

DRUTHERS

TRUTH • LOVE • FREEDOM

Issue #44 | July 2024

druthers /n. ...Informal...

one's own way, preference, or choice:
eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

FREE

Covering news & information that mainstream media won't. Please read and then pass this paper along to someone else when done. Find more to explore on our website: DRUTHERS.CA

Top Study: Carbon Emissions DO NOT Cause "Global Warming"

By Frank Bergman, slaynews.com

A bombshell new peer-reviewed study has provided conclusive scientific evidence proving that carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions in Earth's atmosphere cannot cause "global warming."

Dr. Jan Kubicki led a group of world-renowned Polish scientists to study the impact of increases in CO₂ emissions on the Earth's global temperatures.

However, not only did they find that higher levels of CO₂ made no difference, they also proved that it simply isn't possible for increases in carbon dioxide to cause temperatures to rise.

Kubicki and his team recently published three papers¹ which all conclude that Earth's atmosphere is already "saturated" with carbon dioxide. This saturation means that, even at greatly increased levels of CO₂, the "greenhouse gas" will not cause temperatures to rise. Kubicki et al. summarize their evidence by noting that as a result of saturation, "emitted CO₂ does not directly cause an increase in global temperature." Current levels of CO₂ in the atmosphere are around 418 parts per million (ppm) but the scientists state that beyond 400 ppm, "the CO₂ concentration can no longer cause any increase in temperature."

The saturation of CO₂ in the atmosphere is the hypothesis that dares not speak its name in mainstream media, politics, and across much of climate science. The World Economic Forum's (WEF) "Net Zero" collectivism agenda is doomed without the constant fearmongering of a so-called "climate crisis." One of the key propaganda messages behind this "green agenda" is that humans are responsible for the ever-warming climate by burning hydrocarbons ("fossil fuels") and releasing CO₂ into the atmosphere.

The saturation hypothesis is complex, but in simple terms, it can be described by the example of loft insulation in a house. After a certain point, doubling the lagging will have little effect since most of the heat trying to escape through the roof has already been trapped. Carbon dioxide traps heat only within narrow bands of the infrared spectrum.

Levels of the gas have been up to 20 times higher in the past without any sign of runaway "global warming." At current levels, the Polish scientists suggest that there is "currently multiple exceedances of the saturation mass for carbon dioxide in the Earth's atmosphere." The latest study is published² in the prestigious Elsevier's *Science Direct* peer-reviewed journal. Many other scientists are attracted to the saturation hypothesis because it provides more plausible explanations to fit past changes

in the climate.

Last year, three scientists led by Atmospheric Professor Yi Huang of McGill University stated that: "Transmission in the CO₂ band center is unchanged by increased CO₂ as the absorption is already saturated."



Despite over 50 years of trying, climate modelers and scientists in the anthropogenic camp are no nearer putting a temperature rise on a doubling of CO₂ in the atmosphere.

Estimates from 0.5°C up to around 6°C, with some outliers as high as 10°C, are little more than guesses, yet they form the "scientific" bedrock for promoting global fear of human-caused climate change. The figures are too wild and imprecise to make any reasonable scientific predictions, yet the claim is constantly repeated that the science is "settled," the "consensus" proof is in and it is all beyond debate.

The Polish scientists quote the author of *Idso 1998* that "currently used models do not yet provide a suitable basis for the development of rational policies related to potential climate changes." Science outlet *No Tricks Zone* notes that the Polish authors are concerned about the recent push to rely on modeling and assumptions about CO₂'s capacity to drive changes in global temperatures rather than observational evidence. "This unequivocally

suggests that the officially presented impact of anthropogenic CO₂ increase on Earth's climate is merely a hypothesis rather than a substantiated fact." The online science site also reports on other recent scientific work that backs up the saturation hypothesis.

In *Chen et al. 2023* it was reported that CO₂ had severely reduced warming effect past pre-industrial concentrations. It was also noted that water vapor and cloud influences overlap, thus dominating absorption in the CO₂ infrared band.

In 2022, German Physics Professor Dieter Schildknecht set the saturation level of CO₂ at just 300 ppm and concluded that beyond this, further increases cannot affect the Earth's climate. At this low level, absorption is said to reach levels close to 100%, so further human-caused CO₂ emissions cannot lead to appreciable stronger absorption of radiation.

Emeritus Professor William Happer of Princeton is another leading proponent of the saturation hypothesis. Happer was recently featured in Martin Durkin's *Climate: The Movie*. In the film, he responds to the current "science" enforcing the WEF's "Net Zero" agenda. He said he could live with the descriptive suggestion "hoax," although he preferred the word "scam."

Several other world-renowned scientists have also blasted the globalist "climate crisis" narrative as a "scam" and a "hoax."

Nobel physics laureate (2022), Dr. John Clauser, blasted the climate narrative in no uncertain terms: "I assert there is no connection whatsoever

between climate change and CO₂ —it's all a crock of crap, in my opinion." Clauser, a modern science giant, has also signed a declaration asserting as much. He joined over 1,600 scientists from around the world, including another Nobel Prize winner, by signing a declaration stating that claims of a "climate emergency" threatening the Earth are a hoax. The massive group of scientists signed the World Climate Declaration (WCD).

The WCD dismisses the existence of a "climate crisis" and insists that carbon dioxide is beneficial to Earth. The WCD was created by the Global Climate Intelligence Group (CLINTEL) and was first published in early August. Since it was published, hundreds of scientists have signed the WCD.

"There is no climate emergency," the WCD declares. "Climate science should be less political, while climate

See 'Unrealistic Net Zero' p.11

Advertisement



Protect Your Wealth with Precious Metals

Store With Us Or Deliver To Your Home. Safe & Secure.

NON-BANK, NON-GOVERNMENT!

SPROTT MONEY
The Most Trusted Name in Precious Metals.

Call us at 1-888-861-0775 or place an order online sprottmoney.ca



The Club of Sociopaths

By Shellie Troy

According to psychologist, Dr. Martha Stout, author of *The Sociopath Next Door*, sociopaths represent about 4% of the total population. They're found in every stratum of society; their behaviours vary from mild to severe; they land on a sociopath-psychopath spectrum; they feel no shame, no remorse and have no conscience—and given these innate characteristics, many of them gravitate toward affluence and power. Having no conscience could be called their secret weapon—and why 96% of us shake our heads and can't believe they can do the things they do.

"Elite" is another term for them, and if we take a quick survey of just a few, some of their methods, patterns, and relationships emerge, not to mention their insanely dystopian worldviews. The world's richest man, Bill Gates, is certainly a club member, if not its president.

In December, 2019, one month *before* Covid was officially announced, he tweeted this: *"What's next for our Foundation? I'm particularly excited about what the next year could mean for one of the best buys in global health: vaccines."*

"Best buys"?? The good philanthropath (philanthropic psychopath) was alerting his sharks about immense profits to be made. And that's exactly what happened.

April 2021: Forbes reported nearly 500 people became billionaires in the pandemic year—one new billionaire every 17 hours.

May 2022: CNN reported a new billionaire was minted nearly every day during the pandemic.

June 2022: Oxfam reported the ten richest men doubled their fortunes during the pandemic while incomes of 99 percent of humanity fell.

Gates' net worth increased by \$32.5 billion US between 2019-2022. Some will say, *"Well, of course, that's how capitalism works, a lucky few get very rich."* If only that adage was just a harmless truism: it's profoundly alarming that vaccines, which are supposed to be about human health, are so polluted with vulture capitalism.

Anthony Fauci is, perhaps, the club's vice-president. According to Robert F. Kennedy Jr. in *The Real Anthony Fauci*, Fauci has worked closely with Gates for decades; people who know him well describe him as *"a sociopath and a liar"*¹ and compare him to sociopathic con men Bernie Madoff and Charles Ponzi. Kennedy's 450-page tome is a damning indictment of a serial criminal: the Covid plandemic represents the pinnacle of Fauci's 50-year career developing himself into a powerful overlord of rigged science and pharmaceutical dominance.

The World Health Organization (WHO) became the primary director during Covid. Tedros Ghebreyesus—accused of genocide, torture, and worse—in his home country of Ethiopia,² is its director-general; he was appointed by Gates, who also happens to be the WHO's second largest funder. Ghebreyesus is neither a doctor nor a scientist. In May 2020, he announced to the world that the vaccine provided 95% protection, by June it was 70%, July 50%. By August, the vaccination provided no protection but reduced the spread, by September it didn't reduce the spread but it reduced the severity, and by October 2020, it didn't reduce the severity but it reduced hospitalizations. His directives were a glaring display of malice and incompetence, but they sure kept the billions flowing in.

Power has to be the most indomitable of addictions. The WHO is currently reworking its Pandemic Treaty, which recently failed to be ratified; in six months, we'll see another draft. If they succeed, their power grab would mean they'd be able to override democratically elected governments, inflict lockdowns and suffering and tragedy anytime, everywhere, and march great swaths of humanity into digital serfdom. And, of course, keep the billions of filthy lucre flowing in. The WHO has no internal mechanisms to hold it to account—not for the harms caused by shoddy mRNA concoctions or for the drugs their corrupt scientists are busy making now. When Ghebreyesus tells the cameras that the Pandemic Treaty is necessary so the WHO can *"strengthen the legal framework for the global response to pandemics,"* what he's really saying is they need legal cover for committing crimes against humanity.

Next up in the sociopath parade is bio-tech piranha, Yuval Noah Harari, who predicts that rapid advances in computing technology will *"make humans redundant."* He claims that *"humans are now hackable animals."* Technology will create a *"massive class of useless people"* who will need to be kept happy with *"drugs and computer games."* He tells TED talk audiences, *"We just don't need the vast majority of this population in today's world."* And

human rights are *"just like heaven, and like God, just a fictional story. The whole idea that humans have this soul or spirit and nobody knows what's happening inside them, and they have free will, that's over..."*

If all this sounds absurd and ridiculous, the ramblings of a madman, you'd be right. Nevertheless, Harari has become very influential and a top advisor to many, including Klaus Schwab, who is the founder of the World Economic Forum (WEF) and now notorious for his edict, *"you will own nothing and you will be happy."* Like all would-be despots, his ideas are grotesque and grandiose: *"In this new world we must accept transparency."*



Everything is going to be transparent and you have to get used to it, you have to behave accordingly. It becomes, how should I put it, integrated into your personality but if you have nothing to hide you shouldn't be afraid."

During his tenure, Schwab has also been the patriarch/figurehead of Davos, the annual gathering of the world's most affluent and their coterie of hangers-on in the Swiss mountain town after which the conference is named. Last year, 2,800 attended. So did author Peter S. Goodman, author of *Davos Man: How the Billionaires Devoured the World*. *"I saw billionaires engage in simulations of the Syrian refugee experience—led around in the dark while blindfolded as angry officials demanded their papers—before savouring truffles at dinners thrown by global banks. Outside conference rooms featuring discussions on human trafficking,"* Goodman wrote, *"I watched venture capitalists fist-bumping over having scored invites to the bacchanal thrown by a Russian oligarch who flew in prostitutes from Moscow."*

Aren't they a charming bunch?

Hopeful activists from the 96% are allowed to attend Davos but only so that any threats they pose can be managed and neutralized. Social justice movements—environment, climate, diversity, inclusion, equity, human rights, etc.—are very useful in providing cover. With help from slavish media lapdogs, the public's attention is diverted from their New World Order's assault on freedom, democracy, and the rule of law. Social justice attendees are little more than controlled opposition—but, hey, plastic straws have been banned in Canada so the partnership between globalism and environmentalism is working well, right?

Goodman: *"In truth, Davos Man has pillaged the global economy, exploiting workers, plundering housing and health care, and dismantling government programs while transferring the bounty to his personal bank accounts tucked in jurisdictions beyond the reach of any pain-in-the-ass tax collector."*

Those who belong to the club of sociopaths, those who are invited in, buy their way in, or aspire to join, must all follow the same script—no rocking the boat, no whistle-blowing, no making anyone visible or accountable. Just get behind the dark ethos, take the fat envelopes, and enjoy the parties and perks. But only the blindly ambitious can ignore or justify the absence of humanity, the bankruptcy of vision. A case in point would be Jacinda Ardern. A ferocious pusher of vaccinations while in political office, the former PM of New Zealand saw her popularity plummet, lost her seat, and is now a gaping cheerleader for the end of free speech. In this era of globalist skills assisting the push to global tyranny, failing upwards is a career path and one we can expect to see of PM Justin Trudeau, Deputy PM Chrystia Freeland, and others, once Canadians are done with allowing them to harm us.

The elite are not made of better clay than the rest of us, but they are certainly better at being diabolical parasites who lust for power and control. To say they lack shame, empathy, or a conscience doesn't go far enough—many are dangerous predators who must be stopped. Where their influence manifests, which is rapidly becoming everywhere, we see degradation, corruption, and social upheaval. They are very unwell and they want to become the overlords of a New World Order.

1. Robert F. Kennedy Jr., *The Real Anthony Fauci: Bill Gates, Big Pharma, and the Global War on Democracy and Public Health* (page 123)

2. [reuters.com/world/africa/who-sets-aside-ethiopia-request-probe-who-chiefs-links-rebellious-tigrayan-2022-01-24](https://www.reuters.com/world/africa/who-sets-aside-ethiopia-request-probe-who-chiefs-links-rebellious-tigrayan-2022-01-24)

Europe on Verge of Making "Hate Speech" a Serious Crime

By Ethan Huff

Europeans are about to lose their free speech rights after the European Union's (EU) European Commission (EC) signaled that "hate speech" will probably be included among the most serious criminal offences across the bloc.

With the EU elections just weeks away, the powers that be in Europe are scrambling to eliminate Europeans' right to free speech under the guise of stopping "hate" throughout the land.

The European Citizens' Panel on Tackling Hatred in Society (ECP) is one of several panels that EC President Ursula von der Leyen created to crack down on free speech, this being a major plank of her campaign, even though European voters do not pick the EC president—he or she is appointed by the globalists, it turns out. Even so, von der Leyen is talking a big game about implementing a whole new "democracy" across Europe that is *"fit for the future."* In her mind, this means silencing people whose speech upsets special interest groups who hate them for it.

"That could mean anything, and the vagueness by no means stops there: the very 'hate speech,' despite the gravity of the proposals to classify it as a serious crime, is not even well-defined, observers are warning," warns Reclaim the Net's Didi Rankovic. *"Despite that, the recommendations contained in a report produced by the panel have been backed by EC's Vice-President for Values and Transparency, Vera Jourova, as well as Vice President for Democracy and Demography, Dubravka Suica."*

Hate speech isn't real

Jourova says the panel's recommendations for how to deal with "hate speech" are *"clear and ambitious."* The

problem is, that "hate speech" itself remains undefined, likely on purpose, to draw the net on anyone who says anything that "offends" someone from a special interest group. Imagine a society in which saying that there are only two genders, male and female, is considered to be a form of "hate." This type of thing is already happening in Canada, and now, von der Leyen wants it for Europe, too.

The report describes "hate speech" as any form of speech that is *"incompatible with the values of human dignity, freedom, democracy, the rule of law, and respect of human rights."* In other words, defining "hate speech" is arbitrary based on each individual's values. Europe is currently freer than Canada on the "hate speech" front, more closely aligning with the United States and its Constitutional First Amendment, which enshrines the individual's right to freedom of speech. Von der Leyen and her fellow cronies want to change that as soon as possible.

It turns out that there are already "hate speech" laws in the EU that capture very specific things. Von der Leyen and other globalist-selected EU leaders say they want to expand those laws to *"ensure that all forms of hate speech are uniformly recognized and penalized, reinforcing our commitment to a more inclusive and respectful society."* If the changes stick, so-called "marginalized communities" across Europe will be afforded extra protections to *"uphold human dignity,"* whatever that means.

Media reports indicate that this newfound effort to stamp out "hate speech" is coordinated in that the recommendation *"adopts exactly the same terminology as an EC proposal that was recently endorsed by the European Parliament to extend the list of EU-wide crimes to include 'hate speech.'"*

Originally published at [naturalnews.com](https://www.naturalnews.com)

Draconian City Council Measures Stifle Free Speech and Public Participation

By Councillor Lisa Robinson

Over the past few months, Pickering City Council (in Ontario) has adopted a series of draconian measures, effectively stifling the people's freedom of speech and expression. These actions reflect a disturbing trend toward authoritarianism, undermining the very principles of democracy and transparency that I hold dear to my heart.

Here is a short list of the most recent (not all) draconian recommendations that the Corporation of the City of Pickering staff have brought forward to Council to endorse. Each one of these recommendations passed with all but one Council member's support.

1. Drastic Reduction in Speaking Time

Delegates' speaking time has been slashed from 10 minutes to a mere 5 minutes per delegation. These new limitations, unfortunately, hinder the opportunity for robust public participation and meaningful dialogue in a public forum.

2. Elimination of Question-and-Answer Period

The Council abolished the Q&A period under the guise of it not being utilized to its potential. It is important to note that, behind the scenes, there were numerous individuals in the chambers that evening (at least 20) who were eager to pose their questions to the Mayor. Furthermore, it was not explicitly conveyed to the people that at the beginning of the meeting, Council deliberately made a motion to rearrange the agenda. By way of a vote, they moved that bylaw discussion to the front of the agenda, thereby preventing any of those individuals from voicing their concerns.

3. Restrictive Trespass Regulations

You can now be trespassed from city property if an employee does not like you because they "feel" you are an anti-vaxxer, or because you have hurt their feelings in some way. Under the new rules, trespassing can even be imposed on individuals who merely post criticisms on social media or via email. The Corporation of the City of Pickering is now policing your right to free expression and punishing dissent of anyone whom they feel has spoken inappropriately or negatively against them. Also, fines were increased from \$65.00 to \$650.00 and once your term of trespass is over, you still need to get permission in writing by the CAO (Chief Administrative Officer) to be allowed back onto any city property.

4. Nuisance bylaw

This bylaw is biased against the public as it is so undefined. It gives council members license to behave inappropriately towards taxpayers. For example, if a council member doesn't like what someone says or even the clothes they are wearing, they can claim that person is being a nuisance and ask that they leave the premises. If they don't leave of their own accord, council can utilize the trespass regulations. The undefined nature of this bylaw lacks adequate safeguards for the public who want their voices to be heard and acknowledged.

5. Severe Recording Restrictions

Recording or photographing council meetings by the taxpayer is now forbidden. Media must be pre-approved

and subjected to a stringent two-thirds council vote, effectively silencing public documentation of proceedings. And are we excluding independent journalists, bloggers, and community members who may provide diverse perspectives from recording public meetings? What is the Corporation of the City of Pickering doing so wrong that staff and council are frightened to be trans-



parent and to allow the public to record public meetings? Did I mention that if the system were to go down or if there was any manipulation of the videos, we would have no backup from an independent third party? The Corporation of the City of Pickering now has complete control of the narrative, leaving no way for anyone to question its authenticity. Some members of the public have already brought attention to inconsistencies (time jumps, white noise, and possible audio manipulation) in previously recorded council sessions.

6. Exclusion of Non-Residents Delegates

Non-residents are barred from speaking at council meetings unless their topic is sanctioned by a two-thirds council vote. This hypocritical behaviour, creates an exclusionary environment. That means that while we continuously support other communities, staff, and councils of other municipalities, and even enter into agreements with them, we will not support their citizens. With this move, the Corporation of the City of Pickering has made it quite clear that their only focus is on those in positions of power at the expense of "we the people."

7. Agenda Control Over Pickering Residents

Taxpayers of Pickering are now prohibited from addressing topics not on the agenda without prior approval and a council vote, further suppressing spontaneous public discourse. In other words, if the council does not approve of the topic you wish to address, you will not be permitted to speak about it in a public forum and will be limited to sending an email instead.

8. Advertising

On June 10, 2024, at the Executive Committee meeting, a new advertising bylaw was introduced by staff to further suppress freedom of speech. Staff wants the council to pass a new bylaw that would mandate advertising in specific newspapers. Staff may be promoting certain media outlets over others, like *The Central* or

Druthers, potentially introducing bias or conflict of interest that undermines the fairness and impartiality expected in public communications. These types of constraints against my advertising budget limit my ability to reach my intended audience effectively.

The behaviour of our Mayor has exacerbated these troubling developments. The Mayor has blatantly resorted to derogatory remarks and bullying tactics against residents and anyone with dissenting opinions. He has openly called them "nutcases," "conspiracy theorists," and "Nazis," and has publicly insulted individuals by telling them to "go have another drink." Such statements are unprofessional and unacceptable. Who gives anyone the right to name-call or insult anyone just because they think differently, or because they don't agree with you?

In an alarming display of disrespect during a council meeting, the Mayor stated that he was going to keep me on a short leash, treating me with utter disdain and reducing my role to that of a subordinate, or an animal of some sort. This kind of behaviour is reprehensible and unworthy of any public official.

Adding to the public's outrage, the Mayor openly admitted that policies can be overlooked for the benefit of those with financial influence, blatantly prioritizing wealth over fairness and equality. Ordinary citizens have become secondary to those with deep pockets, like developers, for instance. During council meetings, he disregards residents' concerns, especially if there is a game on, choosing his personal interests over his duty. Shockingly, most of the councillors follow this kind of leadership by consistently sitting there on their phones or iPads during delegations.

These measures and behaviors are a vociferous attack on the fundamental rights of free speech and expression. By curtailing public speaking time, eliminating Q&A sessions, and enforcing repressive trespass stipulations, the Council is silencing the voices of those they are elected to represent. The new recording restrictions and exclusion of non-residents and certain media further demonstrate an intent to operate in the shadows, away from public scrutiny.

The Corporation of the City of Pickering and Council's actions resemble those of a mini-dictatorship rather than a democratic society, showing a clear disregard for transparency, accountability, and public engagement.

I was elected by the people, for the people, and it's shameful that some colleagues and community members are doing everything they can over social media to discredit my character for standing up, speaking out, and telling the truth. This heavy-handed approach by certain individuals further undermines trust in your local government and sets a dangerous precedent for the suppression of civil liberties.

This kind of disregard for taxpayers could happen at other councils. When passed bylaws become "best practices," the information is shared between municipalities that may or may not decide to endorse and adopt these draconian measures.

"Strength does not lie in the absence of fear, but in the courage to face it head-on and rise above it."

Pandemic Preparedness Bill Quietly Passes Parliament

By Christine Colebeck, President CHD Canada

Bill C-293, The Pandemic Prevention and Preparedness Act, passed the third reading in the House of Commons on June 5, 2024, with 164 votes in favour and 144 votes against. The Liberals, NDP, and Green Party all voted in favour of this new legislation, while the Conservative Party and Bloc Quebecois voted against it. Bill C-293 has gone to the Senate, and they will decide to either pass, amend, or reject the Bill.

No one seems to be talking about what was voted out of the Bill, specifically, a clause ordering a federal review of the handling of COVID-19.

The Minister of Health was required to establish an advisory committee to review the response to the COVID-19 pandemic in Canada in order to reduce the risks associated with future pandemics and inform a pandemic prevention and preparedness plan.

This committee review was voted out and replaced with the Health Minister who now just needs to work with a few other Liberal Ministers to develop the Pandemic Preparedness Plan, thus removing all independent oversight. See the difference between the first reading and the third amended reading here in the very first paragraph of each: parl.ca/C-293/third-reading

How can the federal government, in good faith, vote in new legislation for the management of future pandemics, while completely dismissing the opportunity to gain valuable information and evidence on the handling or the mishandling of the previous pandemic?

One Health

The plan will be required to adopt a World Health Organization, One Health approach, which is a multi-sectoral and multidisciplinary collaborative approach that focuses on the human, animal, plant, and ecosystem

health and welfare interface in addressing pandemic risk factors.

Bill C-293 also amends the Department of Health Act to provide that the Minister of Health must appoint an unelected health official from the Public Health Agency of Canada as a national pandemic prevention and preparedness coordinator.

Take Action Now

There are 105 senators who are appointed (not elected) by the Governor General on the advice of the Prime Minister. What can you do? Write a letter to the Senate, have your voice heard, and express your concerns for their consideration as they debate Bill C-293. Find the list of senator contact information at sencanada.ca/en/senators

Originally published at childrenshealthdefense.ca

What the Government, Media, and Health Services Failed to Tell Nova Scotians

By Shelley Hipson

Premiers Stephen McNeil, Iain Rankin, Tim J. Houston, Nova Scotia Chief Medical Officer of Health, Robert Strang, and the media, failed to tell you the following...

To those who lost their jobs and income... Who stood up and spoke the truth as hard as that was... Who were denied entrance to public places, including hospitals, long term care, and funerals... Who died alone and were denied from seeing loved ones in those final moments... Who took the COVID-19 shots and have been injured or have died because they trusted the doctors, the government, politicians, and the media...

...all of this was for a "virus" that, according to their data, had a 99.5% recovery rate in Nova Scotia.

This project—to collect Nova Scotia government data and information via Freedom of Information requests—is to honour those who intuitively knew that something was wrong but wanted the proof. We got the proof.

I want to be clear: Based on their own government data that I have collected over the past three years, there was no indication of a serious "novel Corona virus" or a life-threatening COVID-19 illness in Nova Scotia. After doing 80+ Freedom of Information requests, and reviewing hundreds and hundreds of pages of records, I have found no evidence that a pandemic ever happened in this province.

If you don't have a lot of time, this seven-minute video¹ of what Houston and Strang aren't telling you about COVID-19 in Nova Scotia captures a basic overview of some of the information that I uncovered.

However, with the rollout of the vaccine, the vaccine mandates and the vaccine passport, something very disturbing has started to happen in **January 2022: a significant uptick in deaths in this province.**

This is evident prior to the mandates being lifted on March 21, 2022 and after 85% of Nova Scotians had been vaccinated, and it seems to be tied specifically to the rollout of the third shot, also referred to as "the booster."

What is even more disturbing, is that no one in government, the mainstream media, or the medical community is publicly talking about it. But I want to reassure you that thousands of us have done our own research and we know the truth.

We collected and compiled information by applying to the Nova Scotia government for Freedom of Information (FOI) records. You can request records, pay \$5, and receive responses directly from the government. It is their data, their numbers.

These two newsletters contain COVID-19 Nova Scotia government data for distribution, or for quick reference.^{2,3}

What is a Freedom of Information request?

Information Access and Privacy (IAP) Services does not answer questions or provide analyzed data. They provide government records. Therefore, we are required to piece the records together to uncover the truth. Why did I do this? Because I felt that the government, politicians, and media were lying, and I was concerned that these records would disappear one day.

Sections of the Act are often used to not provide a record or response. Also, the record can be redacted (blacked out) and therefore, it does not provide information that we are seeking. They can use a tactic and charge significant and unaffordable fees, which can deter access.

Records were received from the Department of Health and Wellness (DHW), where Dr. Robert Strang, the N.S. Chief Medical Officer of Health, is a public servant, the Nova Scotia Health Authority, a registered charity that is in charge of operating our Nova Scotia hospitals and is funded by the DHW, the Premier's Office, the Department of Education, Nova Scotia Vital Statistics, Statistics Canada, and the Nova Scotia COVID-19 Dashboard website.

Damning Freedom of Information Responses

If you only have the time to read one Freedom of Information response, I would suggest FOI # 2023-00345-HEA,⁴ received April 28, 2023. It is 92 pages⁵ of emails, correspondence, and records on Adverse Events Following Immunization (AEFI), sent to and received by Dr. Robert Strang and Dr. Shelley Deeks. Date Range of response: December 12, 2020, to February 26, 2023.

Dr. Shelley Deeks is Nova Scotia's Deputy Chief Medical Officer of Health (under Chief Medical Officer, Dr. Robert Strang), and she is also the Chair of the National Advisory Committee on Immunization for Canada (NACI).

The response shows that Medical Officers of Health throughout the province were reporting to these two

"top" doctors about serious medical conditions, such as strokes, seizures, pulmonary embolisms, myocarditis, pericarditis, Guillain-Barré syndrome and death.

What action did they take to inform the general public or halt the vaccines based on these serious and deadly events? **Nothing.**

The next FOI that I would recommend if you are looking for AEFIs is 2022-02124-HEA.⁶

Dr. Robert Strang was receiving reports⁷ between June 7, 2021, and September 7, 2021, of the following Adverse Events Following Immunization:

Pericarditis, Immune thrombocytopenia (ITP), Pulmonary Embolism (PE), Vitreous detachment, Rash Toes, Cardiac Arrhythmia, Thrombotic Stroke,

See 'Myocarditis and Stroke' p.11



60 Years of Failing Flu Vaccines

By Roman Bystrianyk

Vaccination has long been deeply ingrained in the public consciousness as the most effective means to prevent a wide range of diseases. Public health campaigns and medical professionals have long advocated for the benefits of vaccination, emphasizing its critical role in safeguarding individual and community health.

The influenza vaccine stands out as a prominent example among the many vaccines promoted over the years. This vaccine has been continuously championed for decades, with efforts to encourage its uptake particularly vigorously during flu season. Nearly every drug-store and pharmacy offers the influenza vaccine, making it easily accessible to the public. This widespread availability reflects the importance placed on preventing influenza, a respiratory illness that can lead to severe health complications, especially in vulnerable populations. Through persistent promotion and education, the influenza vaccine has become a cornerstone of preventive healthcare, demonstrating the enduring value of vaccination in modern medicine.


But just how effective has the influenza vaccine been in practice? Have deaths from influenza declined due to this highly promoted annual vaccination? What do the statistics tell us about the vaccine's impact on public health?

critical tool in preventing the spread of the virus.

"As of 2022, after more than 60 years of experience with influenza vaccines, very little improvement in vaccine prevention of infection has been noted. As pointed out decades ago, and still true today, the rates of effectiveness of our best approved influenza vaccines would be inadequate for licensure for most other vaccine-preventable diseases... Taking all of these factors into account, it is not surprising that none of the predominantly mucosal respiratory viruses have ever been effectively controlled by vaccines... Durably protective vaccines against non-systemic mucosal respiratory viruses with high mortality rates have thus far eluded vaccine development efforts."²

Why don't the CDC and other health agencies tell us this information? Why haven't doctors and drug stores stopped promoting and giving this vaccine? Why is there apparently one message from the governments and medical system for the public, while behind the scenes, there is an entirely different story? Should we trust the same people to continue experimenting on us after 60 years of influenza vaccine failures that have actually caused more misery than influenza would have?

1. Chapter B, Vital Statistics and Health and Medical Care, Vital Statistics, B 149-166, p. 58, 2.census.gov/prod2/statcomp/documents/CT1970p1-03.pdf; Leading Causes of Death, 1900-1998,



Vaccination for influenza began in the 1970s. The chart¹ shows that mortality rates increased from the late 1970s to the early 2000s. Vaccination rates for the 65+-year-olds reached 60-70%, and over the next 20 or so years, the mortality rate slowly declined and reached essentially the same level as it had been when vaccination programs began. So, during these over 40 years, the death rate has not improved. Despite widespread yearly influenza vaccination programs with high public acceptance, there has been an abject failure in preventing deaths.

In a little-known 2023 paper published in the journal *Cell Host Microbe*, to which Anthony Fauci is a contributor, prominent vaccine advocates acknowledge a significant shortcoming: vaccines have failed to effectively control influenza. This admission is astonishing, given the longstanding promotion of the influenza vaccine as a

stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/53236 Vital Statistics of the United States 1992 Volume II—Mortality Part A, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1996, p. 12, various National Vital Statistics Reports 2001-2019; Impact of Influenza Vaccination on Seasonal Mortality in the US Elderly Population, Archives of Internal Medicine, vol. 165, February 14, 2005; Flu Vaccination Coverage, United States, 2019-20 Influenza Season, cdc.gov/flu/fluview/coverage-1920estimates.htm various CDC MMWR and other reports.

2. David M. Morens, Jeffery K. Taubenberger, and Anthony S. Fauci, "Rethinking next-generation vaccines for coronaviruses, influenza viruses, and other respiratory viruses," Cell Host Microbe, January 11, 2023, cell.com/cell-host-microbe/fulltext/S1931-3128(22)00572-8

Originally published with video links at romanbystrianyk.substack.com

W.H.O. Power Grab: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly

By Shellie Troy

Thanks to a sustained resistance, the World Health Organization's (WHO) dreadful Pandemic Agreement was not ratified on June 1st! The combined efforts of a great many—protesters, activists, lawyers, scientists, doctors, social media influencers, journalists and concerned citizens—showed that people power gets results. This good news provides a breather but would-be overlords are already busy making workarounds. The next draft of the International Health Regulations (IHR) is promised in six months and next year, another worldwide vote occurs.

"We will get there," a defeated Director-General, Tedros Ghebreyesus, told the cameras.

"Not on our watch," said Dutch lawyer and passionate activist, Philipp Kruse, who's been closely involved. "The legal instruments being created make the WHO the sole arbiter of pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. The WHO claims an absolute and non-questionable leadership in all health matters and claims to have the ultimate expertise and ultimate power in all aspects of this wide field. The rule of law will be replaced by the rule of emergencies."¹

One man (Tedros Ghebreyesus) perched on top of a feudal-era pyramid, has the power to call global emergencies—and call them off. He appears to have power over the entire organization. All functions and committees are under his direct control:

Article 12 (1) The Director-General shall determine [...] whether an event constitutes a public health emergency of international concern.

Article 49 (1) The Director-General shall convene meetings of the Emergency Committee by selecting a number of experts.

Article 49 (2) The Director-General shall provide the Emergency Committee with the agenda and any relevant information concerning the event.

Article 49 (5) The Director-General shall make the final determination on these matters.

Article 50 (3) The Members of the Review Committee shall be selected and appointed by the Director-General.

The Director-General (DG) could override any nation that disagrees with an emergency declaration. He has sole control of all communications with the public and media.²

All the focus on the DG certainly shields Mr. Oz behind the curtain. Bill Gates appointed Ghebreyesus. He's the WHO's largest funder. "Virtually every significant decision at WHO is first vetted by the Gates Foundation."³ Very sleuth of Gates to hoist another player, who's also eager for the career bump, into the limelight. Ghebreyesus becomes doppelganger, puppet, and most important, cover. If a WHO power grab succeeds, Gates & Gang would set in motion some terrible times.

Trudeau's Liberal government did not veto

On the Government of Canada site, enthusiastic bureaucracy is in full swing. A declaration of support for "the development of a new WHO convention, agreement or international instrument" is coddled by optimistic splatter giving the impression that "we've anticipated every possible angle." Nothing seems to worry them.⁴

If WHO gets international legal licence, **Article 55 (3)** states Canada will have to "adjust its domestic legislative and administrative arrangements fully with these Regulations within 12 months." Floating around X last week was a photo of a dour Theresa Tam standing next to Tedros Ghebreyesus, who was smiling deliriously. Easy guess that Tam is in line to be the nation's biomedical czar, who is required by the Regulations to report directly. Canada's "health" management would have to develop pandemic readiness. New information networks are supposed to hook deeply into local communities and funnel data up the chain of command. They're called Core Capacities: prevention, surveillance, reporting, notification, verification, preparedness, response, and collaboration. (Annex 1, 1a)

Article 7 outlines "information sharing." If Canada "has evidence of an unexpected or unusual public health event within its territory [...] it shall provide to WHO all relevant public health information." This "sharing" includes our personal data. **Article 45:** Canada "may process and disclose personal data where essential for the purposes of assessing and managing a public health risk." The (c) clause states that monitoring personal data would be ongoing; health data must be "accurate and, where necessary, kept up to date."

The bought-off media has been mute

Conservative MP Leslyn Lewis, however, has not: "... if the government fails to be transparent with Canadians as it ratifies a far-reaching expansion to WHO jurisdiction and powers, it will undermine the democratic rights of Canadians to determine their own governance."⁵

The good news in the current IHR draft is that health documents may be issued in non-digital or digital format, no specific travel pass required, and no vaccine passports, all of which would have marched us faster into a digital gulag.



The document lists 63 Terms of its legal instruments. Here are a few:

- "intrusive" means possibly provoking discomfort through close or intimate contact or questioning;
- "invasive" means the puncture or incision of the skin or insertion of an instrument or foreign material into the body or the examination of a body cavity. For the purposes of these Regulations, medical examination of the ear, nose and mouth, temperature assessment using an ear, oral or cutaneous thermometer, or thermal imaging; medical inspection; auscultation; external palpation; retinoscopy; external collection of urine, faeces or saliva samples; external measurement of blood pressure; and electrocardiography shall be considered to be non-invasive;
- "decontamination" means a procedure whereby health measures are taken to eliminate an infectious or toxic agent or matter on a human or animal body surface, in or on a product prepared for consumption or on other inanimate objects, including conveyances, that may constitute a public health risk;
- "ill person" means an individual suffering from or affected with a physical ailment that may pose a public health risk;
- "relevant health products" means those health products needed to respond to public health emergencies of international concern, including pandemic emergencies, which may include medicines, vaccines, diagnostics, medical devices, vector control products, personal protective equipment, decontamination products, assistive products, antidotes, cell-based and gene-based therapies, and other health technologies.

Why are retinoscopy and electrocardiography included? Why is "may constitute or may pose" enough reason to apply "procedures," whatever those are? Why are cell-based and gene-based therapies called "therapies" and not the Frankensteining of medicine?

Actual science gets very little mention in the 62-page document other than these two Terms:

- "scientific evidence" means information furnishing a level of proof based on the established and accepted methods of science;
- "scientific principles" means the accepted fundamental laws and facts of nature known through the methods of science.

What are those "accepted methods of science"?

Well, a cabal of the greedy and demented pushed an experimental, untested vaccine into billions of arms. Their methods harmed and killed millions because their "science" has legal immunity. Weasel words are scattered throughout the Regulations—"may, could, possibly,

might"—words that hammer fear and anxiety, planting "what if" terrors into the minds of the brainwashed, and are the trademark tactics of Dr. Anthony Fauci.

If all this bad wasn't bad enough, now for the ugly.

Protecting the WHO's "risk communication, including addressing misinformation and disinformation" are part of the Regulations, so expect the Trudeau government to increase its propaganda warfare: demonize fact-tellers, ignore whistleblowers, censor critics, stifle free speech, stoke social division, and manipulate the public mood.

As expected, the big winners are pharmaceutical companies and their retinue. In a lengthy post mortem

discussion between Dr. Meryl Nass and James Corbett, Corbett observed that the pharma cartel want to ensure the billions of dollars keep rolling in.⁶ A new legal article was expanded in this latest IHR draft: **Article 44bis** - Coordinating Financial Mechanism seeks "to maximize the availability of financing" and "work to mobilize new and additional financial resources" and "promote the provision of timely, predictable, and sustainable financing."

This Financial Mechanism would be a well-guarded bureaucracy whose sole purpose is to measure, calibrate, and increase revenues.

Global policing makes an entrance in **Article 13 (3)**, offering "international teams of experts for on-site assistance, when necessary." Buried in Annex 2 are checklists—for "guidance purposes"—to assist nations in the identification of a pandemic. "Is external assistance needed to detect, investigate, respond, and control the current event, or prevent new cases?" And last, but certainly not least, **Article 6** is a doozy. "If the notification received by WHO involves the competency of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) ... immediately notify the IAEA."

These red flags reveal the merging of military and big pharma

Robert Kennedy Jr. exhaustively documented this disturbing trend in his must-read book, *The Real Anthony Fauci*. Bill Gates, Dr. Fauci, and many others now conflate biowarfare with public health—while making personal fortunes. Six decades of war game tabletop exercises have solidified a biosecurity mindset, war game conferences produce blueprints for predicting pandemics, and think tanks make step-by-step instructions for governments on censorship, media compliance, and manufacturing public obedience.

The World Health Organization (WHO) would-be overlords, finance sharks, and politicians who would ratify Regulations without our knowledge or consent—should learn our Terms: Our human rights and body autonomy are sacrosanct. We will not comply, we do not recognize your invented authority, no more secretive scheming and fake emergencies, no medical martial law, and no more billions stolen from taxpayers and handed over to serial criminals who are trying to build a biosecurity police state.

1. Dr. Philipp Kruse, Dutch lawyer and activist [youtube.com/watch?v=PQ86dgzduVU](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PQ86dgzduVU)
2. WHO IHR Amendments as of June 1/2024 apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA77/A77_ACONF14-en.pdf
3. Robert F Kennedy Jr. *The Real Anthony Fauci ~ Bill Gates, Big Pharma and the Global War Against Democracy and Public Health*
4. canada.ca/en/public-health/services/emergency-preparedness-response/canada-role-international-pandemic-instrument/engagement-forum.html#a5
5. leslynlewismp.ca/2024/01/17/the-who-pandemic-treaty
6. doortofreedom.org/is-this-a-win-all-you-need-to-know-on-the-world-health-assembly

RADICAL
DIGITAL AGENCY

Website Design

We need to stick together and support each other! Most small business have been suffering since Covid, including our own.

We would like to offer our fellow freedom lovers a discount on our web design, graphic design, printing, SEO, and digital marketing packages

Reach out through our website & lets give each other a hand.

www.radicaldigitalagency.com

From Heroes to Zeroes

By Bring Back Nurses ONT

Ontario hospitals are experiencing critical shortages of Registered Nurses (RNs), Registered Practical Nurses (RPNs), Personal Support Workers (PSWs). We also face compromised healthcare practices, like surgery backlog and delayed treatments, temporary closures of Emergency Room (ER) departments, a lack of doctors, and empty operating rooms. Budget constraints added to the already high vacancy rate, burnout, and low morale.

We are a large group of Ontario nurses who are very concerned about the deepening healthcare crisis. We were terminated from our jobs for not following the mandatory vaccine policies adopted by most hospitals during the pandemic. However, Sunnybrook Hospital in Toronto (the largest teaching hospital in Canada and the only level 1 trauma centre outside of the US), while implementing the policy for new hires and visitors, never terminated existing staff for their choices.

We are the same nurses who kept you safe and worked tirelessly throughout the pandemic. You called us heroes and essential workers. Hospital administrators and the public depended on us. All nurses were already following effective protective measures (masks, gowns, gloves, testing) endorsed by Dr. Kieran Moore, Chief Medical Officer of Health (CMOH) in Ontario. But when we chose to continue with non-pharmaceutical ways rather than vaccinate to keep patients safe, we were then considered liabilities and modern day lepers.

We were shocked when hospital administrators threatened us with job loss. Their threat was positioned as a "choice." However, threatening job loss is not a choice, it is coercion. It is discriminatory, has grave consequences, and falls below standards of informed consent and universally accepted human rights.

After reading several articles regarding the nursing shortage in Ontario, we want to share some relevant information. Mandates were enforced without performing a risk/benefit analysis. They were enforced without consideration of operational impact, understaffing consequences (affecting everyone), and evaluation of the policy's effectiveness in hospitals. In contrast to Sunnybrook management, who never terminated unvaccinated staff, our employers refused to consider any other viable options—natural immunity, rapid antigen testing, and religious exemptions. Nurses (and other staff) who decided not to be vaccinated lost their jobs.

For many decades, employers have had the upper

hand when dealing with employees, which has unfortunately resulted in huge inequalities—erosion of workers' rights and an imbalance of power.

In August 2021, Dr. Moore implemented Directive 6



for healthcare workers in high risk settings. He approved antigen testing as an accommodation for unvaccinated healthcare workers. He ordered a mandatory vaccine policy, not a mandatory vaccine. In March 2022, he revoked Directive 6, but hospitals chose to disregard this updated change from Ontario's CMOH and decided to keep the vaccine mandates in place.

The general public is not aware of the thousands of nurses who were either terminated or who took early retirement due to hospitals not rescinding the Covid-19 vaccination policy, even after Dr. Moore lifted Directive 6. For whatever reason, this important information is being

covered up. Instead of doing everything in their power to rehire these experienced, qualified nurses, the Ontario Ministry of Health is strongly promoting recruitment of nurses from other countries. These nurses are unfamiliar with Ontario's healthcare system, and are filling these vacancies at extremely high costs to taxpayers, while qualified Canadian nurses remain unemployed (not unemployable).

The recent arbitration ruling regarding Quinte Health (that includes four Ontario hospitals), stated that the mandatory vaccine policy was reasonable at the time, but that terminating nurses for not complying with the policy was not reasonable, and ordered that the nurses should be reinstated.

In early March 2024, our group contacted Ontario Premier, Doug Ford, Deputy Premier, Health Minister, and MPP, Sylvia Jones, and other MPPs to request their help and to address the issues associated with the mandated Covid-19 vaccinations and the resulting loss of highly qualified and experienced nursing staff. Unfortunately, we only received a confirmation of the receipt of our email and have heard nothing further from them.

We want our jobs back.

As public advocates, we believe that mandating a vaccine for experienced, essential public sector healthcare workers during a health crisis was misguided, unnecessary, and harmful to us all. Instead of following the advice of Dr. Moore, hospital administrators and officials continued to follow the controversial Ontario's Science Advisory Table (OSAT) advice based on "computer models." It is a matter of serious concern that hospitals were allowed to put risky mandates in place, and that these untenable and nonsensical measures remain in place.

We have unjustly suffered life-changing losses—employment, stability, income, and the opportunity to work in our profession in Ontario. This situation has also negatively impacted our patients and our families. It is essential to stop this badly-planned, damaging vaccine mandate, which has devastated our healthcare system.

To support us, please contact the Ontario Hospital Association (info@oha.com) and Premier Ford (416-325-1941, premier@ontario.ca), and make your voice heard.

Ontarians need to demand government accountability and transparency on this matter, once and for all.

Follow us on X @BBNursesONT or email bringbacknursesont@gmail.com

FREEDOM WINS!

• **Louisiana State becomes the first state to officially pass a bill (SB 133) to limit the jurisdiction of certain international Organizations.** The new law reads, "The World Health Organization, United Nations, and the World Economic Forum, shall have no jurisdiction or power within the state of Louisiana."

• **The US Court of Appeals has ruled in favour of doctors who alleged that medical boards violated First Amendment Rights (to Freedom of Speech of the US Constitution).** The Association of American Physicians and Surgeons has claimed that medical certification boards colluded with the federal government to silence doctors who voiced dissenting opinions on COVID-19 policies and vaccines.

• In an effort to hold those responsible for lockdowns accountable for their actions, **Alberta Premier Danielle Smith has now tasked a group of doctors with reviewing how health data was used to inform decision-making during the pandemic.**

• **Former Quebec lawyer has filed a class action lawsuit against dozens of politicians and ministers in their private capacity over "safe and effective" messaging about COVID-19 vaccines.** Gloriane Blais (a health and policies lawyer who was disbarred after speaking out about the COVID-19 vaccines and advocating for her clients) included Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, Ontario Premier Doug Ford, former Ontario Deputy Premier and Minister of Health Christine Elliott, and 32 others. Blais explained that she is suing politicians and ministers in their private capacities so that they are personally held accountable for their lies and will have to pay out of their own pockets.

• **After 12 years of political asylum and prison, Julian Assange, founder of WikiLeaks, has finally reached a plea deal with the US government and is a free man.**

• **Harvard becomes the latest university to roll back their "diversity, equity, and inclusion" initiatives.** The Harvard Faculty of Arts and Sciences announced that it will scrap diversity statements in hiring, eliminating the requirement for tenure-track applicants to submit what critics have described as ideologically-motivated loyalty oaths. Harvard also announced that, as an institution, it will no longer take positions on hot-button social and political issues, citing a faculty-led working group that recommended against making "official statements about public matters that do not directly affect the university's core function."

• **State of Kansas files lawsuit against Pfizer, alleging it misled the public about the safety and effectiveness of its COVID-19 vaccine.**

• **New legislation in England proposes to ban discussions of "gender identity" in the classroom and imposes a blanket age limit of 9 for sex education.**

• **Science wins over censorship: a viral Lancet censored paper is now finally set to be published in *Forensic Science International*.** The peer-reviewed paper, "A Systematic REVIEW of Autopsy findings in deaths after COVID-19 vaccination" (Hulscher et al.) went viral last year after finding that, of 325 autopsy cases, "73.9% of deaths were directly due to or significantly contributed to by COVID-19 vaccination ... Our data suggest a high likelihood of a causal link between COVID-19 vaccination and death." The Lancet pulled the paper within 24 hours for no legitimate reason after hundreds of thousands of downloads.

• **Arbitrator orders reinstatement of unvaccinated London Health Sciences hospital worker finds termination lacked just cause.** Jill Thompson, who

has worked at the hospital for nearly 20 years, was terminated in 2021 for not complying with the hospital's mandatory COVID-19 vaccination policy. She had no prior disciplinary record and had adhered to regular antigen testing before the termination date.

• **US Court of Appeals rules COVID-19 vaccine mandate violated fundamental rights, failed to prevent transmission, and therefore, forced a medical procedure on individuals.** In a June 7, 2024, decision, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit reversed a lower court's ruling in a high-profile case involving the Los Angeles Unified School District's COVID-19 vaccine mandate for its employees. The case, which has garnered significant attention, was brought forward by the Health Freedom Defense Fund and several individual plaintiffs, and may set a precedent for the overall constitutionality of the vaccine mandate.

• **Fired school worker who criticized trans and LGBT sex education wins appeal.** Kirstie Higgs was dismissed in 2019 from her teaching assistant role in a Christian school for sharing Facebook posts criticizing compulsory sex education and transgenderism in schools. After a previous ruling upheld her dismissal, she has won her right to a new trial.

• **Dr. Allison Neitzel, founder of the "fact check" and "misinformation" group *MisinformationKills* finally apologizes to a group of doctors on the leading edge of treating COVID and COVID vaccine injuries.** During the pandemic, Neitzel repeatedly disparaged Dr. Paul Marik and Dr. Pierre Kory, founders of *Front Line Covid Critical Care (FLCCC)*. Her criticisms included a study by Dr. Marik on the effect of Vitamin C on sepsis, and a meta-analysis by Drs. Marik and Kory on the use of ivermectin to treat COVID. The apology also appears on her website, which is now devoid of other content.

Recap of 77th World Health Assembly

By standforhealthfreedom.com

Last week, the voting body of the World Health Organization (WHO) met in their annual meeting known as the World Health Assembly (WHA). Of course, the world's focus was on the treaty and the International Health Regulations (IHR) amendments. In short, treaty negotiations were extended a year, and the assembly claimed to have adopted amendments to the IHR. But those highly anticipated items were not the only things on the agenda. The WHA held sessions on the effects of climate change on health policy (in line with the One Health approach), the Israel and Palestine conflict, universal health coverage, noncommunicable diseases, **Immunization Agenda 2030 and The Big Catch Up (both aimed at eliminating "zero dose" children)**, tuberculosis, anti-microbial resistance, and maternal/child health. Read on for an in-depth look at the IHR amendments, as well as select notable meetings from WHA77.¹

Strategic Roundtable on 50 Years of Global Vaccination

Sweeping claims of the success of vaccine programs were made at the 50th Anniversary of the "Essential Program on Immunization" (EPI) Strategic Roundtable side event of the World Health Assembly. It was said that with global cooperation, vaccines could actually eradicate some diseases—a claim that ignores the fact that in 200 years of vaccine history, this has never happened.

We acknowledge that the WHO declared smallpox eradicated, but stockpiles of the virus are still kept, and in fact, this year's WHA resolved again not to destroy them. For example, in 2007, a child whose military father had gotten the smallpox vaccine ended up with the illness, as did health researchers who work with the virus. Military who may be in danger of bioweapon attacks are recommended to get the smallpox vaccine. In 2022, *Science* magazine declared that the U.S. should prepare for a return of smallpox. Does that sound like eradication?

By now, you've probably seen the clip² of WHO Director-General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus decrying the "serious threat posed by antivaxxers." That happened at this EPI Roundtable dinner event. He said, "It's time to be more aggressive on pushing back on anti-vaxxers." This threat amplifies the widespread calls for taking action on "misinformation and disinformation" we've heard for years now from the World Health Organization, other United Nations organizations, and our own governments.

It's worth watching a 10-minute address³ by Budi Gunadi Sadikin, the Health Minister of Indonesia. His story is truly jaw-dropping. Indonesia ended up being one of the most successful Sadikin explains how he did it, which includes every trick in the book: bribery with free food, convincing priests to tell people, "God will love you if you get vaccinated," using the military and police, addressing social and religious refusals with psychological and emotional approaches, and leveraging fear. He explains, "Vaccination is about understanding the local culture." His use of the military was a stroke of strategic (and coercive) brilliance. As he put it, "They just exchange the pistol and the gun into the science and they can do the job well."

By his own admission, he is not "a public health person or a medical person." He's a nuclear physicist by training and a 30-year banker by trade. So, why was he the president's pick to lead the response to COVID by getting shots in arms? If a medical and public health background isn't a prerequisite for promoting health policy, what is?

Sadikin was also invited to speak at a roundtable on WHO investment (fundraising), where he explained the role the WHO plays for countries. He explained, "So the things that I feel I receive the most from WHO is, WHO gives us guidelines... it's a policy, a standard, an understanding on where the country should go. And I think WHO needs to strengthen that role, so we are clear what we have to do, whether it is intervention in primary care, or intervention in secondary care, health technology... That is something that we really need from WHO."

There was also a call for a global focus on vaccines for older adults. The EPI program was initially set up for children, but we hear the calls for more vaccines for adults reverberating through global health policy. Here in America, our Department of Health and Human

Services (HHS) has been heeding the call by expanding adult immunization in line with United Nations (UN) and WHO goals. Once again, we see America in lockstep with global policy, regardless of whether it is "binding." In fact, our own CDC Director, Mandy Cohen, was part of the festivities, making a cameo appearance by answering a question about what inspires her with a quotable "Trust, Teamwork, and Terrific Operation," to convince people to fall in line with public health edicts. Cohen was not a delegate involved in negotiations or meetings, but instead, was a guest.



Fundraising was a Central Part of the Week

A considerable amount of time was dedicated to a new "WHO investment round." The WHO is asking for about \$11 billion to fund the next four years. They flatly claim with that money they can save around 40 million lives. Potential investors got treated to their own Strategic Roundtable. During the roundtable, it was stated that 70% of the WHO's budget comes from 10 donors. It was also declared that for every dollar spent on the WHO, investors get \$35 back in "real investments." The goal of the financing call was to change the way the WHO is financed. What are they going to do with the money? They want to make health facilities climate resilient, eliminate disease, and be able to address multiple health threats and emergencies at any time. A representative from the World Bank declared that an investment in WHO is the "best pathway to accumulate human capital."

Another speaker addressed the role of culture in health. She said, "Art and cinema can play a very pivotal role in communicating and amplifying the work WHO and other organizations do." She explained that art can be used for public health messaging because people change when they feel a certain way. "If the communication is right, I think it can reach far more people. It can reach governments; it can create a demand by the people." She wrapped up by urging the WHO to "give people like us [artists] an opportunity to pass on this message in interesting and innovative ways in which we can create that change with people for them to get the message." A speaker from the Gates Foundation noted that we're facing more in the vaccine and pandemic product pipeline than we ever have in history because COVID accelerated that.

Amendments to the International Health Regulations Were Deemed Adopted

In the final hour of the 77th WHA, delegates were presented with a buttoned-up version of the IHR amendments proposal. Sight unseen, they were read a resolution to adopt the amendments, which were not finalized until the last hour of the week. The president of the assembly asked if they were ready to adopt and, "hearing no objection," declared the IHR amendments adopted in less than a minute. During the week, delegates negotiated behind closed doors, with no press access, to finalize this package. We know that the Assembly did not see a final document until right before their "vote," because the day before it was released and adopted, drafters announced they were still working, and would work again in the morning to finalize it.

Per Article 55 of the IHR, the amendment package should have been finalized in January of this year, four months before they were presented to the WHA for adoption. However, it was announced at the October 2023 meeting of the Working Group for the IHR (WGIHR) that they would not be able to meet that deadline, but legal counsel for the WHO announced the rules didn't apply, and the WGIHR could work right up to the moment of

adoption. And that's exactly what they did. While working to expand their scope and power and have stricter, more enforceable rules for countries around the globe, **the WHO declared the rules didn't apply to them.** This is why they are so dangerous. They have taken rogue actions and falsely adopted the behaviour under the premise of lawful powers. The international law community must stand up against this now, because otherwise, it will become law. International law, by and large, depends on voluntary agreement and actions. The tide has been turning toward "binding" actions and "consensus" instead of roll call votes, and this IHR treaty is a big step in that direction.

But the WHO, with the "political will" of the UN, isn't directly rewriting our laws, they expect us to do that ourselves. The IHR amendments include the directive to change domestic law to align with the WHO's rules.

This doesn't stop at laws, because, of course, laws can be written but usually need money to go into force. The WHO has that one covered too. Countries "shall maintain or increase domestic funding" to build their own public health toolbox and help other countries do the same. These amendments will go into effect for many countries in just one year if countries don't speak out. Interestingly, the 2022 amendment changing the timeline for amendments went into force during the WHA. Therefore, countries that do not object to that have 10 months, until April Fools' Day 2025, to

speak out and reject the amendments. Those countries who are rumoured to have rejected that 2022 amendment would be on the "old" timeline of having 18 months to reject, and two years until enforcement. **So, we have a bizarre situation where some countries will have different rules applied through the same legal instrument.** The WHO has not publicly addressed this issue at all and has, in fact, stonewalled inquiries about it.

Loyce Pace, the HHS Associate Director of the Office of Global Affairs, who started the ball rolling on IHR amendments in 2022, gloated about defying naysayers who thought this couldn't be done in such a short timeline, declaring that all those who went along with this "did [their] countries proud." In an interview, she revealed that her biggest takeaway from representing the United States in global health is how powerful she is. Pace is unelected, and her appointment as delegate needs no confirmation.

Moving Forward

We watch what they do, not what they say. And they are doing a lot. We will have action steps to take but, as always, we will be reaching out when we can make a big, strategic impact. Here's what we can do now, and what Standing For Health Freedom (SHF) is watching moving forward:

- The UN Summit of the Future scheduled for September 22-23, 2024
- The US Global Health Security Strategy
- Engaging the arts for public health messaging, including the HHS partnership with the National Endowment for the Arts
- WHO in our Schools

1. standforhealthfreedom.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/IHR-amendments-doc-3.pdf
2. rumble.com/v4zvfwr-tedros-anti-vaxxer.html
3. rumble.com/v4zvjuu-indonesia-coercing-for-shots.html

Originally published at standforhealthfreedom.com/wha77

Advertisements



STRYDER

LOGISTICS

Canada / USA Full Loads & LTL

Reefer, Dry-van, and Flat-Bed

dispatch@stryderlogistics.com
 877-430-4790

Detransitioners Testify in US Government Hearings

Here are transcriptions of testimony from three young women who transitioned from female to male and are now detransitioning.

Chloe Cole (@ChooCole on X) testified before the Tennessee legislature

My name is Chloe Cole, and I'm detransitioning, a former trans child from rural California. From the time I was seventeen, I've been travelling and giving my cautionary tale to legislatures across the country. I have dedicated my early adulthood to make sure that no child in America, and the rest of the world, will be harmed by gender ideology, and specifically, cross-sex interventions like the double mastectomy and cross-sex hormones and puberty blockers that defined my childhood. I'm extremely grateful to have the opportunity to have a part in Tennessee's Age Restriction Bill last year, however the country has acted too slowly. Thousands have already been harmed. Half the country is continuing to harm our children and young men and women. The number of people like me, who are now realizing they made a mistake, is growing and I'm excited to finally be able to advocate for my own demographic—the detransitioners.

I'm nineteen years old. I shouldn't have to worry about this. At thirteen, I had a healthy body. Now, I live in complete uncertainty surrounding my health. I don't know what's to come for me. I don't know how much harm these procedures have done to my body. I don't know if I'm going to be able to have kids. When I got my blood testing done, I was given the male averages for hormones, instead of the female averages like I requested. Doctors look at my mastectomy, look at the complications; surgeons had taken skin grafts from my areolas that now leak clear fluid and sometimes blood. I have to wear bandages every single day to keep it from staining my shirt and my bedding. Doctors look at me and they just shrug. The experiment is never ending. I'm terrified. It's miserable to look down at my chest every day in confusion and uncertainty. Nobody deserves this.

California, my home state, is not safe for me; they make it clear every time I walk into the doctor's office. All I know is gender affirmation, but I'm tired of being told there's no way out for me, I'm tired of being told that "I'm just on a gender journey." I'm done.

My friends and I are creating community to advocate for ourselves and we deserve some real answers. We need to be able to come to Tennessee and get real medical care, instead of the ideologically-driven pseudoscience in California. Let's provide options. I'm grateful that you all have taken the courageous step to halt these harmful interventions and the next step will be restoration. As it is right now, there is no gate-keeping the transition. The World Professional Association for Transgender Health (WPATH) files¹ that were released yesterday have proven that. I'm extremely grateful to the early detransitioners who have carved a path forward, and I'm working to continue that effort and we need your legislative help.

1. public.substack.com/p/the-wpath-files

Morgan Keller (@in-detransit on X) testified before the Ohio Government Oversight Committee for Health Bill 68

My name is Morgan Keller, I'm twenty-six years old and was trans-identified for five years. In March of this year, after trying to ignore the doubt and regret that began to build around my transition, I woke up one morning with the realization that my trans identity was never about becoming my most genuine self, or living my life authentically; instead, it was a desperate, last-ditch attempt to become someone else—to escape my unidentified trauma and body and mental health issues.

When I started exploring gender ideology, my life was in shambles. I was in an emotionally-manipulative lesbian relationship; I was isolating myself in an apartment and drinking regularly. I wasn't attending my classes or socializing normally. I had become captivated by the idea that my female body was fundamentally wrong, and seduced by the prospect that there was something I could do about it. When I sought out help for my complicated feelings towards my female sex, I was affirmed, which is to say, I was put on life-altering cross-sex hormones

entire life. I had no energy, I didn't shower for almost two weeks, I would cry upwards of ten times a day, shocked by what I'd been allowed to do to my body in such a vulnerable state with an undeveloped brain. I would lay in bed all day, sitting with the realization that I would never be able to breast feed children that I didn't ever know I wanted at the time I got my mastectomy. I didn't know if those feelings would ever go away, so I started to make plans to commit suicide. My family was so worried, that my parents made me go home so they could make sure I was eating, bathing, and sleeping. I sent a letter to my prescribing practitioner, detailing how much regret I felt, and all of the things I wished were different about the treatment I received, and she never replied.

I had been working with the same therapist for seven years by the time I called her with my realizations about the issues underlying my decision to transition. I sent her lists of everything that should have been treated instead of getting hormones and a mastectomy, and I will never forget hearing her tell me, "I failed you." She told me that this was such a new field of psychology, that modern medicine is still at the forefront of learning how to treat

gender dysphoria. But isn't that funny? The current narrative says that this medicalization is "settled science." I couldn't give informed consent at twenty-one, so why are we pretending that children can do that?

With this Bill, we can ensure that children in Ohio are protected from ever waking up and finding themselves in my position. I wish I never opened the Pandora's box of gender ideology; I wish I'd been told "No" by the practitioners who I trusted. I wish I could say that I'm the exception to the rule, but everyone in this room knows that that is false. I come to you wearing the scars of this medical scandal, asking you to please vote in support of Health Bill 68, to protect Ohio's children. Thank you for listening.



with minimal questioning or treatment of my underlying issues.

At 21, a licensed practitioner in the state of Ohio wrote me a prescription for medically-unnecessary synthetic testosterone, and just one month after my 22nd birthday, I went under the knife for a double mastectomy based on the recommendation letter from a therapist who still holds an active license in the state. I sat with these practitioners for hours, describing how uncomfortable I was in my body, how disconnected I felt from myself, how hard it was to walk through the world as a masculine woman. The nurse practitioner who prescribed me testosterone, told me that I would transition beautifully, and that no one would ever be able to tell that I was born as a female. After a lifetime of body image issues and increasing desperation to become anybody but myself, that was like music to my ears. I don't believe that not transitioning was ever considered by my practitioners. I feel like once I walked into that gender clinic, medicalization was the only option. I needed the practitioners that I trusted to help me make peace with my body, not affirm my delusions that hormones and cosmetic mastectomy might help me feel better. I needed them to just say, "No."

This week is the first anniversary of my first testosterone shot. I was told that this experimental medicalization would save my life. My parents were made to believe that this was the only way to keep their daughter alive, healthy, and happy. No practitioner bothered to dig deeper with me about why I felt so disconnected from my female body, and why I thought giving myself an endocrine imbalance, amputating my healthy breasts, and masquerading as a member of the opposite sex, was such an appealing treatment plan. I can say with 100% certainty that this new medication only gave me new health problems and mental distress. I will never, ever legitimize these experimental treatments as anything based on love or care for an individual.

Under the euphemistic guise of lifesaving, gender-affirming care, practitioners in our state have become enablers with their prescription pads. At its highest point, my testosterone levels were eleven times the maximum range for the female body. Is this really the standard of care we want for our Ohioans?

When I realized my medicalization was nothing more than a very elaborate placebo, endorsed by multiple medical professionals, I made the immediate decision to detransition. It was all over. I quit testosterone cold turkey and endured four of the most brutal months of my

Katie Lennon (Anderson), (@Katie1080 on X) testified in Concord, New Hampshire, in favor of Parental Rights Bill SB272. She transitioned at 18.

Like many children and teens today, I identified myself as transgender for years, and when I started to feel confused and ashamed about my developing body, I asked everyone in my life to call me by a new name and use male pronouns to refer to me. So, in other words, I went through a social transition from female to male. Everyone in my life immediately affirmed my new identity, either out of full support for it, or just to stay neutral and not cause any issues. But the constant affirmation, both active and passive, solidified me in my transgender identity. No one meant to lock me into an identity that would later leave me broken, ashamed, and more confused than before. They were really all just being nice.

But the social transition eventually wasn't enough, and I soon felt I needed to take testosterone. And when that wasn't enough, I had a double mastectomy. And when that still wasn't enough, I had a total hysterectomy, including the removal of my uterus, cervix, fallopian tubes and both ovaries. There's no point of contentment during a gender transition. We get fleeting moments of euphoria, but ultimately, one step leads straight into the next, and I thought that in the end, I could really become a man, but all I became was a mutilated and abused version of my old self.

Social transition is a big deal and we're lying when we say any of this is reversible. This is a big decision with life-long consequences, and New Hampshire doesn't even require that parents be involved. If the roles of mother and father don't include authority for the emotional, social, and physical future of their children, the roles no longer mean anything.

Like me, transgender-identifying youth have a high risk for depression. The Trevor project, which is an LGBT advocacy group, reports that 45% of LGBT teens have seriously considered attempting suicide in the past year. More than half of that number is transgender-identifying youth. Teachers and school administrators are mandated reporters, and if a child is suspected of being four times more likely to attempt suicide than the other students his age, his parents have a right to know. And SB272 will ensure that parents are notified if their children are identifying with a high-risk community, and will allow the parents to decide the next best step for their child. Please vote in support of SB272.

Websites of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us. You can visit druthers.ca to get in touch.

- | | |
|---|---|
| vaccinechoicecanada.com | action4canada.com |
| freedomrising.info | theylied.ca |
| librti.com | americasfrontlinedoctors.com |
| worlddoctorsalliance.com | stopworldcontrol.com |
| thehighwire.com | evidencenotfear.com |
| corbette-report.com | activistpost.com |
| pressfortruth.ca | biodigcon.com |
| stand4thee.com | standupcanada.solutions |
| awarriorcalls.com | lauralynn.tv |
| takeactioncanada.ca | libertycoalitioncanada.com |
| brightlightnews.com | awakecanada.org |
| nationalcitizensinquiry.ca | vaccineregret.net |
| shelaw.ca | canadiancovidcarealliance.org |
| internationalman.com | canadahealthalliance.org |
| swprs.org | childrenshealthdefense.org |
| geoengineeringwatch.org | redacted.inc |
| howbad.info | globalwalkout.com |
| thepowershift.ca | realnotrare.com |
| globalresearch.ca | mamabearsproject.com |

Last Updated July 2024

The Club of Sociopaths

By Shellie Troy

According to psychologist, Dr. Martha Stout, author of *The Sociopath Next Door*, sociopaths represent about 4% of the total population. They're found in every stratum of society; their behaviours vary from mild to severe; they land on a sociopath-psychopath spectrum; they feel no shame, no remorse and have no conscience—and given these innate characteristics, many of them gravitate toward affluence and power. Having no conscience could be called their secret weapon—and why 96% of us shake our heads and can't believe they can do the things they do.

"Elite" is another term for them, and if we take a quick survey of just a few, some of their methods, patterns, and relationships emerge, not to mention their insanely dystopian worldviews. The world's richest man, Bill Gates, is certainly a club member, if not its president.

In December, 2019, one month *before* Covid was officially announced, he tweeted this: *"What's next for our Foundation? I'm particularly excited about what the next year could mean for one of the best buys in global health: vaccines."*

"Best buys"?? The good philanthropist (philanthropic psychopath) was alerting his sharks about immense profits to be made. And that's exactly what happened.

April 2021: Forbes reported nearly 500 people became billionaires in the pandemic year—one new billionaire every 17 hours.

May 2022: CNN reported a new billionaire was minted nearly every day during the pandemic.

June 2022: Oxfam reported the ten richest men doubled their fortunes during the pandemic while incomes of 99 percent of humanity fell.

Gates' net worth increased by \$32.5 billion US between 2019-2022. Some will say, "Well, of course, that's how capitalism works, a lucky few get very rich." If only that adage was just a harmless truism: it's profoundly alarming that vaccines, which are supposed to be about human health, are so polluted with vulture capitalism.

Anthony Fauci is, perhaps, the club's vice-president. According to Robert F. Kennedy Jr. in *The Real Anthony Fauci*, Fauci has worked closely with Gates for decades; people who know him well describe him as *"a sociopath and a liar"* 1 and compare him to sociopathic con men Bernie Madoff and Charles Ponzi. Kennedy's 450-page tome is a damning indictment of a serial criminal: the Covid plandemic represents the pinnacle of Fauci's 50-year career developing himself into a powerful overlord of rigged science and pharmaceutical dominance.

The World Health Organization (WHO) became the primary director during Covid. Tedros Ghebreyesus—accused of genocide, torture, and worse—in his home country of Ethiopia, 2 is its director-general; he was appointed by Gates, who also happens to be the WHO's second largest funder. Ghebreyesus is neither a doctor nor a scientist. In May 2020, he announced to the world that the vaccine provided 95% protection, by June it was 70%, July 50%. By August, the vaccination provided no protection but reduced the spread, by September it didn't reduce the spread but it reduced the severity, and by October 2020, it didn't reduce the severity but it reduced hospitalizations. His directives were a glaring display of malice and incompetence, but they sure kept the billions flowing in.

Power has to be the most indomitable of addictions. The WHO is currently reworking its Pandemic Treaty, which recently failed to be ratified; in six months, we'll see another draft. If they succeed, their power grab would mean they'd be able to override democratically elected governments, inflict lockdowns and suffering and tragedy anytime, everywhere, and march great swaths of humanity into digital serfdom. And, of course, keep the billions of filthy lucre flowing in. The WHO has no internal mechanisms to hold it to account—not for the harms caused by shoddy mRNA concoctions or for the drugs their corrupt scientists are busy making now. When Ghebreyesus tells the cameras that the Pandemic Treaty is necessary so the WHO can *"strengthen the legal framework for the global response to pandemics,"* what he's really saying is they need legal cover for committing crimes against humanity.

Next up in the sociopath parade is bio-tech piranha, Yuval Noah Harari, who predicts that rapid advances in computing technology will *"make humans redundant."* He claims that *"humans are now hackable animals."* Technology will create a *"massive class of useless people"*

who will need to be kept happy with *"drugs and computer games."* He tells TED talk audiences, *"We just don't need the vast majority of this population in today's world."* And human rights are *"just like heaven, and like God, just a fictional story. The whole idea that humans have this soul or spirit and nobody knows what's happening inside them, and they have free will, that's over..."*

If all this sounds absurd and ridiculous, the ramblings of a madman, you'd be right. Nevertheless, Harari has become very influential and a top advisor to many, including Klaus Schwab, who is the founder of the World Economic Forum (WEF) and now notorious for his edict, *"you will own nothing and you will be happy."* Like all would-be despots, his ideas are grotesque and grandiose: *"In this new world we must accept transparency."*



Everything is going to be transparent and you have to get used to it, you have to behave accordingly. It becomes, how should I put it, integrated into your personality but if you have nothing to hide you shouldn't be afraid."

During his tenure, Schwab has also been the patriarch/figurehead of Davos, the annual gathering of the world's most affluent and their coterie of hangers-on in the Swiss mountain town after which the conference is named. Last year, 2,800 attended. So did author Peter S. Goodman, author of *Davos Man: How the Billionaires Devoured the World*. *"I saw billionaires engage in simulations of the Syrian refugee experience—led around in the dark while blindfolded as angry officials demanded their papers—before savouring truffles at dinners thrown by global banks. Outside conference rooms featuring discussions on human trafficking,"* Goodman wrote, *"I watched venture capitalists fist-bumping over having scored invites to the bacchanal thrown by a Russian oligarch who flew in prostitutes from Moscow."*

Aren't they a charming bunch?

Disregard The Taboos

By Riley Donovan

While carrying on the west coast farmer's interminable crusade against the scourge of the Himalayan blackberry, I listened to a radio call-in show on the recent announcement that average monthly rent in Canada has soared to \$2,200—a new record.

One by one, callers described the harrowing, stressful housing situations in which they find themselves. They meekly offered up piecemeal solutions; well-meaning but milquetoast suggestions, consisting of minor fiddling with subsidies or rent control.

Finally, 42 minutes into an hour-long show, an Asian guy from Richmond pointed to the elephant in the room. He calmly and firmly stated that sky-high immigration is the reason for sky-high rent, and called on the government to dramatically slash numbers.

Nothing enrages the woke folk and the corporate immigration lobbyists more than the fact that 62% of immigrants support immigration restriction!

The next caller, a Nova Scotian, said he agreed. The caller after him, began their statement by condemning the Trudeau government's reckless open-door policy. Because of one man's willingness to transgress a taboo, the tide broke, and people felt free to speak their mind.

Noam Chomsky argues that the powerful in society stifle free discussion by *"limiting the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allowing very lively debate within that spectrum."*

The pros and cons of the carbon tax and the recent capital gains change are endlessly relitigated on CBC radio by the syndicated columnists in the corporate

Hopeful activists from the 96% are allowed to attend Davos but only so that any threats they pose can be managed and neutralized. Social justice movements—environment, climate, diversity, inclusion, equity, human rights, etc—are very useful in providing cover. With help from slavish media lapdogs, the public's attention is diverted from their New World Order's assault on freedom, democracy, and the rule of law. Social justice attendees are little more than controlled opposition—but, hey, plastic straws have been banned in Canada so the partnership between globalism and environmentalism is working well, right?

Goodman: *"In truth, Davos Man has pillaged the global economy, exploiting workers, plundering housing and health care, and dismantling government programs while transferring the bounty to his personal bank accounts tucked in jurisdictions beyond the reach of any pain-in-the-ass tax collector."*

Those who belong to the club of sociopaths, those who are invited in, buy their way in, or aspire to join, must all follow the same script—no rocking the boat, no whistle-blowing, no making anyone visible or accountable. Just get behind the dark ethos, take the fat envelopes, and enjoy the parties and perks. But only the blindly ambitious can ignore or justify the absence of humanity, the bankruptcy of vision. A case in point would be Jacinda Ardern. A ferocious pusher of vaccinations while in political office, the former PM of New Zealand saw her popularity plummet, lost her seat, and is now a gaping cheerleader for the end of free speech. In this era of globalist skills assisting the push to global tyranny, fail-

ing upwards is a career path and one we can expect to see of PM Justin Trudeau, Deputy PM Chrystia Freeland, and others, once Canadians are done with allowing them to harm us.

The elite are not made of better clay than the rest of us, but they are certainly better at being diabolical parasites who lust for power and control. To say they lack shame, empathy, or a conscience doesn't go far enough—many are dangerous predators who must be stopped. Where their influence manifests, which is rapidly becoming everywhere, we see degradation, corruption, and social upheaval. They are very unwell and they want to become the overlords of a New World Order.

1. Robert F. Kennedy Jr., *The Real Anthony Fauci: Bill Gates, Big Pharma, and the Global War on Democracy and Public Health* (page 123)

2. [reuters.com/world/africa/who-sets-aside-ethiopia-request-probe-who-chiefs-links-rebellious-tigrayan-2022-01-24](https://www.reuters.com/world/africa/who-sets-aside-ethiopia-request-probe-who-chiefs-links-rebellious-tigrayan-2022-01-24)

media, and by politicians in Parliament. More contentious issues—such as schools teaching the doctrine of *"white privilege"* or government workplaces instituting discriminatory affirmative action policies—are given limited airtime outside a handful of independent publications. What's more, private citizens are often afraid to express politically incorrect views in public or on social media for fear of repercussions from their employer, school, or university—hardly the mark of a free society.

English Canada is especially vulnerable to this constriction of free thought because of the law-abiding nature that is our heritage. Unlike our American cousins, Canada was not founded in a chaotic revolution kick-started by a bunch of rowdies throwing boxes of tea off ships in protest of a small tax hike. Indeed, our Loyalist forebears upped stakes and fled north after the British Crown was kicked out of the United States. Whereas *"the pursuit of happiness"* is written into the American Constitution, our constitution holds up the more sedate *"peace, order, and good government."*

This tradition is a treasure, and should not be misconstrued to mean blind deference to authority. In a situation where open discussion is muzzled by ideological orthodoxy, our ancestors would expect us to disregard the taboos and keep the fire of free speech alive.

As Amor De Cosmos, the second premier of British Columbia, put it in the late 1800s: *"It is too late in the day to stop men thinking. If allowed to think, they will speak. If they speak, they will write, and what they write will be printed and published."*

Riley Donovan is an independent journalist and founder of dominionreview.ca
Follow him on X at [@valdombre](https://twitter.com/valdombre)

Japanese Leader Apologizes, “So Many Have Died, and They Shouldn’t Have”

By Sean Adl-Tabatabai

Kazuhiro Haraguchi, the former Japanese Minister for Internal Affairs, has become the first major politician to apologize to the unvaccinated for the tsunami of deaths occurring among the vaccinated population.

On May 24, huge numbers of Japanese citizens took to the streets to protest against the crimes against humanity perpetrated by globalist organizations, such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the World Economic Forum (WEF) during the pandemic. During an opening speech at the protests, Haraguchi delivered a powerful and emotional apology for the huge numbers of deaths now occurring as a result of the deadly mRNA roll-out.

Haraguchi began by addressing the grief and loss felt by families who have lost loved ones who were coerced into taking the COVID jab. With a deep sense of sincerity, he extended his condolences and took responsibility for the failings of those in power. “I apologize to all of you. So many have died, and they shouldn’t have,” he said.

TheLibertyBeacon.com reports: One of the key points in Haraguchi’s speech was his criticism of the ban on Ivermectin, a drug developed by Dr. Satoshi Omura, which he believed could have played a significant role in combating the pandemic. Haraguchi questioned the motives behind the ban, suggesting that economic interests were prioritized over public health. “Why? Because they are cheap. They don’t want it because it will interfere

with the sales of the vaccines,” he argued. This statement drew loud applause from the crowd, many of whom felt that corporate profits had taken precedence over human lives.

Haraguchi then shared a deeply personal story about his own health struggles. After receiving vaccines, he developed a severe illness, specifically, a rapidly progressing form of cancer. “This time last year, I had neither eyebrows nor hair. Two out of the three supposed vaccines I received were lethal batches,” he revealed. This candid account of his battle with cancer, which included significant physical changes like hair loss, struck a chord with the audience. He recounted an incident where his appearance became a point of distraction in the Diet (the national legislature of Japan), with an opponent focusing more on his wig than the issues at hand.

Adding to the conversation, Haraguchi disclosed that he was not the only member of Japan’s National Diet to suffer adverse effects from vaccines. He mentioned that three of his colleagues had been severely affected, with some even hospitalized. “They are falling to pieces, some hospitalized. But they don’t speak up,” he explained. This revelation underscored a broader issue: the reluctance or inability of public figures to discuss their personal health challenges openly.

Haraguchi was particularly passionate about the attempts to silence those who question current policies and government actions. He recounted a recent incident where he was banned from speaking on Channel 3. “The

other day, I spoke with the President of Channel 3, and I was banned. They are trying to silence our voices,” he stated. This attempt to censor dissenting voices highlighted a critical concern about freedom of speech and expression. Haraguchi urged the audience to remain steadfast in their resolve, saying, “They are trying to block our freedom, our resistance, our power. But we will never lose.”

In the conclusion of his speech, Haraguchi issued a rallying call for action. He urged the people to stand united in challenging the government and its questionable decisions. “Let’s overthrow this government,” he proclaimed, emphasizing the need for change and accountability. He called on legislators to continue fighting for the people’s lives and freedoms, “Let’s make it happen,” he concluded.



Former Japanese Minister for Internal Affairs, Kazuhiro Haraguchi

Originally published at thepeoplesvoice.tv

A Doctor Told the Truth, The Feds Showed Up at His Door

By Emily Yoffe

Eithan Haim, 34, is at the beginning of his career as a surgeon. He and his wife are expecting their first child in the fall. And now he is facing a four-count federal felony indictment for blowing the whistle on Texas Children’s Hospital (TCH), where he worked while a resident.

At TCH, he discovered the hospital was secretly continuing gender transition treatments on minors—including hormonal intervention on patients as young as 11 years old—after publicly declaring, in March of 2022, it would no longer provide such services.

The hospital unwillingly backed away from the treatments under pressure from the Texas governor and attorney general. But Haim found not only were the treatments continuing—the program appeared to be expanding. He recorded several online presentations by medical staff encouraging the transition of children. One social worker described how she deliberately did not make note of such treatment in the medical charts of patients to avoid leaving a paper trail. Haim told me, “They were talking publicly about how they were concealing what they were doing. You can’t take care of your patient without trust. For me as a doctor, to not do something about this was unconscionable.”

Haim, like a growing number of medical professionals around the world, had grave doubts about the safety and efficacy of the explosively growing business of youth gender transition medicine. When he looked into it, he found that children distressed about their biological sex often had multiple mental health challenges—conditions that were being ignored in the rush to put vulnerable young people on hormones, and even to perform surgical interventions. These treatments are profoundly life-altering, with a high risk of rendering a young person sterile. In the last few years, a growing number of countries have investigated

these treatments for young people, found the evidence wanting, and have effectively banned interventions, such as puberty blockers—drugs that prevent children from entering puberty.

Haim felt he had to act, but he knew the career risks of speaking out could be enormous. He contacted conservative journalist, Christopher Rufo, who published an exposé without naming him. Before giving Rufo evidence that puberty blockers were still being surgically implanted in young patients, Haim made sure the patients’ names and other identifying information were redacted. This was both to protect patient privacy, and himself from violating the law known as HIPAA (Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act), which protects individual patient identities while also allow-

was to graduate from his residency, two federal agents from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services showed up at his house to have a little chat. Haim’s wife, an assistant U.S. attorney for the Northern District of Texas (a different division of the U.S. Attorney’s office than the one that has indicted her husband), advised him not to talk.

As Haim later wrote in *City Journal*, “Before leaving, they handed me a letter revealing that I was a ‘potential target’ of an investigation involving alleged violation of federal criminal law related to medical records.” Haim then went public about the threat facing him in an interview with Rufo. (The U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Southern District of Texas did not respond to a request for comment.)

Haim was indicted last week, but, as of this writing, he and his attorneys do not yet know the precise nature of the charges. One of his lawyers, Mark Lytle, told me it’s very unusual to bring felony charges for an alleged HIPAA violation unless there is a significant underlying crime, such as a hospital clerk selling a celebrity’s medical records. He said the indictment of Haim seems politically motivated. “The government is entering into the town square on the culture wars and didn’t like what Eithan had to say,” said Lytle. “I think they are looking to make an example of him.” Haim is raising money for his legal fees through GiveSendGo.1

Haim told me that despite the peril he is now facing, he has no regrets about blowing the whistle and is committed to fighting the federal charges. He said, “If we don’t fight back, what world are we delivering our children into?”

1. givesendgo.com/texas-whistleblower



ing various uses of medical information. The story Haim gave to Rufo was published on May 16, 2023. The next day, the Texas legislature voted to ban the medical gender transition of minors.

Haim says there was no immediate aftermath: “Everything went quiet. I was anonymous and went on with my life.” Then, June 23 of last year, the day Haim

Emily Yoffe is a senior editor at *The Free Press*. Follow her on X at @emilyyoffe. Originally published at thefp.com



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.

July 2024 circulation: 225,000 copies Canada-wide

+25,000 extra copies last month via Neighbourhood Mail

Original content DRUTHERS 2024©

Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason

Administrator: Christie-Lynn

Editors: Michelle & Lynn

Layout & Design: Michael Choo-Chong

Special Thanks: David Bolton

Extra big thanks to: Kristy, Bob, Jessica, Geraldine, Shelagh, Stacey, Len, Cathy, Lynne & so many more...

Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net

News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you.

Keep on passing it on.”

– Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS.

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

Please give what you can: druthers.ca/donate Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante

P.O. Box 40531

Six Points Plaza

Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

Town Halls are the New Village Voice

By Charlaire

Town halls are the latest rage in British Columbia to inform people of new Bills the governments are advancing through with little public input.

In Port Alberni, Vancouver Island, BC, invitations were sent to all city council members, Alberni-Clayoquot Regional District (ACRD) directors, MLAs, MPs, and First Nations groups to attend the first Port Alberni Town Hall. Alas, only one director showed up and only two councillors responded to the RSVP. This is a recurring theme among the politicians across BC with the Town Hall meetings—a minute number of politicians attend.

It makes one wonder, “Is there a lack of public interest with councils or are councils just following policies in their rulings?”

Founder Paul Jordan of *BCTownhall2024.ca* said, “I was so frustrated. My family turned on us and 99 percent of my friends turned on my wife and I.”

“I was so angry about all the sh*t that was going on in the world and with our country and knew not how to reach the people in the middle, vaccinated or not,” Jordan explained. “A pastor advised me to look within. The next morning, I woke up and said, ‘Let’s get off of social media and talk, just like the Old Town Halls they used to put on.’”

A pilot project town hall was initiated in Lake Cowichan, Vancouver Island, BC, with Honourable John Rustad. Also attending was Dr. Stephen Malthouse, who has been speaking out publicly since the start of the Covid crisis in 2020.

It was so successful, they held a larger one in Victoria, BC. They wrote the templates for town halls online (*BCTownhall2024.ca*), which guides people how to and what to say in holding their own townhall. The objective is to bring awareness to the people about the Bills that are being pushed through. *BCRising.ca* got on board, as did *FreedomRising.ca*. **Town halls are now popping up all over the place in BC.** I am not aware of any mainstream media broadcasting any of this information.

Port Alberni’s first town hall, May 8, 2024, saw a full house show up to learn from the speakers about some of the new Bills and United Nations on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP). Joshua Lemmens, an Eagle Clan Elder, from Hope, BC, travelled to Port Alberni, to speak about UNDRIP—the Great Reset, which

means the Great Resettlement.

“UNDRIP is a UN initiative to give back to the Indigenous People their lands, however, the UN is the banker,” Joshua said.

UNDRIP does not explain the word Indigenous. The Webster’s dictionary defines indigenous as “Originating in a (specified) place or country,” a term that could be misconstrued, indeed. In other words, if the lands go bankrupt, then the UN, who is the banker, can ravish the treasures, bring in their people, and take the resources.

Wayne Smith, a director of the Alberni Farmers Institute (AFI) and local Port Alberni farmer for many



years spoke about food security. “A good farmer is a good observer,” Smith told the crowd, and what he is observing are too many rules and regulations being imposed on the farmers, making them want to sell out, as the average farmer now is in his 60s and they don’t want to fight all the government Bills.

“From 2016 to 2021, we lost 10 percent of the farmers in Canada,” according to BC Census. “And I believe it is even more since then,” Smith said.

“There is no 4-H club in Port Alberni this year, which means that generation is not being educated about farming and animals,” he said.

New mandatory rules are being implemented¹ for water use by the BC Ministry of Forests, and with Bill

Myocarditis and Stroke

Continued from p.4

Ischemic Stroke, Hemorrhagic Stroke, HTN, Tachycardia, Seizure/ischemic stroke (vasculitis), STEMI heart attack blocked coronary artery, bilat, Colitis, PEG Allergy, Polyarthritis, Allergic - possible anaphylaxis, Other Possible Allergic, Seizure, erythema multiforme rash, Petechial rash/hives, Hyperthyroidism, Thrombus, soft tissue swelling, Costochondritis, chest pain, chest pain/cardiic, Flare Inflammatory oligoarthritis, Myocarditis, Bell’s Palsy, Bell’s Palsy vs TG Neuralgia, Bilateral leg pain/paresthesia, Optic neuritis, paresthesia face, Leg cramps, Chest pain/fever, Vertigo, Palpitations, Abdominal Pain, Cerebrovascular accident (CVA), SIC, Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS), Paresthesia arm/face, persistent headache, Thrombocytopenia, Fatigue/myalgias, Premature ventricular contractions (PVCs).

Many people have kindly offered me a financial contribution to help me pay for the work that I have done and I just felt that it was “my part,” my contribution. However, upon reflection, I am blocking my ability to receive such kind offers! Therefore, I would like to express my openness to you if you feel called and would like to send a few dollars. There is absolutely no obligation. I needed to do this. It was a therapy—a healing—to uncover the truth. The email to make a donation is shellyhipson@protonmail.com and if you find a link that does not work, please email shellyhipson@gmail.com to let me know.

More information at shellyhipson.ca

1. odysee.com/@shellyhipson:0/What-Houston-and-Strang-AREN'T-Telling-you-About-COVID-19-in-Nova-Scotia:e
2. shellyhipson.ca/documents/NSCovNewsletter2.pdf
3. [shellyhipson.ca/documents/NEWSLETTER_2_NShealthnews\(3\).pdf](https://shellyhipson.ca/documents/NEWSLETTER_2_NShealthnews(3).pdf)
4. shellyhipson.ca/documents/2023-00345-HEA Decision Letter.pdf
5. shellyhipson.ca/documents/2023-00345-HEA Applicant Copy.pdf
6. shellyhipson.ca/documents/2022-02124-HEA Decision Letter.pdf
7. shellyhipson.ca/documents/2022-02124-HEA Applicant Copy.pdf

31 (Emergency and Disaster Management Act), if the government declares an emergency (which can be anything), **they can come onto your farm and kick you out.**

Enforcement Patrol is also on the government table where they can check on how you are treating your animals (taking that responsibility away from the SPCA). However, the large agricultural companies do not have to comply with this mandate—only the small farmers.

“We need to adopt a safe community lifestyle. Keep a year’s supply of non-perishable foods, support your local farmers, go to city hall, reactivate old unused gardens,” Smith advised.

Dr. Stephen Malthouse, an activist from the start of 2020, spoke about Bill 36, the Healthcare Professions and Occupations Act (HPCA), where all health practitioners will be affected. If you do not follow protocols and if you say anything other than the protocols, you can be fined up to \$500,000 and/or go to jail. Doctors and nurses and many healthcare practitioners are leaving BC in droves.

“They (the government) can seize all medical records,” Malthouse told the attentive crowd. “And an anonymous complaint can lock your door.”

Doctors will be required to get all mandated injections.

In addition to the compromised healthcare system, is the state of affairs in the hospitals. Dr. Ingrid Pincott, a 25-year veteran doctor from the city of Campbell River on Vancouver Island, talked about how nurses are getting sick when entering some patients’ rooms and having to breathe crack, meth, or cocaine smoke. It is, however, illegal to smoke cigarettes in the hospital.

The hospital administrators gave nurses gas masks to use for their safety.

Elana Lacopoy, an RN of 40 years, worked on 17 reserves in northern Canada, and is presently on leave after an assault at the Dufferin Place Residential, a long-term care facility and sister lodging to BC’s Nanaimo hospital. She is scared to return to work with the present state of affairs with the “drugs, violence, sexual assault, alcohol and weapons being allowed in their rooms.”

“We are instructed to give the patients anything they want. They snort cocaine, smoke crack, and they have bubble pipes. The addicts get the use of four-bed rooms to themselves, even if they are away for a week at a time, while 78 people are awaiting a bed. A girl beat the dickens out of a geriatric patient who then died a week later,” a crying Lacopoy told the crowd. “I have never been scared working the reserves, but I am now, working in this institute.”

Only a few people raised their hands in the Port Alberni Town Hall when asked who does not have a doctor. Meanwhile, in the rest of the country, more than one million Canadians do not have a doctor to call upon when needed.

As Dr. Ingrid Pincott of Campbell River said, “It is not a good time to be sick.”

1. *Stolen Water*, a 3-part documentary film by Simon Hergott tinyurl.com/3v4nf8ry

Unrealistic Net Zero

Continued from p.1

policies should be more scientific. Scientists should openly address uncertainties and exaggerations in their predictions of global warming, while politicians should dispassionately count the real costs as well as the imagined benefits of their policy measures.” The coalition points out that Earth’s climate has varied as long as the Earth has existed. They stress that there is “no statistical evidence” to support these claims. Therefore, there is no cause for panic and alarm.

“We strongly oppose the harmful and unrealistic Net Zero CO₂ policy proposed for 2050.”

During a separate speech, Clauser warned the public that the “climate crisis” narrative being pushed by the global elite and their allies in the corporate media is a hoax. Clauser, who was also awarded the 2010 Wolf Prize in Physics, the second most prestigious physics award after the Nobel, warns that fearmongering climate science is nothing more than “massive shock-journalistic pseudoscience.”

“The popular narrative about climate change reflects a dangerous corruption of science that threatens the world’s economy and the well-being of billions of people,” Clauser said in a May 5, 2023, statement. “Misguided climate science has metastasized into massive shock-journalistic pseudoscience. In turn, the pseudoscience has become a scapegoat for a wide variety of other unrelated ills. It has been promoted and extended by similarly misguided business marketing agents, politicians, journalists, government agencies, and environmentalists. In my opinion, there is no real climate crisis.”

1. notrickszone.com/2024/04/23/3-physicists-use-experimental-evidence-to-show-co2s-capacity-to-absorb-radiation-has-saturated
2. sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666496823000456

Originally published at slaynews.com

Correction Notice

Last month, in error, we printed a subheading on the front page article that was not entirely accurate. The subheading read: “1 in 35 had a Serious Adverse Reaction!” It should have read, “1 in 35 had a Serious Adverse Event!” This one-word difference changes the meaning significantly. The word “reaction” implies that all serious conditions directly resulted from the vaccine. This is not likely the case, as some serious adverse events could have been from unrelated causes. We apologize for the oversight on our part and for any confusion that it may have caused.

SUPPORT INDEPENDENT MEDIA WITH A

POSTAL

SUBSCRIPTION

OF

DRUTHERS

newspapers straight to your mailbox

STARTING AT

\$119.40

for a 1-year subscription of 3 COPIES of Druthers, delivered monthly. Papers are free, fee is for s/h.

SIGN UP AT:

DRUTHERS.CA/

SUBSCRIBE

OR, MAIL YOUR ADDRESS, EMAIL, AND S/H TO:

PLEASE MAKE CHEQUES PAYABLE TO:

SHAWN JASON LAPLANTE

PO BOX 40531
SIX POINTS
PLAZA
TORONTO,
ONTARIO
M9B 6K8

OR, TRANSFER US
(PLEASE MENTION ADDRESS AND
“SUBSCRIPTION” IN MEMO SECTION):
ADMIN@DRUTHERS.NET

Absurdity Observer

Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- **Airplane food cost more than \$223K during Trudeau's six-day Indo-Pacific trip**, according to new records revealed by the Canadian Taxpayers Federation. Meals on board the plane included beef tenderloin and braised lamb shanks and were served on fine China. This Indo-Pacific trip, in which Trudeau and his team attended the G20 summit to ironically discuss "Sustainable Development Goals," draws parallels to Gov. Gen. Mary Simon's infamous March 2022 trip to Dubai, where she and her 29 guests incurred a \$100,000 inflight catering bill over the course of a week.
- The Auditor General confirms that directors at **Trudeau's Green SDTC (Sustainable Development Technologies Canada) Slush Fund broke the law by funneling taxpayer money to companies they own. Over \$300M** were paid to directors in conflict, including \$217,000 to the SDTC's chair's own business.
- **The Canadian Cancer Society is apologizing for using the term "cervix" on a web page for transgender and non-binary people** "assigned female at birth." The charity acknowledged in a section titled "Words Matter" that some members of the transgender community "may have mixed feelings about or feel distanced from words like 'cervix' and may prefer to use other terms such as 'front hole.'"
- **Tens of thousands of academic articles across multiple journals have been retracted after studies were found to be fake.** The biggest hit publisher was *Wiley*, which just announced it will be closing 19 journals and has retracted more than 11,300 compromised papers that were purportedly "peer reviewed." The sources of the fake science were found to be "paper mills"—businesses or individuals that, for a price, will list a scientist as an author of a wholly or partially fabricated paper.
- **The Australian government has announced that "the future is cashless" and aims to be "a cashless society by 2030"** as banks crack down on withdrawals, close ATMs, and branches ban cash altogether.
- While testifying during a House Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Pandemic at Capitol Hill, Dr. Anthony Fauci confesses he **"made up" COVID rules including 6-foot social distancing and masking kids.**
- The "Fauci hearing" reveals that **scientists at the National Institutes of Health (NIH) received \$710M in royalties** (ten times above average) **from drug makers during the pandemic years** (late 2021-2023). Almost all that cash—\$690M—went to the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), the sub-agency led by Dr. Fauci, and 260 of its scientists. NIH scientists can "legally" earn up to \$150,000 a year in royalties; the rest gets invested back into the Institute (which in turn pays the scientists).
- **US health officials tried to evade public records**

laws, lawmakers say. According to a statement made by the Whitehouse's Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Pandemic, NIH's "FOIA lady" [Freedom of Information Act] went out of her way to teach Dr. Fauci's team how to hide damning COVID information. New evidence shows that NIH's officials were instructed to open an encrypted Protonmail account, misspell or use code for keywords, and forward confidential materials to Gmail to thwart federal law.

- **Lime Scooters will now shut down if driven over the pride flag intersection** in Washington state. Lime,

significantly more likely to experience a heart attack or stroke (*Marfella et al.*). Meanwhile, another study published in *Toxicological Sciences* (*Hu et al.*) finds that all human testes studies had at least some presence of microplastics, and those with the highest pervasive presence had reduced sperm count. One study labeled face masks to be a "significant source" of microplastics in the environment (*Ma et al.*).

- **The USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) submits plans to implement mandatory tracking for all farm animals using RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) electronic tags.** Meanwhile, in England, as of October 1st, every chicken (even if you only own one) must be registered with the government or the owner faces up to a £5,000 fine or six months in prison.

- **The charity Ovarian Cancer Action now claims that anyone of any gender can get ovarian cancer.** Their post on X reads: "Did you know that anyone with ovaries, regardless of gender identity, can be at risk for ovarian cancer? Let's raise awareness by asking: Can men get ovarian cancer? #PrideMonth"

- **A summer camp on a remote island sponsored by The University of British Columbia offers "Drag" classes for kids as young as 14.**

- **Two Canadian doctors have just been suspended in an ivermectin sting operation.** Last month, Saskatchewan suspended Dr. Tshipita Kabongo, with a \$44,783 penalty, and Ontario suspended Dr. Jeremiah Hadwen with a \$6,000 penalty and an order for re-education training. The sting operation consisted of a Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada investigator going undercover as a "Freedom Convoy" Trucker, covertly recording doctors prescribing ivermectin off-label.

- An Australian Senate committee revealed, according to the Western Australian government's own data, **COVID-19 vaccines had an "adverse event reporting rate 23.79 times greater per 100,000 doses than all other vaccines combined."**

- **High levels of the weedkiller glyphosate were found in more than half (55%) of sperm samples, study finds.** The new research (*Vasseur et al.*) also found evidence of impacts on DNA and a correlation between glyphosate levels and oxidative stress on seminal plasma, suggesting significant impacts on fertility and reproductive health. The paper comes as researchers look for answers to why global fertility rates are dropping, and many suspect exposure to toxic chemicals like glyphosate is a significant driver of the decline.

- **Nova Scotia's House of Assembly passes a law under the Financial Measures Act that grants the government access to all of your personal medical records.** The Financial Measures Act, also known as Bill 419, mandates that physicians, hospitals, and individuals with access to patient medical records must disclose them to the government.



Illustration by Ben Garrison at grrrgraphics.com

a popular electric scooter and bike rental service, has announced it will be implementing a "no-go zone" around a pride flag painted intersection in Washington. The decision came after the Spokane WA Police Department announced the arrest of three teenagers on charges of "malicious mischief" (and are facing up to five years in prison) after their scooters left tire marks on a pride mural they rode over. According to the company's website, entering a "no-go zone" will cause a Lime vehicle to "gradually come to a stop," forcing a rider to walk their scooter until it is outside the zone.

- **Dazelle Peters, a 17-year-old Australian teenager, has tragically passed away after being rejected for a potentially life-saving lung transplant because she had not been vaccinated against COVID-19.** Despite the hospital's claims that a COVID-19 vaccine would maximize Peters' chances of survival, a case series published in April of 2022 by Elsevier (*Alsunaid et al.*) revealed that some lung transplant patients who had received mRNA COVID-19 vaccines ended up developing "new and significant respiratory compromise after their second vaccine dose, consistent with antibody mediated rejection."
- **Landmark study links microplastics to serious health problems.** The study found that people who had microplastics lodged in a key blood vessel were



Support Druthers

Stand For Freedom

Get 20% off automatically when you purchase any 4 shirts.

>>> DRUTHERS.CA/SHOP <<<

Note: Please do not leave Druthers inside the newspaper boxes of other newspapers. As well, any inserts found in this paper are not endorsed by Druthers.