 2022.*

In a May 11, 2022, email, Wolfe again notifies Hannan and the CDC that the NTP has *“set May 18, 2022 for publication of the monograph. The monograph will be posted to the NTP website, and we will email a notice of the posting to NTP listserv subscribers.”*

However, later that day and the following day, Dr. Karen Hacker, the Director of CDC National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, tells Dr. Wolfe that there is concern within the CDC about publishing the NTP review without an additional review by *“NIH [National Institutes of Health] leadership.”* Hacker also asked about the potential of a *“interagency review”* by the HHS.

Dr. Wolfe subsequently told the CDC that *“we (the NTP) believe the current findings, as stated in the monograph, reflect the scope of our evaluation and the available scientific literature and no revision is needed.”*

Meanwhile, as Dr. Wolfe was defending the work of the NTP, internal emails among officials at the CDC Division of Oral Health reveal that the CDC was already preparing to prevent the release. A May 12, 2022, email from Hannan states: *“The May 18th release date for [the monograph] is almost certainly not going to happen. OASH and NIH OD are pretty clearly going to get more involved.”*

OASH is a reference to the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Health, the second highest office in the Department of Health and Human Services, while NIH OD refers to the NIH Office of the Director, the highest office within the NIH. The current Director of the NIH is Lawrence A. Tabak.

An additional email, dated June 3rd, 2022, shows Nicole Johnson, Associate Director for Policy, Partnerships and Strategic Communication in CDC Oral Health Division, contacting Jennifer Greaser, a Senior Public Health Policy Analyst in CDC Washington office. Johnson states: *“The latest we heard (yesterday) is that ASH Levine has put the report on hold until further notice.”*

ASH Levine refers to the U.S. Assistant Secretary of Health, Rachel Levine.

These communications point to direct intervention from the head of the NIH and the Assistant Secretary for Health at the Department of Health and Human Services.

The emails confirm what has long been suspected. Namely, that government officials, at some level, were preventing the release of this important review on the toxicity of fluoride. The CDC interference comes on top of an already unusual process employed by the NTP to evaluate the data on the safety of water fluoridation.

Other emails released in the lawsuit include a rare view into the discussions between government scientists and anonymous peer-reviewers. Some of the back and forth centers around the peer-reviewer’s desire to have the NTP insert statements noting that the majority of the studies being reviewed involved exposure to fluoride at levels above the US government’s recommended dose for water fluoridation. The NTP scientists repeatedly assert their view that adding such a statement is unnecessary, because water fluoridation is not the only exposure an individual faces. *“As we discuss in the monograph, fluoride is found in water, certain foods, dental products, some pharmaceuticals, etc., and individual behaviors are likely to be an important determinant of actual total fluoride exposures.”*

The Evidence Continues to Pile Up

One recent study not included in the NTP final report, released in May 2024, and published at JAMA Network Open medical journal, is Maternal Urinary Fluoride and Child Neurobehavior at Age 36 Months.²

The researchers studied the maternal urinary fluoride (MUF) levels of 229 Hispanic pregnant women living in Los Angeles, California. They looked for associations between third trimester MUF and the children’s neurobehavior at three years of age. They found that *“prenatal fluoride exposure may increase risk of neurobehavioral problems among children.”*

“These findings suggest that there may be a need to establish recommendations for limiting exposure to fluoride from all sources during the prenatal period, a time when the developing brain is known to be especially vulnerable to injury from environmental insults,” the study states (emphasis added).

The JAMA researchers write, *“the study sample resided in a predominately fluoridated region and had fluoride exposures that are typical of those living in flu-*

oridated communities in North America.”

The JAMA study, along with the release of the NTP final report, may lead Judge Chen to rule in favor of the Fluoride Action Network. It may also lead nowhere, as the U.S. government continues to ignore its own data, which shows water fluoridation is causing harm to Americans.

1. ntp.niehs.nih.gov/publications/monographs/mgraph08
2. jamanetwork.com/journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2818858

Originally published at
thelastamericanvagabond.com

Advertisement

Time for ACTION

Raise Awareness
Share the Truth

THEY LIED.ca

Are you a writer?

Druthers is written, funded, distributed, and promoted by the people. If you would like to have your writings considered for publishing in Druthers, please reach out to editor@druthers.net for more info.

Thank you. Stay strong!

Schooled on Vaccines

Continued from p.6

cinated studies to prove safety and efficacy, several independent researchers have conducted such studies in recent years. The results are deeply disturbing. The evidence is compelling that vaccinated children suffer more chronic disease, hospitalizations, and death than unvaccinated children.

Studies with graphics that clearly show the difference between vaccinated and unvaccinated children are available in the *New Parents Guide to Understanding Vaccination.*

Cardiologist, Dr. Aseem Malhotra, recently declared, *“The mRNA jab is the most horrific medical product in history.”* I suggest the reality is that the vaccine paradigm is the most horrific medical practice in history.

Ultimately, it is you, the parents, who must claim the responsibility for the vaccine decision, as it is you who will experience the consequences of this decision. This is no time to have blind faith in these institutions, which insist on legal and financial immunity when their products cause injury and death.

1. vaccinechoicecanada.com/exemptions
2. uptoeveryone.com/products/new-parents-guide-to-understanding-vaccination

Ted Kuntz is President of VaccineChoiceCanada.com



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
September 2024 circulation: 200,000 copies Canada-wide
+20,000 extra copies last month via Neighbourhood Mail
Original content DRUTHERS 2024®
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Administrator: Christie-Lynn
Editors: Michelle & Lynn
Layout & Design: David Bolton
Extra big thanks to: Kristy, Bob, Jessica, Geraldine, Shelagh, Stacey, Len, Cathy, Lynne, Darlene & so many more...
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on.”
– Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS.

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

Please give what you can: druthers.ca/donate Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

The UK Riots – How Misinformation Causes Everything

By Andrew Lowenthal

A massive attack on civil liberties is underway in the wake of a week of rioting in the UK. For politicians, the media, NGOs (non-governmental organizations), and academics, our old friend “misinformation” shares a large portion of the blame. It is the excuse that keeps on giving. “Fake news sparks riots,” “Misinformation fuels riots,” “Misinformation Stoked Anti-Migrant Riots,” Protests “sparked by misinformation,” “Disinformation fueling the UK far-right riots,” “How false claims about a mass stabbing led to a riot,” “How disinformation spread to start a riot,” and “UK riots spurred by misinformation.” There are literally hundreds of articles of this type, seemingly extracted from the laziness of ChatGPT prompts.

The UK is talking of arresting and even extraditing people from other countries for misinformation. “You may be committing a crime

if you repost, repeat, or amplify a message which is false,” said UK Director of Public Prosecutions, Stephen Parkinson. There are calls to expand the

which targeted legitimate dissent during Covid, is being rebooted to monitor social media.

Misinformation was spread, particularly in the days when the name of the teenager charged with murdering the three young girls was withheld as per UK law. Once the identity of the alleged attacker was known (a British citizen born of Rwandan parents) the riots in no way abated. It was all fuel for the anti-immigration movement. The people leading the rioting weren’t information connoisseurs, they needed just enough true information to connect to real concerns; “Tinderbox Britain” did the rest.

It is quaint to think that, had accurate information about the attacker been immediately known, there would have been no viral outrage and protest. Information can direct where energy flows, but the energy and tension were long there.



A car burns on Parliament Road, in Middlesbrough, England, recently. Pic: PA via news.sky.com

already regressive online safety bill and facial recognition, and the Counter Disinformation Unit,

If it hadn’t been this event it would have been another soon enough.

If the roots and shoots of a society are green and healthy, a spark thrown at it is unlikely to do much damage. If the forest is dry, you don’t need a bolt of lightning (real or imagined); a hot summer day is enough for combustion.

Indeed, despite a massive ramping up of progressive anti-racist and pro-immigration campaigns in the past decade, the UK appears to be more anti-immigration than ever. Not only have anti-racism campaigns failed, but the all-stick-no-carrot approach of the last decade arguably exacerbated tensions.

The purpose of blaming “misinformation” is that it deflects from the real issue: a great many Britons are not happy with the scale and results of immigration. According to Wikipedia, a record 1.26 million people arrived in 2022, and recent polls say just 9% of Britons are satisfied with the way the UK government is dealing with immigration.

You may decide that xenophobia and racism are the real causes of the unrest and that there is no legitimate criticism (even of the peaceable and democratic kind) to be had of migration and integration. That, however, does not change the fact that British society is deeply fractured. Do you put everything down to perception and continue with the same policies regardless? No matter how much you suppress and nudge you’ll get the same outcome or worse without real material change, especially as economic conditions likely worsen.

The “misinformation” excuse just kicks the can down the road, and failing to have difficult conversations leaves the terrain open to the extremes. It was always magical to think that papery over such huge issues would work for any serious length of time. If there is an information culprit, it is the shutting down of conversation on difficult issues.

Fact-checkers and NGO workers are overwhelmingly of the post-modern progressive variety and generally supporters of large-scale immigration. For them, too, the fault must not be laid at the scope and scale of existing policy. I have often tried to explain to my progressive friends that large-scale migration is a capitalist program, not an anti-racist one. But this progressive shift fits the broader one where progressives now largely support corporate and government authoritarianism, from Big Pharma to border closures.

Misinformation is the new “the dog ate my homework” for politicians and the media class. The only real solutions are hard and deeply uncomfortable conversations. Repress the conversation, and you amplify the fringe. Creating sustainable policy solutions requires holding the conversation at the centre, and not dismissing everything inconvenient as “misinformation.” The alternative is social Balkanization and expanding authoritarianism.

The repressed always returns, and it is usually ugly.

Originally published at networkaffects.substack.com

EVs, Not So Eco-Friendly

Continued from p.9

many of which, like hydro, wind, geothermal, nuclear, solar, etc., involve no CO₂ emissions. However, let’s assume for the moment that the electricity is generated from a hydrocarbon source like natural gas... the hands down winner is pure electric: We will be offering a modestly sized and priced solar ... This system can be ... set up as a carport and will generate about 50 miles per day of electricity. If you travel less than 350 miles per week, you will therefore be ‘energy positive’ with respect to your personal transportation ... you will actually be putting more energy back into the system than you consume in transportation!”

However, Elon Musk does not mention the fact that:

- The move from mine-and-burn hydrocarbon economy towards a solar electric economy in itself requires a vast expenditure of “fossil” fuel energy to re-purpose the entire worldwide industrial system, as well as build vast new energy grids for wind and solar energy, etc., simply to reduce CO₂ emissions. A new industrial framework which will still be very polluting to land, air, and water in virtually the same ways as the old framework. It creates more and more “product” to be marketed and sold, such as electric cars, which we are now incorrectly told is okay because its “green product.”
- The Energy Returned on Energy Invested (EROEI) for solar and wind energy is too low to be viable, and therefore, to repurpose and rebuild the world energy and industrial system to de-carbonize the economy is a waste of vast amounts of “fossil” fuels (no wonder the sector seeks subsidies).
- The manufacture of potentially hundreds of millions of new electric cars and electric car batteries involves a continuation of widespread mining and processing of rare earth metals, such as lithium, rhodium, and cobalt, which are a limited resource. The mining and processing of rare earth metals has been shown to be polluting to land, air, and water systems, such as rivers.
- If you charge the car with solar energy, you may be putting slightly more energy back into the system than you consume in “driving the car.” However, driving the electric car is only one small part of the entire energy consuming process from mining to manufacture to distribution, not to mention the embedded energy in the manufactured materials of a new worldwide supply-chain industrial infrastructure, including cars, factories, energy grids, wind turbines, photovoltaics (solar panels), etc.
- Vitally, CO₂ emissions are not the cause of climate change. This is evidenced in my books: *Transcending the Climate Change Deception Toward Real Sustainability* and *CO₂ Climate Hoax – How Bankers Hijacked the Real Environment Movement*.

As an example of why electric cars are fake environmentalism, let us consider a Tesla model Y battery. It

takes up all of the space under the passenger compartment of the car. To manufacture the battery, it is estimated that you will need:

- 12 tons of rock for lithium and 5 tons of cobalt minerals. Most cobalt is made as a byproduct of the processing of copper and nickel ores. It is a very difficult to obtain and is very expensive.
- 3 tons of nickel ore and 12 tons of copper ore. You must move 250 tons of soil to obtain 26.5 pounds of lithium, 30 pounds of nickel, 48.5 pounds of manganese, and 15 pounds of cobalt.
- 441 pounds of aluminum, steel and/or plastic, and 112 pounds of graphite.

A Caterpillar 994A vehicle is commonly used for the earthmoving to obtain the essential minerals. It has been estimated to consume between 250 and 775 gallons of diesel in 12 hours.⁴

Finally, you get a “zero emissions” car.

Presently, the bulk of the necessary minerals for manufacturing the batteries comes from China or Africa; and much of the hard labour for acquiring the minerals in Africa is done by children!

The cost of Tesla batteries for the Tesla car models ranges from \$5,000 to \$20,000 US.

It takes seven years for an electric car to reach net-zero CO₂. The average life expectancy of the batteries is 10 years. Only in the last three years do you begin to reduce your carbon footprint. Then, the batteries have to be replaced and you lose all the gains you made in those three years.

The reality should be distinguished from the lies we are all being told by the UN (United Nations), the WEF (World Economic Forum), and mega-corporate advertising. The word “sustainable” was hijacked decades ago, and it is now deceptively used to advance the agendas of mega-corporate-communist interests who couldn’t care less about the environment. The aim is to catapult humanity into the “fake-sustainable” totalitarian arms of UN Agenda 2030 and other clever marketing plans entirely designed by the so-called elites of the corporate WEF Davos group.

1. euronews.com/next/2023/05/09/sweden-is-building-the-worlds-first-permanent-electrified-road-for-evs-to-charge-while-dri
2. theguardian.com/environment/2012/aug/07/china-rare-earth-village-pollution
3. tesla.com/blog/secret-tesla-motors-master-plan-just-between-you-and-me
4. heavyequipmentforums.com/threads/cat-994-fuel-consumption.94089

Mark Gerard Keenan, is a former scientist at the UK Government Dept. of Energy and Climate Change, and at the United Nations Environment Division. He is also the author of six books, available at mkeenan.ie/published-books


Absurdity Observer

Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- The CBC just dished out \$18.4 million in “bonuses” for the last fiscal year. Of that, \$3.3 million of that was paid out to 45 executives, who received an average bonus of \$73,000. The CBC will take more than \$1.4 billion from taxpayers this fiscal year in addition to the \$42 million it received from the Trudeau government in “top-up” funding.
- As reported by *Blacklock’s Reporter*, Cabinet has abruptly scrubbed dozens of Government of Canada web pages detailing more than \$24 billion in payments to COVID contractors. The publicly accessible database was deleted only weeks after Treasury Board President, Anita Anand, promised to “make sure we have transparency in government contracting.”
- Former NIAID (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases) director and the chief medical advisor to the president, Dr. Anthony Fauci, announces that he has been infected with COVID for the 3rd time, despite having received 6 shots.
- UK police have begun to arrest citizens for social media posts they claim “contain inaccurate information” under the new “false communications” law (S179, Online Safety Act 2023). One of many recent arrests includes that of a 55-year-old woman for allegedly inaccurately claiming the attacker in the Southport murders was an asylum seeker, and for not using his correct name.
- European Commissioner, Thierry Breton, on Monday warned X (Twitter) owner, Elon Musk, that the company may face penalties if it doesn’t address the spread of illegal content, such as hate speech and misinformation. Breton referred to riots in the UK triggered by disinformation related to a fatal stabbing attack in Southport, as well as a conversation Musk had with Donald Trump. Breton warned Musk that the EU was prepared to “make full use of our toolbox” under the Digital Services Act, including interim measures, to protect EU citizens from “serious harm.”
- New peer-reviewed study of over 9 million people finds 620% higher risk of myocarditis after mRNA COVID vaccines. The study (*Jung et al.*), which focused on the Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna shots, utilized data from the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency and also found a higher incidence of pericarditis and Guillain-Barré syndrome after mRNA COVID vaccines.
- New large-scale study finds a massive drop in public trust in doctors and hospitals since the COVID-19 plandemic. The new study, published in *JAMA* (*Journal of the American Medical Association*), led by Dr. Roy H. Perlis of Harvard University, involved 443,455 unique respondents from all 50 states and the District of Columbia (DC). The study found that trust in physicians and hospitals had plummeted to only

40.1% in early 2024 (compared to 71.5% in early 2020). Unsurprisingly, the study also found that higher trust in physicians and hospitals was strongly associated with a higher likelihood of receiving vaccinations for SARS-CoV-2 and influenza. The study concludes that the COVID-19 pandemic has significantly eroded trust in physicians and hospitals, with potential long-term effects on public health.

- New freedom of information request (FOI) email reveals that former NIAID director, Dr. Anthony Fauci, lied to Congress under oath about using his personal email account for official government business. In the controversy, now known as #BeagleGate,



involving a NIAID-funded study, where sedated beagles had their heads placed in mesh cages to be bitten by sand flies, emails obtained by the American animal rights group *White Coat Waste Project* suggest that Fauci may have attempted to conceal incriminating information. When questioned about #BeagleGate in his government email, Fauci was found to have responded, “I will send you an email via my Gmail account.”

- In a direct assault on religious freedoms, an Amish community in Chatsworth, Ontario, has been fined \$400,000 for failing to use the ArriveCan app while traveling—despite the community not using smartphones or electricity, let alone applications. They also have religious exemptions from vaccinations, making the use of such technology unnecessary and intrusive for them.
- A US Department of Energy official announced that “queering nuclear weapons” as part of a DEI (diversity, equity, and inclusion) push is essential for deterring threats to nuclear energy facilities in the US. “Queer theory helps to shift the perception of nuclear weapons as instruments for security by telling the hidden stories of displacement, illness, and trauma caused by their

production and testing.”

- The Canadian government has issued advice warning citizens to prepare for a hypothetical new virus that could lead to food and fuel disruptions. In the newest edition of their handbook, *The Centre for Occupational Health and Safety* focused on a ‘hypothetical virus,’ which they warn could trigger more disruption than COVID-19 did, and people should brace for disruptions in telecommunications, banking, water, gasoline, medicine, and food.
- Health Canada proposes allowing the sale of foods from cloned animals without mandatory labeling, meaning consumers would be unaware they were eating cloned meat.
- Ireland’s energy-hungry datacentres consumed more electricity last year than all of its urban homes combined, according to official figures. The country’s growing fleet of data centres, driven by increased use of AI, used 21% of the country’s electricity, according to the Central Statistics Office.
- US Supreme Court dismisses case where a child was given a COVID-19 shot at school despite his parents’ prior communication to their local public school that he was not to be vaccinated. The boy told workers that both he and his parents objected, but they distracted him with a stuffed animal and administered the jab. The dismissal indicates that schools have no legal accountability for such actions.
- The WHO declares the current Mpox (previously Monkeypox) outbreak as a “Public Health Emergency of International Concern” (PHEIC, pronounced as FAKE).

- New study finds alarming rise in persistent “forever chemicals” (PFAS) in pesticides. A peer-reviewed study published in the journal *Environmental Health Perspectives* has found that per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances, or PFAS, known as “forever chemicals,” are increasingly being added to US pesticide products, contaminating waterways and posing potential threats to human health.
- A new pre-print research article titled: “A Novel Practical Approach for Directly Assessing COVID-19 Vaccine Efficacy against Hospitalization” (*Kirsch et al.*) finds that COVID and flu vaccines have no effect on the hospitalization rates.
- Meta Platforms were found to have collected and used biometric data of users without their permission in a \$1.4 billion lawsuit brought by the state of Texas. Facebook’s parent company was found to be using facial recognition technology to collect biometric data of more than 20 million Texans without their permission. The information was captured by photos and videos uploaded to the social media platform. The \$1.4 billion settlement is the largest obtained in a lawsuit brought by a single state.

love CANADA DRUTHERS

ASK ME FOR A FREE COPY OF MY FAVOURITE NEWSPAPER DRUTHERS Uncensored, independent, people powered media. www.druthers.ca

TRUTH LOVE TRUTH JOY PEACE KINDNESS LOVE TRUTH FREEDOM

FREEDOM FOREVER

STAND UP SPEAK OUT BE HEARD STAY STRONG CHANGE THE WORLD DRUTHERS

Support Druthers

Get 20% off automatically when you purchase any 4 shirts.

Stand For Freedom